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## Preface

Systems of computer mathematics (SCM) find more and more wide application in a number of natural, economical and social sciences such as:informatics, chemistry, mathematics, physics, technologies, education, economics, sociology, etc. Such systems asMathematica, Maple, REDUCE, MuPAD, Derive, Magma, Axiom, Maxima, GAP, MathPiper and others are more and more demanded for learning of the mathematically oriented disciplines, in various scientific researches and technologies. These systems are the main tools for teachers, scientists, researchers, and engineers. Researches on the basis of technology SCM, as a rule, well combine algebraic methods with advanced computing methods. In this sense ofSCM - interdisciplinary area between informatics and mathematics in which researches are concentrated on development of algorithms for algebraical(symbolical) and numerical calculations and data processing, and on creation of programming languages along with program environment for realization of this kind of algorithms and tasks of different purpose which are based on them.
Solution of applied user problems in one or the other field of appendices is supported bypackages of applied programs (PAPor simply packages) of special, highly specialized or general purpose. Classification and characteristic of such class of software can be found in our previous books [3-5]. Naturally, the qualified user well owning one of effective programming languages(for example, Basic, C, Fortran, PL/1, Pascal, Lisp, Prolog, etc.) in a number of cases for the solution of own tasks can independently write and debug a separate program or a complex of programs allowing to realize algorithm of its tasks on a personal computer. In some cases such approach can be more effective, than use for these purposes of ready software since the software developer at the same time well owns specifics of the solved task and conditions of its operation. However, such approach demands as a rule of serious costs and at present abundance of various type and purpose of means for a personal computer becomes considerably inexpedient. At the same time, developed PAP are supplied with own builtin programming language of one or other level of complexity allowing to program the whole tasks or their separate fragments which may be in the environment of a package are inefficiently, inexpedient, and in some cases and is impossible to realize by the standard means of a package.

This book is devoted to the class of software called by systems of computer mathematics which, first of all, are intended for the solution of problems of mathematical character, and, first of all, to leaders in this class to systems Mathematica andMaple. Moreover, only the indirect attention concerning comparison of systems on certain separate moments is paid to the second system whereas quite developed their comparative analysis can be found in our books [28-30]. At that, much attention was paid both on experience with described means, and features of their usage, and also recommendations for the user following from them. As far as possible, the most effectivetechnique of application of these means for the solution of those or other applied user tasks have been offered. Moreover, in book [33] we presented an excursus in history of computer algebra systems that represents a certain interest for the user of this class of software. Rather detailed characteristic of this series of books can be found, in particular, in [30-33] and in the present book isn't considered. Our operating experience withsystems of computer algebra,
first of all,Mathematica andMaple allowed not only to carry out a comparative analysis of these means, to reveal deficiencies inherent to them, and also to create a number of the means expanding their functionality and eliminating their some defects. All these questions including questions ofterminological character with various extent of detailing have been considered in a series of our books and papers [1-48].
The Mathematica system along with the above-mentionedMaple system is one of the most known and popularSCM, it contains a rather large number of functions for providing as symbolical transformations, and for numerical calculations. TheMathematica system for today is multipurpose means that includes a large number of opportunities for the solution of quite wide range of problems. Naturally, for these means can`t be given a rather full analysis within the framework of the given book. Furthermore, the target of the book consists in other- in the book the attention is focused only on one aspect of systemopportunities of her program environment for solution of special problems of mass and system character.
This aspect has the special importance not only for solution of applied tasks but above all it is quite important at creation of the software expanding often used system means and/or eliminating their defects, or supplementing the system with new means. In this context possibilities of built-in language of the system on creation of such kind of procedures or functions are of special interest. So, programming in the system is a multifaceted subject and in it we focus attention only on questions of realization of procedures/functions that represent main program objects both for the most often used means of the user, and for the means expanding and improving standard system means in the system software, i.e. realized by means of the built-in language of the system(Mathlanguage). In this context it is also possible to estimate in quite full measure theMathematica system software, without regarding to some subjective moments, first of all, the user preferences and habits. Naturally, these moments play a rather essential part for the user which has a certain experience of work with program languages of procedural type whereas for a beginner they stand not so sharply because of lack of such experience. So, considering orientation of the given book, for conscious acquaintance with its contents the knowledge ofMathlanguage at the level above the initial is supposed, for example, within the works [29-33,51,52,55,57,60,62,64,66,71]. Since the10th versionMath-language is called as Wolfram Language what, in our opinion, is result of certain painful ambitions similar to those that are associated with book"A New Kind of Science" along with a fair share of self- advertisement of allegedly new means.
The given book affects a rather extensive material onMathematica software in the context of its opportunities inprocedural andfunctional programming. Meanwhile, main purpose of this book laid aside the questions which are of interest, first of all, to readers who are in own activity at the first stages of an mastering of theMathematica system. For beginners it is recommended to address oneself to the corresponding editions whose list is rather extensive, above all, the English-language. TheMathematica system is considered and in Russian-language literature, however English-language editions, in our opinion, are represented to us more preferable. In general, it is possible to familiarize oneself with literature on the websitewww.wolfram.com/books, quite useful sources can be found in the represented references, including a rather useful references in theInternet. Thus, the given book represents a certain set of the selected system problems whose
purpose not only to expand or make more effective theMathematica system, but also to give certain help to those users of theMathematica who would like to move from the user's level to a level of the programmer or to those who when usingMathematica already faced some its restrictions and want to improve its program environment. At that, the skilledMathematica programmers probably will also be able to find for themselves in our book a rather useful information and of applied character, and to reflection. Therefore illumination only of some questions essence without their rather detailed discussion, certain nuances and consideration of adjacent questions that are often interesting and important per se often takes place. Moreover, the system means presented in the book can be used as rather useful means at developing own applications in the environment ofMathematica. In our opinion, an analysis of the source codes of the means presented in this book which use both effective, and nonstandard methods of programming along with quite certain practical interest will allow to master the environment of Mathematica system more deeply. For convenience of their use in the given quality the reader has possibility of free download ofAVZ_Package package forMathematica system of versions $8 \div 10$ which contains these means [48]. The means considered throughout the present book answer fully the main goal of the offered book which can be characterized by the following2 main directions, namely:
(1) representation of a number of useful enough means of system character that expand and supplement standard means of theMathematica system; (2) illustration on their example of receptions and methods, enough useful inprocedural andfunctional programming, along with a number of essential enough features of this paradigm of programming in the conditions of the program environment of theMathematica system. Here is quite appropriate to note a quite natural mechanism of formation of own software means of the user working in some program environment. In course of programming of one or other means, or the whole project a certain situation is quite real when is rather expedient to program some additional tools that are absent among standard means, either they are more effective, or they are more convenient than standard means. In many important cases the applicability of these means can have mass enough character, allowing to form program toolkit of quite wide range of applicability.

Exactly in many respects thanks to thedescribed mechanism we have created quite famous library UserLib forMaple along with packageAVZ_Package forMathematica which contain more than850 and680 means respectively [47,48]. All above-mentioned means are supplied withFreeWare license and have open program code. Such approach to programming of many projects both inMathematica, and inMaple also substantially promoted emergence of a number of system means from above-mentioned library and package, when development of software for simplification of its realization revealed expediency of definition of the new accompanying tools of system character that are rather frequently used both in applied and in system programming. So, openness of theAVZ_Package package code allows both to modify the means containing in it, and to program on their basis own means, or to use their components in various appendices. In our opinion, tasks and means of their realization inMathematica which are presented in the above package can be rather useful at deeper mastering of system and in a number of cases will allow to simplify rather significantly programming of appendices in it, first of all, the system problems. At that, the methodological considerations represented in our
previous books [29-33] fully remain in force and relative to the present book. Means of $A V Z \_$Package package have different complexity of organization and used algorithms; in certain cases, they use effective and nonstandard receptions of programming inMathematica. The given means can be used as individually(for the decision of various problems or for creation on their basis of new means), and in structure of AVZ_Package package extending standard tools of theMathematica, eliminating a number of its defects and mistakes, raising its compatibility relatively to its releases and raising effectiveness of programming of problems inMathematica. A tool represented in the book is supplied with description and explanations, contains the source code and the more typical examples of its application. As required, a description has supplied by necessary considerations, concerning peculiarities of program execution in theMathematica environment.
The given book considers certain principal questions ofprocedure-functional programming inMathematica, not only for the decision of various applied problems, but, first of all, for creation of the software expanding frequently used facilities of the system and/or eliminating their defects or expanding the system with new facilities. The software presented in this book contains a series of useful and effective receptions of programming inMathematica system, and extends its software which enables more simply and effectively to programme in the systemMathematica the problems of various purpose. The represented monograph, is mostly for people who want the more deep understanding in the Mathematica programming, and particularly those Mathematica users who would like to make a transition from the user to a programmer, or perhaps those who already have certain limited experience inMathematica programming but want to improve their possibilities in the system. Whereas the expertMathematica programmers will also probably find an useful enough information for yourself.
At that, it should be noted that the source codes of means given in this book contain calls of non-standard tools that didn't find reflection in the present book in a number of cases, but are presented in our package[48]. Therefore, their detailed analysis requires acquaintance with these tools, at least, at the level of usages on them. Meanwhile, the main algorithm of many means of the presented book is rather well looked through and without acquaintance with similar means while real use of these means perhaps only after loading of this package into the current session. Along with the illustrative purposes the means represented in this monograph quite can be used and as enough useful means extending the programMathematica environment that rather significantly facilitate programming of a wide range of the problems first of all having the system character. Our experience of conducting of the master classes of various level in systems
andMathematica, andMaple confirms expediency of application in common with standard means of both systems and some user tools created in the course of programming of appendices. Tools represented in the book increase the range and efficiency of usage of Mathematica on Windows platform owing to the innovations in three basic directions, namely:(1)elimination of a series of basic defects and shortcomings, (2)extending of capabilities of a series of standard tools, and(3)replenishment of the system by new means which increase capabilities of its program environment, including the means which improve the level of compatibility of releases7-10. At last, with organization of the user software and programming of large-scale systems inMathematica software along with our standpoint on a question: Mathematica orMaple? the interested reader can familiarize in
[29-33]. At last, a number of means represented in the above books is intended for a extension of standard means of the systemsMathematica andMaple along with elimination of their shortcomings and mistakes. These means not only more accurately accent distinctions of both systems, but also their problems of common character. And in this relation they allow to look from different points of view on these or other both advantages, and shortcomings of both systems. In the present book we present a number of means of similar type concerning theMathematica system. At that, it should be noted that a mass optimization of procedures have not been performed, procedures in many cases have been written, as they say on'sheet‘; on the other hand, numerous procedures have been optimized using both the standard means and newly created tools of system character. In this context here there is a magnificent experimental field for increasing of professionalism of the user at operating with theMathematica software.
Inclusion of source codes of the procedures and functions presented in this book with their short characteristic directly in the book text allows to work with them without computer, considering a habit of considerable number of the users of the senior generation to operate with program listings before exit to the computer what in a series of cases promoted better programming in due time at programming in batch mode. In our opinion, skill to operate with program listings is a rather important component of the programmer culture, allowing better to feel the used program environment. In a certain measure it is similar to possession of the musician by the sheet music.
Moreover, many listings of the represented means have a rather small size, allowing to analyze them outside of theMathematica environment in the assumption that the reader is sufficiently familiar with its software. Now, at mass existence of personal computers of various type the mentioned visual analysis of the program listings was replaced with the mode of interactive programming, however it's not the same, and in the first case the process of programming seems to us more better and efficient. Meanwhile, even tools with small source code often are useful enough at programming of various applications, in particular, of system character. Whereas others demand for the understanding of serious enough elaboration, including acquaintance with our packageAVZ_Package [48].
As shows our experience, the programming in the above mode slightly more slowly, than directly on the computer, however it allows to concentrate our better on an object of programming and it is better to think over a problem and a way of its decision, rather, than method of its decision in the so-called interactive mode. Even in the presence of thepersonal computer (PC) we got used thebasic skeleton of a program to write on paper and only then to pass to debugging onto the personal computer in the interactive mode. So, in our opinion, such approach allows to write programs more thoughtfully; at that, following the old habit to write optimal enough codes for their subsequent performance on quite limited computing resources of the computers20-30 years ago. However, in many respects this is matter of habit, however you shouldn't forget that the old isn't always worse than new one and, getting new opportunities, we, often, lose the old skills important for work. Here and in this case, having received very convenient means of communication, we, sometimes, lose sight of efficiency of a program code, creating it without especial difficulties in the interactive mode with the only purpose to receive the demanded result, often, ignoring quality.

Of course, there is no only best way of creation of epy programs. Different technologies and paradigms are required for the programming of different problems and their levels of complexity. So, in the elementary cases is quite enough of the knowing of elements of structural writing of programs. While for creation of complex program projects is required not only to be fluent in a programming language in rather full volume, but also to have notion of the principles of elaboration and debugging of programs, opportunities of both standard and other libraries of one or the other software, etc.

As a rule, than the problem is more complex, the more time is required for mastering of the tools necessary for its decision. In this context the software
(procedures/functions/global variables) which is presented in the present book contain a number of rather useful and effective methods of programming in theMathematica environment and extends its program environment, they give opportunity more simply and effective to program different problems. These means in the process of application of theAVZ_Package package are updated, taking into account both the new means, and the optimization of already existing means. In many problems of different purpose the package $\boldsymbol{A V Z}$ _Package showed itself as a rather effective toolkit. The package on the freeware conditions is attached to the present book [48].

## Chapter 1. Additional means in interactive mode of the Mathematicasystem

Further we will distinguish two main operating modes with Mathematica - interactive andprogram. Under the first mode step-by-step performance with aMathematica document, i.e. from an inputIn[n] up to outputOut[ $\boldsymbol{n}$ ] will be understood while under theprogram mode the operating within ablock or amodule is understood. In the present chapter some additional means rather useful at work withMathematica in interactive mode are considered. In the course of operating ininteractive mode in many cases there is a need of use of earlier calculated expressions in the previousIn-paragraphs. For this purpose the $\%$ koperator $\{\%, \mathbf{\%} \%, \mathbf{\%} \% \ldots \% \mathbf{\%}$ (ktimes) $\}$ serves which defines return of the last, penultimate andkth previous result of calculations in the current session. In addition, it should be noted that\%-operators in systems Mathematica andMaple are conceptually various. Though, having various real areas of applicability inMathematica andMaple, at the same time\%-operators possess both the shortcomings, and essential advantages [2833]. TheMathematica supports2 rather useful predetermined global variables:
\$Line-defines number of the lastInparagraph of the current session;
\$HistoryLength-defines number of the previous paragraphsInandOutkept in the current session.
Moreover, these variables allow redefinitions by simple assignment of new values. For\$HistoryLength variable value by default is theinfinity $(\infty)$; but using smaller installations for the variable, it is possible significantly to save the size ofRAM required forMathematica system. In turn, global variable \$Line1 unlike the standard global variable\$Line determines total number ofOutparagraphs of the current session, including results of calculation of the user packages loaded into the session from files of formats \{"cdf", "nb" \}.
$\operatorname{In}[500]$ := \$Line1 := Block[\{a = "", c = "Out[", k = 1\}, For[k, k < Infinity, k++, a =

ToString[Out[k]]; If[a == c <> ToString[k] <> "]", Return[k]]]; k]
In[501]:= \$Line1
Out[501]= 2014
In[502]:= \$Line
Out[502]= 502
The above fragment represents source code and examples of application. So, after loading of the user package the values of variables $\$$ Line1 and $\$$ Line can differ rather significantly: thefirst defines total number of the keptOut- paragraphs, while thesecond- number of really receivedOut-paragraphs in the current session of theMathematica.
In a whole series of cases of work with large documents there is expediency of deleting from the current session of earlier usedOutparagraphs with the results unnecessary in the future. This operation is provided by the simple ClearOut procedure, whose callClearOut[x] returnsnothing and at the same time deletesOutparagraphs with numbers determined by a whole positive number or their listr. The following fragment represents source code of the procedure with a typical example of its application. This procedure in some cases also provides allocation of additional memory in work area of system which in case of large documents is quite significant.
In[2520]:= ClearOut[x_/; PosIntQ[x] || PosIntListQ[x]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ Flatten[\{x\}], $k=1\}$, Unprotect[Out]; For[k, $k<=$ Length[a], k++, Out[a[[k]]] =. ]; Protect[Out]; ]

In[2521] := \{Out[1508], Out[1510], Out[1511], Out[1515]\}
Out[2521] $=\{42,78,2014,480\}$
In[2522]:= ClearOut[\{1508, 1510, 1511, 1515\}]
In[2523]:= \{Out[1508], Out[1510], Out[1511], Out[1515]\}
Out[2523] $=\{\% 1508, \% 1510, \% 1511, \% 1515\}$
At that, call of used functionPosIntQ[x] orPosIntListQ[x] returnsTrue ifx - a positive number or a list positive numbers accordingly; otherwise,False is returned. These functions are located in our packageAVZ_Package [48]; at that, many means represented below also use means of this package.

On the other hand, in certain cases of work in the interactive mode a need of replacement ofOutparagraphs onto other contents arises that rather simple ReplaceOut procedure implements, whose successful callReplaceOut $[x, y]$ returnsnothing, at the same time carrying out replacement of contents of the existingOut-paragraphs which are determined by a whole positive or their listx, by new expressions defined by ay-argument. The call assumes parity of factual arguments of $x$ and $y$; otherwise, callReplaceOut $[x, y]$ is returned unevaluated. The following fragment represents source code ofReplaceOut procedure with typical examples of its usage.
In[2025] := AgnAvzVsv = 80
Out[2025]= 80
In[2026]:= ReplaceOut[x_/; PosIntQ[x] || PosIntListQ[x], y__] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\operatorname{Flatten}[\{\mathbf{x}\}], \mathbf{b}=$ Flatten[\{y\}], $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}\}$, If[b != $\}$, If[Length[a] != Length[b], Defer[ReplaceOut[x, y]], Unprotect[Out]; For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, Out[a[[k]]] = b[[k]]]; Protect[Out]]; ,

ClearOut[x]]] In[2027]:= ReplaceOut[2025, 480]
In[2028]:= Out[2025]
Out[2028] $=480$
In[2029]:= ReplaceOut[2025]
In[2030]:= Out[2025]
Out[2030]= \%2025
Moreover, the callReplaceOut[x] deletes contents ofOut-paragraphs that are defined by argumentx, generalizing the previousClearOut procedure.

Definition of variables in Mathematica. Like the majority of programming languages inMathematica system for expressions the names(identifiers) are used, giving possibility in the future to address to such named expressions on their names. So, on the operator"=" theimmediate assignment to one or several names of the demandedexpression is made whereas on the operator " $x$ :="- thepostponed assignment. Distinction of both types of assignment is supposed well known to the reader. For definition of assignment type that has been applied to a name a simple enough procedureDefOp can be used whose callDefOp[x] returns the type in string format of assignment applied to the namex coded also in string format, namely:(1) "Undefined" - a name $x$ isn’t defined, (2) "=" theimmediate assignment has been applied to a name $\boldsymbol{x}$, (3) ":=" - thepostponed assignment has been applied to a namex. In[2040]:= DefOp[x_/; StringQ[x] \&\& SymbolQ[x] ||
SymbolQ[ToExpression[x]], y__] := Module[\{a = ToString[Definition[x]], b=\{y\}, c, d\}, If[a == "Null", Return["Undefined"], c[h_] := StringTake[a,
\{Flatten[StringPosition[a, h]][[2]] + 1,-1\}]]; If[SuffPref[a, x <> " = ", 1], d = "=", d = ":="]; If[b != \{\}\&\& ! HowAct[y], y = c[d]]; d] In[2041]:= v = 78; g = 66; s := 46; Kr = 18; Art := 25; Res := a + b + c;
In[2042] := Map[DefOp, \{"v", "g", "s", "Kr", "Art", "Res", "Avz"\}] Out[2042]= \{"=", "=", ":=", "=", ":=", ":=", "Undefined"\}
In[2043]:= Clear[y]; \{DefOp["Art", y], y \}
Out[2043]= \{":=", "25" $\}$
In[2044]:= Clear[y]; \{DefOp["Res", y], y
Out[2044]= \{":=", "a+b+ c"\}
In[2945]:= Map[DefOpt, \{"Kr", "Res"\}]
Out[2045]= $\{$ "Kr= 18", "Res: $=a+b+c "\}$
While call DefOp $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ through optional second argumenty - an undefined variablereturns an expression appropriated to a namex. The value which has been assigned to a variablex remains associated with it until its removal on" $x=$. ", or on the functionsClear, ClearAll, Remove, or its redefinition. The fragment above represents source code of the procedure with examples. For evaluation of assignments theMath-language hasDefinition function whose callDefinition[x] returns all definitions ascribed to a namex along with ourDefOpt procedure(see fragment above) which is considered in the present book below. Along with this procedure also other means of return of definitions are considered.
In a number of cases arises a necessity of cleaning of variables of the current session from the values received as a result of dynamic generation. For this purpose it is possible to use
the mechanism consisting in accumulation in a list of values of variables which should be removed from the current session subsequently, or be cleared from the values and attributes. For this purpose can be used a function whose callClearValues[ $w$ ] returns the empty list, at the same time deleting all variables having values from the listw from the current session; whereas the callClearValues[ $w, y]$ with the second optional argumenty -any expression- returns the empty list, however such variables are only cleared of values and attributes without removal from the current session. The following fragment represents source code of theClearValues function along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2070]:= ClearValues[x_/; ListQ[x], y__] := Select[Map[If[\{y\}== \{\}, Remove, ClearAll], Select[Names["*"], MemberQ[x, ToExpression[\#]] \&]], \# != "Null" \&]
$\operatorname{In}[2071]:=\{\mathbf{a}=42, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{8 0}, \mathrm{c}:=75, \mathbf{d}=480, \mathrm{~h} 5:=67, \mathrm{Kr}=18, \mathrm{Art}=\mathrm{x}+\operatorname{Sin}[\mathrm{y}]\}$ Out[2071]= \{42, 78, Null, 460, Null, 17, 78+ Sin[2013]\}
In[2072]:= ClearValues[\{42, 78, 75, 480, 67, 18, x + Sin[y]\}]
Out[2072]= \{ $\}$
In[2073]:= Names["*"]
Out[2073]= \{"ClearValues", "Avz", "g", "Res", "s"\}
$\operatorname{In}[2075]:=\{a=42, b=78, \mathrm{c}:=75, \mathrm{~d}=460, \mathrm{~h} 5:=\mathbf{6 6}, \mathrm{Kr}=\mathbf{1 7}, \mathrm{Art}=\mathrm{x}+\operatorname{Sin}[\mathrm{y}]\}$ Out[2075]= \{42, 75, Null, 450, Null, 16, x+ Sin[y]\}
In[2076]:= ClearValues[\{42, 78, 75, 460, 66, 17, $\mathbf{x}+\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{y}]\}, 78] \operatorname{Out}[2076]=\{ \}$
In[2077]:= Names["**"]
Out[2077]= \{"a", "Art", "b", "c", "ClearValues", "d", "h5", "Kr"\} In[2210]:=
VarsValues[x_/; ListQ[x]] := Select[Names["*"],
MemberQ[x, ToExpression[\#]] \&] In[2211]:= \{a=42, b=78, c:= 75, d=480, h5 := 67, $\mathrm{Kr}=18, \mathrm{Art}=\mathrm{x}+\operatorname{Sin}[y]\}: \operatorname{In}[2212]:=\operatorname{VarsValues}[\{42,78,75,480,67,18, \mathrm{x}+$ Sin[y]\}]
Out[2212]= \{"a", "Art", "b", "c", "d", "h5", "Kr"\}
In the second part of the fragment theVarsValues function is represented, whose callVarsValues $[x]$ returns the list of variables in string format which have values from a listr. Both functions represent a certain interest during the work in interactive mode of the current session. The recommendations about use of these functions can be found in our book [33].
In some cases on the basis of a certain value is required to determine names to which in the current session this value was ascribed. The given problem is solved by the procedure whose callNvalue $[x]$ returns the list of names in string format with a preset valuex. At that, the procedure gives only those global variables whose values have been received in the current session in Inparagraphs. In the absence of such names the procedure call returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. The following fragment presents source code and example of usage of theNvalue procedure.
In[2725]:= Nvalue[x_] := Module[\{ $\mathbf{a}=\{ \}, \mathbf{b}=\operatorname{Names}[" * "], \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}\}$,

## For[k, k <= Length[b], k++, If[ToExpression[b[[k]]] == x, AppendTo[a, b[[k]]], Next[l]]; Select[a, ! SuffPref[\#, "Global", 1] \&]

In[2726] := \{Ag, Av, Art, Kr, V, \$Ar, Vs, \$Kr, G\}= \{72, 67, 18, 25, 78, 480, Null, 2014, a*b \}; Map[Nvalue, $\{72,67,18,25,78,480$, Null, 2014, a*b $\}$ ]

Out[2726]= \{\{"Ag"\},\{"Av"\},\{"Art"\},\{"Kr"\},\{"V"\},\{"\$Ar"\},\{"Vs"\},\{"\$Kr"\},\{"G"\}\}
The Nvalue1 procedure is an extension offunctionality of the aboveNvalue procedure. The callNvalue1 $[x]$ returns the list of names of variables in the string format to which in the current session a valuex has been ascribed. In the next fragment the source code ofNvalue1 with examples are presented.
In[4334] := Nvalue1[x_] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\{ \}, \mathbf{b}=$ Select[Names["*"], StringFreeQ[\#, "\$"] \&], c, k=1\}, While[k <= Length[b], c = ToExpression["Attributes[" <> ToString1[b[[k]]] <> "]"]; If[! MemberQ[c, Protected], AppendTo[a, b[[k]]], Null]; k++];
Select[a, ToExpression[\#] === $\mathbf{x} \&]] \operatorname{In}[4335]:=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{h}, \mathrm{g}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{s}\}=\{\mathbf{4 5}, \mathbf{7 8}, \mathbf{2 5}, \mathbf{1 8}$, 18, 18, 18, 18\}; Nvalue1[18] Out[4335]= \{"Art", "g", "h", "s", "t", "u", "w"\}
Meanwhile, theNvalue1 has not quite satisfactory time characteristics as its algorithm is based on the analysis of all active objects of both the user ones, and the system ones.
For definition of the values ascribed to variables, the procedureWhatValue is quite useful whose callWhatValue $[x]$ returns value ascribed to a variable $\boldsymbol{x}$; on an undefined variablex the list of format $\{$ "Undefined", $x\}$ is returned while on a system variablex the list of format $\{$ "System", $x\}$, and on a local variablex the list of format $\{$ "Local", $x\}$, is returned. The following fragment represents source code of theWhatValue along with examples of its usage. In[2844]:= WhatValue[x_] := If[SystemQ[x], \{"System", x\},
If[! SameQ[Definition2[ToString[x]][[1]], ToString[x]], \{"Local", x\}, \{"Undefined", x\}]] In[2845]:= Ag[x_]:= Module[\{\}, $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge}$ 2]; Sv[x_]:= Block[\{a\}, a+x]; F[x_, y_]:= x*y In[2846]:= Map[WhatValue, $\{\mathbf{4 8 0}+\mathbf{7 8 *}$ \# \&, hg, Sin, Ag, Sv, 78, a*b, F, Gs $\}$ ] Out[2846]= \{\{"Undefined", 480+78\#1\&\}, \{"Undefined",hg\}, \{"System", Sin\}, \{"Local", Ag\}, \{"Local", Sv\}, \{"Undefined", 78\}, \{"Undefined", a*b\}, \{"Local", F\}, \{"Undefined", Gs\}\}

In[2847] := M = Module[\{avz\}, avz]; WhatValue[M]
Out[2847]= \{"Local", avz\$50551\}
The callClear $[\mathbf{x} 1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x n}]$ of the standard function clears symbols $\{\mathbf{x} 1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{n}\}$, excepting symbols withProtected-attribute. As a useful generalization of the functionsClear andClearAll the procedureClear1 can be considered whose callClear1[ $h$, "x1", ..., "xn"] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, clearing at condition $\boldsymbol{h}=1$ the symbols $\{x 1, x 2, \ldots, x n\}$ with saving of all their attributes and options while ath $=2$, clearing symbols $\{x \mathbf{1}, x 2, \ldots, x n\}$ as from expressions ascribed to them, and from all attributes and options. The fragment below represents source code ofClear1 along with examples of its usage.
In[2958] := Clear1[x_/; MemberQ[\{1, 2\}, x], y__] := Module[\{a = \{y\}, b, c, d, k= 1\}, If[y === \{\}, Null, For[k, $k$ <= Length[a], k++, b =a[[k]]; d = Quiet[ToExpression["Attributes[" <> ToString1[b] <> "]"]];
ToExpression["Quiet[ClearAttributes[" <> ToString1[b] <> ", " <> ToString[d] <> "]" <> "; Clear" <> If[x == 1, "", "All"] <> "[" <>
ToString1[b] <> "]]"]]; If[x == 2, Null, Quiet[Check[ToExpression[ "SetAttributes[" <> ToString1[b] <> ", " <> ToString[d] <> "]"], \$Failed]]II] In[2959]:= S[x_] := x^2; SetAttributes[S, \{Listable, Protected\}]; Clear["S"];

Clear ::wrsym: Symbol S is Protected. >>

In[2960]:= Clear1[1, S]
In[2961]:= Definition[S]
Out[2961]= Attributes[S]= \{Listable, Protected $\}$
In[2962]:= Clear1[2, S]
In[2963]:= Definition[S]
Out[2963]= Null
As a rather simple and useful tool the UnDef procedure serves, whose call UnDef[x] returnsTrue if a symbol $x$ isn't defined, andFalse otherwise. While callUnDef $[x, y]$ with the second optional argument-an undefined variable- returnsHead1[x] value throughy, whereHead1 is an useful generalization of standard functionHead considered below. At that, in a number of cases of procedural programming theUnDef appears as a quite useful tool also. The fragment represents source code ofUnDef with examples of its usage.
$\operatorname{In}[2490]:=\operatorname{UnDef}\left[x_{-}, y_{\ldots}\right]$ ] $=$ Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\{\mathbf{y}\}, \mathbf{b}=$ Quiet[Check[Head1[x], True]] $\}$, If[a != \{\}\&\& ! HowAct[y], y = b]; If[b === "SetDelayed || TagSetDelayed", True, False]] In[2491]:= x = 78; $\mathbf{y}=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\} ; \mathbf{z}=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b} ; \mathbf{M a p [ U n D e f , ~}\{\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, 760\}]$ Out[2491]= \{True, True, False, False, False, False $\}$

In[2492] := A[x_/; UnDef[x]] := Block[\{a\}, a = 480; a]; y := 2014; \{A[y], A[78]\} Out[2492]= \{A[2014], A[78]\}
$\operatorname{In}[2493]:=\mathbf{L}=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\} ;$ Select[L, UnDef[\#] \&] Out[2493]= \{a, b, c, d, p, v, w\}
In[2494]:= M[x_] := x; \{UnDef[M, t], t\}
Out[2494]= $\{$ False, "Function" $\}$
Right there it is appropriate to note that on examples of UnDef1, UnDef2, UnDef3theUnDef procedure modifications- basic distinction between procedures of the types"Module" and"Block" is illustrated [28-33]. Therefore the type of procedure should be chosen rathercircumspectly, giving a certain priority to procedures ofModule-type. In addition, as theenclosed procedures the procedures ofModule-type are used, as a rule. In a number of cases exists a need of definition of a context of an arbitrary symbol. This problem is solved by a simple enough procedure, whose call Affiliate[x] returns the context for an arbitrary symbolx given in the string format whereas"Undefined" is returned on a symbol, completely undefinite for the current session. At that, under"completely undefinite" is understood as a concrete expression, and a symbol for the first time used in the current session. The fragment below represents source code of the given procedure and examples of its usage, including examples explaining the essence of the concept"completely undefinite".
In[80]:= Affiliate[x_/; StringQ[x]] := Module[\{a = Quiet[Context[x]]\}, If[ToString[a] === "Context[" <> x <> "]", "Undefined",
If[MemberQ[Contexts[], a] \& \& ToString[Quiet[DefFunc[x]]I]] == "Null" ||
Attributes[x] === \{Temporary\}, "Undefined", a]l] In[81]:= G = 67; Map[Affiliate, \{"ProcQ1", "Sin", "G", "Z", "Affiliate"\}] Out[81]= \{"AladjevProcedures", "System", "Global", "Undefined",
" AladjevProcedures`"\}
In[82]:= \{V, G = 72, 67\}; Map[Affiliate, \{"V", "G", "80", "Sin[18]", "Q", "Map"\}]

Out[82]= \{"Undefined", "Global", "Undefined", "Undefined", "Undefined", "System""
The call WhatObj[x] of a quite simple procedure returns value depending on location of axsymbol activated in the current session, namely:"System" a system function;"CS" - a symbol whose definition has been defined in the current session;"Undefined" - an undefinite symbol;"Context'" - a context defining a package loaded into the current session and containing definition of $\boldsymbol{x s y m b o l}$; if $\boldsymbol{x}$ has a type other thanSymbol, the procedure call is returned as unevaluated. The following fragment represents source code ofWhatObj procedure along with examples of its usage.
In[2139] := WhatObj[x_/; SymbolQ[x]]:= Module[\{a = Quiet[Context[x]], t\}, If[a === "System", "System", If[a === "Global", If[MemberQ[\{\$Failed, "Undefined"\}, PureDefinition[x]], "Undefined", "CS"], a]l]

In[2140]:= w[x_] := Block[\{\}, x]; Map[WhatObj, \{Sin, a/b, ProcQ, t78, h6, w\}] Out[2140]= \{"System", WhatObj[a/b], "AladjevProcedures"", "Undefined", "Undefined", "CS"\}

For testing of symbols to which expressions are ascribed, 2 simple functions HowAct andSymbolQ are defined. The first of them correctly tests the fact ofdefiniteness of a variable in the current session, however on local variables of procedures the call ofHowAct returnsTrue irrespective of existence for them of values. On the other hand, on undefinite local variables ofblocks the HowAct returnsFalse. The callSymbolQ[x] of simple though rather useful function returnsTrue ifx is a symbol, andFalse otherwise. Function is used in a number of tools presented in the present book. The following fragment represents source codes of both functions with examples of their usage.
In[2020] := HowAct[x_] := If[Quiet[Check[ToString[Definition[x]], True]] === "Null", False, If[Quiet[ToString[Definition[x]]] === "Attributes[" <> ToString[x] <> "] = \{Temporary\}", False, True]]
In[2021]:= SymbolQ[x_] := ! SameQ[Quiet[Check[ToExpression[ "Attributes[" <> ToString[x] <> "]"], \$Failed]], \$Failed]
In[2022] := Map[HowAct, \{80, IAN, "RANS", Cos, Args, TestArgsTypes, Label, HowAct, a +b, Agn\}]
Out[2022]= \{True, False, True, True, True, True, True, True, True, False $\}$
In[2023]:= Map[SymbolQ, \{80, IAN, "RANS", Cos, Args, Label, HowAct \}]
Out[2023]= \{False, True, True, True, True, True, True, True $\}$
In certain cases theSymbolQ1 function, being of a modification of function SymbolQ can be useful, whose callSymbolQ1[x] returnsTrue ifx is asingle symbol, andFalse otherwise [33]. In [33] certain features of usage ofHowAct for testing of definiteness of local variables of procedures can be found. In a number of cases exists a need of removal from the current session of a certain active object having the appropriated value with possibility of its subsequent restoration in the current or other session. The given problem is solved by the function whose callActRemObj[ $\boldsymbol{x , y ]}$ depending on a value $\{$ "Act", "Rem" $\}$ of the second actual argument deletes an object given by his name in string format from the current session or activates it in the current or other session respectively. The fragment below represents source code of theActRemObj procedure along with examples of its
usage.
In[647] :=ActRemObj[x_/; StringQ[x], y_/; MemberQ[\{"Act", "Rem"\}, y]] := Module[\{a = \$HomeDirectory <>">" <> x <>".\$ArtKr\$", b, c =
ToString[Definition4[x]]\}, If[c === "\$Failed", \$Failed, If[HowAct[x] \& \& y == "Rem", b = OpenWrite[a]; WriteString[b, c]; Close[b]; ClearAllAttributes[x]; Remove[x]; "Remove", If[! HowAct[x] \& \& y == "Act", If[FileExistsQ[a],
b = OpenRead[a]; Read[b]; Close[b]; DeleteFile[a]; "Activate",
Return[Defer[ActRemObj[x, y]]I]]]]] In[648]:= F := \{72, 67, 47, 18, 25\};
SetAttributes[F, Protected]; Definition[F] Out[648]= Attributes[F]= \{Protected $\}$
$\mathrm{F}:=\{72,67,47,18,25\}$
In[649]:= ActRemObj["F", "Rem"];
Out[649]= "Remove"
In[650]= Definition[F]
Out[650]= Null
In[651]:= ActRemObj["F", "Act"];
Out[651]= "Activate"
In[652] = Definition[F]
Out[652]= Attributes[F]= \{Protected $\}$
$F:=\{72,67,47,18,25\}$
In[653]:= A[x_] := Module[\{a=480\}, $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{a}] ; \mathbf{A}\left[\mathbf{x}_{-}, \mathbf{y}_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{8 0}\}, \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{a}]$
$\operatorname{In}[654]:=\{\mathbf{A}[100], \mathbf{A}[100,200]\}$
Out[654] $=\{580,380\}$
In[655]:= ActRemObj["A", "Rem"]; Definition[A]
Out[655]= Null
In[656]:= ActRemObj["A", "Act"]; \{A[100], A[100, 200]\}
Out[656] $=\{590,380\}$
Successful removal of an object from the current session returns "Remove" whereas its restoration in the current session returns"Activate". If a datafile containing definition of a removed objectx, wasn’t found in system catalog \$HomeDirectory, the call
of ActRemObj procedure is returnedunevaluated; on an inadmissible argumentx the callActRemObj[x, $\boldsymbol{y}]$ returns\$Failed.
System Maple has a rather usefulrestart command which causes theMaple kernel to clear itsinternal memory so that systemMaple actsalmost as if just started. While theMathematica system has no similar means in interactive mode. The next procedure to a certain extent compensates for thisdeficiency. The callRestart[] returnsnothing, deleting from thecurrent session all objects defined in it. Moreover, from the given list are excluded the objects whose definitions are in the downloaded packages. While the callRestart[ $x]$ with optional argument $\boldsymbol{x}$ - a context or their list defining the user packages that have been loaded in the current session- also returns nothing, additionally deleting from the current session all objects whose definitions are contained in the mentioned user packages. The following fragment represents source code of theRestart procedure along with examples of its application.

In[2450]:= Restart[x__] := Module[\{\}, Map[\{Quiet[ClearAttributes[\#, Protected]],

Quiet[Remove[\#]]\}\&, Names["**"]]; If[\{x\}!= \{\}, Quiet[Map[Remove[\# <> "*"] \&, Flatten[\{x\}]llll]
In[2451] := F := \{72, 67, 47, 18, 25\}; SetAttributes[F, Protected]; $S v=47$; $\mathbf{a}:=6$;
A[x_] := Module[\{a = 480\}, x+a]; A[x_, y_]:= Module[\{a=80\}, x*y*a];
In[2452]:= Restart["AladjevProcedures"]
In[2453]:= Map[Definition, \{F, A, Map13, HowAct, Sv, a, ActUcontexts\}]
Out[2453]= \{Null, Null, Null, Null, Null, Null, Null]\}
Moreover, the system objects are not affected by the Restart. In a number of cases the function seems a rather useful, allowing to substantially restore an initial state of the current session and to save internal memory of system too.

Means of work with sequential structures. Sequences of expressions(simply sequences) in the environment of many languages are formed on the basis of thecomma operator"," and form a certain base for definition of many types of data(inquiries of procedures,lists,sets,indexes,etc.). At that, inMathematica system the given structure as an independent one is absent, and instead of it the list structure protrudes; some programming languages adhere to the same concept. In this context a number of simple enough means has been created that ensure operating with the objectSeq $[x]$ defining a sequence of elementsx. So, the procedure callSeqToList $[x]$ provides converting ofSeq- objectx into the list, the procedure callListToSeq[x] provides converting of a listx intoSeq-object, the procedure callSeqIns[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}]$ returns the result of inserting inSeq-object $\boldsymbol{x}$ of an arbitrary elementy(list, Seq-object, expression, etc.) according to the given positionz(z<=0 -beforex, $\mathbf{z}>=$ Length $[\boldsymbol{x}]$-after $\boldsymbol{x}$, differently-after az-position inx), the procedure callSeqToString[a,b,...] returns the list of arguments in string format, whereas the callSeqUnion[ $x, y, \ldots$.] returns result of merge of an arbitrary number of sequences. Means for manipulating withSeq-objects can be rather widely expanded, providing the user with rather useful program tools. In a certain relation these tools allow to solve the problem of compatibility with other tools, for example, with the Maple system [28-33].
Meanwhile, theMathematica system provides the functionSequence[a,...] that defines a sequence of arguments which are automatically transferred to a block, function or module. In this context the callSequence $\mathbf{Q}[s]$ provides testing of the objects that are created on the basis of theSequence function returningTrue if as-object is defined by this function, andFalse otherwise; moreover, the name ofs-object is coded in string format [33]. On the basis of the standardSequence function it is possible to create quite simple tools ensuring working with sequential structures similarly to theMaple system; these functions along with the considered ones in [28-33] are rather useful in work with objects of type"sequence", whose structure isn't supported by theMathematica and for work with which system has no standard means. The callSequence $\left[x 1, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ of the standard function defines a sequence of actual arguments $x j(j=1 . . n)$, transferred to a function. Meanwhile, with objects of type"sequence" theMathematica system can work mediately, in particular, on the basis of the list structures. In this regard for expansion of standardSequence function onto list structures theSequences procedure is defined, whose callSequences $[\boldsymbol{x}$ ] provides insert in a function of arguments $\boldsymbol{x}$ given by a sequence or a list; as a simplified variant ofSequences theSq function serves. The following fragment represents source codes of function Sq along with theSequences procedure, including their applications.

In[3495]:= Sequences[x_] := Module[\{ $\mathbf{a}=$ Flatten[\{x\}], b, c $\}$,
b = "Sequence[" <> ToString[a] <> "]"; a = Flatten[StringPosition[b, \{" \{", "\}"\}]]; ToExpression[StringReplace[b, \{StringTake[b, \{a[[1]], a[[1]]\}]-> "", StringTake[b, \{a[[-1]], a[[-1]]\}]-> ""\}]]]
In[3496] := \{F[Sequence[ $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}]], \mathrm{F}[$ Sequences $[\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}]], \mathrm{F}[$ Sequences $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]]\}$ Out[3496] $=\{F[\{x, y, z\}], F[x, y, z], F[x, y, z]\}$
In[3497]:= Sq[x_List] := ToExpression["Sequence[" <>
StringTake[ToString1[x], \{2,-2\}] <> "]"] In[3498]:= Plus[Sq[\{72, 66, 56, 47, 25, 18\}]] Out[3498]= 284
In[3499]:= G[a, b, c, Sequences[x, y, z]]
Out[3499]= G[a, b, c, x, y, z]
At work with sequential structures a rather useful is a procedure, providing converting of strings of a special format into lists, and vice versa. The call ListStrList $[x]$ on a listx= $\{\boldsymbol{a}$, b, ...\} returns a strings of the format"ahbh...", whilex= ListStrList[s] whereh= FromCharacterCode[2]. In case of absence in asstring ofhsymbol the callListStrList[s] returns the strings. Fragment represents source code of the procedure along with examples its usage. In[2604]:= ListStrList[x_/; StringQ[x] || ListQ[x]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ FromCharacterCode[2]\}, If[StringQ[x] \&\& ! StringFreeQ[x, a], Map[ToExpression, StringSplit[x, a]], If[ListQ[x], StringTake[StringJoin[
Mapp[StringJoin, Map[ToString1, x], a]], \{1,-2\}], x]l]
In[2605] := L = ListStrList[\{Avz, 72, Agn, 67, Art, 25, Kr, 18, Vsv, 47\}] Out[2605]= "Avz 72 Agn 67 Art 25 Kr 18 Vsv 47"
In[2606]:= ListStrList[ListStrList[\{Avz, 72, Agn, 67, Art, 25, Kr, 18, Vsv, 47\}]] Out[2606]= \{Avz, 72, Agn, 67, Art, 25, Kr, 18, Vsv, 47\}

## Chapter 2. Additional means of processing of expressions in theMathematicasoftware

A number of useful means of processing of the expressions supplementing standard means ofMathematica system is presented in the present chapter. Analogously to the most software systems the Mathematica understands everything with what it manipulates as"expression"(graphics,lists,formulas, strings,modules,functions,numbers of various type,etc.). And although all these expressions at first sight rather significantly differ,Mathematica represents them in so-called full format. And only the postponed assignment":=" has no full format. For the purpose of definition of heading(the type defining it) of an expression the standardHead function is used, whose callHead[expr] returns the heading of an expressionexpr, for example:

In[6]:= Map[Head, \{Map, Sin, 80, a+b, Function[\{x\}, x], G[x], S[6], x*y, x^y\}] Out[6]= \{Symbol, Symbol, Integer, Plus, Function, G, S, Times, Power $\}$

For more exact definition of headings we created an useful modification of the standardHead function in the form of theHead1 procedure expanding its opportunities, for example, it concerns testing of operations ofpostponed calculations when on them the valuesSetDelayed||TagSetDelayed, blocks, functions, modules are returned. The
callHead1[x] returns the heading of an expression $x$ in the context
\{Block,Function,Module,PureFunction,System,
SetDelayed||TagSetDelayed,Symbol,Head[x]\}. The fragment represents source code of theHead1 procedure with examples of its application comparatively with theHead function as it is illustrated by examples of the next fragment, on which functional distinctions of both means are rather evident.

In[2160] := Head1[x_] := Module[\{a, b, c = Quiet[Check[Attributes[x], \{\}]]\}, If[Quiet[SystemQ[x]], OptRes[Head1, System], If[c != \{\}, ClearAllAttributes[x]]; b = Quiet[StringSplit[ToString[Definition[x]], "\n \n"]l; a = If[! SameQ[b, \{\}] \&\& b[[-1]] == "Null", If[Head[x] == Symbol, Symbol, SetDelayed||TagSetDelayed], If[PureFuncQ[x], PureFunction, If[Quiet[Check[FunctionQ[x], False]], Function, If[BlockQ[x], Block, If[BlockModQ[x], Module, Head[x]]II]]; \{OptRes[Head1, a], Quiet[SetAttributes[x, cl]\}[[1]]I] In[2161]:= G := S; Z[x_] := Block[\{\}, x]; F[x_] := x; Map[Head, \{ProcQ, Sin, 6, a+b, \# \&, G, Z, Function[\{x\}, x], $\left.\left.x^{*} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{F}\right\}\right]$
Out[2161] = \{Symbol, Symbol, Integer, Plus, Function, Symbol, Symbol, Function, Times, Power, Symbol\}
In[2162]:= Map[Head1, \{ProcQ, Sin, 6, a + b, \# \& G, Z, Function[\{x\}, x], $\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{y}$, F\}]
Out[2162]= \{Module, System, Integer, Plus, PureFunction, Symbol, Block, PureFunction, Times, Power, Function

So, the Head1 procedure has a quite certain meaning for more exact(relative to system standard) classification of expressions according to their headings. On many expressions the calls ofHead1 procedure andHead function are identical whereas on a number their calls significantly differ. The concept of expression is the important unifying principle in the system havingidentical internal structure that allows to confine a rather small amount of the basic operations. Meanwhile, despite identical basic structure of expressions, the Mathematica system provides a set of various functions for work both with an expression in general, and with its separate components.
Means of testing of correctness of expressions. Mathematica has a number of the means providing testing ofcorrectness of syntax of expressions among which only two functions are available to the user, namely:
SyntaxQ["x"]-returns True,ifx - a syntactic correct expression;otherwise False is returned;
SyntaxLength ["x"]-returns the numberpof symbols,since the beginning of a string"x"that defines syntactic correct expressionStringTake["x", $\{\mathbf{1}, p\}$ ];at that, in casep> StringLength["x"]the system declares that whole string"x"is correct, demanding continuation.
In our opinion, it isn't very conveniently in event of software processing of expressions. Therefore extensions in the form of theSyntaxQ1 function and SyntaxLength1 procedure whose source codes along with examples of their application are represented below.
In[2029] := SyntaxQ1[x_/; StringQ[x]] := If[Quiet[ToExpression[x]] === \$Failed, False, True]
In[2030]:= Map[SyntaxQ1, \{"(a+b/", "d[a[1]] + b[2]"\}]
Out[2030]= \{False, True $\}$

In[2031]:= SyntaxLength1[x_/; StringQ[x], y__] := Module[\{a = "", $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h}=\{ \}$, $\mathrm{c}=$ StringLength $[\mathrm{x}]\}$,
While[b <= c, d = Quiet[ToExpression[a = a <> StringTake[x, \{b, b\}]]]; If[! SameQ[d, \$Failed], h = Append[h, StringTrim[a]]]; b++]; h = DeleteDuplicates[h]; If[\{y\}!= \{\}\&\&! HowAct[\{y\}[[1]]l, \{y\}=\{h\}];
If[h == \{\}, 0, StringLength[h[[-1]]I]]
In[2437] := \{SyntaxLength1["d[a[1]] + b[2]", g], g \}
Out[2437]= \{14, \{"d", "d[a[1]]", "d[a[1]]+ b", "d[a[1]]+ b[2]"\}\}
In[2438]:= SyntaxLength1["d[a[1]] + b[2]"]
Out[2438]= 14
The call SyntaxLength $\mathbf{1} \boldsymbol{x}$ ] returns the maximum numberp of position in a string $\boldsymbol{x}$ such thatToExpression[StringTake [ $\boldsymbol{x},\{\mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{p}\}$ ]]- a syntactic correct expression, otherwise $\mathbf{0}$ is returned; the callSyntaxLength1[ $x, y$ ] through the second optional argumenty-an undefinite variable-additionally returns the list of substrings of a string $x$ representing correct expressions.

Means of processing of expressions at the level of their components. Means of this group provide quite effective differentiated processing ofexpressions. The combined symbolical architecture ofMathematica gives the possibility of direct generalization of the elementoriented list operations onto arbitrary expressions, supporting operations both on separate terms, and on sets of terms at the given levels in trees of expressions. Without going into details into all means supporting work with components of expressions, we will give only the main from them that have been complemented by our means. Whereas with more detailed description of standard means of this group, including admissible formats of coding, it is possible to get acquainted or in the Help, or in the corresponding literature on theMathematica system, for example, in works [51,52,60,66,71].
The callVariables $[\boldsymbol{p}]$ of standard function returns the list of all independent variables of a polynomialp, while its application to an arbitrary expression has certain limitations. Meanwhile for receiving all independent variables of an expressionx it is quite possible to use quite simple function whose call UnDefVars[x] returns the list of all independent variables of an expression $\boldsymbol{x}$. Unlike theUnDefVars function, the callUnDefVars1[ $x$ ] returns the list of all independent variables in string format of an expressionx. Source codes of both functions with examples of their application are given below in the comparative context withVariables function. In some cases the mentioned functions have certain preferences relative to standardVariables function.
In[2024] := UnDefVars[x_] := Select[OP[x], Quiet[ToString[Definition[\#]]] == "Null" \&]
In[2025]:= UnDefVars[(x^2-y^2)/(Sin[x] +Cos[y]) +a*Log[x+y+z-G[h, t]]] Out[2025]= \{a, G, h, t, x, y, z\}
In[2026]:= Variables[( $\left.\left.\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2-y^{\wedge} 2\right) /(\operatorname{Sin}[x]+\operatorname{Cos}[y])+a^{*} \log [x+y+z-G[h, t]]\right]$
Out[2026] $=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \operatorname{Cos}[\mathrm{y}], \log [\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{t}] \mathrm{]}, \operatorname{Sin}[\mathrm{x}]\}$
In[2027]:= UnDefVars1[x_] := Select[ExtrVarsOfStr[ToString[x], 2], ! SystemQ[\#] \&]
In[2028]:= Map[UnDefVars1, \{a+b, a*Sin[x]*Cos[y], \{a, b\}, a*F[h, g, s]+ H\}]
Out[2028]= \{ \{"a", "b"\}, \{"a", "x", "y"\}, \{"a", "b"\}, \{"a", "F", "g", "h", "s"\}\}

The call Replace[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{r}\{, \boldsymbol{w}\}$ ] of standard function returns result of application of a ruler of the form $\boldsymbol{a} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{b}$ or the list of such rules for transformation of an expressionx as a whole; application of the3rd optional argumentw defines application of rulesr to parts of $w$-level of an expressionx. Meanwhile, the standard Replace function has a number of restrictions some from which the procedure considerably obviates, whose callReplace1[ $x, r]$ returns the result of application of rulesr to all or selective independent variables of an expressionx. In case of detection by the procedureReplace1 of empty rules the appropriate message is printed with the indication of the list of those rulesr which were empty, i.e. whose left parts aren't entered into the list of independent variables of an expressionx. Fragment below represents source code ofReplace1 with examples of its application; at that, comparison with result of application of theReplace function on the same expression is given.
In[2052] := Replace1[x_, y_/; ListQ[y] \&\& DeleteDuplicates[Map[Head, y]] == $\{$ Rule $\}|\mid$ Head[y] == Rule] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{x} / /$ FullForm//ToString, $b=$ UnDefVars[x], $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{h}=\{ \}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{d}=\operatorname{ToStringRule}[$ DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[\{y\}]I]\}, $\mathbf{p}=$ Mapp[RhsLhs, d, "Lhs"]; c = Select[p, ! MemberQ[Map[ToString, b], \#] \&]; If[c != \{\}, Print["Rules " <> ToString[Flatten[Select[d, MemberQ[c, RhsLhs[\#, "Lhs"]] \&]]] <> " are vacuous"]]; While[k <= Length[d], $\mathrm{l}=$ RhsLhs[d[[k]], "Lhs"]; r = RhsLhs[d[[k]], "Rhs"]; h = Append[h, \{"[" <> l-> "[" <> r," " <> l-> " " <> r, l <> "]"-> r <> "]"\}]; k++]; Simplify[ToExpression[StringReplace[a, Flatten[h]]I]]
$\operatorname{In}[2053]:=X=\left(x^{\wedge} 2-y^{\wedge} 2\right) /(\operatorname{Sin}[x]+\operatorname{Cos}[y])+a^{*} \log [x+y]$; Replace[X, $\{\mathbf{x}->\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}$, a-> 80, y $->\operatorname{Cos}[a]$, z-> $\log [t]\}]$
Out[2053] $=a \log [x+y]+\left(x^{\wedge} 2-y^{\wedge} 2\right) /(\operatorname{Cos}[y]+\operatorname{Sin}[x])$
In[2054]:= Replace1[X, \{x-> a+b, a-> 80, $\mathbf{y}->\operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{a}], \mathbf{z}->\log [\mathbf{t}], \mathbf{t}->\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}\}]$; Rules $\{\mathrm{z}->$ (Log[t]), t-> (c+d)\} are vacuous
Out[2054] $=80 \log [a+b+\operatorname{Cos}[a]]+\left((a+b)^{\wedge} 2-\operatorname{Cos}[a] \wedge 2\right) /(\operatorname{Cos}[\operatorname{Cos}[a]]+\operatorname{Sin}[a+b])$
In[2055]:= Replace1[X, $\{\mathbf{x}->\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}->\mathbf{8 0}, \mathbf{y}->\operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{a}]\}]$
Out[2055] $=80 \log [a+b+\operatorname{Cos}[a]]+\left((a+b)^{\wedge} 2-\operatorname{Cos}[a] \wedge 2\right) /(\operatorname{Cos}[\operatorname{Cos}[a]]+\operatorname{Sin}[a+b])$
In certain cases at conversions of expressions by means of substitutions the necessity of converting into string format of the left and right parts of rules "a $\boldsymbol{b}$ " arises. The given problem is solved by rather simpleToStringRule procedure, whose callToStringRule[ $x$ ] returns the rule or the list of rules $\boldsymbol{x}$, whose left and right parts have string format; at that, its right part is taken in parentheses. So, this procedure is used by the above-presentedReplace1 procedure. The procedure ToStringRule1 is similar to ToStringRule, but the right parts of the result is not taken into parentheses. The next fragment represents source code of theToStringRule with examples of its usage.
In[2723]: $=$ ToStringRule[x_/; ListQ[x]\&\& DeleteDuplicates[Map[Head, x]] == $\{$ Rule $\}|\mid$ Head[x] == Rule] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ Flatten[\{x\}], $\mathbf{b}=\{ \}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}\}$, While[ $\mathbf{k}<=$ Length[a], c = a[[k]]; b = Append[b, ToString[RhsLhs[c, "Lhs"]]-> "(" <> ToString[RhsLhs[c, "Rhs"]] <> ")"]; k++]; If[ListQ[x], b, b[[1]]]]
In[2724] := \{ToStringRule[a-> b], ToStringRule[\{a-> b, c-> d, m-> n\}]\} Out[2724]= \{"a"-> "(b)", \{"a"-> "(b)", "c"-> "(d)", "m"-> "(n)"\}\} The callLevel[ $x, n]$ of standard function returns list of all subexpressions of an expressionx at levels from1 ton. As an useful generalization of function is procedure whose callLevels[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{h}]$ returns the list of all
subexpressions for an expression $x$ at all its possible levels while through the second argument $\boldsymbol{h}$ - an independent variable- the maximum number of levels of expression $\boldsymbol{x}$ is returned. Generally speaking, the following defining relation takes place Levels[ $\boldsymbol{x}$, $\boldsymbol{h}] \equiv$ Level[ $\boldsymbol{x}$, Infinity], however in case of theLevels the procedure additionally returnsmaximum level of an expressionx. While the procedure callExprOnLevels[x] returns the enclosed list, whose elements are the lists of subexpressions of an expressionx which are located on each of its levels from thefirst to thelast. The fragment below represents source codes of both procedures with examples of their application in a comparative context with theLevel function with the secondInfinity-argument.

In[2868]:= Levels[x_, h_/; ToString[Definition[h]] == "Null"] := Module[\{a = \{\}, b, k = 1 \}, While[k < Infinity, b = Level[x, k]; If[a == b, Break[], a = b]; k++]; h = k-1; a]
In[2869] := \{Levels[(x^2-y^2)/(Sin[x]+Cos[y])+a*Log[x+y+z-G[h, t]], g], g\} Out[2869]= \{ \{a, x, y, z,-1, h, 7, G[h, 7],-G[h, 7], x+y+z-G[h, 7], Log[x+y+z-G[h, 7]], $a \log [x+y+z-G[h, 7]], x, 2, x^{\wedge} 2,-1, y, 2, y^{\wedge} 2,-y \wedge 2, x^{\wedge} 2-y^{\wedge} 2, y, \operatorname{Cos}[y], x, \operatorname{Sin}[x]$, $\left.\left.\operatorname{Cos}[y]+\operatorname{Sin}[x],-1,1 /(\operatorname{Cos}[y]+\operatorname{Sin}[x]),\left(x^{\wedge} 2-y^{\wedge} 2\right) /(\operatorname{Cos}[y]+\operatorname{Sin}[x])\right\}, 6\right\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2870]:=\operatorname{Level}\left[\left(x^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}-\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right) /(\operatorname{Sin}[x]+\operatorname{Cos}[y])+a^{*} \log [\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{G}[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{t}]\right.$, Infinity] Out[2870]= \{a, x, y, z,-1, h, 7, G[h, 7],-G[h, 7], x+y+z-G[h, 7], Log[x+y+z-G[h, 7]], $a \log [x+y+z-G[h, 7]], x, 2, x^{\wedge} 2,-1, y, 2, y^{\wedge} 2,-y \wedge 2, x^{\wedge} 2-y^{\wedge} 2, y, \operatorname{Cos}[y], x, \operatorname{Sin}[x]$, $\left.\operatorname{Cos}[y]+\operatorname{Sin}[x],-1,1 /(\operatorname{Cos}[y]+\operatorname{Sin}[x]),\left(x^{\wedge} 2-y^{\wedge} 2\right) /(\operatorname{Cos}[y]+\operatorname{Sin}[x])\right\}$
In[2879]:= ExprOnLevels[x_] := Module[\{a =\{\}, k=1\}, While[k <= Depth[x], a = Append[a, MinusList[Level[x, k], Level[x, k-1]]]; k++]; a[[1 ;;-2]]]
$\operatorname{In}[2880]:=\mathbf{X}=\left(\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}-\mathbf{y}^{\wedge}\right) /(\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}]+\operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{y}])+\mathbf{a}^{*} \log [\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z - G}[\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{t}]] ; \operatorname{In}[2880]:=$ ExprOnLevels[X]
Out[2880] $=\left\{\left\{a \log [x+y+z-G[h, t]],\left(x^{\wedge} 2-y^{\wedge} 2\right) /(\operatorname{Cos}[y]+\operatorname{Sin}[x])\right\},\{a, \log [x+y+z-\right.$ $\left.G[h, t]], x^{\wedge} 2-y^{\wedge} 2,1 /(\operatorname{Cos}[y]+\operatorname{Sin}[x])\right\},\left\{x+y+z-G[h, t], x^{\wedge} 2,-y \wedge 2, \operatorname{Cos}[y]+\operatorname{Sin}[x],-1\right\}$, $\left.\left\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{x}, 2,-1, \mathrm{y}^{\wedge} 2, \operatorname{Cos}[\mathrm{y}], \operatorname{Sin}[\mathrm{x}],-1\right\},\{\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{t}], \mathrm{y}, 2, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{x},-1\},\{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{t}\}\right\}$
Relative to the aboveLevels procedure the standardDepth function defines on the same expression the maximum number of levels more on1, namely: In[3790]:= Clear[t];
$\{$ Levels[a + b + c^2, t], t, Depth[a + b + c^2] $\}$ Out[3790]= \{\{a, b, c, 2, c^2\}, 2, 3\}
The standardFreeQ function provides testing of entries into an expression of subexpressions while a simple FreeQ1 procedure significantly expands theFreeQ function, providingbroader testing of entries into an expression of subexpressions. The callFreeQ1 $[x, y]$ returnsTrue if an expression $x$ doesn't contain subexpressionsy, otherwiseFalse is returned. TheFreeQ2 function expands theFreeQ function additionally onto the list as the2nd argument. At that, the callFreeQ2[x,p] returnsTrue if an expression $\boldsymbol{x}$ doesn't contain subexpression $\boldsymbol{p}$ or subexpressions from a listp, otherwiseFalse is returned. The fragment represents source codes ofFreeQ1 andFreeQ1 with examples of their application in a comparative context with theFreeQ function.
In[2202] := FreeQ1[x_, y_] := Module[\{h\}, Quiet[FreeQ[Subs[x, y, h =
Unique["ArtKr"]l, h]l]
In[2203]:= \{FreeQ1[a/Sqrt[x], Sqrt[x]], FreeQ[a/Sqrt[x], Sqrt[x]]\}
Out[2203] = \{False, True $\}$
In[2204]:= \{FreeQ1[\{Sqrt[x], 18, 25\}, Sqrt[x]], FreeQ[\{Sqrt[x], 18, 25\}, Sqrt[x]]\} Out[2204]= \{False, False $\}$

In[2250]:= FreeQ2[x_, p_] := If[ListQ[p], If[DeleteDuplicates[Map10[FreeQ, x, p]] $===\{$ True $\}$, True, False], FreeQ[x, pl]
$\operatorname{In}[2251]:=\mathbf{L}=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}\} ;\{$ FreeQ[L, $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h}\}]$, FreeQ2[L, $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h}\}]\}$ Out[2251]= \{True, False\}
In[2252]:= \{FreeQ[Cos[x]*Ln[x], \{Sin, Ln\}], FreeQ2[Cos[x]*Ln[x], \{Sin, Ln\}]\} Out[2252]= \{True, False\}
Using the FullForm function providing representation of expressions in the full form can be received a rather useful procedure solving the replacement problem in expressions of the given subexpressions. The callReplace $3[x, y, z]$ returns the result of replacement in an arbitrary expression $\boldsymbol{x}$ of all entries of subexpressionsy into it onto expressionsz; as procedure arguments $\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}$ separate expressions or their lists can be used. At that, in case of arguments $\{\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}\}$ in the form of the list, for them the common length determined by the relationMin[Map[Length, $\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}]$ ] is chosen, allowing to avoid the possible especial and erroneous situations, but with the printing of the appropriate diagnostic information as illustrates an example below. The next fragment represents source code of the procedure with examples of its usage.
In[2062] := Replace3[x_, y, $\left.\mathbf{z}_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathbf{a}=$ Flatten[\{y\}], $\mathbf{b}=$ Flatten[\{z\}], c, $\mathbf{t}=$ $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{k}=1\}$, $\mathbf{c}=\operatorname{Min}[$ Map[Length, $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}]$ ]; If[c < Length[a], Print["Subexpressions" <> ToString1[a[[c + 1 ;;-1]]] <> " were not replaced"]]; For[k, k <= c, k++, t = Subs[t, a[[k]], b[[k]]]]; t]
In[2063] := Replace3[x^2 + Sqrt[1/a^2 + 1/a-Sin[1/a]], 1/a, Cos[h]] Out[2063]= $\mathrm{x}^{\wedge 2+~}$ Sqrt[1/a^2+ $\operatorname{Cos}[h]-\operatorname{Sin}[\operatorname{Cos}[h]]]$
In[2064]:= Replace3[1/(1 + 1/a) $+\operatorname{Cos}[1 / \mathbf{a}+\operatorname{Sin}[1 / \mathbf{a}]]^{*}(\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{1} / \mathbf{a})^{\wedge 2,1 / a, ~ F[h] ~+d]}$ Out[2064] $=1 /(1+\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{F}[\mathrm{h}])+\operatorname{Cos}[\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{F}[\mathrm{h}]+\operatorname{Sin}[\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{F}[\mathrm{h}]]](\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{F}[\mathrm{h}])^{\wedge} 2 \operatorname{In}[2065]:=$ Replace3[x^2 + Sqrt[1/a^2 + 1/a-Sin[1/a]], \{1/a, 1/b, 1/c $\}, \operatorname{Cos}[h]]$
Subexpressions $\left\{b^{\wedge}(-1), \mathrm{c}^{\wedge}(-1)\right\}$ were not replaced
Out[2065] $=x^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{Sqrt[1/a\wedge 2+\operatorname {Cos}[h]-\operatorname {Sin}[\operatorname {Cos}[h]]]~}$
In some cases exists necessity to execute the exchange of values of variables with the corresponding exchange of all them attributes. So, variables $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}$ having values 72 and 67 should receive values 42 and 47 accordingly with the corresponding exchange of all their attributes. The procedureVarExch solves the given problem, returningNull, i.e. nothing. The list of two names of variables in string format which exchange by values and attributes or the nested list ofListList-type acts as the actual argument; anyway all elements of pairs have to be definite, otherwise the call returnsNull with print of the corresponding diagnostic message.
On the other hand, the call Rename $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ in the regular mode returnsNull, i.e. nothing, providing replacement of a namex of some defined object onto a namey with preservation of all attributes of this object. At that, the name $x$ is removed from the current session by means of functionRemove. But if $\boldsymbol{y}$-argument defines a name of a defined object or an undefined name with attributes, the procedure call is returned unevaluated. If the first argument $\boldsymbol{x}$ is illegal for renaming, the procedure call returnsNull, i.e. nothing; at that, theRename procedure successfully processes also objects of the same name of the type"Block", "Function" or"Module". TheRename1 procedure is a quite useful
modification of the above procedure, being based on procedure Definition2 [33]. The callRename $1[x, y]$ is similar to the callRename $[x, y]$ whereas the callRename1[ $x, y, z]$ with the third optionalz-argument-an arbitrary expression- performs the same functions as the callRename1 $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ without change of an initial objectx.
TheVarExch1 procedure is a version of the above procedureVarExch and is based on usage of theRename procedure and global variables; it admits the same type of the actual argument, but unlike the second procedure the callVarExch1[L] in case of detection of undefinite elements of a listL or its sublists is returnedunevaluated without print of any diagnostic message. In the fragment below, the source codes of the proceduresRename, Rename1, VarExch andVarExch1 along with examples of their usage are represented.
In[2545]:= VarExch[L_List /; Length[L] == 2|| ListListQ[L] \&\& Length[L[[1]]] == 2] := Module[\{Kr, k=1\},

Kr[p_List] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ Map[Attributes, p], b, c, m, n\},
ToExpression[\{"ClearAttributes[" <> StrStr[p[[1]]] <> "," <> ToString[a[[1]]] <> "]", "ClearAttributes[" <> StrStr[p[[2]]] <> "," <> ToString[a[[2]]l] <> "]"\}] ; \{b, c\}= ToExpression[ ["ToString[Definition[" <> StrStr[p[[1]]] <> "]]",
"ToString[Definition[" <> StrStr[p[[2]]] <> "]]"\}]; If[MemberQ[\{b, c\}, "Null"],
Print[VarExch::"Both arguments should be defined but uncertainty had been detected: ", pl; Return[l, Null]; \{m, n\}= Map4[StringPosition, Map[StrStr, \{b, c\}], \{" := ", " = "\}];
$\{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}\}=\{$ StringTake[b, $\{1, \mathrm{~m}[[1]][[1]]-1\}]$ <> StringTake[c, $\{\mathrm{n}[[1]][[1]],-1\}]$,
StringTake[c, \{1, n[[1]][[1]]-1\}] <> StringTake[b, \{m[[1]][[1]],-1\}]\};
ToExpression[\{n, m\}]; Map[ToExpression, \{"SetAttributes[" <> StrStr[p[[1]]] <> ","
ToString[a[[2]]] <> "]", "SetAttributes[" <> StrStr[p[[2]]] <> "," <> ToString[a[[1]]] <> "]"\}]]; If[! ListListQ[L], Kr[L], For[k, k <= Length[L], k++, Kr[L[[k]]I]]; ] In[2546]:= Agn = 67; Avz := 72; Art := 25; Kr = 18; SetAttributes["Agn",
Protected]; SetAttributes["Art", \{Protected, Listable\}] In[2547]:= Map[Attributes, \{"Agn", "Avz", "x", "y", "Art", "Kr"\}] Out[2547]= \{\{Protected\}, \{\}, \{\}, \{\}, \{Listable, Protected\}, \{\}\}
In[2548]:= VarExch[\{\{"Avz", "Agn"\}, \{"x", "y"\}, \{"Art", "Kr"\}\}]
VarExch ::Both arguments should be defined but uncertainty had been detected: $\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\}$
In[2549]:= \{Avz, Agn, Art, Kr\}
Out[2549] $=\{67,72,18,25\}$
In[2550]:= Map[Attributes, \{"Agn", "Avz", "Art", "Kr"\}]
Out[2550]= \{ \{\}, \{Protected\}, \{\}, \{Listable, Protected \}\}
In[2551]:= Rename[x_String /; HowAct[x], y_/; ! HowAct[y]] := Module[\{a, c, d, b = Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}]\},

If[! SameQ[b, \{\$Failed\}], a = Attributes[x]; c = ClearAllAttributes[x]; d =
StringLength[x]; c = Map[ToString[y] <> StringTake[\#, \{d + 1,-1\}] \&, b];
Map[ToExpression, c]; Clear[x]; SetAttributes[y, a]]] In[2552]:= fm = "Art_Kr";
SetAttributes[fm, \{Protected, Listable\}];
\{ fm, Attributes $[\mathbf{f m}]\}$
Out[2552]= \{"Art_Kr", \{Listable, Protected \} \}
In[2553]:= Rename["fm", Tampere]
In[2554]:= \{Tampere, Attributes[Tampere], fm \}
Out[2554]= \{"Art_Kr", \{Listable, Protected\}, fm \}
In[2557]:= VarExch1[L_List /; Length[L] == 2 || ListListQ[L] \& \& Length[L[[1]]] == 2] := Module[\{Art, $k=1, d\}$,

Art[p_List] := Module[ \{a = Quiet[Check[Map[Attributes, p], \$Aborted]], b, c, m, n\}, If[a == \$Aborted, Return[Defer[VarExch1[L]]], Null]; If[HowAct[\$Art\$], b = \$Art\$; Clear[\$Art\$]; m = 1, Null]; If[HowAct[\$Kr\$], c = \$Kr\$; Clear[\$Kr\$]; n = 1, Null];
ToExpression[\{"ClearAttributes[" <> StrStr[p[[1]]] <> "," <> ToString[a[[1]]] <> "]", "ClearAttributes[" <> StrStr[p[[2]]] <> ", " <> ToString[a[[2]]] <> "]"\}]; ToExpression [\{"Rename[" <> StrStr[p[[1]]] <> "," <> "\$Art\$" <> "]",
"Rename[" <> StrStr[p[[2]]] <> "," <> "\$Kr\$" <> "]" \}]; ToExpression["Clear[" <> StrStr[p[[1]]] <> "," <> StrStr[p[[2]]] <> "]"]; ToExpression[\{"Rename[" <> StrStr["\$Kr\$"] <> "," <> p[[1]] <> "]",
"Rename[" <> StrStr["\$Art\$"] <> "," <> p[[2]] <> "]"\}]; Map[ToExpression, \{"SetAttributes[" <> StrStr[p[[1]]] <> "," <> ToString[a[[2]]] <> "]", "SetAttributes[" <> StrStr[p[[2]]] <> "," <> ToString[a[[1]]] <> "]"\}]; If[m == 1, \$Art\$ = b, Null]; If[n == 1, \$Kr\$ = c, Null]; ]; If[! ListListQ[L], Art[L], For[k, k <= Length[L], k++, Art[L[[k]]]l]]
In[2558] := Agn = 67; Avz := 72; Art := 25; Kr = 18; SetAttributes["Agn", Protected]; SetAttributes["Art", \{Protected, Listable\}];
In[2559]:= Map[Attributes, \{"Agn", "Avz", "Art", "Kr"\}]
Out[2559]= \{ \{Protected\}, \{\}, \{Listable, Protected\}, \{\}\}
In[2560]:= \{\$Art\$, \$Kr\$\}= \{80, 480\}; VarExch1[\{\{"Agn", "Avz"\}, \{"x", "y"\}, \{"Art", "Kr"\}\}]
In[2561]:= \{ \{Agn, Avz, Art, Kr\}, Map[Attributes, \{"Agn", "Avz", "Art", "Kr"\}]\} Out[2561]= \{\{480, 80, 18, 25\}, \{\{\}, \{Protected\}, \{\}, \{Listable, Protected\}\}\}
In[2562]:= \{x, y, \$Art\$, \$Kr\$\}
Out[2562]= $\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathbf{\$ A r t \$ , ~ \$ K r \$ \}}$
In[2572]:= Rename1[x_String /; HowAct[x], y_/; ! HowAct[y], z__] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ Attributes[x], b = Definition2[x][[1 ;;-2]], c = ToString[y]\}, b = Map[StringReplacePart[\#, c, \{1, StringLength[x]\}] \&, b]; ToExpression[b];
ToExpression["SetAttributes[" <> c <> ", " <> ToString[a] <> "]"]; If[\{z\}== \{\}, ToExpression["ClearAttributes[" <> x <> ", " <> ToString[a] <> "]; Remove[" <> x <> "]"], Null]]
$\operatorname{In}[2574]:=\mathbf{x}:=\mathbf{4 8 0} \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{4 8 0}$; SetAttributes[x, \{Listable, Protected $\}] \operatorname{In}[2575]:=$ Rename1["x", Trg42]
In[2576]:= \{x, Trg42, Attributes["Trg42"] $\}$
Out[2576] $=\{x, 480$, $\{$ Listable, Protected $\}\}$

In[2577]:= Rename1["y", Trg47, 80]
In[2578]:= \{y, Trg47, Attributes["Trg47"] $\}$
Out[2578]= \{480, 480, $\}\}$
Usage in procedures of global variables, in a number of cases will allow to simplify programming, sometimes, significantly. This mechanism sufficient in detail is considered in [ 33]. Meantime, the mechanism of global variables inMathematica isn't universal, quite correctly working in case of evaluation of definitions of procedures containingglobal variables in the current session in theInput-paragraph; whereas in general case it isn't supported when the loading in the current session of the procedures containing global variables, in particular, fromnb-files with the subsequent activation of their contents.
For elimination of a similar situation the procedure has been offered, whose callNbCallProc $[x]$ reactivates a block, function or modulex in the current session, whose definition was in a $\boldsymbol{n b}$-file loaded into the current session, with return ofNull, i.e. nothing. So, the callNbCallProc $[x]$ reactivates in the current session all definitions of blocks, functions, modules with the same namex and with various headings. All these definitions have to be loaded previously from somenbfile into the current session and activated by the function "Evaluate Notebook" of theGUI. The fragment below represents source code of theNbCallProc procedure with example of its usage for the aboveVarExch1 procedure which uses the global variables.
In[2415] := NbCallProc[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]]:= Module[\{a =
SubsDel[StringReplace[ToString1[DefFunc[x]], "ln \n"-> ";"], """ <> ToString[x] <> """, \{"[", ","\},-1]\}, Clear[x]; ToExpression[a]]

## In[2416]:= NbCallProc[VarExch1]

The performed verification convincingly demonstrates that the VarExch1 procedure containing the global variables and loaded from thenb-file with subsequent its activation(by"Evaluate Notebook"), is carried out absolutely correctly and with correct functioning of the mechanism of global variables restoring the values after an exit from theVarExch1 procedure.NbCallProc has a number of rather interesting appendices, above all, if necessary of use of the procedures activated in theInput-paragraph of the current session.

In certain cases before updating of definitions of objects (procedure,function, variable,etc.) it is necessary to check existence for them ofProtected-attribute that simple function provides; the callProtectedQ[x] returnsTrue if an object $\boldsymbol{x}$ hasProtected-attribute, andFalse otherwise. A correct expression can act as argument; source code ofProtectedQ with examples are presented below.
In[2430]:= ProtectedQ[x_] := If[MemberQ[Attributes1[x], Protected], True, False]
In[2431] := g = 80; Protect[g]; Map[ProtectedQ, \{Sin, Protect, AVZ, HowAct, 480, Map, "g"\}]
Out[2431]= \{True, True, False, False, False, True, True\}
The list structure is one of basic inMathematica in even bigger degree, than atMaple. AndMaple, and in even bigger degree of theMathematica have a quite developed set of means of processing of the list structures. One of such important enough tools is the converting of expressions into lists; forMaple such means has the formconvert(Exp, list)
whereasMathematica of similar means has no, and procedureToList can be in this quality. The procedure callToList[Exp] returns the result of converting of an expression $\boldsymbol{E x p}$ into the list. At that, in case of a stringExp the $\boldsymbol{E x p}$ is converted into the symbol- by-symbol list, in case of a listExp the listExp is returned whereas in other cases the converting is based on the standardMap function. The following fragment represents source code of theToList with examples of its usage.
In[2370] := ToList[expr_] := Module[\{a, b, c = \{\}, d, $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{n}\}$,
If[StringQ[expr], Characters[expr], If[ListQ[expr], expr, a =
ToString[InputForm[Map[b, expr]]]; d = StringSplit[a, ToString[b] <> "["];

> For[k, $\mathbf{k}$ <= Length[d], k++, $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{d}[[\mathrm{k}]] ;$ c = Append[c, StringTake[n, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[n, "]"]][[-1]]-1\}]]]; ToExpression[c]I]] In[2371]:= ToList[(a*Sin[x] + g[b])/(c + d) + (d + c)/(Cos[y] + h)]

Out[2371] $=\{(\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d}) /(\mathrm{h}+\operatorname{Cos}[\mathrm{y}]),(\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{b}]+\mathrm{a} \operatorname{Sin}[\mathrm{x}]) /(\mathrm{c}+\mathrm{d})\}$
In[2372]:= ToList["qwertyuiopasdfgh"]
Out[2372]= \{"q", "w", "e", "r", "t", "y", "u", "i", "o", "p", "a", "s", "d", "f", "g", "h"\} In[2373]:= ToList[\{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, a, v, z, a, g, n, A, r, t, K, r\}] Out[2373]= \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, a, v, z, a, g, n, A, r, t, K, r\}
Maple has two useful means of manipulation with expressions of the type \{range,equation,inequality,relation\}, whose callslhs(Exp) andrhs(Exp) return theleft and theright parts of an expressionExp respectively. More precisely, the callIhs(Exp), $\boldsymbol{r h s}(\mathbf{E x p})$ returns the valueop $(\mathbf{1 , E x p})$, op(2,Exp) respectively. WhereasMathematica has no similar useful means. The given deficiency is compensated by theRhsLhs procedure, whose source code with examples of use are given below. The callRhsLhs $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ depending on a value \{"Rhs", "Lhs"\} of the second argumenty returns right or left part of an expressions $\boldsymbol{x}$ respectively relatively to the operatorHead[ $[x]$, while the callRhsLhs $[x, y, t]$ in addition through an undefined variablet returns the operatorHead[ $x$ ] concerning whom splitting of the expressionx onto left and right parts was made. TheRhsLhs procedure can be a rather easily modified in the light of expansion of the analyzed operatorsHead[x].
In[2700]:= RhsLhs[x_, y_] := Module[\{a=Head[x], b=\{x, y\}, d, c=\{\{Greater, ">"\}, \{GreaterEqual, ">="\}, \{And, "\&\&"\},
\{ Or, "||"\}, \{LessEqual, "<="\}, \{Unequal, "!="\}, \{Rule, "->"\}, \{Less, "<"\}, \{Plus, \{"+", "-"\}\}, \{Power, "^"\}, \{Equal, "=="\}, \{Span, ";;"\}, \{NonCommutativeMultiply, "**"\}, \{Times, \{"*", "/"\}\}\}\}, If[! MemberQ[Flatten[c], a], Return[Defer[RhsLhs1[x, y]ll], d = Level[x, 1]]; If[Length[b] > 2 \&\& ! HowAct[b[[3]]],
ToExpression[ToString[b[[3]]] <> " = " <> ToString1[Flatten[Select[c, \#[[1]] === a \& ] I] ], Null]; If[b[[2]] == "Lhs", d[[1]], If[b[[2]] == "Rhs", d[[2]], Defer[RhsLhs1[x, yllII]
In[2701] := Mapp[RhsLhs, $\left\{\mathbf{a}>\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}->\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}<=\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \| \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a} \& \& \mathbf{b}\right\}$, "Rhs"] Out[2701]= \{b, b, b, b, b, b, b, b\}
In[2702]:= \{RhsLhs[a || b, "Rhs", w], w $\}$
Out[2702]= \{b, \{Or, "||" \}\}
In[2703]:= \{RhsLhs[( $\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})^{*} \mathbf{d}$-> c, "Lhs", x], x $\}$
Out[2703]= $\{(a+b) d$, Rule $\}$

In[2704]:= \{RhsLhs[80 ;; 480, "Rhs", s], s\}
Out[2704]= \{480, Span $\}$
Maple has means of testing of expressions for the following types, namely: \{`!, ``, `+`,

In Mathematica the means of such quite wide range are absent and in this connexion the procedure, whose the callTwoHandQ[x] returnsTrue if an expressionx has one of the following types

$$
\{"+", ~ ">=", ~ "<=", ~ " \& \& ", ~ "| | ", ~ "-", ~ " \wedge ", ~ " * * ", ~ "<", ~ "==", ~ "!=", ~ ">", ~ "->"\} ~
$$

and False otherwise, is given below; moreover, if the callTwoHandQ $[x, y]$ returnsTrue, through the2nd optional argumenty -an undefinite variable- the type of an expressionx is returned. The following fragment represents source code of theTwoHandQ procedure along with examples of its usage.
In[2937] := TwoHandQ[x_] := Module[\{a = ToString[InputForm[\{x\}[[1]]]], b= \{"+", ">=", "<=", "\&\&", "||", "-", "^", "**", "<", "==", "!=", ">", "->"\}, c, d = $\{x\}\}, \mathbf{c}=$ StringPosition[a, b]; If[StringFreeQ[a, "->"] \&\& StringFreeQ[a, ">="] \&\& Length[c] > 2||Length[c] == 0, False, If[Length[d] > 1 \&\& ! HowAct[d[[2]]] \&\& ! ProtectedQ[d[[2]]], ToExpression[ToString[d[[2]]] <> "=" <> ToString[Head[\{x\} [[1]]I]], Return[Defer[TwoHandQ[x]]I]; True]]

```
In[2938]:= {TwoHandQ[a3 <= w, h], h}
Out[2938]= {True, LessEqual}
In[2939]:= {TwoHandQ[a-> b, t], t}
Out[2939]= {True, Rule}
In[2940]:= {TwoHandQ[a != b, p], p }
Out[2940]= {True, Unequal}
In[2941]:= Clear[z]; {TwoHandQ[a < b && c, z], z}
Out[2941]= {True, And}
In[2942]:= Clear[p]; {TwoHandQ[a || b + c, p], p}
Out[2942]= {True, Or}
```

In Maple the type of indexed expressions is defined while inMathematica similar means are absent. For elimination of this drawback we represented a number of procedures eliminating this defect. Among them it is possible to note such procedures asArrayInd, Ind, Index, IndexedQ, IndexQ and Indices [30-33,48]. In particular, the callIndexQ[x] returnsTrue, ifx - any indexed expression, andFalse otherwise; at that, the argumentx is given in string format where under theindexed is understood an arbitrary expression whose thereduced form completed by the index bracket"]l". At that, the call Indices[x] returns the index component of an indexed expressionx given in string format, otherwise the call is returned unevaluated. In the same place rather in details the questions of processing of the indexed expressions are considered. In some cases these means simplify programming. In particular, on the basis of the previous proceduresToList andInd the OP procedure is programmed whose callOP[x] returns the list ofatomic elements composing an expressionx. The following fragment represents source code of the $\mathbf{O P}$ along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2620] := OP[exp_] := Module[\{a = ToString[InputForm[expr]], b=\{\}, c, d, k, h\},

If[StringTake[a, $\{\mathbf{- 1 , - 1 \}}]==$ "]", a = Flatten[Ind[expr]], a =
DeleteDuplicates[Quiet[ToList[expr]]I]; Label[ArtKr]; d = Length[a];
For[k = 1, $k$ <= Length[a], k++, $h=a[[k]] ; ~ c=$ Quiet[ToList[h]];
If[MemberQ[DeleteDuplicates[c], \$Failed], AppendTo[b, Ind[h]], AppendTo[b, c]l]; $\mathbf{a}=$ DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[b]]; If[d == Length[a], Sort[a], b = \{\}; Goto[ArtKr]]]
$\operatorname{In}[2621]:=\mathbf{O P}[\operatorname{Sqrt}[(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}) /(\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d})]+\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}] * \operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{y}]]$
Out[2621]= \{-1, 1/2, a, b, c, Cos, d, Sin, x, y $\}$
In[2622]:= OP[(Log[(a+b)/(c + d)] $\left.+\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}] * \operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{y}]) /\left(\mathbf{G}[\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{t}]-\mathbf{w}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right)\right]$ Out[2622]= $\{-1,2, a, b, c$, Cos, d, g, G, h, Log, Sin, t, w, x, y $\}$
In[2623]:= Map[OP, $\{\{\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}]\}, \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{t}], \mathbf{A}[\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}] / \mathbf{G}[\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{q}]\}]$
Out[2623]= \{\{Sin, x\}, \{g, G, h, t $\},\{-1, A, G, m, p, q, t\}\}$
In Mathematica there is no direct equivalent ofop-function ofMaple, but it can be defined within axiomatics of the systems by the next procedure that in a number of cases is rather convenient at programming of appendices:
In[2672]:= Op[x_] := Module[\{a, b\}, $\mathbf{a}=\{ \} ; \mathbf{I f [ L i s t Q [ x ] , ~} \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{x}$,
Do[a = Insert[a, Part[x][[b]], -1], \{b, Length[x] $\}]] ;$ a]
In[2673]: $=\mathbf{O p}[\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}]+\operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{x}]]$
Out[2673]= \{Cos[x], Sin[x]\}
$\operatorname{In}[2674]:=\mathbf{O p}[\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9\}]$
Out[2674]= \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}
$\operatorname{In}[2675]:=\mathbf{O p}[\mathbf{S q r t}[\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}]+\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}]-\mathbf{c} / \mathbf{d}]$
Out[2675] $=$ \{Sqrt[1+ a],-(c/d), Sin[x] \}
$\operatorname{In}[2676]:=\mathbf{O p}\left[\left(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}^{*} \operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{x}]\right) /\left(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{x}^{*} \operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{y}]\right)\right]$
Out[2676] $=\{x+y \operatorname{Cos}[x], 1 /(y+x \operatorname{Sin}[y])\}$
In[2677]: = Map[Op, $\{\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}], \operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}], \mathbf{1 / ( a + b ) \} ]}$
Out[2677] $=\{\{x\},\{a+b\},\{a+b,-1\}\}$
It is simple to be convinced that the received results of calls of $\mathbf{O p}$ procedure areidentical to similar calls ofopfunction inMaple, taking into account that Mathematica doesn't support structure of type"sequence" which is replaced with the list. The Op procedure is a rather useful in programming. In a number of appendices the undoubted interest presents a certain analog ofMaple-процедурыwhattype( $\boldsymbol{x}$ ) that returns the type of an expressionx which is one of basicMaple-types. The procedure of the same name acts as a similar analog inMathematica whose callWhatType[ $x$ ] returns type of an objectx of one of basic types \{"Module", "DynamicModule", "Block", "Real", "Complex", "Integer", "Rational", "Times", "Rule", "Power", "Alternatives", "And", "List", "Plus", "Condition", "StringJoin", "UndirectedEdge", ...\}. The following fragment represents source code of the procedure with examples of its application for identification of types of various objects.
In[2869]:= WhatType[x_/; StringQ[x]]:= Module[ $\{\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{c}=$ \$Packages, $\mathbf{a}=$ Quiet[Head[ToExpression[x]]l]\},
If $[\mathbf{a}===$ Symbol, Clear[t]; d = Context[x]; If[d == "Global", d = Quiet[ProcFuncBIQ[x, t]]; If[d === True, Return[\{t, t = b\}[[1]]],

Return[ \{"Undefined", $\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{b}\}[[1]]]]$, If[d == "System", Return[\{d, $\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{b}\}[[1]]]$, Null]], Return[\{ToString[a], t = b\}[[1]]I]; If[Quiet[ProcFuncBIQ[x, t]],
If[MemberQ[\{"Module", "DynamicModule", "Block"\}, t], Return[\{t, t=b\}[[1]]], $\mathbf{t}=$ b; ToString[Quiet[Head[ToExpression[x]]I]], $\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{b}$; "Undefined"]]
$\operatorname{In}[2870]:=\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{4 8 0} \mathbf{~ x ~ = ~ 8 0 ; ~} \mathbf{y}:=42.47$; $\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}$; J[x_]:=x; Map[WhatType, \{"Kr", "x", "y", "z", "ProcQ", "Sin", "F[r]", "WhatType", "J"\}]
Out[2870]= \{"Undefined", "Integer", "Real", "Plus", "Module", "System"", "F", "Module", "Function"\}
In[2871]:= Map[WhatType, \{"a^b", "a**b", "3 + 5*I", "\{42, 47\}", "a\&\&b"\}] Out[2871]= \{"Power","NonCommutativeMultiply","Complex","List", "And"\} In[2872]:= Map[WhatType, \{"a_/; b", "a <> b", "a <-> b", "a|b"\}] Out[2872]= \{"Condition", "StringJoin", "UndirectedEdge", "Alternatives"\}

However, it should be noted that the WhatType procedure doesn't support exhaustive testing of types, meantime on its basis it is simple to expand the class of the tested types.

The ReplaceAll function ofMathematica has very essential restrictions in relation to replacement of subexpressions relatively already of very simple expressions as it is illustrated below. As an alternative for this function can be offered theSubs procedure which is functionally equivalent to the above standardReplaceAll function, however which is relieved of a number of its shortcomings. The procedure callSubs $[x, y, z]$ returns result of substitutions in an expressionx of entries of subexpressionsy onto expressionsz. At that, if $x$ - an arbitrary correct expression, then as thesecond andthird arguments defining substitutions of the formaty $\mathbf{- >} \mathbf{z}$, an unary substitution or their list coded in the form $\boldsymbol{y} \equiv\left\{\boldsymbol{y} \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{y} 2, \ldots, \boldsymbol{y}_{\boldsymbol{n}}\right\}$ and $\boldsymbol{z} \equiv\{\mathbf{z} 1, \mathbf{z} 2, \ldots, \mathbf{z n}\}$ appear, determining a list of substitutions $\{\boldsymbol{y} \mathbf{1}$ $\rightarrow \mathbf{z 1}, \mathbf{y 2} \mathbf{- > z 2 , \ldots , y n} \rightarrow \mathbf{z n}\}$ which are carried out consistently in the order defined at theSubs procedure call. The following fragment represents and source code of theSubs procedure, and a number of bright examples of its usage on those expressions and with those types of substitutions where theSubs procedure surpasses the standardReplaceAll function ofMathematica. These examples very clearly illustrate advantages of theSubs procedure before the similar system means.

In[2968]:= Subs[x_, $\left.\mathbf{y}_{-}, \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{\prime}}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{2}\right.$, subs $\}, \operatorname{subs}\left[\mathbf{m}_{-}, \mathbf{n}_{-}, \mathbf{p}_{-}\right]:=$ Module[\{a, b, c, h, t\}, If[! HowAct[n], m/. n-> p, \{a, b, c, h\}=

First[ $\{\operatorname{Map}[$ ToString, $\operatorname{Map}[I n p u t F o r m, ~\{m, ~ n, ~ p, ~ 1 / n\}]]\}] ; ~ t=$
Simplify[ToExpression[StringReplace[StringReplace[ a, b-> "(" <> c <> ")"], h-> "1/" <> "(" <> c <> ")"]ll]; If[t === m, m /. n-> p, t]]l]; ListQ[y] \&\& ! ListQ[z], subs[x, y, z], If[ListQ[y] \& \& ListQ[z] \& \& Length[y] == Length[z], d = subs[x, y[[1]], z[[1]]]]; For[k, k <= Length[y], k++, d = subs[d, y[[k]], z[[k]]I]; d, Defer[Subs[x, y,

Out[2969] $=(a+c) / x^{\wedge} 2$
$\operatorname{In}[2970]:=\operatorname{Subs}\left[\left(c+x^{\wedge}\right) / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2, x^{\wedge} 2, a\right]$
Out[2970]= $(a+c) / a$
In[2971]:= (c + b^2)/x^2 /. $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge 2->~ S q r t[z] ~}$
Out[2971] $=(b \wedge 2+c) / x^{\wedge} 2$
$\operatorname{In}[2972]:=\operatorname{Subs}\left[\left(\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{b}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right) / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right.$, Sqrt[z]]

Out[2972]= (b^2+ c)/Sqrt[z]
In[2973]:= $\left(a+x^{\wedge} 2\right) /\left(b+a / x^{\wedge} 2\right) / . x^{\wedge} 2->\operatorname{Sqrt}[a+b]$
Out[2973] $=(a+$ Sqrt[a+b])/(b+a/x^2)
In[2974]:=Subs[(a + $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right) /\left(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{a} / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right), \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}$, Sqrt[a+b]]
Out[2974]= (a+Sqrt[a+b])/(b+a/Sqrt[a+b])
In[2975]:= (a + $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right) /\left(b+\mathbf{1 / x} \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right) / . x^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}->$ Sqrt[a + b]
Out[2975]= $(a+$ Sqrt[a+b])/(b+1/x^2)
In[2976]:= Subs[(a + $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right) /\left(b+1 / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right), \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}$, Sqrt[a + b]]
Out[2976]= (a+Sqrt[a+b])/(b+1/Sqrt[a+b])
In[2977]:= Replace[1/x^2 $\left.+\mathbf{1 / y}{ }^{\wedge} \mathbf{3},\left\{\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2 - >} \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{3 - >} \mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}\right\}\right\}\right]$ Out[2977] $=$
$\left\{1 / x^{\wedge} 2+1 / y^{\wedge} 3,1 / x^{\wedge} 2+1 / y^{\wedge} 3\right\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2978]:=\operatorname{Subs}\left[1 / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{1 / y}{ }^{\wedge} 3,\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{y}^{\wedge} 3\right\},\{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}\}\right]$
Out[2978]= 1/(a+b)+1/(c+d)
In[2979]:= Replace[Sqrt[Sin[1/x^2]+Cos[1/y^3]], $\left.\left\{\left\{^{\wedge} \wedge 2->\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{b}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{3 - >} \mathbf{c}^{*} \mathbf{d}\right\}\right\}\right]$
Out[2979]= \{Sqrt[Cos[1/y^3]+ $\operatorname{Sin}\left[1 / x^{\wedge 2]], ~ S q r t[C o s[1 / y \wedge 3]+~ S i n[1 / x \wedge 2]]\} ~ I n[2980]:=~}\right.$
Subs[Sqrt[Sin[1/x^2] $\left.\left.+\operatorname{Cos}\left[1 / \mathbf{y}^{\wedge} 3\right]\right],\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2, \mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{3}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}^{*} \mathbf{d}\right\}\right]$ Out[2980]= Sqrt[Cos[1/(c
d)]+ $\operatorname{Sin}[1 /(a \quad$ b) $]]$

In[2981]:= With[\{x = a + c, $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{b}\}$, Module[\{ $\}, \mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{y}]$ ]
Out[2981]= b+ (a+c)^2
In[2982]:= With[ $\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{b}\right\}$, Module[\{ $\left.\left.\}, \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{y}\right]\right]$
With ::lvset: Local variable specification $\left\{\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{b}\right\}$ contains... Out[2982]=
With $\left[\left\{x^{\wedge} 2=a+c, y=b\right\}\right.$, Module[\{\}, $\left.\left.x^{\wedge} 2+y\right]\right]$
In[2983]:= Subs[Module[\{\}, $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge 2+\mathbf{y}],\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\},\{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b}\}]}$
Out[2983]= b+ $(a+c)^{\wedge 2}$
In[2984]:= Subs[Module[\{\}, $\mathbf{x}^{\left.\wedge 2+y], ~\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\wedge 2}, \mathbf{y}\right\},\{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b}\}\right]}$
Out[2984] $=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}$
In[2985]:= Replace[(a + $\left.\left.\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2} / \mathbf{y}^{\wedge} 3\right) /\left(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{3} / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right),\left\{\left\{\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{3} \mathbf{3}->\mathbf{m}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2 - >} \mathbf{n}\right\}\right\}\right]$
Out[2985]= $\left\{\left(a^{+} x^{\wedge} 2 / y^{\wedge} 3\right) /\left(b+y^{\wedge} 3 / x^{\wedge} 2\right),\left(a+x^{\wedge} 2 / y^{\wedge} 3\right) /\left(b+y^{\wedge} 3 / x^{\wedge} 2\right)\right\} \operatorname{In}[2986]:=$

b n)
In[2987]:= Df[x_, y_] := Module[\{a\}, If[! HowAct[y], D[x, y],
Simplify[Subs[D[Subs[x, y, a], a], a, y]l]]
In[2988] := Df[(a+ $\left.\left.x^{\wedge} 2\right) /\left(b+a / x^{\wedge} 2\right), x^{\wedge} 2\right]$
Out[2988]= (a^2+2ax^2+bx^4)/(a+b x^2)^2
$\operatorname{In}[2989]:=\operatorname{Df}\left[(x+\operatorname{Sqrt}[y]) /\left(\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{2}^{*} \operatorname{Sqrt}[y]\right)^{\wedge}\right)$, Sqrt[y]]
Out[2989] $=(-4 x-2$ Sqrt[y] $+y) /\left((2+\right.$ Sqrt[y] $\left.) \wedge 3 y^{\wedge}(3 / 2)\right)$
In[2990]:= D[(x + Sqrt[y])/(y + 2*Sqrt[y])^2, Sqrt[y]]
General ::ivar: Sqrt[y] is not a valid variable. >>
Out[2990] $=\partial \sqrt{y}\left((x+\operatorname{Sqrt}[y]) /(y+2 \operatorname{Sqrt[y]})^{\wedge} 2\right)$
In[2991]:= Df[(x + Sqrt[a + Sqrt[x]])/(d + 2*Sqrt[x])^2, Sqrt[x]] Out[2991]= ((d+ 2
Sqrt[x])/Sqrt[a+ Sqrt[x]]- $8(S q r t[a+S q r t[x]]+x)) /(2(d+$
2 Sqrt[x])^3)
In[2992]:= Df[(x + Sqrt[x + b])/(d + 2*Sqrt[x + b])^2, Sqrt[x + b]] Out[2992]= (d-2 (2
$\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{Sqrt}[\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{x}]) /(\mathrm{d}+2 \operatorname{Sqrt}[\mathrm{~b}+\mathrm{x}])^{\wedge} 3$
In[2993] := ReplaceAllı[x_, y_, z_]:= Module[\{a,b,c\}, If[! HowAct[y], x/. y->z, c = If[MemberQ[\{Plus, Times, Power\}, Head[z]], "(" <> ToString[InputForm[z]] <> ")", ToString[z]]; \{a, b\}= Map[ToString, Map[InputForm, $\{x, y\}]] ;$ If[StringLength[b] == 1, ReplaceAll[x, $\mathrm{y}->\mathrm{z}$ ], ToExpression[StringReplace[a, b-> c]l]l]]
In[2994] := \{ReplaceAll[c/x^2+ $\left.x^{\wedge} 2, x^{\wedge} 2->t\right]$, ReplaceAll[( $\left.1+c / x^{\wedge} 2\right) /\left(b+x^{\wedge} 2\right), x^{\wedge} 2->$ t] $\}$
Out[2994] $=\left\{t+c / x^{\wedge} 2,\left(1+c / x^{\wedge} 2\right) /(b+t)\right\}$
In[2995]:= \{ReplaceAll1[c/x^2+x^2, $\left.x^{\wedge} 2, a+b\right]$, ReplaceAll1[(1 + c/x $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right) /\left(b+x^{\wedge} 2\right)$, $x^{\wedge}$ 2, $\left.\left.c+d\right]\right\}$
Out[2995] $=\{a+b+c /(a+b),(1+c /(c+d)) /(b+c+d)\}$
In[2996]:= Df1[x_, y_] := Module[\{a, b, c = "\$\$Sart25\$\$Kr18\$\$"\}, If[! HowAct[y], D[x, y],
$\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}=\operatorname{Map}[$ ToString, Map[InputForm, $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}]$ ];
Simplify[ToExpression[StringReplace[ToString[InputForm[
D[ToExpression[StringReplace[a, b-> c]], ToExpression[c]]]], c-> b]l]]]
In[2997]:= Df2[x_, y_] := Module[\{a\}, If[! HowAct[y], D[x, y],
Simplify[ReplaceAll1[D[ReplaceAll1[x, y, a], a], a, yll]] In[2998]:= Df1[(x + Sqrt[a + Sqrt[x]l])/(d + 2*Sqrt[x])^2, Sqrt[x]] Out[2998]= ((d+ 2 Sqrt[x])/Sqrt[a+ Sqrt[x]]- 8 $(S q r t[a+S q r t[x]]+x)) /(2(d+$
2 Sqrt[x]) ^3)
In[2999]:= Df2[(x + Sqrt[a + Sqrt[x]])/(d + 2*Sqrt[x])^2, Sqrt[x]] Out[2999]= ((d+2 Sqrt[x])/Sqrt[a+ Sqrt[x]]-8 (Sqrt[a+Sqrt[x]] $x)$ )/(2(d+

2 Sqrt[x])^3)

Out[3000] $=-\left(\left((a-c) x^{\wedge} 2\right) /(1+c)^{\wedge} 2\right)$
In[3001] := Df1[(a/x^2 $\left.+1 / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge 2) /\left(c / x^{\wedge} 2+1 / x^{\wedge} 2\right), ~} 1 / x^{\wedge} 2\right]$
Out[3001] $=-\left(\left((a-c) x^{\wedge} 2\right) /(1+c)^{\wedge} 2\right)$
$\operatorname{In}[3002]:=\operatorname{Df}\left[\left(\mathbf{a} / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2+1 / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right) /\left(\mathbf{c}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2+1 / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right), 1 / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]$
Out[3002] $=-\left(\left(2(a-c) x^{\wedge} 6\right) /\left(1+c x^{\wedge} 4\right)^{\wedge} 2\right)$
$\operatorname{In}[3003]:=\operatorname{Df} 2[(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}) /(\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}]+\operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{x}]), \operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}]+\operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{x}]]$
Out[3003] $=-\left((a+b) /(\operatorname{Cos}[x]+\operatorname{Sin}[x])^{\wedge} 2\right)$
In[3004]:= Df2[Cos[x]/(Sin[x] + Cos[x]), $\operatorname{Cos}[x]]$
Out[3004] $=\operatorname{Sin}[x] /(\operatorname{Cos}[x]+\operatorname{Sin}[x])^{\wedge} 2$
A simple enough example of the previous fragment illustrates application of theSubs procedure in realization of the $\mathbf{D f}$ procedure whose callDf $[x, y]$ provides differentiation of an expressionx on any its subexpressiony, and rather significantly expands the standardD function; the examples illustrate some opportunities of theDf procedure. At the end of the above fragment theReplaceAll1 procedure functionally equivalent to standardReplaceAll function is presented, which relieves a number of shortages of the second. Then on the basis of the proceduresReplaceAll1 andStringReplace some variants of theDf procedure, namely the proceduresDf1 andDf2 that use a number of useful methods of programming
are represented. At the same time, they in some cases are more useful than theDf procedure what rather visually illustrate the examples given above. At that, the proceduresDf, Df1 andDf2 rather significantly expand the standard functionD. The fragment represents source codes of the above procedures and certain examples of their application where they surpass the standard functionsD, ReplaceAll, Rule andWith of theMathematica system.
Receiving of similar expansion as well for the standard Integrate function which has rather essential restrictions on usage of arbitrary expressions as integration variables is represented quite natural to us. Two variants of such expansion in the form of the simple proceduresInt andInt1 that are based on the previousSubs procedure have been proposed for the given purpose, whose source codes and examples of application are represented below.

In[2841]:= Int[x_, y_] := Module[\{a\}, If[! HowAct[y], Integrate[x, y], Simplify[Subs[Integrate[Subs[x, y, a], a], a, yl]]]
In[2842]:= Int1[x_, y_] := Module[\{a\}, If[! HowAct[y], Integrate[x, y],
Simplify[ReplaceAll1[Integrate[ReplaceAll1[x, y, a], a], a, y]II] In[2843]:= $\left\{\operatorname{Int}\left[\operatorname{Sin}\left[a+1 / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]+c / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2,1 / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]\right.$, Int1[Sin[a+1/x^2]+c/x $\left.\left.\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2,1 / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]\right\}$ Out[2843]= $\left\{-\operatorname{Cos}\left[a+1 / x^{\wedge} 2\right]+c \log \left[1 / x^{\wedge} 2\right], c / x^{\wedge} 4-\operatorname{Cos}\left[a+1 / x^{\wedge} 2\right]\right\} \operatorname{In}[2844]:=\left\{\operatorname{Int}\left[\operatorname{Sin}\left[n / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]+\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2, \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]$, Int1[Sin[n/x^2] $\left.\left.+\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2, \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]\right\} \operatorname{Out}[2844]=\left\{-n \operatorname{CosIntegral[n/x^{\wedge }2]+m}\right.$ $\log \left[x^{\wedge} 2\right]+x^{\wedge} 2 \operatorname{Sin}\left[n / x^{\wedge} 2\right]$,
$-n \operatorname{CosIntegral[n/x^{\wedge }2]+m\operatorname {Log}[x^{\wedge }2]+x^{\wedge }2\operatorname {Sin}[n/x^{\wedge }2]\} \operatorname {In}[2845]:=}$

Out[2845] $=\left(a x^{\wedge} 2\right) / c+\left((b-a d) \operatorname{ArcTan}\left[\left(S q r t[c] x^{\wedge 2}\right) / S q r t[d]\right]\right) /\left(c^{\wedge(3 / 2)}\right.$
Sqrt[d])
In[2846]:= Integrate[( $\left.\left.\mathbf{a}^{*} x^{\wedge} 2+\mathbf{b} / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right) /\left(c^{*} x^{\wedge}{ }^{2}+\mathbf{d} / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right), x^{\wedge} 2\right]$
Integrate::ilim: Invalid integration variable or limit(s) in $x^{\wedge} 2 . \gg$ Out[2846]= Integrate[(b/x^2+ $\left.\left.a^{*} x^{\wedge} 2\right) /\left(d / x^{\wedge} 2+c^{*} x^{\wedge} 2\right), x^{\wedge} 2\right]$

Meanwhile, a simple enough Subs1 function can be considered as a certain extension and complement of the previous Subs procedure. The function callSubs1[x, $\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}]$ returns the result of replacement of all occurrences of an subexpressiony of an expressionx onto an expressionz;at that, the function call qua of the second argument allows both the simple2-element list, and the list ofListList-type. The function callSubs1Q[x,y] returnsTrue if a call Subs1 $[x, y]$ is allowable, andFalse otherwise. The fragment below represents source codes of functionsSubs1 andSubs1Q with examples of their usage.
In[2700]:= Subs1[x_, y_/; ListQ[y] \&\& Length[y] == 2 || ListListQ[y]] := ToExpression[StringReplace[ToString[FullForm[x]], Map[ToString[FullForm[\# [[1]]1]-> ToString[FullForm[\#[[2]]I] \&, If[ListListQ[y], y, \{y\}]II]] In[2703]:= Subs1[(a/b + d)/(c/b + h/b), \{\{1/b, t^2\}, \{d, 590\}\}] Out[2703]= (590+ at^2)/(c t^2+ $\mathrm{ht} \wedge 2$ )
In[2718]:= Subs1Q[x_, y_] := SameQ[x, Subs1[Subs1[x, y], If[ListListQ[y], Map[Reverse, y], Reverse[y]II]] In[2719]:= Subs1Q[(a/b + d)/(c/b + h/b), \{ \{1/b, t^2\}, \{d, 90\}\}] Out[2719]= True
In[2732] := Integrate2[x_, y__] := Module[\{a, b, d, c = Map[Unique["gs"] \&,

Range[1, Length $[\{y\}]]]\}, a=\operatorname{Riffle}[\{y\}, c] ; \mathbf{a}=\operatorname{If}[L e n g t h[\{y\}]==1, a, \operatorname{Partition[a,~}$ 2]]; d = Integrate[Subs1[x, a], Sequences[c]]; \{Simplify[Subs1[d, If[ListListQ[a], Map[Reverse, a], Reverse[a]]l], Map[Remove, c]\}[[1]]]
In[2733] := Integrate2[( $\mathbf{a} / \mathbf{b}+\mathbf{d}) /(\mathbf{c} / \mathbf{b}+\mathbf{h} / \mathbf{b}), \mathbf{1 / b}, \mathbf{d}]$
Out[2733]= (d ((2 a)/b+d Log[1/b]))/(2 (c+h))
In[2734]:= Integrate2[x^2* $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ ]
Out[2734]=( $\left.x^{\wedge} 3 y^{\wedge} 2\right) / 6$
In[2735]:= Integrate2[1/b, 1/b]
Out[2735]= 1/(2b^2)
In[2736]:= Integrate2[(a/b + d)/(c/b + h/t), 1/b, 1/t]
Out[2736]=-((ct $(-2 a b h+a c t+4 b c d t)+2(b h+c t)(a b h-a c t-2 b c d t) *$
$\left.\log [c / b+h / t]) /\left(4 b^{\wedge} 2 c^{\wedge} 2 h t \wedge 2\right)\right)$
In[2737]:= Integrate2[Sqrt[a + Sqrt[c + d]*b], Sqrt[c + d]]
Out[2737] $=\left(2(a+b S q r t[c+d])^{\wedge}(3 / 2)\right) /(3 b)$
In[2738]:= Integrate2[(a/b + d^2)/(c/b + h/b), 1/b, d^2]
Out[2738] $=(2$ a d^2 + b d^4 $\log [1 / b]) /(2 b c+2 b h)$
In[2739]:= Integrate2[( $\left.\left.\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{b} / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right) /\left(\mathbf{c}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{d} / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right), \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{d} / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]$ Out[2739]= (-c
$x^{\wedge} 4$ (4bc-2ad+acx^4)+2(d+c $\left.x^{\wedge 4}\right)(2 b c-a d+a c x \wedge 4) *$
$\left.\log \left[\left(d+c x^{\wedge 4}\right) / x^{\wedge} 2\right]\right) /\left(4 c^{\wedge} 2 x^{\wedge} 4\right)$
In[2743]:= Diff1[x_, y__] := Module[\{a, b, d, c = Map[Unique["gs"] \&,
Range[1, Length[ $\{y\}]]$ ] $\}$, $a=\operatorname{Riffle}[\{y\}, c] ; \mathbf{a}=\operatorname{If}[$ Length $[\{y\}]==1, a, \operatorname{Partition[a,~}$ 2]]; d = D[Subs1[x, a], Sequences[c]]; \{Simplify[Subs1[d, If[ListListQ[a], Map[Reverse, a], Reverse[a]]]], Map[Remove, c]\}[[1]]]
 $\left.\left.\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{ad}+\mathrm{ac} \mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 4\right)\right) /\left(\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{c} \mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 4\right)^{\wedge} 3$
In[2745]:= Diff1[c + a/b, c + a/b]
Out[2745]= 1
$\operatorname{In}[2746]:=\operatorname{Diff1}\left[(\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{a} / \mathbf{b})^{*} \operatorname{Sin}\left[\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{1 / x} \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right], \mathbf{a} / \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{1 / x} \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right]$
Out[2746]= $\operatorname{Cos}\left[b+1 / x^{\wedge} 2\right]$
In[2747]:= Diff1[(c + a/b)*Sin[d/c + 1/x^2], 1/c, a/b, 1/x^2] Out[2747]=-d Sin[d/c+ $\left.1 / \mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]$
On the basis of the previousSubs1 function an useful enough procedure has been realized, whose callIntegrate2[x, $\boldsymbol{y}$ ] provides integrating of an arbitrary expression $\boldsymbol{x}$ on the subexpressions determined by a sequencey. At that, the procedure with the returned result by means ofSimplify function performs a sequence of algebraic and other transformations and returns the simplest form it finds. The previous fragment presents source code of theIntegrate2 procedure along with typical examples of its usage. While the procedure call Diff1 $[x, y]$ that is also realized on the basis of theSubs1 function returns the differentiation result of an arbitrary expressionx on the generalized $\{\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \ldots\}$ variables which can be an arbitrary expressions. The result is returned in the simplified form on the basis of theSimplify function. The previous fragment represents source code of theDiff1 procedure with examples of its usage.

The represented variants of realization of the tools Df, Df1, Df2, Diff1, Int, Int1,

Integrate2, ReplaceAll1, Subs andSubs1 illustrate various receptions rather useful in a number of problems of programming in theMathematica system and, first of all, in problems of the system character. Moreover, the above means rather essentially extend the appropriate system means.
The next fragment represents the means having both independent value, and a number of useful appendices in programming. Two useful functions used in the subsequent procedures of the fragment preface this fragment. The callListRulesQ[x] returnsTrue ifx is the list of rules of the forma->b, andFalse otherwise. Whereas theMap17 function generalizes the standard Map function onto case of the list of rules as itssecond actual argument. The callMap17[F, $\{\{\boldsymbol{a}->\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{- > \boldsymbol { d }}, \ldots\}$. $\}$ where $\boldsymbol{F}$ - the symbol returns the result of the format $\{\boldsymbol{F}[\boldsymbol{a}]->\boldsymbol{F}[\boldsymbol{b}], \boldsymbol{F}[\boldsymbol{c}]->\boldsymbol{F}[\boldsymbol{d}], \ldots\}$ without demanding any additional explanations in view of its transparency.
Whereas the procedure callDiff $[x, y, z, \ldots]$ returns result of differentiation of an expression $\boldsymbol{x}$ on the generalized variables $\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \ldots\}$ that are arbitrary expressions. The result is returned in the simplified view on the basis of the Simplify function. The procedure callIntegral1 $[x, y, z, \ldots]$ returns result of integrating of an expression $x$ on the generalized variables $\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}, \ldots\}$ which are arbitrary expressions. The result is returned in the simplified view on the basis of the standardSimplify function. The next fragment represents the source codes of the above meansListRulesQ, Map17, Diff andIntegral1 along with typical examples of their application.
In[3321]:= ListRulesQ[x_List] := DeleteDuplicates[Map[RuleQ[\#] \&, Flatten[x]]] $===\{$ True $\}$
In[3322] := ListRulesQ[\{a-> b, c-> d, t-> g, w-> v, h\}]
Out[3322]= False
In[3323]:= ListRulesQ[\{a-> b, c-> d, t-> g, w-> v, h-> 90\}] Out[3323]= True
In[3324]:= Map17[x_, y_/; RuleQ[y] || ListRulesQ[y]] :=
If[RuleQ[y], Map[x, y], Map[Map[x, \#] \&, y]]
In[3325] := Map17[F, a-> b]
Out[3325]= F[a]-> F[b]
In[3326]:= Map17[F, \{a-> b, c-> d, t-> g, w-> v, h-> 90\}]
Out[3326] $=\{F[a]->F[b], F[c]->F[d], F[t]->F[g], F[w]->F[v], F[h]->F[90]\}$
In[3432] := Diff[x_, y__] := Module[\{c =\{\}, d=\{\}, b=Length[\{y\}], t=\{\}, k=1,h= $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{a}=$ Map[ToString, Map[InputForm, $\{\mathbf{y}\}]]\}$, Clear[g]; While[k <= b, AppendTo[c, Unique[g]l; AppendTo[d, ToString[c[[k]]l]; AppendTo[t, a[[k]]-> d[[k]]]; h = ToExpression[StringReplace[ToString[h // InputForm], t[[k]]l]; h=D[h, c[[k]]]; h = ReplaceAll[h, Map[ToExpression, Part[t[[k]], 2]-> Part[t[[k]], 1]]]; k++]; g = n; Map[Clear, c]; Simplify[h]]
$\operatorname{In}[3433]:=\operatorname{Diff}\left[\operatorname{Sin}\left[\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]^{*} \operatorname{Cos}\left[1 / \mathbf{b}^{\wedge} 3\right], 1 / \mathbf{b}^{\wedge} 3, \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]$
Out[3433] $=-\operatorname{Cos}\left[x^{\wedge} 2\right] * \operatorname{Sin}\left[1 / \mathrm{b}^{\wedge} 3\right]$
In[3434]:= $\operatorname{Difff}[\mathbf{( a + b )} /(\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}), \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}]$
Out[3434]=-(1/(c+d)^2)
In[3435]:= Diff[( $\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}$ ) + m/(c + d), $\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{1} /(\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d})]$
Out[3435]= 0

In[3436]:= Diff[1/Sqrt[a + b]*(a + b) + Tan[Sqrt[a + b] + c], Sqrt[a+b], a+b] Out[3436]= $(S e c[S q r t[a+b]+c] \wedge 2 * T a n[S q r t[a+b]+c]) / S q r t[a+b] \operatorname{In}[2257]:=$ Integral1[x_, y_] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{d}=\{ \}, \mathbf{t}=\{ \}, k=1, h=x, n=g$,
$\mathbf{a}=\operatorname{Map}[T o S t r i n g, ~ M a p[I n p u t F o r m, ~\{y\}]], ~ c=\{ \}, b=\operatorname{Length}[\{y\}]\}$, Clear[g]; While[k <= b, AppendTo[c, Unique[gl]; AppendTo[d, ToString[c[[k]]]]; AppendTo[t, a[[k]]-> d[[k]]]; h = ToExpression[StringReplace[ToString[h // InputForm], t[[k]]I]; h = Integrate[h, c[[k]]]; h = ReplaceAll[h, Map[ToExpression, Part[t[[k]], 2]-> Part[t[[k]], 1] I]; k++]; g = n; Map[Clear, c]; Simplify[h]]
In[2258]:= g = 90; Integral1[Sin[ $\left.\left.\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]+\operatorname{Cos}\left[1 / \mathbf{b}^{\wedge} 3\right], \mathbf{1 / b}{ }^{\wedge} 3, \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]$
Out[2258]=-(Cos[x^2]/b^3)+ $x^{\wedge} 2^{*} \operatorname{Sin}\left[1 / b^{\wedge} 3\right]$
In[2259]:= Integral1[ $\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}]+\operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{x}], \mathbf{x}]$
Out[2259] $=-\operatorname{Cos}[\mathrm{x}]+\operatorname{Sin}[\mathrm{x}]$
In[2260]:= Integral1[(Sin[x] + Cos[y])*z, $\mathbf{x , y} \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$
Out[2260] $=(-(1 / 2))^{*} z^{\wedge} 2^{*}\left(y * \operatorname{Cos}[x]-x^{*} \operatorname{Sin}[y]\right)$
In[2261]:= Integral1[(a + b)/(c + d), a + b, c + d]
Out[2261] $=(1 / 2)^{*}(a+b)^{\wedge} 2^{*} \log [c+d]$
In[2262]:= Integral1[(a + b) + m/(c + d), a + b, 1/(c + d)]
Out[2262] $=\left(1 / 2(a+b)^{\wedge} 2+((a+b) m) /(c+d)\right) /(c+d)$
In[2263]:= Integral1[( $\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}) /(\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}), \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}]$
Out[2263] $=1 / 2(a+b) \wedge 2(c+d)(-1+\log [c+d])$
Thus, the procedures Diff andIntegral1 have the certain limitations that at usage demand the corresponding wariness; some idea of such restrictions is illustrated by the following very simple example, namely:
In[3322] := Diff[(a + b*m)/(c + d*n), a + b, c + d]
Out[3322]=-(m/((c+d)^2*n))
In[3323]:= Integral1[( $\left.\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b *} \mathbf{m}) /\left(\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}^{*} \mathbf{n}\right), \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}\right]$
Out[3323]= ((a+b)^2*m*Log[c+d])/(2*n)
With the view of elimination of these shortcomings two modifications of the functionsReplace andReplaceAll in the form of the proceduresReplace4 andReplaceAll2 have been created respectively. These procedures expand standard means and allow to code the previous two proceduresIntegral1 andDiff with wider range of correct appendices in the context of use of the generalized variables of differentiation and integration. The procedure call Replace4[x,a-> b] returns the result of application to an expressionx of a substitutiona $->\boldsymbol{b}$, when as its left part an arbitrary expression is allowed. At absence in the expressionx of occurrences of subexpressiona the initial expression $\boldsymbol{x}$ is returned. Unlike previous, the callReplaceAll2 $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{r}]$ returns result of application to an expressionx of a ruler or consecutive application of rules from the listr; as the left parts of rules any expressions are allowed. In the following fragment the source codes of the proceduresReplaceAll2 andReplace4 along with typical examples of their usage are presented.

In[2445]:= Replace4[x_, r_/; RuleQ[r]] := Module[\{a, b, c, h\},
$\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}=\{$ ToString[x //InputForm], Map[ToString, Map[InputForm, r]] $; \mathbf{c}=$
StringPosition[a, Part[b, 1]]; If[c == \{\}, x, If[Head[Part[r, 1]] === Plus, h = Map[If[(\#
[[1]] === 1|| MemberQ[\{" ", "(", "[", "\{"\}, StringTake[a, \{\#[[1]]-1, \#[[1]]-1\}]]) \&\& (\#[[2]] === StringLength[a] || MemberQ[\{" ", ")", "]", "\}", ","\}, StringTake[a, \{\# [[2]] + 1, \#[[2]] + 1\}]]), \#] \&, c], h = Map[If[(\#[[1]] === 1 || !
Quiet[SymbolQ[StringTake[a, \{\#[[1]]-1, \#[[1]]-1\}]]]) \& \& (\#[[2]] ===
StringLength[a]||| Quiet[SymbolQ[StringTake[a, \{\#[[2]] + 1, \#[[2]] + 1\}] ]]), \#] \&, c]]; h = Select[h, ! SameQ[\#, Null] \&]; ToExpression[StringReplacePart[a, "(" <> Part[b, 2] <> ")", h] ]I] In[2446]:= Replace4[(c + d*x)/(c + d + x), c + d-> a + b]
Out[2446] $=\left(c+d^{*} x\right) /(a+b+x)$
In[2447]:= Replace[Sqrt[a + $\left.\left.\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}^{*} \mathbf{d}+\mathbf{c}\right], \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2 - >} \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}\right]$
Out[2447] = Sqrt[a+ c+ b* $d^{*} \mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2$ ]
In[2448]:= Replace4[Sqrt[a + b*x^2 *d + c], $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2 - >} \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}\right]$
Out[2448]= Sqrt[a+c+b*(a+b)*d]
In[2458]:= ReplaceAll2[x_, r_/; RuleQ[r] || ListRulesQ[r]] := Module[\{a = x, k=1\}, If[RuleQ[r], Replace4[x, r], While[k <= Length[r], a = Replace4[a, r[[k]]]; k++]; a]]
In[2459] := ReplaceAll[Sqrt[a + b*x^2*d + c], \{x^2-> $\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{c - >} \mathbf{a v z}\}]$ Out[2459]= Sqrt[avz+ b*d*x^2]
In[2460]:= ReplaceAll2[Sqrt[a + b*x ${ }^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}^{*}$ d + c], $\left.\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2 - >}^{\mathbf{a}}+\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{c}->\mathbf{a v z}\right\}\right]$
Out[2460] $=$ Sqrt[avz+ $\left.b^{*}(a+b)^{* d}\right]$
In[2461]:= ReplaceAll2[ $\left.x^{*} \mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{z},\{x->42, y->90, z->500\}\right]$
Out[2461] = 1890000
In[2462]:= ReplaceAll2[Sin[a + b** $\left.\left.\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}^{*} \mathbf{d}+\mathbf{c}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right], \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2 - >} \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}\right]$ Out[2462] $=\operatorname{Sin}[a+$ (a+b)* $\left.{ }^{*}+b^{*}(a+b)^{*} d\right]$
$\operatorname{In}[2488]:=\operatorname{Difff}\left[x_{-}, y_{\ldots}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a} 1, \mathbf{a} 2, \mathbf{a 3}, \mathbf{b}=\operatorname{Length}[\{\mathbf{y}\}], \mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}$, $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{g}\}$, Clear[g]; While[k <= b, d = \{y\}[[k]]; AppendTo[c, Unique[g]]; a1 =
Replace4[a, d-> c[[k]]]; a2 = D[a1, c[[k]]]; a3 = Replace4[a2, c[[k]]-> d]; a = a3;
$\mathbf{k}++] ; \mathbf{g}=\mathbf{n}$; Simplify[a3]] In[2489]:= $\operatorname{Difff[(a+b)/(\mathbf {c}+\mathbf {d}+\mathbf {x}),\mathbf {a}+\mathbf {b},\mathbf {c}+\mathbf {d}]}$
Out[2489] $=-\left(1 /(c+d+x)^{\wedge} 2\right)$
In[2490]:= Difff[(a + b*m)/(c + d*n), a + b, c + d]
Out[2490]= 0
$\operatorname{In}[2588]:=\operatorname{Integral} 2\left[x \_, y \_\right]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a 1}, \mathbf{a} 2, \mathbf{a 3}, \mathbf{b}=\operatorname{Length}[\{\mathbf{y}\}]$,
$\mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{k}=1, \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{g}\}$, Clear[g]; While[k <= b, d = \{y\}[[k]]; AppendTo[c, Unique[g]]; a1 = Replace4[a, d-> c[[k]l]; a2 = Integrate[a1, c[[k]]]; a3 = Replace4[a2, c[[k]]-> d]; $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{a 3} ; \mathbf{k + +}$; $\mathbf{g}=\mathbf{n}$; Simplify[a3]] In[2589]:= Integral2[(a + b*m)/(c + d*n), a + b, c + d]

Out[2589] $=\left((a+b)^{*}(c+d) *(a+b * m)\right) /(c+d * n)$
In[2590]:= Integral2[Sqrt[a + c + b* $\left.\left.\mathbf{( a + b})^{*} \mathbf{d}\right], \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}\right]$
Out[2590] $=(2 / 3)^{*}(a+b)^{*}\left(a+c+a^{*} b^{*} d+b \wedge 2 * d\right) \wedge(3 / 2)$
In[2591]:= Integral2[Sqrt[a + c + h*g + b*d], c + h, b*d]
Out[2591] $=(2 / 3) *(c+h)^{*}\left(a+c+b^{*} d+g^{*} h\right)^{\wedge}(3 / 2)$
In[2592]:= Integral2[( $\left.\left.\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{h}^{*} \mathbf{g}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{d}\right) /(\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{h}), \mathbf{c}+\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{d}\right]$
Out[2592] $=(1 / 2) *{ }^{*} d^{*}\left(2 * a+2 * c+b * d+2 * g^{* h}\right) * \log [c+h]$
In[2593]:= Integral2[(c + h*m)/(c + h), $\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{h}^{*} \mathbf{m}$ ]
Out[2593]= (c+h*m)^2/(2*(c+h))

On the basis of the procedure Replace4 the proceduresDiff andIntegral1 can be expanded the proceduresDiff andIntegral1. The callDifff[ $[\boldsymbol{x , y , z , \ldots ]}$ returns result ofdifferentiation of an arbitrary expression $\boldsymbol{x}$ on thegeneralized variables $\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{t}, \ldots\}$ that are any expressions. The result is returned in the simplified form on the basis of the standardSimplify function. Whereas the callIntegral2[x,y,z,...] returns result ofintegration of an expression $\boldsymbol{x}$ on the generalized variables $\{\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{t}, \ldots\}$ that are arbitrary expressions. The result is returned in the simplified form on the basis of theSimplify function. In the following fragment the source codes of the above means with examples of application are represented. The means given above are rather useful in many cases of manipulations with algebraic expressions that are based on a system of rules, including their symbolical differentiation and integration on the generalized variables that are arbitrary algebraic expressions. TheSEQ procedure serves as some analog of the builtinseq function of the same name of theMaple system, generating sequences of values. The call $\operatorname{SEQ}[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}]$ returns the list of values $\boldsymbol{x}[\boldsymbol{y}]$ where $\boldsymbol{y}$ changes withinz=m;;n, or withinz=m;;n;p with $\boldsymbol{p}$ step; at that, values $\{\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{p}\}$ can accept only positive numerical values; $\mathrm{atm}<=\boldsymbol{n}$ a valuep is considered positive value, otherwise negative. Of examples of the next fragment the principle of formation of the list of values depending on the format of the $3 r d$ argument is well visually looked through. In case of zero or negative value of the3rd argument a call $\operatorname{SEQ} \mathbf{x , y}, \mathbf{z}]$ is returned unevaluated. The next fragment represents source code of theSEQ procedure along with typical examples of its usage.

In[2334]:= SEQ[x_, y_/; SymbolQ[y], z_/; Head[z] == Span] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ToString[z], $\mathbf{b}=\{ \}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}=$ ToString[y], $\mathbf{p}\}, \mathbf{c}=$
ToExpression[StringSplit[a, " ;; "]]; If[DeleteDuplicates[Map[NumberQ, c]] != \{True\} || DeleteDuplicates[Map[Positive, c]] != \{True\}, Return[Defer[Seq[x, y, z]]], If[Length[c] > 2 \&\& c[[3]] == 0, Return[Defer[Seq[x, y, z]]], If[c[[1]] <= c[[2]], p=1, p = 2]]]; For[y = c[[1]], If[p == 1, y <=c[[2]], y >= c[[2]]-If[p == 1 \&\& Length[c] == 2 $\| p==2 \& \&$ Length $[c]==2,0, c[[3]]-1]]$, If[Length $[c]==2$, If[p == 1, $\left.y^{++}, y-\right]$, If[p == 1, y += c[[3]], y-= c[[3]]]], b = Append[b, x]]; \{ToExpression["Clear[" <> d <> "]"], b\}[[2]l]

In[2335] := SEQ[F[k], k, 18 ;; 25]
Out[2335]= \{F[18], F[19], F[20], F[21], F[22], F[23], F[24], F[25]\} In[2336]:= SEQ[F[t], t, $\mathbf{1}$;; 75 ;; 8]
Out[2336]= \{F[1], F[9], F[17], F[25], F[33], F[41], F[49], F[57], F[65], F[73]\} In[2337]:= SEQ[F[t], t, 100 ;; 95]
Out[2337]= \{F[100], F[99], F[98], F[97], F[96], F[95]\}
In[2338]:= SEQ[F[t], t, 42.71 ;; 80 ;; 6.47]
Out[2338] $=\{\mathrm{F}[42.71], \mathrm{F}[49.18], \mathrm{F}[55.65], \mathrm{F}[62.12], \mathrm{F}[68.59], \mathrm{F}[75.06]\} \operatorname{In}[2339]:=$
SEQ[F[k], k, 42 ;; 71 ;;-6]
Out[2339]= SEQ[F[k], k, 42;; 71;;-6]
The callExprsInStrQ $[x, y]$ of an useful procedure returnsTrue if a string $\boldsymbol{x}$ contains correct expressions, andFalse otherwise. While through the second optional argumenty -an undefinite variable- a list of expressions that are in $\boldsymbol{x}$ is returned. The next fragment represents source code of theExprsInStrQ procedure along with typical examples of its usage.

In[2360] := ExprsInStrQ[x_/; StringQ[x], y__] := Module[\{a = \{\}, c=1, d, j, b= StringLength $[\mathbf{x}], \mathbf{k}=1\}$, $\operatorname{For}[\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{k}<=\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{k + +}$, $\operatorname{For}[\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}<=\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{j}++, \mathbf{d}=$ StringTake[x, \{k, j\}]; If[! SymbolQ[d] \&\& ! SameQ[Quiet[Check[ToExpression[d], \$Failed]], \$Failed], a = Append[a, d]]]; c++]; a = Mapp[StringTrim1,
Mapp[StringTrim1, Map[StringTrim, a], "+", ""], "-", ""]; a =
DeleteDuplicates[Map[StringTrim, Select[a, ! SymbolQ[ToExpression[\#]] \& \& !
NumericQ[ToExpression[\#]] \&]I]; If[a == \{\}, False, If[\{y\}!= \{\}\&\&! HowAct[\{y\} [[1]]l, $\{\mathbf{y}\}=\{\mathbf{a}\}] ;$ True] $]$
In[2361] := ExprsInStrQ["a (c + d)-b^2 = Sin[x] h"]
Out[2361]= True
In[2362]:= \{ExprsInStrQ["a (c + d)-b $\left.\left.{ }^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}=\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}] \mathbf{h " ,} \mathbf{t}\right], \mathbf{t}\right\}$
Out[2362]= \{True, $\left\{\right.$ " $a^{*}(c+d) ", ~ " a *(c+d)-b ", " a *(c+d)-b \wedge 2 ", ~ "(c+d) "$,
" (c+d)- b", "(c+ d)- b^2", "c+ d", "b^2", "Sin[x]", "Sin[x] h", "in[x]", "in[x] h", "n[x]", "n[x] h"\}\}
In[2363]:= \{ExprsInStrQ["n*(a+c)/c ", h1], h1 $\}$
Out[2363]= \{True, $\left\{\right.$ " $\left.\left.n^{*}(a+c) ", ~ " n *(a+c) / c ", "(a+c) ", "(a+c) / c ", " a+c "\right\}\right\}$
In a whole series of problems of manipulation with expressions, including differentiation and integration on the generalized variables, the question of definition of structure of an expression through subexpressions entering in it including any variables is topical enough. The given problem is solved by theExprComp procedure, whose the callExprComp[x] returns the set of all subexpressions composing expressionx, whereas the callExprComp [x, z], where the second optional argumentz -an undefined variablethroughz in addition returns the nested list of subexpressions of an arbitrary expression $\boldsymbol{x}$ on levels, since the first level. The next fragment represents source code of theExprComp procedure with examples of its use. The code contains means from [48] such asHowAct, Mapp, StringTrim1 andSymbolQ. In[3329]:= ExprComp[x_, z__] := Module[\{a = $\{\mathbf{x}\}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{h}=\{ \}, \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{t}=\mathbf{1}\}$,
F[y_List] := Module[ \{c = \{\}, d, p, k, j=1\}, For[j = 1, j <= Length[y], j++, k = 1;
While[k < Infinity, $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{y [ I j ]}] ; \mathbf{a}=$ Quiet[Check[Part[p, k], \$Failed]]; If[a === \$Failed, Break[], If[! SameQ[a, \{\}], AppendTo[c, a]I]; k++]]; c]; q = F[a]; While[q != \{\}, AppendTo[h, q]; q = Flatten[Map[F[\{\#\}] \&, q]I]; If[\{z\}!= \{\}\&\&! HowAct[z], z = Map[Select[\#, ! NumberQ[\#] \&] \&, h]]; Sort[Select[DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[h],

## Abs[\#1] === Abs[\#2] \&], ! NumberQ[\#] \&]l]

In[3330] := ExprComp[(1/b $+\mathbf{C o s [ a}+\mathbf{S q r t [ c}+\mathbf{d}]$ ])/(Tan[1/b]-1/c^2)] Out[3330]= \{a, 1/b, b,-(1/c^2), c, d, Sqrt[c+ d], c+ d, a+ Sqrt[c+ d], $\operatorname{Cos}[a+\operatorname{Sqrt[c+~d]],~1/b+\operatorname {Cos}[a+~}$ Sqrt[c+ d]], $\left.\operatorname{Tan}[1 / b], 1 /\left(-\left(1 / c^{\wedge} 2\right)+\operatorname{Tan}[1 / b]\right),-\left(1 / c^{\wedge} 2\right)+\operatorname{Tan}[1 / b]\right\}$
In[3331]: $=\operatorname{ExprComp}\left[(1 / b+\operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{a}+\operatorname{Sqrt[c}+\mathbf{d}]] /\left(\operatorname{Tan}[1 / b]-1 / \mathbf{c}^{\wedge} 2\right), \mathbf{g}\right]$
Out[3331]= \{a, 1/b, b,-(1/c^2), c, d, Sqrt[c+d], c+d, a+Sqrt[c+d], Cos[a+Sqrt[c+d]], 1/b+ $\left.\operatorname{Cos}[a+\operatorname{Sqrt[c}+d]], \operatorname{Tan}[1 / b], 1 /\left(-\left(1 / c^{\wedge} 2\right)+\operatorname{Tan}[1 / b]\right),-\left(1 / c^{\wedge} 2\right)+\operatorname{Tan}[1 / b]\right\}$
In[3332]:= g
Out[3332]= \{\{1/b+ $\operatorname{Cos}[a+\operatorname{Sqrt}[c+d]], 1 /(-(1 / \mathrm{c} \wedge 2)+\operatorname{Tan}[1 / b])\},\{1 / b, \operatorname{Cos}[a+$ Sqrt[c+ d] $\left.],-\left(1 / \mathrm{c}^{\wedge} 2\right)+\operatorname{Tan}[1 / \mathrm{b}]\right\}$,
\{b, a+ Sqrt[c+d],-(1/c^2), Tan[1/b]\}, \{a, Sqrt[c+d], 1/c^2, 1/b\}, \{c+ d, c, b\}, \{c, d\}\}

An arbitrary expression can be formed by means of arithmetic operators of types: Plus (‘+’, ‘-’), Times (‘*’, /), Power (‘^’), Indexed(indexes) orFunction (function). At that, expression $\boldsymbol{a}-\boldsymbol{b}$ has type"+" with operands $\{\boldsymbol{a},-\boldsymbol{b}\}$; while expressiona/b - the type"*" with operands $\left\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}^{\wedge}(-\mathbf{1})\right\}$; expressiona^b has type" " ${ }^{\text {" }}$ with operands $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}\}$; expressiona[b] has the"Function" type while expressiona[[b]] has the"Indexed" type. In this sense it is possible to use a certainindicatorCost for estimation of complexity of calculation of arbitrary expressions. TheCost is defined as a polynomial from variables which are names of the abovethree operators,Indexed andFunction with non-negative integer coefficients. The Cost procedure provides calculation of indicator; its source code with examples of use represents the following fragment. At creation of the source code the functionSequences from [48] has been used.
In[2455] := Cost[x_] := Module[\{f = \{Plus, Times, Power, Indexed, Function\}, a = ToString[InputForm[x]], b = \{\{"+", "-"\}, \{"*", "/"\}, "^"\}, c, d=\{\}, h, k=1, j, t t, If[StringFreeQ[a, Flatten[\{b, "["\}]], 0, c = Map[StringCount[a, \#] \&, b]; While[k <= 3, h = c[[k]]; If[h != 0, AppendTo[d, \{f[[k]], h\}]]; k++]; If[Set[b, StringCount[a, "[["]] > 0, AppendTo[d, \{f[[4]], b\}]]; t = StringPosition[a, "["]; If[t != \{\}, t = Map[\#[[1]] \&, t]; t = Select[Map[If[StringTake[a, \{\#-1, \#-1\}] != "[" \& \& StringTake[a, \{\# + 1, \# + 1\}] != "[", \#] \&, t], ! SameQ[\#, Null] \&]]; If[t != \{\}, AppendTo[d, \{f[[5]], Length[t]\}]]; b = StringPosition[a, "(-"]; \{t, b, h\}= \{0, Map[\#[[1]] \&, b], StringLength[a]\}; For $[k=1, k<=$ Length $[b], k++, ~ c=" " ; \operatorname{For}[j=b[[k]], j$ <= h, j++, c = c <> StringTake[a, \{j, j\}]; If[StringCount[c, "\{"] === StringCount[c, "\}"], f = Quiet[Check[ToExpression[c], \$Failed]]; If[f === \$Failed, Continue[], If [NumberQ[f], t = t + 1]]; Break[]]]]; d = If[t != 0 \&\& d[[1]][[1]] === Plus, d[[1]][[2]] = d[[1]][[2]]-t; d, d]; Plus[Sequences[Map[\#[[2]]*\#[[1]] \&, d]]]]] In[2456]:= $\operatorname{Cost}\left[\mathrm{z}^{\wedge}\left(h^{*} \mathbf{n}-2\right)+\mathbf{t}^{\wedge} \mathbf{3}\right]$
Out[2456] $=3 *$ Plus $+2 *$ Power + Times
$\operatorname{In}[2457]:=\operatorname{Cost}\left[\left(\mathbf{z}^{\wedge}\left(\mathbf{h}^{*} \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{2}\right)+\mathbf{t}^{\wedge} \mathbf{3}\right) /\left(\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}+\mathbf{c}\right)\right]$
Out[2457] $=4 *$ Plus $+2 *$ Power $+3 *$ Times
In[2458]:= Map[Cost, \{42.47, 80*d + $\mathbf{p}^{\wedge} \mathbf{g}$, AvzAgnVsv\}]
Out[2458] $=\{0$, Plus + Power + Times, 0$\}$
In [2459]:= $\operatorname{Cost}\left[\left(\mathbf{z}^{\wedge}\left(\mathbf{h}^{*} \mathbf{n}[80]-2\right)+\mathbf{t}^{\wedge} \mathbf{3}\right) /\left(\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}+\mathbf{c}[480]\right)\right]$
Out[2459] $=2 *$ Function $+4 *$ Plus $+2 *$ Power $+3 *$ Times
In[2460]:= $\operatorname{Cost}[(\mathbf{a}+\operatorname{Sin}[-\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{v}]+\mathbf{x}[\mathbf{b}[[-\mathbf{8 0} ; ; 480]]]) / /$ Quiet $]$
Out[2460] $=2 *$ Function + Indexed $+4 *$ Plus
The procedure call $\operatorname{Cost}[x]$ returns an indicatorCost of the above format for an arbitrary algebraic expressionx; at absence forx of operators is returned zero. At that, the procedure is a rather simply disaggregated relative to the calculation of number of operatorsPlus. Means of the present chapter are rather useful and are located in our packageAVZ_Package [48].

## Chapter 3. Additional means of processing of symbols and string structures in theMathematicasystem

Without taking into account the fact that Mathematica has rather large set of means for
work with string structures, necessity of means that are absent in the system arises. Some of such means are presented in the given chapter; among them are available both simple, and more difficult which appeared in the course of programming of problems of different purpose asadditional functions and procedures simplifying or facilitating the programming. Examples of the present chapter illustrate formalization ofprocedures in the Mathematica which reflects its basic elements and principles, allowing by taking into account this material to directly start creation, at the beginning, of simple procedures of different purpose which are based on processing of string structures. Here only the procedures of so-called"system" character intended for processing of string structures are considered which, however, represent also the most direct applied interest for programming of various appendices. Moreover, procedures and functions that have quite foreseeable volume of source code that allows to carry out their rather simple analysis are presented here. Their analysis can serve as rather useful exercise for the reader both who is beginning programming inMathematica, and already having rather serious experience in this direction. Later we will understand under"system" means the actually system means, and our means oriented on mass application. At that, it should be noted that string structures are of special interest not only as basic structures with which the system and the user operate, but also as a base, in particular, of dynamic generation of the objects inMathematica, including procedures and functions. Themechanism of suchdynamic generation is quite simple and rather in details is considered in [28-33], whereas examples of its application can be found in examples of source codes of means of the present book. Below we will present a number of useful means for processing of strings in theMathematica system.
So, the call SuffPref[S, $s, n]$ provides testing of a string $\boldsymbol{S}$ regarding to begin ( $n=1$ ), to finish $(\boldsymbol{n}=2)$ by a substring or substrings from the lists, or $(\boldsymbol{n}=3)$ be limited from both ends by substrings froms. At establishment of this fact theSuffPref procedure returnsTrue, otherwiseFalse is returned. While the callStrStr[x] of simple function provides return of an expression $\boldsymbol{x}$ different from string, in string format, and a double string otherwise. In a number of cases theStrStr function is useful enough in work with strings, in particular, with the standardStringReplace function. The fragment below represents source codes of the above means along with examples of their application.
In[2510]:= StrStr[x_] := If[StringQ[x], """ <> x <> """, ToString[x]] In[2511]:=
Map[StrStr, \{"RANS", a + b, IAN, \{72, 67, 47\}, F[x,y]\}] Out[2511]= \{""RANS"", "a+ b", "IAN", "\{72, 67, 47\}", "F[x, y]"\} In[2512]:= SuffPref[S_String, s_/; StringQ[s] || ListQ[s] \&\& DeleteDuplicates[Map[StringQ, s]] == \{True\}, n_/; MemberQ[\{1, 2, 3\}, n]] :=
Module[ \{a, b, c, k=1\}, If[StringFreeQ[S, s], False, b = StringLength[S]; c = Flatten[StringPosition[S, s]]; If[n == $3 \& \& c[[1]]==1 \& \& c[[-1]]==b$, True, If[n == $1 \& \& c[[1]]==1$, True,
If $[\mathrm{n}==\mathbf{2} \& \& \mathrm{c}[[-1]]==\mathrm{b}$, True, False $][1]]$
In[2513] := SuffPref["IAN_RANS_RAC_REA_90_500", "90_500", 2] Out[2513]= True
In[2514]:= SuffPref["IAN_RANS_RAC_REA", \{"IAN_RANS", "IAN_"\}, 1] Out[2514]= True

In[2515]:= SuffPref["IAN_RANS_R_REAIAN", \{"IAN_RANS", "IAN"\}, 3] Out[2515]= True
The call $\operatorname{Spos}[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{d}]$ calculates number of position of the first entrance of a symboly into a stringx to theleft ( $\boldsymbol{d}=\mathbf{0}$ ) or to theright $(\boldsymbol{d}=\mathbf{1})$ from the given positionp. If substringy doesn't enter into string $x$ in the specified direction concerning positionp, the call of theSpos returns zero. Otherwise, the call Spos[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{d i r}]$ returns number of a position of the first entrance of $\mathbf{y}$ into $\boldsymbol{x}$ string to the $\operatorname{left}(\boldsymbol{d i r}=\mathbf{0})$ or to the right $(\boldsymbol{d i r}=\mathbf{1})$ from the given positionp; in addition, number of position is counted from the beginning of stringx. The Spos processes the main erroneous situations, returning on themFalse. The following fragment represents source code of theSpos with examples of its application. A number of means of $A V Z$ _Package [48] use this procedure.
In[820]:= Spos[x_String, y_String, p_Integer, d_Integer] := Module[\{a, b, c \}, If[StringFreeQ[x, y], Return[0],
If[StringLength[y] > 1 || dir != 0 \&\& dir != 1, Return[False], b = StringLength[x] ]]; If[p $<1 \| p>b$, False, If[p == $1 \& \& \operatorname{dir}==\mathbf{0}, \mathrm{c}=\mathbf{0}$, If[p==b \& \& dir == 1, c = 0, If[dir $==0$, For $[a=p, a>=1, a-=1$,
If[StringTake[x, \{a\}] == y, Return[a], c]],
For[a = p, a <= b, a += 1, If[StringTake[x, \{a\}] == y, Return[a], c]II]I]; If[a== 0 || a $==\mathrm{b}+\mathbf{1 , 0}, \mathrm{a}]]$
$\operatorname{In}[821]:=\mathbf{Q}:=$ "AV80RAN480IN2014"; \{Spos[Q, "A", 10, 0], Spos[Q, "4", 3, 1], Spos[Q, "0", 1, 1], Spos[Q, "Z", 19, 0], Spos[Q, "W", 19, 0], Spos[Q, "P", 1, 1]\}
Out[821]= $\{6,8,4,0,0,0\}$
In a number of cases the possibilities of the standard functions Replace and StringReplace are insufficient. In this connection the procedure, whose call StringReplace2[S, s,E] returns the result of replacement of all entries into a stringS of its substringss onto an expression $\boldsymbol{E}$ has been created; at that, the replaced substringss shouldn't be limited by letters. If the string $\boldsymbol{S}$ doesn't contain occurrences ofs, the procedure call returns the initial string $\boldsymbol{S}$ while on the empty string $\boldsymbol{S}$ the empty string is returned. In a sense the procedure StringReplace2 combines possibilities of the above system functions. The following fragment represents source code of theStringReplace2 procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2267]:= StringReplace2[S_/; StringQ[S], s_/; StringQ[s], Exp_] := Module[\{b, c, d, $k=1, a=J o i n[C h a r a c t e r R a n g e[" A ", ~ " Z "], ~$
CharacterRange["a", "z"]] \}, b = Quiet[Select[StringPosition[S, s], ! MemberQ[a, StringTake[S, \{\#[[1]]-1, \#[[1]]-1\}]] \&\& ! MemberQ[a, StringTake[S, \{\#[[2]] + 1, \# [[2]] + 1\}]] \&]]; StringReplacePart[S, ToString[Exp], b]]
In[2268] := StringReplace2["Length["abSin[x]"] + Sin[x] + ab-Sin[x]*6", "Sin[x]", "a^b"]
Out[2268]= "Length["abSin[x]"]+ $a^{\wedge} b+a b-a \wedge b * 6 "$
In[2269]:= StringReplace2["Length["abSin[x]"] + Cos[x] + ab-Cos[x]*6", "abSin[x], "a^b"]
Out[2269]= "Length["a^b"]+ $\operatorname{Cos}[x]+a b-\operatorname{Cos}[x] * 6 "$

In addition to the standardStringReplace function and theStringReplace2 procedure in a number of cases the procedureStringReplace1 is provided as useful. The callStringReplace1[S, $\boldsymbol{L}, \boldsymbol{P}$ ] returns result of substitution in a string $\boldsymbol{S}$ of substrings from the list $\boldsymbol{P}$ instead of its substrings determined by positions of the nested listL of ListList-type. The next fragment represents source code of theStringReplace1 procedure with examples of its usage.
In[2331]:= StringReplace1[S_/; StringQ[S], L_/; ListListQ[L] \&\& Length[L[[1]]] $==2$ \& \& MatrixQ[L, IntegerQ] \&\& Sort[Map[Min, L]][[1]] >= 1, P_/; ListQ[P]] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\{ \}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{k}=1\}$,
If[Sort[Map[Max, L]][[ -1]] <= StringLength[S] \& \& Length[P] == Length[L], Null, Return[Defer[StringReplace1[S, L, P]]]]; For[k, k <= Length[L], k++, b = L[[k]]; a = Append[a, StringTake[S, \{b[[1]], b[[2]]\}]-> ToString[P[[k]]I]]; StringReplace[S, a]] In[2332]:= StringReplace1["avz123456789agn", $\{\{4,7\},\{8,10\},\{11,12\}\}$,
\{ " RANS ", Tampere, Sqrt[(a + b)*(c + d) $]\}]$
Out[2332]= "avz RANS TampereSqrt[(a+ b) (c+ d)]agn"
For operating with strings theSubsDel procedure represents a quite certain interest whose callSubsDel[ $\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{p}$ ] returns result of removal from a string $\boldsymbol{S}$ of all substrings which are limited on the right(at the left) by a substring $x$ and at the left(on the right) by the first met symbol in string format from the listy; moreover, search ofysymbol is done to the left $(\boldsymbol{p}=$ $\mathbf{- 1})$ or to the right $(\boldsymbol{p}=\mathbf{1})$. In addition, the deleted substrings will contain a substring $\boldsymbol{x}$ since one end and the first symbol met fromy since other end. Moreover, if in the course of search the symbols from the listy weren't found until end of the stringS, the rest of the initial stringS is removed. The fragment represents source code of theSubsDel procedure with examples of its use. Procedure is used by a number of means from ourAVZ_Package package [48]. In[2321]:= SubsDel[S_/; StringQ[S], x_/; StringQ[x], y_/; ListQ[y] \&\&

DeleteDuplicates[Map[StringQ, y]] == \{True $\} \& \&$
Plus[Sequences[Map[StringLength, y]l] == Length[y], p_/; MemberQ[\{-1, 1\}, p]]:= Module[ $\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h}=$ StringLength[S], $k\}$, If[StringFreeQ[S, x], Return[S], b = StringPosition[S, x][[1]]]; For[k = If[p == 1, b[[2]] + 1, b[[1]]-1], If[p == 1, $k<=h, k$ >= 1], If[p == 1, k++, k-], d = StringTake[S, \{k, k\}]; If[MemberQ[y, d] || If[p == 1, k $==1, k==h]$, Break[l,
If[p ==1, c = c <> d, c = d <> c]; Continue[]]]; StringReplace[S, c-> ""]
In[2322] := SubsDel["12345avz6789", "avz", \{"8"\}, 1]
Out[2322]= "1234589"
In[2323]:= SubsDel["12345avz6789", "avz", \{"8", 9\}, 1]
Out[2323]= SubsDel["12345avz6789", "avz", \{"8", 9\}, 1]
In[2324]:= SubsDel["123456789avz6789", "avz", \{"5"\}, 1]
Out[2324]= "123456789"
While the procedure call SubDelStr[x,L] provides removal from a string $x$ of all substrings which are limited by numbers of the positions given by the listL ofListList-type from two-element sublists. On incorrect tuples of the actual arguments the procedure call is returned unevaluated. The following fragment represents source code of the procedure
with examples of its use.
In[2826]:= SubDelStr[x_/; StringQ[x], L_/; ListListQ[L]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{k}=1, \mathbf{a}=\{ \}\}$, If[! $\mathbf{L}==$ Select[L, ListQ[\#] \&\& Length[\#] $=\mathbf{2}$ \&] || L[[-1]] [[2]] > StringLength[x] || L[[1]][[1]] < 1,

Return[Defer[SubDelStr[x, L]ll], For[k, k <= Length[L], k++, a = Append[a, StringTake[x, L[[k]]]-> ""]]; StringReplace[x, a]]]<br>In[2827] := SubDelStr["123456789abcdfdh", \{\{3, 5\}, \{7, 8\}, \{10, 12\}\}] Out[2827]= "1269dfdh"<br>In[2828]:= SubDelStr["123456789abcdfdh", \{\{3, 5\}, \{7, 8\}, \{10, 12\}, \{40, 42\}\}]<br>Out[2828]= SubDelStr["123456789abcdfdh", \{\{3, 5\}, \{7, 8\}, \{10, 12\}, \{40, 42\}\}]

For receiving of substrings of a string which are given by their positions of end and beginning,Mathematica possesses theStringTake function having 6 formats. However, in a number of cases is more convenient a receiving the sublines limited not by positions, but the list of substrings. For this purpose two functionally identical proceduresStringTake1 andStringTake2 serve [48]. The callStringTake\{1|2\}[x,y] returns the list of substrings of a stringx that are limited by their substringsy; as the second argument can be both an expression, and their list. The following fragment represents source code of theStringTake2 procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2751]:= StringTake2[x_/; StringQ[x], y_] := Module[\{b = \{\}, k=1, a = Map[ToString, Map[InputForm, y]l\},
For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, b = Append[b, ToString1[a[[k]]] <> "->" <> "",""]]; StringSplit[ StringReplace[x, ToExpression[b]], ","l]
In[2752] := StringTake2["ransianavzagnvsvartkr", \{ian, agn, art\}] Out[2752]= \{"rans", "avz", "vsv", "kr"\}
In[2753]:= StringTake2["ransianavzagnvsvartkr", \{ian, 480, art, 80\}] Out[2753]=
\{"rans", "avzagnvsv", "kr"\}
In[2754]:= StringTake2["ransianavzagnvsvartkr", \{ran, ian, agn, art, kr\}]
Out[2754]= \{"ऽ", "avz", "vsv"\}
For work with strings the following procedure is rather useful, whose call InsertN[S, L, n] returns result of inserting into a stringS after its positions from a listn of substrings from a listL; in casen= $\{<\mathbf{1} \mid \geq$ StringLength[S] $\}$ a substring is located before stringS or in its end respectively. It is supposed that the actual arguments $\boldsymbol{L}$ andn may contain various number of elements, in this case the excess elementsn are ignored. At that, processing of a string $S$ is carried out concerning the list of positions for insertionsm determined according to the following relationm =DeleteDuplicates[Sort[n]]. The call with inadmissible arguments is returned unevaluated. The next fragment represents source code of theInsertN procedure with examples of its usage.
In[2583] := InsertN[S_String, L_/; ListQ[L], n_/; ListQ[n] \&\& Length[n] == Length[Select[n, IntegerQ[\#] \&]l] := Module[\{a = Map[ToString, L], d =
Characters[S], p, b, k=1, c = FromCharacterCode[2], m =
DeleteDuplicates[Sort[n]]\}, b = Map[c <> ToString[\#] \&, Range[1, Length[d]]]; b = Riffle[d, b]; p = Min[Length[a], Length[m]]; While[k <= p, If[m[[k]] < 1,

PrependTo[b, a[[k]]], If[m[[k]] > Length[d], AppendTo[b, a[[k]]], b = ReplaceAll[b, c <> ToString[m[[k]]]-> a[[k]]II]; k++]; StringJoin[Select[b, ! SuffPref[\#, c, 1] \&]l]
In[2584] := InsertN["123456789Rans_Ian", \{Ag, Vs, Art, Kr\}, \{6, 9, 3, 0, 3, 17\}] Out[2584]= "Ag123Vs456Art789KrRans_Ian"
In[2585]:= InsertN["123456789", \{a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, n, m\}, \{4, 2, 3, 0, 17, 9, 18\}] Out[2585]= "a12b3c4d56789efg"

Contrary to the previous procedure the procedure DelSubStr[S, L] provides removal from a string $\boldsymbol{S}$ of substrings, whose positions are given by the list $\boldsymbol{L}$; the list $\boldsymbol{L}$ has nesting $\boldsymbol{0}$ or1, for example, $\{\{3,4\},\{7\},\{9\}\}$ or $\{1,3,5,7,9\}[48]$. Earlier it was already noted that certain functional facilities ofMathematica need to be reworked both for purpose of expansion of scope of application, and elimination of shortcomings. It to the full extent concerns such a rather important function asToString $[x]$ that returns the result of converting of an arbitrary expressionx intostring format. This standard procedure incorrectly converts expressions into string format, that contain string subexpressions if to code them in the standard way. By this reason we definedToString1[x] procedure returning result of correct converting of an arbitrary expressionx into string format. The next fragment presents source code of theToString1 procedure with examples of its application. In a number of appendices this procedure is popular enough.
In[2720]:= ToString1[x_] := Module[\{a = "\$Art25Kr18\$.txt", b="", c, k=1\},

## Write[a, x]; Close[a]; For[k, k < Infinity, k++, c = Read[a, String]; If[SameQ[c, EndOfFile], Return[DeleteFile[Close[a]]; b], b = b <> StrDelEnds[c, " ", 1]]l]

In[2721] := Kr[x_] := Module[\{a ="ArtKr", b = " = "\}, a <> b <> ToString[x]] In[2722]:= ToString[Definition[Kr]]
Out[2722]= "Kr[x_] := Module[\{a= ArtKr, b= = \}, a<>b<>ToString[x]]" In[2723]:= ToExpression[\%]

ToExpression ::sntx: Invalid syntax in or before"Kr[x_]:= Module[\{a= ...". Out[2723]= \$Failed
In[2724]:= ToString1[Definition[Kr]]
Out[2724]= "Kr[x_]:= Module[\{a= "Art_Kr", b= " = "\},
StringJoin[a, b, ToString[x]]]"
In[2725]:= ToExpression[\%]; Kr[80]
Out[2725]= "Art_Kr= 80"
In[2748]:= ToString2[x_] := Module[\{a\}, If[ListQ[x],
SetAttributes[ToString1, Listable]; a = ToString1[x]; ClearAttributes[ToString1, Listable]; a, ToString1[x]]]
In[2749]:= ToString2[a + b/72-Sin[480.80]]
Out[2749]= "0.13590214677436363+ a+b/72"
In[2750]:= ToString2[\{\{72, 67\}, \{47, \{a, b, \{x, y\}, c $\}, \mathbf{5 2 \}},\{25,18\}\}]$ Out[2750]= \{\{"72", "67"\}, \{"47", \{"a", "b", \{"x", "y"\}, "c"\}, "52"\}, \{"25", "18"\}\}
Immediate application of the ToString1 procedure allows to simplify rather significantly the programming of a number of problems. At that, examples of the previous fragment visually illustrate application of both means on the concrete example, emphasizing advantages of our procedure. Whereas theToString2 procedure expands the previous
procedure onto lists of any level of nesting. So, the callToString2[x] on an argument $x$, different from the list, is equivalent to the callToString1[ $x$ ], while on a listx is equivalent to the callToString1[ $x$ ] that is endowed withListable-attribute. Source code of theToString2 with examples of its usage ended the given fragment.
The next fragment represents rather useful procedure, whose call SubStr[S, p,a, b, r] returns a substring of a stringS which is limited at the left by the first symbol other than symbola or other than symbols from the lista, and on the right is limited by symbol other thanb or other than symbols from a listb. Meanwhile, through argumentr in case of a erroneous situation the corresponding message diagnosing the arisen error situation is returned. A value of argumentp must be in interval1.. StringLength[ $S$ ]. The following fragment represents source code and examples of usage of this procedure.
In[2379] := SubStr[S_/; StringQ[S], p_/; IntegerQ[p], a_/; CharacterQ[a] || ListQ[a] \&\& DeleteDuplicates[Map[CharacterQ, a]] == \{True\}, b_/; CharacterQ[b] || ListQ[b] \&\& DeleteDuplicates[Map[CharacterQ, b]] == \{True\}, r_/; ! HowAct[r]] := Module[\{c = Quiet[StringTake[S, \{p, p\}]], k, t\}, If[p >= 1 \&\& p <= StringLength[S],
For[k=p+1,k <= StringLength[S], k++, t = StringTake[S, $\{\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}\}]$;
If[If[CharacterQ[b], t != b, ! MemberQ[b, t]], c = c <> t; Continue[], Break[]]]; For[k $=\mathbf{p}-1, k>=1, k-, t=$ StringTake[S, $\{k, k\}] ;$ If[If[CharacterQ[a], $t!=a,!$ MemberQ[a, t]], c = t <> c; Continue[], Break[]]]; c, r="Argument p should be in range 1.." <> ToString[StringLength[S]] <> " but received " <> ToString[p]; \$Failed]]
In[2380] := SubStr["12345abcd480e80fg6789sewrt", 14, "3", "r", Error] Out[2380]= "45abcd480e80fg6789sew"
In[2382]:= SubStr["12345abcdefg6789sewrt", 25, "0", "x", Error] Out[2382]= \$Failed In[2383]:= Error
Out[2383]= "Argument p should be in range $1 . .21$ but received 25 " In[2384]:=
SubStr["12345ab3c480def80gr6789sewrt", 7, "3", "r", Err] Out[2384]= "45ab3c480def80g"
In a number of cases of processing of expressions the problem of excretion of one or the other type of expressions from strings is quite topical. In this relation a certain interest the procedureExprOfStr represents whose source code with examples of its usage represents the following fragment. The call ExprOfStr[w, n, m, L] returns result of extraction from a stringw limited by itsnth position and the end, of the first correct expression on condition that search is doneon the left ( $\boldsymbol{m}=\mathbf{- 1}$ )/on the right $(\boldsymbol{m}=\mathbf{1})$ from the given position, furthermore a symbol, next or previous behind the found expression must belong to the list $\mathbf{L}$. The call is returned in string format; in the absence of a correct expression\$Failed is returned, while procedure call on inadmissible arguments is returned unevaluated.
In[2675]:= ExprOfStr[x_/; StringQ[x], n_/; IntegerQ[n] \&\& n > 0, m_/; MemberQ[\{-1, 1\}, m], L_/; ListQ[L]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ "", $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{k}\}$, If[n >= StringLength[x], Return[Defer[ExprOfStr[x, n, m, L] ]l, Null]; For[k=n, If[m ==-1, $k>=1, k<=$ StringLength[x]], If[m ==-1, $k-$, k++], If[m ==-1, a = StringTake[x, \{k, k\}] <> a, a = a <> StringTake[x, \{k, k\}]]; b =

Quiet[ToExpression[a]]; If[b === \$Failed, Null, If[If[m ==-1, k== 1, k
==StringLength[x]]|| MemberQ[L, Quiet[StringTake[x, If[m ==-1, \{k-1, k-1\}, \{k + 1, $\mathrm{k}+1$ 1\}]I]l, Return[a], Null]]]; \$Failed]
In[2676] := P[x_, y_] := Module[\{a, P1\}, P1[z_, h_] := Module[\{n\}, $\left.\mathbf{z}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{h} \wedge 2\right] ; \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}+$ P1[x, y]]
In[2677]:= x = ToString1[Definition[P]]; \{ExprOfStr[x, 44, 1, \{" ", ";", ","\}],
ExprOfStr[x, 39,-1, \{" ", ";", ","\}]\}
Out[2677]= \{"Module[\{n\}, z^2+ h^2]", "P1[z_, h_]"\}
In[2679]:= ExprOfStr[x, 10, 1, \{" ", ";", ","\}]
Out[2679]= \$Failed
In[2680]:= ExprOfStr["12345678;F[(a+b)/(c+d)]; AV_2014", 10, 1, \{"^", ";"\}]
Out[2680]= "F[(a+b)/(c+d)]"
The ExprOfStr1 procedure represents an useful enough modification of the previous procedure; its callExprOfStr1[x,n,p] returns a substring of a string $\boldsymbol{x}$, that is minimum on length and in which a boundary element is a symbol inn-th position of stringx, containing a correct expression. At that, search of such substring is done fromn-th position to the right and until the end of $\operatorname{stringx}(\boldsymbol{p}=\mathbf{1})$, and from the left fromnth position of string to the beginning of the string $(\boldsymbol{p}=\mathbf{1})$. In case of lack of such substring the call returns\$Failed while on inadmissible arguments the call is returned unevaluated [48]. In[3148]:= $\mathbf{x}=$ "123\{a+b\}, F[c+d+Sin[a+b]]"; ExprOfStr1[x, 25,-1] Out[3148]= "F[c+d+Sin[a+b]]" In[3149]:= x = "123\{a+b\}, [c+d]"; ExprOfStr1[x, 15,-1]
Out[3149]= \$Failed
In[3150]:= x = "123\{a+b\}, [c+d]"; ExprOfStr1[x, 17,-1]
Out[3150]= ExprOfStr1["123\{a+b\}, [c+d]", 17,-1]
In a certain relation to the ExprOfStr procedure also theExtrExpr procedure adjoins, whose callExtrExpr[S, $N, M$ returns a correct expression in string format which is contained in a substring of a string $\boldsymbol{S}$ limited by positions with numbers $\boldsymbol{N}$ and $\boldsymbol{M}$. At lack of a correct expression the empty list, i.e. $\}$ is returned [48].
$\operatorname{In}[2622]:=\operatorname{Extr} \operatorname{Expr}\left[{ }^{*} \mathbf{z}=(\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}]+\log [\mathbf{x}])+\mathbf{G}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] ;\right.$ ", 4, 13]
Out[2622]= "Sin[x+y]"
In[2623]:= ExtrExpr["z=(Sin[x+y] + Log[x])+F[x,y];", 1, 21]
Out[2623] $=$ "Log[x]+ $\operatorname{Sin}[x+y] "$
In[2624]:= ExtrExpr["z = (Sin[x + y] + Log[x] $)+\mathbf{F}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] ;$ ", 1, 36] Out[2624]= "F[x, y]+ $\log [\mathrm{x}]+\operatorname{Sin}[\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}]$ "
The ExtrExpr procedure is rather useful in a number of appendices, which are connected, first of all, with extraction of expressions from strings. The string structure is one ofbasic structures inMaple and inMathematica, for ensuring work with which both systems have a number of the effective enough means. However, ifMaple along with a rather small set of the built- in means has an expanded set of means from theStringTools module and a number of means from our library [47],Mathematica in this regard has less representative set of means. Meanwhile, the set of its standard means allows to program enough simply the lackingMaple-analogs, and other means of processing of strings. Our means of this orientation are represented in [48].

Unlike the StringFreeQ function, the procedure callStringDependQ $[x, y]$ returnsTrue, if a string $x$ contains entries of a substring $y$ or all substrings given by the listy, andFalse otherwise. Whereas the callStringDependQ $[x, y, z]$ in the presence of the third optional argument-an undefinite variable- throu it in addition returns the list of substrings that don't have entries into a stringx. The following fragment represents source code of the procedure StringDependQ along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2611] := StringDependQ[x_/; StringQ[x], y_/; StringQ[y]||ListStrQ[y], z__] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ Map[StringFreeQ[x, \#] \&, Flatten[\{y\}]l, b = \{\}, c = Length[y], $\mathbf{k}=1\}$, If[DeleteDuplicates[a] == \{False\}, True, If[\{z\}!= \{\}\&\&! HowAct[z], z = Select[Flatten[y], StringFreeQ[x, \#] \&]]; False]]
In[2612] := \{StringDependQ["abcd", \{"a", "d", "g", "s", "h", "t", "w"\}, t], t \} Out[2612]= \{False, \{"g", "s", "h", "t", "w"\}\}
In[2613]:= \{StringDependQ["abgschtdw", \{"a", "d", "g", "s", "h", "t", "w"\}, j], j\} Out[2613]= \{True, j$\}$

In a number of tasks of strings processing, there is a need of replacement not simply of substrings but substrings limited by the given substrings. The procedure solves one of such tasks, its callStringReplaceS[S,s1, s2] returns the result ofsubstitution into a stringS instead of entries into it of substrings $\boldsymbol{s 1}$ limited by strings " $x$ " on the left and on the right from the specified lists $\boldsymbol{L}$ and $\boldsymbol{R}$ respectively, by substringss2(StringLength[" $x$ "]= 1); at absence of such entries the procedure call returnsS. The following fragment represents source code of theStringReplaceS procedure with an example of its usage.

In[2691]:= StringReplaceS[S_/; StringQ[S], s1_String, s2_String] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ StringLength[S], $\mathbf{b}=$ StringPosition[S, $\mathbf{s 1}], \mathrm{c}=\{ \}, \mathrm{k}=1, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{L}=$
Characters["‘!@\#\%^\&*()\{\}:"V|<>?~-=+[];:’, 1234567890"], R =
Characters["‘!@\#\%^\&*()\{\}:"V|<>? $\sim[] ;: ’, "]\}$, If[b == \{\}, S, While[k <= Length[b], p = b[[k]]; If[Quiet[(p[[1]] == $1 \& \& p[[2]]==\mathbf{a})|\mid(p[[1]]==1 \& \&$ MemberQ[R, StringTake[S, $\{p[[2]]+1, p[[2]]+1\}]])|\mid(M e m b e r Q[L, ~ S t r i n g T a k e[S, ~\{p[[1]]-1$, $\mathrm{p}[[1]]-1\}]] \& \&$ MemberQ[R, StringTake[S, $\{\mathrm{p}[[2]]+1, \mathrm{p}[[2]]+1\}]]) \|(\mathrm{p}[[2]]==\mathbf{a}$ \&\& MemberQ[L, StringTake[S, \{p[[1]]-1, p[[1]]-1\}]])], c = Append[c, pl]; k++]; StringReplacePart[S, s2, cll]

In[2692]:= StringReplaceS["abc\& c + bd6abc-abc78*abc", "abc", "xyz"] Out[2692]= "xyz\& c+ bd6xyz- abc78*xyz"

The given procedure, in particular, is a rather useful means at processing of definitions of blocks and modules in respect of operating with their formal arguments and local variables.

In a number of cases at processing of strings it is necessary to extract from them the substrings limited by the symbol \{"\}, i.e."strings in strings". This problem is solved by the procedure, whose callStrFromStr[ $x$ ] returns the list of such substrings that are in a string $x$; otherwise, the callStrFromStr[ $x$ ] returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. The following fragment represents source code of the procedure along with typical examples of its application.
In[3050] := StrFromStr[x_/; StringQ[x] $:=$ Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ """, $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}\}, \mathbf{b}=$

DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[StringPosition[x, a]]]; For[k, k <= Length[b]-1, k++, c = Append[c, ToExpression[StringTake[x, $\{\mathbf{b}[[k]], \mathrm{b}[[\mathrm{k}+1]]\}] 1] ; \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{k}+1] ; \mathrm{c}]$
In[3051] := StrFromStr["12345"678abc"xyz"48080"mnph"] Out[3051]= \{"678abc", "910"\}
In[3052]:= StrFromStr["123456789"]
Out[3052]= \{ $\}$
Unlike the standardStringSplit function, the callStringSplit1[x,y] performs semantic splitting of a string $x$ by a symboly onto elements of the returned list. In this case the semantics is reduced to the point that in the returned list only those substrings of the stringx which contain correct expressions are placed; for lack of such substrings the procedure call returns the empty list. TheStringSplit1 procedure appears as a quite useful means, in particular, at programming of means of processing of headings of blocks, functions and modules. The comparative analysis ofStringSplit andStringSplit1 speaks well for the last. The next fragment represents source code of the procedure StringSplit1 along with typical examples of its application.
In[2950]:= StringSplit1[x_/; StringQ[x], y_/; StringQ[y] ||
StringLength[y] == 1] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ StringSplit[ $\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{y}], \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}=\{ \}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}=1, \mathbf{j}=1\}, \mathbf{d}=$ Length[a]; Label[G]; For[k = j, k <= d, k++, p = a[[k]]; If[! SameQ[Quiet[ToExpression[p]], \$Failed], AppendTo[c, p], b = a[[k]]; For[j = k, j <= d-1, j++, b = b <> y <> a[[j + 1]]; If[! SameQ[Quiet[ToExpression[b]], \$Failed], AppendTo[c, b]; Goto[G], Null]]l]; c]

In[2951] := StringSplit["x_String, y_Integer, z_/; MemberQ[\{1,2,3,4,5\}, z]|| IntegerQ[z], h_, s_String, c_/; StringQ[c] || StringLength[c] == 1", ","] Out[2951]= \{"x_String", "y_Integer", "z_/;MemberQ[\{1","2","3"," 4","5\}", "z] ||IntegerQ[z]", " h_", " s_String", " s_/; StringQ[y]||StringLength[y]== 1"\} In[2952]:= StringSplit1["x_String, y_Integer, z_/; MemberQ[\{1,2,3,4,5\}, z]|| IntegerQ[z], h_, s_String, c_/; StringQ[c] || StringLength[c] == 1", ","] Out[2952]= \{"x_String", " y_Integer", " z_/; MemberQ[\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}, z]|| IntegerQ[z]", " h_", "s_String", "h_/; StringQ[y]|| StringLength[y]== 1"\}

A number of the problems dealing with processing of strings do theSubsStr procedure as a rather useful, whose callSubsStr[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{t}]$ returns result of replacement in a string $\boldsymbol{x}$ of all entries of substrings formed byconcatenation (on the right att=1or at the left att=0) of substringsy with strings from a list $\boldsymbol{h}$, onto strings from the listh respectively. At impossibility of carrying out replacement the initial string $x$ is returned. TheSubsStr procedure appears as a useful means, for example, at programming of means of processing of the body of procedure in string format that containslocal variables. Whereas the callSubsBstr[ $\mathbf{S}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ ] returns the list of all nonintersecting substrings in a stringS that are limited by symbols $x$ andy, otherwise the empty list, i.e. $\}$ is returned. The following fragment represents source codes of procedures SubsStr andSubsBstr along with examples of their usage.
In[2209]:= SubsStr[x_/; StringQ[x], y_/; StringQ[y], h_/; ListQ[h], t_/;
MemberQ[\{0, 1\}, t]]:= Module[\{a = Map[ToString, h], b\},
If[StringFreeQ[x, y], Return[x], b = If[t == 1, Map3[StringJoin, $y$, $a$ ],

Mapp[StringJoin, a, y]l]; If[StringFreeQ[x, b], Return[x], StringReplace[x, Map9[Rule, b, h]I]]
In[2210]:= SubsStr["Module[ $\{\mathbf{a} \$=\$$ CallProc, $\mathbf{b} \$, \mathbf{c} \$\}, \mathbf{x}+$ StringLength[y] + b\$* $\mathbf{c}$;
b\$-c\$; a\$]", "\$", \{",", "]", "[", "\}", " ", ";", "*", "^", "-"\}, 1]
Out[2210]= "Module[ $\{a=$ \$CallProc,b,c $\}, x+S t r i n g L e n g t h[y]+b * c ; ~ b-c ; ~ a] " ~$
In[2438]:= SubsBstr[S_/; StringQ[S], x_/; CharacterQ[x],
$\mathbf{y}_{-} /$; CharacterQ[y]] := Module[\{a = \{\}, c, h, n, m, s = S, p, t \}, c[s_, p_, t_] :=
DeleteDuplicates[Map10[StringFreeQ, $\mathbf{s},\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}\}]]==\{$ False $\}$;
While[c[s, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}], \mathrm{n}=$ StringPosition[s, $\mathbf{x}, 1][[1]][[1]] ; \mathrm{s}=$ StringTake[s, $\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{- 1}\}] ; \mathbf{m}=$
StringPosition[s, y, 1]; If[m == \{\}, Return[], m=m[[1]][[1]]]; AppendTo[a, h=
StringTake[s, $\{1, m\}]$ ]; $=$ StringReplace[s, h-> ""]; Continue[]]; a]
In[2439] := SubsBstr["123452333562675243655", "2", "5"]
Out[2439]= \{"2345", "23335", "2675", "24365"\}
In[2440]:= SubsBstr["123452333562675243655", "9", "5"]
Out[2440]= $\}$
The following procedure SubStrSymbolParity presents undoubted interest at processing of definitions of the blocks/functions/modules given in string format. The
callSubStrSymbolParity $[\boldsymbol{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{d}]$ with four arguments returns the list of substrings of a string $\boldsymbol{x}$ that are limited by one-character stringsy, $\mathbf{z}(\boldsymbol{y} \neq \mathbf{z})$; at that, search of such substrings in the string $\boldsymbol{x}$ is done fromleft to right( $\boldsymbol{d = 0}$ ), and from right to left( $\boldsymbol{d}=\mathbf{1}$ ). While callSubStrSymbolParity $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{d}, \boldsymbol{t}]$ with the fifth optional argument-a positive numbert>0 - provides search in substring of $\boldsymbol{x}$ that is limited by a positiont and the end of string $\boldsymbol{x}$ at $\boldsymbol{d}=\mathbf{0}$, and by the beginning of string $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{t}$ atd=1. In case of receiving of inadmissible arguments the procedure call is returned unevaluated, while at impossibility of extraction of the demanded substrings the procedure call returns\$Failed. This procedure is a rather useful means, in particular, at the solution of problems of extraction in definitions of procedures of the list of local variables, headings of procedures, etc. The fragment represents source code of theSubStrSymbolParity procedure with examples of its application
In[2533]:= SubStrSymbolParity[x_/; StringQ[x], y_/; CharacterQ[y], z_/;
CharacterQ[z], d_/; MemberQ[\{0, 1\}, d], t__/; t == \{\}|| PosIntQ[\{t\}[[1]]]]:=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}=\{ \}, \mathbf{c}=\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{m}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{h}\}, \operatorname{If}[\{\mathbf{t}\}==\{ \}, \mathbf{f}=\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{f}=$ StringTake[x, If[d == 0, \{t, StringLength[x]\}, \{1, t\}]]]; If[Map10[StringFreeQ, f, c] != \{False, False $\} \| y==z$, Return[l], $\mathbf{a}=$ StringPosition[f, If[d == 0, c[[1]], c[[2]]]]]; For[k, $k<=$ Length[a], $k++, j=\operatorname{If}[d==\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{a}[[k]][[1]]+1, a[[k]][[2]]-1] ; h=\operatorname{If[d}==\mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$; While[m != n, $\mathbf{p}=$ Quiet[Check[StringTake[f, $\{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}\}]$, Return[\$Failed]]]; If[p == $\mathbf{y}, \mathrm{If}\left[\mathrm{d}=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{m + +} \mathbf{n}^{++}\right]$;
If[d == 0, h = h <> p, h = p <> h], If[p == z, If[d == 0, n++, m++]; If[d == 0, h = h <>

AppendTo[b, h]; m=1; n=0; h="]; b]
In[2534] := SubStrSymbolParity["12345\{abcdfgh\}67\{rans\}8\{ian\}9", "\{", "\}", 0] Out[1534]= \{"\{abcdfgh\}", "\{rans\}", "\{ian\}"\}

In[2535]:= SubStrSymbolParity["12345\{abcdfg\}67\{rans\}8\{ian\}9", "\{", "\}", 0, 7] Out[2535]= \{"\{rans\}", "\{ian\}"\}
In[2536]:= SubStrSymbolParity["12345\{abcdfgh\}67\{rans\}8\{ian\}9", "\{", "\}", 1] Out[2536]= \{"\{abcdfgh\}", "\{rans\}", "\{ian\}"\}
In[2537]:= SubStrSymbolParity["12345\{abfgh\}67\{rans\}8\{ian\}9", "\{", "\}", 1, 25] Out[2537]= \{"\{abfgh\}", "\{rans\}"\}
In[2538]:= SubStrSymbolParity["12345\{abch\}67\{rans\}8\{ian\}9", "\{", "\}", 1,-80] Out[2538]= SubStrSymbolParity["12345\{abch\}67\{rans\}8\{ian\}9", "\{", "\}",1,-80]
Meanwhile, in many cases it is quite possible to use a simpler and reactive version of this procedure, whose callSubStrSymbolParity1[ $x, y, z]$ with3 factual arguments returns the list of substrings of a string $x$ that are limited by one-character strings $\{y, z\}(y \neq z)$; at that, search of such substrings is done from left to right. In the absence of the desired substrings the procedure call returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. The following fragment represents source code of theSubStrSymbolParity1 procedure along with examples of its usage.
In[2023] := SubStrSymbolParity1[x_/; StringQ[x], y_/; CharacterQ[y], z_/;
CharacterQ[z]] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{p}, \mathrm{a}=$
DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[StringPosition[x, y]I], b=
DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[StringPosition[x, z]I]\},
If[a == \{\}||b==\{\}, \{\}, For[k,k<= Length[a], $\mathbf{k + +}, \mathbf{p}=$ StringTake[x, $\{\mathbf{a}[[\mathbf{k}]]$, a[[k]]\}]; For[j = a[[k]] + 1, j <= StringLength[x], j++, p = p <> StringTake[x, \{j, j\}]; If[StringCount[p, y] == StringCount[p, z], AppendTo[c, p]; Break[]I]]; c]]
In[2024] := SubStrSymbolParity1["Definition2[Function[\{x, y\}, x*Sin[y]]", "\{", "\}"] Out[2024]= $\{$ " $\{x, y\} "\}$
In[2025]:= SubStrSymbolParity1["G[x_String, y_, z_/; ListQ[z]]:= Block[\{\}, $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}]$ ", "[", "]"]
Out[2025]= \{"[x_String, y_, z_/; ListQ[z]]", "[z]", "[\{\}, \{x, y, z\}]"\}
The following simple enough procedure is a very useful modification of the
SubStrSymbolParity1 procedure; its callStrSymbParity[S, $\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ ] returns a list, whose elements are substrings of a string $S$ that have formatsw format on condition of parity of the minimum number of entries into a substringw of symbolsx, $\boldsymbol{y}$ ( $\boldsymbol{x} \neq \boldsymbol{y}$ ). In the absence of such substrings or identity of symbols $x, y$, the call returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. The following fragment represents source code of theStrSymbParity procedure with examples of its usage.

In[2175]:= StrSymbParity[S_/; StringQ[S], S1_/; StringQ[S1], x_/; StringQ[x] \& \& StringLength[x] == 1, y_/; StringQ[y] \&\& StringLength[y] == 1] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{b}=\{ \}, \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{S 1}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{a}=$ StringPosition[S, S1]\}, If[x == $\mathbf{y} \| \mathbf{a}==\{ \},\{ \}$, For $[\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}$ <= Length[a], $\mathbf{k + +}$, For[j $=\mathbf{a}[[k]][[2]]+1, \mathbf{j}<=$ StringLength $[S], j++, ~ c=c$ <> StringTake[S, \{j, j\}]; If[StringCount[c, x] != 0 \&\& StringCount[c, y] != 0 \&\& StringCount[c, x] === StringCount[c, y], AppendTo[b, c]; c = S1; Break[]l]I]; b]
In[2176] := StrSymbParity["12345[678]9[abcd]", "34", "[", "]"]
Out[2176]= \{"345[678]" $\}$
In[2177]:= StrSymbParity["12345[6[78]9", "34", "[", "]"]
Out[2177]= \{ $\}$

In[2178]:= StrSymbParity["12345[678]9[ab34cd[x]34[a, b]", "34", "[", "]"] Out[2178]= \{"345[678]", "34cd[x]", "34[a, b]"\}
Procedures SubStrSymbolParity, SubStrSymbolParity1 \& StrSymbParity are rather useful tools, in particular, at processing of definitions of modules and blocks given in string format. These procedures are used by a number of means of theAVZ_Package package [48].
The SubsStrLim procedure presents a quite certain interest for a number of appendices which rather significantly use procedure of extraction from the strings of substrings of a quite certain format. The next fragment represents source code of theSubsStrLim procedure along with examples of its usage.
In[2542] := SubsStrLim[x_/; StringQ[x], y_/; StringQ[y] \&\&
StringLength $[y]==1, z_{-} /$; StringQ[z] \& \& StringLength $\left.[z]==1\right]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{a, b=x$ <> FromCharacterCode[6], c =y, d=\{\}, p, j, k=1, n, h\}, If[! StringFreeQ[b, y] \&\& ! StringFreeQ[b, z], a =StringPosition [b, y];
$\mathbf{n}=$ Length[a]; For[k, $\mathbf{k}<=\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k + +}, \mathbf{p}=\mathbf{a}[[\mathrm{k}]][[1]] ; \mathbf{j}=\mathbf{p}$;
While[h=Quiet[StringTake[b,\{j+1, j+1\}]]; h != z, c=c <>h; j++]; c=c <>z;
If[StringFreeQ[StringTake[c, \{2,-2\}], \{y, z\}], AppendTo[d, c]]; c = yl];

## Select[d, StringFreeQ[\#, FromCharacterCode[6]] \&]]

In[2543] := SubsStrLim["1234363556aaa36", "3", "6"]
Out[2543]= \{"36", "3556", "36"\}
In[2544]:= SubsStrLim[DefOpt["SubsStrLim"], "\{", "\}"]
Out[2544]= \{"\{\}", "\{j+ 1, j+ 1\}", "\{2,-2\}", "\{y, z\}"\}
In[2545]:= SubsStrLim["1234363556aaa363", "3", "3"]
Out[2545]= \{"343", "363", "3556aaa3", "363"\}
The call SubsStrLim[ $\mathbf{x , y , z ]}$ returns the list of substrings of a string $x$ that are limited by symbols $\{\boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}\}$ provided that these symbols don't belong to these substrings, excepting their ends. In particular, theSubsStrLim procedure is a quite useful means at need of extracting from of definitions of functions, blocks and modules given in string format of some components composing them that are limited by certain symbols, at times, significantly simplifying a number of procedures of processing of such definitions. Whereas, the call SubsStrLim1 $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}]$ of the procedure that is an useful modification of the previousSubsStrLim procedure, returns the list of substrings of a string $x$ that are limited by symbols $\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}$ provided that these symbols or don't enter into substrings, excepting their ends, or along with their ends have identical number of entries of pairs $\{\boldsymbol{y}$, z\} [48], for example:
In[2215] := SubsStrLim1["art[kr[xyz]sv][rans]80[[480]]", "[", "]"] Out[2215]= \{"[kr[xyz]sv]", "[xyz]", "[rans]", "[[480]]", "[480]"\}
In[2216]:= SubsStrLim1["G[x_] := Block[\{a=80, b=480, c=2014\},
( $\mathbf{a}^{\wedge} 2+b^{\wedge}$ 3 + c^4)*x]", " \{", "\}"]
Out[2216]= $\{"\{a=80, b=480, c=2014\} "\}$
The mechanism of string patterns is quite often used for extraction of some structures from text strings. In a certain degree the the given mechanism we can quite consider as a
special programming language of text structures and strings. The mechanism of string patterns provides a rather serious method to make various processing of string structures. At that, acquaintance with special languages of processing of strings in many cases allows to determine string patterns by the notation of regular expressions which are determined in theMathematica system on the basis of theRegularExpression function. The interested reader is sent to $[60,71]$ or to the reference on the system. In this light theRedSymbStr procedure is represented as a quite useful means whose call $\operatorname{RedSymbStr}[x, y, z]$ returns result ofreplacement of all substrings consisting of a symboly, of a string $x$ onto a symbol or a stringz. In case of lack of occurrences of $y$ in $x$, the procedure call returns the initial stringx. The fragment represents source code of the procedure with examples of use. In[2202]:= RedSymbStr[x_/; StringQ[x], y_/; SymbolQ1[y], z_String] :=
Module[ \{a = StringPosition[x, y], b\}, If[StringFreeQ[x, y], x, b = Map[\#[[1]] \&, a]]; b = Sort[DeleteDuplicates[Map[Length, Split[b, \#2-\#1 == 1 \&]]], Greater]; b = Mapp[Rule, Map3[StringMultiple, y, b], z];
In[2203] := RedSymbStr["a b c d ef Out[2203]= "a b c d ef gh x y z" In[2204]:= RedSymbStr["a b c d ef Out[2204]= "abcdefghxyz"
In[2205]:= RedSymbStr["a b c d ef Out[2205]= "aGGGbGGGcGGGdGGGefGGGghGGGxGGGyGGGz" In[2206]:= RedSymbStr["a b c d ef gh x y z", "x", "GGG"] Out[2206]= "a b c d ef gh GGG y z"
So, the strings generated by earlier considered ToString1 procedure can be called asStringStrings(strings of strings, or the nested strings) as in the case of lists; a quite simple function can be used for their testing, whose the call StringStringQ[x] returnsTrue if an expressionx represents a string of type StringStrings, andFalse otherwise. In a certain sense ToString1 procedure generates the nested strings analogously to the nested lists, and the level of nesting of a string $x$ can be determined by the simple procedure whose call StringLevels[ $x$ ] returns the nesting level of a string $x$ provided that the zero level corresponds to the standard string, i.e. a string of the form"hhh... $\boldsymbol{h}$ ". The fragment below represents source codes of functionStringStringQ and procedureStringLevels along with typical examples of their usage.

## In[2237]:= StringStringQ[x_] := If[! StringQ[x], False, If[SuffPref[x, """, 1] \& \& SuffPref[x, """, 2], True, False]]

In[2238] := Map[StringStringQ, \{""vsvartkr"", "vsv\artlkr", a + b, ""\"vsvlartlkr\"""\}]
Out[2238]= \{True, False, False, True $\}$
In[2703]:= StringLevels[x_/; StringQ[x]] := Module[\{a = x, n=-1\},
While[StringQ[a], a = ToExpression[a]; n++; Continue[l]; n] In[2704]:=
Map[StringLevels, \{"agn", ""vsv"", ""\"art\""", rans\}] Out[2704]= \{0, 1, 2, StringLevels[rans]\}
For the purpose ofsimplification of programming of a number of procedures proved useful to define the procedure, whose callSubsPosSymb[x, $n, y, z]$
StringReplace[x, b]l gh x y z", " ", " "]
gh x y z", " ", ""]
gh x y z"," ", "GGG"]
returns a substring of a string $x$ which is limited on the right(at the left) by a positionn, and at the left(on the right) by a symbol from a listy; in addition, search in stringx is done from left to $\operatorname{right}(\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{0})$ and from right to left( $\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{1})$. The procedure call on inadmissible arguments is returned unevaluated. The following fragment represents source code of theSubsPosSymb procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2942] := SubsPosSymb[x_/; StringQ[x], n_/; PosIntQ[n], y_/; ListQ[y]\&\& DeleteDuplicates[Map[CharacterQ, y]] ==\{True\}, $\left.z_{-} / ; z_{z=0}^{0} \| \mathbf{z}==1\right]:=$ Module[\{a $=\cdots, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b}\}$, If[n $>$ StringLength[x], Return[Defer[SubsPosSymb[x, n, y, z]]], While[If[z == 0,k>= 1, k <= StringLength[x]], b = StringTake[x, \{k, k\}]; If[! MemberQ[y, b], If[z == 0, a = b <> a, a = a <> b], Break[I]; If[z == 0, k-, k++]]; a]]
In[2943] := SubsPosSymb["123456789abcdfght", 5, \{"g"\}, 1] Out[2943]= "56789abcdf"
In[2944]:= SubsPosSymb["123456789abcdfght", 16, \{"z"\}, 0]
Out[2944]= "123456789abcdfgh"
The rather simple procedure ListStrToStr represents undoubted interest at processing of lists in string format, more precisely, the callListStrToStr[ $x]$ where argumentx has format $\{$ " $a$ ", " $b$ ", $\ldots$.$\} converts \boldsymbol{x}$ into string of format "a,b, $c, \ldots$ ", if the procedure call uses only an arbitrary actual argumentx; if the procedure call uses an arbitrary expression as the second argument, the call returns a string of format"abcde...". The following fragment represents source code of theListStrToStr procedure along with examples of its usage.
In[3828]:= ListStrToStr[x_/; ListQ[x] \&\&
DeleteDuplicates[Map[StringQ, x]] $==\{$ True $\left.\}, \mathbf{p}_{\ldots}\right]$ ]:
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ "" $\}$, $\operatorname{If}[\{\mathbf{p}\}==\{ \}, \operatorname{Do[a}=\mathbf{a}<>\mathbf{x [ [ k ] ]}<>$ ", ", \{k, Length[x]\}];
StringTake[a, \{1,-3\}], StringJoin[x]]] In[3829]:= ListStrToStr[\{"a", "b", "c", "d", "h", "t", "k", "Art", "Kr", "Rans"\}] Out[3829]= "a, b, c, d, h, t, k, Art, Kr, Rans" In[3830]:= ListStrToStr[\{"a*b","*","t[x]","-","(c-d)","*", "j[y]", " ==", "6"\}, 6] Out[3830]= "a*b*t[x]- (c-d)*j[y]==6"

The following procedure is a rather useful means for ensuring of converting of strings of a certain structure into lists of strings. In particular, such tasks arise at processing of formal arguments and local variables. This problem is solved rather effectively by theStrToList procedure, providing converting of strings of format" $\{\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x x} \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x x x} . . . \boldsymbol{x}\}$ " into the list of
 in an initial string of both limiting symbols $\{"\{", "\} "\}$ the string is converted into the list of symbols according to the callCharacters["xxxxx $\ldots \boldsymbol{x}$ "]. The next fragment represents source code of theStrToList procedure with examples of its use.
In[2190] := StrToList[x_/; StringQ[x]] := Module[\{a, b=\{\}, c=\{\}, d, h, $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1 , j}, \mathbf{y}=$ If[StringTake[x, $\{1,1\}]==$ " $\{$ " \&\& StringTake[x, $\{-1,-1\}]==$ "\}", StringTake[x, $\{2,-2\}], \mathrm{x}]\}$, a = DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[StringPosition[y, "="]] + 2]; d = StringLength[y]; If[a == \{\}, Map[StringTrim, StringSplit[y, ","]], While[k <= Length[a], c = ""; j = a[[k]]; For[j, j <= d, j++, c = c <> StringTake[y, \{j, j\}]; If[! SameQ[Quiet[ToExpression[c]], \$Failed] \&\& (j == d || StringTake[x, \{j+1, j + 1\}] == ","), AppendTo[b, c-> ToString[Unique[\$Art\$Kr\$1]]; Break[]]]; k++]; h = Map[StringTrim, StringSplit[StringReplace[y, b], ","]]; Mapp[StringReplace, h,

## RevRules[b]]I]

In[2191] := StrToList["Kr, a = 80, $\mathbf{b}=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}, \mathbf{c}=\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{m},\{42, \mathbf{4 7}, \mathbf{6 7}\}\}$ "] Out[2191]= $\{" K r ", " a=80 ", " b=\{x, y, z\} ", " c=\{n, m,\{42,47,67\}\} "\} \operatorname{In}[2192]:=$ StrToList[" $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ $\left.=\mathbf{8 0}, \mathbf{c}=\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\}\}^{\text {" }}\right]$
Out[2192]= \{"a", "b= 80", "c= $\{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}\}$ " $\}$
In[2193]:= Map[StrToList, $\{$ " $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\} ", ~ " a, ~ b, ~ c, ~ d "\}] ~$
Out[2193]= \{\{"a", "b", "c", "d"\}, \{"a", "b", "c", "d"\}\}
In[2194]:= RevRules[x_/; RuleQ[x] || ListQ[x] \&\&
DeleteDuplicates[Map[RuleQ, x]] == \{True $\}$ ] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\operatorname{Flatten}[\{\mathbf{x}\}], \mathbf{b}\}, \mathbf{b}=$ Map[\#[[2]]-> \#[[1]] \&, a]; If[Length[b] == 1, b[[1]], b]]
In[2195] := RevRules[\{x-> a, y-> b, z-> c, h-> g, m-> n\}]
Out[2195]= \{a-> x, b-> y, c-> z, g-> h, n $->m\}$
The above procedure is intended for converting of strings of format" $\{x \ldots x\}$ " or" $x \ldots x$ " into the list of strings received from strings of the specified format that are parted by symbols"=" and/or comma",". Fragment examples quite visually illustrate the principle of performance of the procedure along with formats of the returned results. Moreover, the fragment is ended by a quite simple and useful procedure, whose callRevRules[ $x$ ] returns the rule or list of rules that are reverse to the rules determined by an argumentx - a rule of formata $\boldsymbol{- >} \boldsymbol{b}$ or their list. TheRevRules is essentially used by theStrToList.

The next means are useful at work with string structures. The procedure call StringPat[x, $y$ ] returns the string expression formed by strings of a listx and objects \{"_", "_", "__"\}; the call returns $\boldsymbol{x}$ if $\boldsymbol{x}$ - a string. The procedure call StringCases1[ $x, y, z]$ returns the list of the substrings in a stringx that match a string expression, created by the callStringPat $[x, y]$. Whereas the function callStringFreeQ1[ $x, y, z]$ returnsTrue if no substring in a string $x$ matches a string expression, created by the callStringPat $[x, y]$, andFalse otherwise. In the fragment below, source codes of the abovethree means with examples of their usage are represented.

In[2583] := StringPat[x_/; StringQ[x] || ListStringQ[x],
y_/; MemberQ[\{",", "_"", "__"\}, y]]:= Module[\{a ="", b\},
If[StringQ[x], x, b =Map[ToString1, x]; ToExpression[StringJoin[Map[\# <> "~~" <> y <> "~~" \& b[[1 ;;-2]]], b[[-1]]]I]]
In[2584]:= StringPat[ \{"ab", "df", "k"\}, "_,"]
Out[2584]= "ab" ~~ _ ~~"df" ~~ _ ~~"k"
In[2585] := StringCases1[x_/; StringQ[x], y_/; StringQ[y]||ListStringQ[y], z_/;
MemberQ[\{"_", "__", "__"\}, z]]:= Module[\{b, c = "", d, k=1\},
Sort[Flatten[Map[DeleteDuplicates, If[StringQ[y], \{StringCases[x, y]\}, \{StringCases[x, StringPat[y, z], Overlaps-> All]\}]ll]]
In[2587]:= StringCases1["abcdfghkaactabcfgfhkt", \{"ab", "df", "k"\}, "__"]
Out[2587]= \{"abcdfghk", "abcdfghkaactabcfgfhk"\}
In[2588] := StringFreeQ1[x_/; StringQ[x], y_/; StringQ[y]||ListStringQ[y], z_/;
MemberQ[\{"_", "_", "__"\}, z]] := If[StringQ[y], StringFreeQ[x, y],
If[StringCases1[x, y, z] == \{\}, True, False]] In[2589]:=

StringFreeQ1["abcfghkaactabcfghkt", \{"ab", "df", "k"\}, "__"] Out[2589]= True
In[2590]:= StringFreeQ1["abcdfghkaactabcfghkt", \{"ab", "df", "k"\}, "__"] Out[2590]= False

The above means are used by a number of means of $A V Z_{-}$Package package, enough frequently essentially improving the programming algorithms that deal with string expressions.

Both the system means, and our means of processing of strings represented in the present book form effective tools for processing of objects of the given type. The above means of processing of string structures similar to means of Maple have been based as on rather widely used standard means of system Mathematica, and on our means presented in the present book, very clearly demonstrating relative simplicity of programming inMath-language of the means similar to means ofMaple as its main competitor. At that, existence inMathematica of rather developed set of means for operating with string patterns allows to create effective and developed systems of processing of string structures which by many important indicators surpass possibilities ofMaple. Furthermore, the means of processing of string structures which have been programmed in theMathlanguage not only are more effective at temporal relation, but also theMathematica system for their programming has the advanced functional means, including rather powerful mechanism of string patterns allowing to speak about a pattern type of programming and providing developed means of processing of strings on the level which not significantly yield to specialized languages of text processing.

So, our experience of usage of both systems for programming of means for operating with string structures showed that standard means of Maple by many essential indicators yield to the means of the same type of theMath- language. For problems of this type theMathlanguage appears simpler not only in connection with more developed means, but also a procedural and functional paradigm allowing to use mechanism of pure functions. So, the present chapter represents a number of the means expanding the standard facilities of system that are oriented on work with string structures. These and other means of this type are located in our package [48]. At that, their correct use assumes that this package is uploaded into the current session.

## Chapter 4. Additional means of processing of sequences and lists in theMathematicasoftware

At programming of many appendices the usage not of separate expressions, but their sets formed in the form of lists is expedient. At such organization instead of calculations of separate expressions there is an opportunity to do the demanded operations as over lists in a whole-unified objects- and over their separate elements. Lists of various types represent important and one of the most often used structures inMathematica. InMathematica system many functions have theListable-attribute saying that an operator or block, function, module $\boldsymbol{F}$ with this attribute are automatically applicable to each element of the list used respectively as their operand or argument. The call ListableQ[x] of simple function returnsTrue if $x$ hasListable-attribute, and False otherwise [48]. Meanwhile, a number of the operations havingListable- attribute requires compliance on length of the
lists operands, otherwise the corresponding erroneous situations are initiated. With the view of removal of this shortcoming theList $\mathbf{O p}$ procedure has been offered whose the call ListOp[ $x, y, z]$ returns the list whose elements are results of application of a procedure/functionz to the corresponding elements of lists $x$ and $y$; at that, in case of various lengths of such lists the procedure is applied to both lists within their common minimum length, without causing faulty situationns. TheListOp procedure substantially supposes the pure functions as the3rd argument what considerably allows to expand a class of functions as the3rd argument. In principle,Listable-attribute can be ascribed to any procedure / function of arity1, providing its correct call on a list as the actual argument, as the following simple example illustrates, namely:
$\operatorname{In}[2450]:=\{\mathbf{G}[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h}\}]$, SetAttributes[G, Listable], G[\{a, b, c, d, h\}]\} Out[2450]= \{G[\{a, b, c, d, h\}], Null, \{G[a], G[b], G[c], G[d], G[h]\}\} At the formal level for a block, function or moduleF of arity1 it is possible to note the following defining relation, namely:

## $\operatorname{Map}[F,\{a, b, c, d, \ldots\}] \equiv\{F[a], F[b], F[c], F[d], \ldots\}$

where in the left part the procedure $\boldsymbol{F}$ can be both withListable attribute, and without it whereas in the right part the existence of theListable attribute for a block, module or a function $\boldsymbol{F}$ is supposed. At that, for blocks, functions or modules without theListable attribute for receiving its effect the systemMap function is used. For ensuring existence of theListable attribute for a block / function/module the simpleListableC procedure can be rather useful [48]. TheMathematica system at manipulation with the list structures has certain shortcomings among which impossibility of direct assignment to elements of a list of expressions is, as the following simple example illustrates: $\operatorname{In}[2412]:=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g}$, $\mathbf{s , ~} \mathbf{x , ~ y , ~ z}\}[[10]]=\mathbf{9 0}$
Set ::setps: \{a,b,c,d,h,g,s,x,y,z\} in the part assignment is not a symbol.>> Out[2412]= 90 In[2413]:= z
Out[2413]= z
In order tosimplify the implementation of procedures that use similar direct assignments to the list elements, theListAssignP procedure is used, whose callListAssignP $[x, \boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ returns the updated value of a listx which is based on results of assignment of a valuey or the list of values to elementsn of the listx wheren - one position or their list. Moreover, if the listsn andy have different lengths, their common minimum value is chosen.
TheListAssignP expands functionality of theMathematica, doing quite correct assignments to the list elements what the system fully doesn’t provide. Fragment below represents source code of theListAssignP along with examples of its usage.
In[2693]:= ListAssignP[x_/; ListQ[x], n_/; PosIntQ[n]||PosIntListQ[n], y_] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[\{n\}]], $\mathbf{b}=$ Flatten[ $\{\mathbf{y}\}], \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{k}=1\}$,
If[a[[ -1]] > Length[x], Return[Defer[ListAssignP[x, n, y]l], c = Min[Length[a],
Length[b]l]; While[k <= c, Quiet[Check[ToExpression[ToString[x[[a[[k]]I]] <> "= " <> ToString1[If[ListQ[n], b[[k]], y]l], Null]]; k++]; If[NestListQ1[x], x[[-1]], x]]

[^0]Out[2695]= $\{x, 73,67\}$
In[2696]:= Clear[x, y, z]; ListAssignP[\{x, y, z\}, 3, \{42, 72, 2015\}] Out[2696]= \{42, 72, 2015\}
Along with theListAssignP procedure expediently to in addition determine simple function whose callListStrQ $[x]$ returnsTrue if all elements of a listx

- expressions in string format, andFalse otherwise. The following fragment represents source code of theListStrQ function with an example of its use.
In[2599] := ListStrQ[x_/; ListQ[x]] := Length[Select[x, StringQ[\#] \&]] == Length[x] \&\& Length $[\mathrm{x}]$ != 0
In[2600]:= Map[ListStrQ, \{ \{"a", "b", "a", "b"\}, \{"a", "b", a, "a", b\}, \{"A", "K"\}\}] Out[2600]= \{True, False, True $\}$

The following procedure is useful enough in procedural programming, its callListAssign $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ provides assignment of values of a listx to the generated variables ofy\$nnn format, returning the nested list, whose the first element determines list of the generated "y\$nnn" variables in string format, whereas the second defines the list of the values assigned to them from the listx. The ListAssign procedure is of interest, first of all, in problems of the dynamical generation of variables with assigning values to them. The fragment below represents source code of the procedure along with an example of its usage.
In[2221] := ListAssign[x_/; ListQ[x], y_/; SymbolQ[y]]:= Module[\{a =\{\}, b\}, Do[a= Append[a, Unique[y]], \{k, Length[x] $\}$ ]; $\mathbf{b}=$ Map[ToString, $\mathbf{a}$;
ToExpression[ToString[a] <> "=" <> ToString1[x]]; \{b, a\}]
In[2222]:= ListAssign[\{47, 25, 18, 67, 72\}, h]
Out[2222]= \{\{"h\$533", "h\$534", "h\$535", "h\$536", "h\$537"\}, \{47, 25, 18, 67, 72\}\}
In the Mathematica for grouping of expressions along with simple lists also more complex list structures in the form of the nested lists are used, whose elements are also lists(sublists). In this connection the lists of ListList-type, whose elements- sublists ofidentical length are of special interest. For simple lists the system has the testing function; whose callList $\mathrm{Q}[x]$ returnsTrue, if $\boldsymbol{x}$ - a list, andFalse otherwise. While for testing of thenested lists we defined the useful enough functionsNestListQ, NestListQ1, NestQL, ListListQ [33, 48]. These means are quite often used as a part of the testing components of headings of procedures and functions both from ourAVZ_Package package [48], and in various blocks, functions and modules, first of all, that are used in problems of the system character [28-33].
In addition to the above testing functions some useful functions of the same class that are quite useful in programming of means to processing of the list structures of any organization have been created $[33,48]$. Among them can be noted testing means such asBinaryListQ, IntegerListQ, ListNumericQ, ListSymbolQ, PosIntQ, PosIntListQ, ListExprHeadQ. In particular, the call ListExprHeadQ[v,h] returnsTrue if a listv contains only elements meeting the conditionHead $[\boldsymbol{a}]=\boldsymbol{h}$, andFalse otherwise. In addition, the testing means process all elements of the analyzed list, including all its sublists of any level of nesting. The next fragment represents source code of theListExprHeadQ function along with typical examples of its usage.

In[2576]:= ListExprHeadQ[x_/; ListQ[x], h_] :=
Length[x]==Length[Select[x, Head[\#]===h\&]]
In[2577] := \{ListExprHeadQ[\{a + b, c-d\}, Plus], ListExprHeadQ[\{a*b, c/d\}, Times],
ListExprHeadQ[\{a^b, (c+a)^d\}, Power]\}
Out[2577]= \{True, True, True $\}$
The above means are often used at programming of the problems oriented on processing of list structures. These and other means of the given type are located in our package [48]. In addition, their correct usage assumes that the package is uploaded into the current session.
The usefulSelectPos function provides the choice from a list of elements by their given positions. The callSelectPos[x,y,z] returns the list with elements of a listx, whose numbers of positions are different from elements of a listy (atz=1) whereas atz=2 the list with elements of the listx whose numbers of positions coincide with elements of the integer listy is returned. Fragment below represents source code of the function with examples of its usage.
In[2696]:= SelectPos[x_/; ListQ[x], y_/; ListQ[y] \&\&
DeleteDuplicates[Map[IntegerQ[\#] \&\& \# > 0 \&, y]] == \{True\}, z_/; MemberQ[\{1, 2\}, z]] := Select[x, If[If[z == 2, Equal, Unequal] [Intersection[Flatten[Position[x, \#]], y], \{\}], False, True] \&]
In[2697] := SelectPos[\{a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,m,n,p\}, \{1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19,21\}, 2]
Out[2697]= \{a, c, e, g, m, p\}
In[2698]:= SelectPos[\{a,b,c,d,e,f,g,h,m,n,p\}, \{1,3,5,7,9,11,13,15,17,19,21\}, 1] Out[2698]= \{b, d, f, h, n\}
It must be kept in mind that numbers of positions of the listy outside the range of positions of elements of the listx are ignored, without initiating an erroneous situation what is convenient for ensuring continuous execution of appendices without processing of the situations.
For the solution of a number of the problems dealing with the nested lists, in certain cases can arise problems which aren't solved by direct standard means, demanding in similar situations of programming of tasks by means which are provided byMathematica. It quite visually illustrates an example of the task consisting in definition of number of elements different from the list, at each level of nesting of a list and simple list(level of nesting0), and the nested. This problem is solved by procedure whose call ofElemLevelsN[x] returns the nested list whose elements are the two-element lists whose first element determines the nesting level while the second- number of elements of this level with the type different fromList. ProcedureElemLevelsL is an useful modification of the above procedure $[33,48]$. The following fragment represents source codes of the both procedures with examples their usage.
In[2733] := ElemLevelsN[x_/; ListQ[x]] := Module[\{a=x, c=\{\}, m=0, $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{0}\}$, While[NestListQ1[a], n = Length[Select[a, ! ListQ[\#] \&]]; AppendTo[c, \{k++, n-m\}]; $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{n}$; a = Flatten[a, 1]; Continue[]]; Append[c, \{k++, Length[a]-m\}]] In[2734]:= L = $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a},\{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}\}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b},\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c},\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y},\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{z},\{\mathbf{9 0},\{\mathbf{5 0 0},\{ \}, 72\}\}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{a}\}, \mathbf{z}\}, \mathbf{b}\}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b}\} ;$ In[2735]:= ElemLevelsN[L]
$\operatorname{Out}[2735]=\{\{0,7\},\{1,6\},\{2,3\},\{3,6\},\{4,1\},\{5,2\},\{6,0\}\}$
In[2736]:= Map[ElemLevelsN, \{\{\}, \{a,b,c,d,r,t,y,c,s,f,g,h,72,90,500,s,a,q,w\}\}] Out[2736] $=\{\{\{0,0\}\},\{\{0,19\}\}\}$
In[2874]:= ElemLevelsL[x_/; ListQ[x]] := Module[\{a=x, c=\{\}, m=\{\}, n, k=0\}, While[NestListQ1[a], n = Select[a, ! ListQ[\#] \&];
AppendTo[c, \{k++, MinusList[n, m]\}]; m = n; a = Flatten[a, 1]; Continue[]]; Append[c, \{k++, MinusList[a, m]\}]] In[2875]:= ElemLevelsL[L]
$\operatorname{Out}[2875]=\{\{0,\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{b}\}\},\{1,\{\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~s}\}\},\{2,\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}\}\},\{3,\{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{k}\}\}$,
\{4, \{90\}\}, \{5, \{500, 72\}\}, \{6, \{\}\}\}
The following procedure provides return of all possible sublists of a nested list. The callSubLists[ $[\boldsymbol{x}$ ] returns the list of all possible sublists of the nested listx, taking into account their nesting. At that, if the listx is simple, the call SubLists[ $x$ ] returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. The following fragment represents source code of theSubLists procedure with examples of its application.

In[2339]:= SubLists[x_/; ListQ[x]] := Module[\{a, b, c=\{\}, k=1\},
If[! NestListQ1[x], $\}, \mathbf{a}=$ ToString[x]; $\mathbf{b}=$ DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[StringPosition[a, "\{"]l]; While[k <= Length[b], AppendTo[c, SubStrSymbolParity1[StringTake[a, \{b[[k]],-1\}], "\{", "\}"][[1]]]; k++]; DeleteDuplicates[ToExpression[c[[2 ;;-1]]I]l]
$\operatorname{In}[2340]:=\mathbf{L}=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a},\{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{s}\}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b},\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c},\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y},\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{z},\{\mathbf{8 0},\{\mathbf{4 8 0},\{ \}, 72\}\}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{a}\}\},, \mathbf{b}\}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b}\} ;$
In[2341]:= SubLists[Flatten[L]]
Out[2341]= $\}$
In[2342]:= SubLists[L]
$\operatorname{Out}[2342]=\{\{d, c, s\},\{b, c,\{x, y,\{v, g, z,\{80,\{480,\{ \}, 72\}\}, a, k, a\}\}, b\}$,
$\{x, y,\{v, g, z,\{80,\{480,\{ \}, 72\}\}, a, k, a\}\},\{v, g, z,\{80,\{480,\{ \}, 72\}\}, a, k, a\},\{80$, \{480, \{\}, 72\}\}, \{480, \{\}, 72\}, \{\}\}
In[2343]:= SubLists[\{a, b, \{c, d, \{g, h, \{g, s\}\}, \{n, m\}\}, \{80, 480\}\}] Out[2343]= \{\{c, d, $\{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h},\{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{s}\}\},\{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}\}\},\{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h},\{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{s}\}\},\{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{s}\},\{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}\},\{80,480\}\}$
Means of operating with levels of a nested list are of special interest. In this context the following means can be rather useful. As one of such means the MaxLevel procedure can be considered whose callMaxLevel $[x]$ returns the maximum nesting level of a listx(in addition, the nesting level of a simple listx is supposed equal to zero). At that, theMaxNestLevel procedure is aequivalent version of the previous procedure. While the callListLevels[ $x$ ] returns the list of nesting levels of a list $\boldsymbol{x}$; in addition, for a simple list or empty list the procedure call returns zero. The following fragment represents source codes of the above procedures along with typical examples of their usage.
In[2562]:= MaxLevel[ $[\mathbf{x}$ _/; ListQ[x]] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{0}\}$,
While[NestListQ1[a], k++; a = Flatten[a, 1]; Continue[]]; k]
In[2563] := Map[MaxLevel, $\{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\},\{\mathbf{a},\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}\},\{\{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}\}\},\{\mathbf{a},\{\{\mathbf{c},\{\mathbf{d}\}$, $\{\{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g}\}\}\}\}\}\}]$ Out[2563]= \{0, 1, 2, 4\}
$\operatorname{In}[2564]:=\operatorname{MaxLevel}[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}]$
Out[2564]= 0

In[2581]:= ListLevels[x_/; ListQ[x]] := Module[\{a=x,b,c=\{\},k=1\}, If[! NestListQ1[x], \{0\}, While[NestListQ1[a], b = Flatten[a, 1]; If[Length[b] >= Length[a], AppendTo[c, k++], AppendTo[c, k]]; a = b; Continue[]]; c]]
$\operatorname{In}[2582]$ : $=\operatorname{ListLevels[\{ \mathbf {a},\mathbf {b},\mathbf {c},\mathbf {d},\mathbf {f},\mathbf {g},\mathbf {h},\mathbf {s},\mathbf {r},\mathbf {t},\mathbf {w},\mathbf {x},\mathbf {y},\mathbf {z}\} ]}$
Out[2582]= $\{0\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2583]:=\operatorname{ListLevels}[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c},\{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g},\{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{s},\{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{g}\}, \mathbf{r}\}, \mathbf{t}\}, \mathbf{w},\{\mathbf{x},\{\{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}\}\}, \mathbf{y}\}, \mathbf{z}\}]$ Out[2583] $=\{1,2,3,4\}$

In[586]:= MaxNestLevel[L_/; ListQ[L]] := Module[\{a=Flatten[L], b=L, c=0\},
While[! $\mathbf{a}==\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}=$ Flatten[b, 1]; c = c + 1]; c]
$\operatorname{In}[2587]:=\mathbf{L}=\{\{\mathbf{a},\{\mathbf{b},\{\mathbf{m},\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y},\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q},\{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{2 0 1 4}\}\}\}, \mathbf{n}\}, \mathbf{x}\}, \mathbf{c},\{\{\{\{\{\{\{\mathbf{6 7}$,
72\}\}\}\}\}\}\}\}\}; Map[MaxNestLevel, \{L, \{a, b, c \} \}]
Out[2587] $=\{8,0\}$
Moreover, between the above means the following defining relations take place, namely: Flatten[x] $\equiv$ Flatten[ $x$, MaxLevel[ $x]$ ] MaxLevel[ $x] \equiv$ ListLevels[x][[-1]]

The next rather useful procedure of work with lists has structural character, first of all, for the nested lists. Generally speaking, the callElemOnLevels[ $x$ ] returns the nested list whose elements are sublists whose first elements are levels of a nested listx while the others- elements of these levels. For lack of elements on levelj the sublist has the form $\{j\}$; the callElemOnLevels[x] on a simple listx returns $\{0, \boldsymbol{x}\}$, i.e. the simple list has the nesting level0. In the following fragment the source code of theElemOnLevels procedure and typical examples of its usage are represented.
In[2736]:= ElemOnLevels[x_List] := Module[\{a, b, c, d, p=0, k, $\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1}\}$, If[! NestListQ1[x], Flatten[ $\{\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x}\}],\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}=\{\mathbf{x},\{ \},\{ \}\} ;$

While[NestListQ1[a], b = \{p++\}; For[k = 1, k <= Length[a], k++, If[! ListQ[a[[k]]], AppendTo[b, a[[k]]]; AppendTo[c, k]]l; AppendTo[d, b]; a = Flatten[Delete[a, Map[List, cl], 1]; \{b, c\}= \{\{\}, \{\}\}; j++]; AppendTo[d, Flatten[\{p++, a\}]ll]
In[2737]:= ElemOnLevels[\{a, b, \{c, d, \{f, h, d\}, s, \{p, w, \{n, m, r, u\}, t\}\}, x,y,z\}]
$\operatorname{Out}[2737]=\{\{0, a, b, x, y, z\},\{1, c, d, s\},\{2, f, h, d, p, w, t\},\{3, n, m, r, u\}\} \operatorname{In}[2738]:=$
ElemOnLevels[\{a, b, c, d, f, h, d, s, p, w, n, m, r, u, t, x, y, z\}] Out[2738]= \{0, a, b, c, d, f, h, d, s, p, w, n, m, r, u, t, x, y, z\}
In[2739]:= ElemOnLevels[\{\{\{a, b, c, d, f, h, d, s, p, w, n, m, r, u, t, x, y, z\}\}\}]
$\operatorname{Out}[2739]=\{\{\boldsymbol{0}\},\{\mathbf{1}\},\{2, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{r}, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}\}\} \operatorname{In}[2740]:=$
Map[ElemOnLevels, \{\{\{\{\}\}\}, \{\}, \{\{\{\{\{\}\}\}\}\}\}]
$\operatorname{Out}[2740]=\{\{\{0\},\{1\},\{2\}\},\{0\},\{\{0\},\{1\},\{2\},\{3\},\{4\}\}\}$
For assignment of the same value to the variables can be used a very simple constructionx1 = $\boldsymbol{x 2}=\ldots=\boldsymbol{a 1}$, while for assignment to variables of different values can be used construction $\{x 1, x 2, x 3, \ldots\}=\{a 1, a 2, a 3, \ldots\}$ provided that lengths of both lists areidentical, otherwise the erroneous situation arises. In order to eliminate this shortcoming the procedure callListsAssign $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ can be used, returning result of assignment of values of a listy to a listx.
In[2766]:= ListsAssign[x_/; ListQ[x], y_/; ListQ[y]] := Module[\{b, c, d=\{\}, a =
$\operatorname{Min}[\operatorname{Map}[L e n g t h,\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}]], \mathrm{k}=\mathbf{1}\}$,
If[a == 0, Return[x], Off[Set::setraw]; Off[Set::write]; Off[Set::wrsym]]; While[k <= a, $\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}=\{\mathbf{x}[[\mathbf{k}]], \mathbf{y}[[\mathbf{k}]]\} ;$ AppendTo[d, $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{c}] ; \mathbf{k + +}] ; \mathbf{x}=\{$ Sequences[d[[1 ; ; a]]], Sequences[x[[a + 1 ;;-1]]]\}; On[Set::setraw]; On[Set::write]; On[Set::wrsym]; x]
$\operatorname{In}[2767]:=\mathbf{L}=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{8 0}, \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}, \operatorname{Sin}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}\} ; \mathbf{P}=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{7 2}\} ;$ ListsAssign[L, P]
Out[2767] $=\{a, 80, a+b, \operatorname{Sin}, 72, s\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2768]:=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{t}\}=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}$
Set ::shape: Lists $\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{t}\}$ and $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$ are not the same shape. >> Out[2768]= \{a, b, c\}
In[2769]:= ListsAssign[\{x, y, z, h, g, w, t $\},\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}] ;\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}$
Out[2769]= \{a, b, c\}
In[2770]:= ListAppValue[x_List, y_] := Quiet[x = PadLeft[\{\}, Length[x], y]] $\operatorname{In}[2771]:=\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{8 0}$; ListAppValue[\{x1, y, z, h, g, w, t\}, 72]; \{x1, y, z, h, g, w, t, x\} Out[2771] $=\{72,72,72,72,72,72,72,80\}$
Thus, the call ListsAssign $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ returns the listx updated by assignments; at that, the procedure processes the erroneous and special situations caused by the assignments $\boldsymbol{x}=\boldsymbol{y}$. While the callListAppValue[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ ] provides assignment of the same valuey to elements of a listx. The previous fragment represents source codes of the above means along with examples of their application.

The next procedure is intended for grouping of elements of a list according to their multiplicities. The callGroupIdentMult[x] returns the nested list of the following format, namely:
$\{\{\{n 1\},\{x 1, x 2, \ldots, x a\}\},\{\{n 2\},\{y 1, y 2, \ldots, y b\}\}, \ldots,\{\{n k\},\{z 1, z 2, \ldots, z c\}\}\}$
where $\{x \mathbf{x i}, \boldsymbol{j}, \ldots, \mathbf{z p}\}-$ elements of a listx and $\{\boldsymbol{n 1}, \mathbf{n 2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{n k}\}-$ multiplicities corresponding to them $\{i=1 . . \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{j}=\mathbf{1 . . b}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{p}=\mathbf{1 . . c \}}$. The following fragment represents source code of the procedure along with examples of its usage. In[2997]:=
GroupIdentMult[x_List] := Module[\{a = Gather[x], b\},
b = Map[ \{DeleteDuplicates[\#][[1]], Length[\#]\} \&, a]; b = Map[DeleteDuplicates[\#] \&, Map[Flatten, Gather[b, SameQ[\#1[[2]], \#2[[2]]] \&]]]; b = Map[\{ \{\#[[1]]\}, Sort[\#[[2 ;;-1]I]\}\&, Map[Reverse, Map[If[Length[\#] > 2, Delete[Append[\#, \#[[2]]], 2], \#] \&, b]l]; b = Sort[b, \#1[[1]][[1]] > \#2[[1]][[1]] \&]; If[Length[b] == 1, Flatten[b, 1], b]] $\operatorname{In}[2998]:=\mathbf{L}=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}\} ;$
In[2999]:= GroupIdentMult[L]
Out[2999] $=\{\{\{5\},\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{h}\}\},\{\{1\},\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{g}\}\},\{\{2\},\{\mathrm{d}\}\}\}$
In[3000]:= GroupIdentMult $[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}\}]$
Out[3000] $=\{\{19\},\{a\}\}$
In[3001]:= GroupIdentMult[RandomInteger[42, 72]]
$\operatorname{Out}[3001]=\{\{\{5\},\{15,19\}\},\{\{4\},\{36\}\},\{\{3\},\{7,9,25,29,34,38,39,40\}\}$,
$\{\{2\},\{1,6,8,11,12,13,27,30,35,37\}\}$,
$\{\{1\},\{0,2,3,4,5,14,16,17,18,20,22,24,33,41\}\}\}$
In[3002]:= GroupIdentMult[\{\}]

At that, elements of the returned nested list are sorted in decreasing order of multiplicities of groups of elements of the initial listx.

At processing of list structures the task of grouping of elements of the nested lists ofListList-type on the basis of $\boldsymbol{n}$-th elements of their sublists represents a quite certain interest. This problem is solved by the following procedure, whose callListListGroup[ $\boldsymbol{x}$, $\boldsymbol{n}$ ] returns the nested list- result of grouping of aListList-listx according ton-th element of its sublists. The next fragment represents source code of the procedure along with examples of its usage.
In[2369]:= ListListGroup[x_/; ListListQ[x], n_/; IntegerQ[n] \&\& n > 0] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\{ \}, \mathbf{b}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}\}$,
If[Length[x[[1]]] < n, Return[Defer[ListListGroup[x, n]]], For[k, k <= Length[x], k++, AppendTo[b, x[[k]][[n]]]; b = DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[b]]I]; For[k=1, k <= Length[b], k++, AppendTo[a, Select[x, \#[[n]] == b[[k]]\&]l]; a]
$\operatorname{In}[2370]$ := ListListGroup $[\{\{80,2\},\{480,6\},\{18,2\},\{25,2\},\{72, ~ 6\}\}, ~ 2] ~ O u t[2370]=$ $\{\{\{80,2\},\{18,2\},\{25,2\}\},\{\{480,6\},\{72,6\}\}\}$
In[2371]:= ListListGroup[\{\{80, 2\}, \{480, 6\}, \{18, 2\}, \{25, 2\}, \{72, 67\}\}, 6] Out[2371]= ListListGroup[\{\{80, 2\}, \{480, 6\}, \{18, 2\}, \{25, 2\}, \{72, 67\}\}, 6]

Whereas, on inadmissible factual arguments the procedure call is returned as an unevaluated. The given procedure is quite often used at processing of the long lists containing the repeating elements.

The following procedure expands the standardMemberQ function onto the nested lists, its callMemberQ $[x, y]$ returnsTrue if an expressiony belongs to any nesting level of a listx, andFalse otherwise. Whereas the call with the third optional argumentz - an undefinite variable- in addition throughz returns the list of ListList-type, the first element of each its sublist defines a level of the listx whereas the second defines quantity of elementsy on this level provided that the main output isTrue, otherwisez remains undefinite. The following fragment represents source code of the procedure along with the most typical examples of its application.

In[2532]:= MemberQL[x_/; ListQ[x], y_, z__] := Module[\{b, a =
ElemOnLevels[x]\}, If[! NestListQ[a], a = \{a\}, Null]; b=Map[If[Length[\#] == 1, Null, \{\#[[1]], Count[\#[[2 ;;-1]], y]\}] \&, a]; b = Select[b, ! SameQ[\#, Null] \&\& \#[[2]] != 0 \&]; If[b == \{\}, False, If[\{z\}!= \{\}\&\&! HowAct[z], z = b, Null]; True]]
$\operatorname{In}[2533]:=$ MemberQL[\{a, b, \{c, d, \{f, h, d\}, s, \{p, w, \{n, m, r, u\}, t\}\}, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}, \mathbf{d}]$ Out[2533]= True
In[2534]:= MemberQL[[\{a, b, \{c, d, \{f,h,d\}, s, \{p, w, \{n,m,r,u\}, t\}\}, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{z}]$
Out[2534]= True
In[2535]:= z
$\operatorname{Out}[2535]=\{\{1,1\},\{2,1\}\}$
In[2536]:= MemberQL[\{a, b\}, d, z]
Out[2536]= False
The call ListToString $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ returns result of converting into an unified string of all
elements of a listx, disregarding its nesting, that are parted by a string $y$; whereas a string $x$ is converted into the list of the substrings of a stringx parted by a stringy. The following fragment represents source code of the ListToString procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2813]:= ListToString[x_/; ListQ[x] || StringQ[x], y_/; StringQ[y]] := Module[\{a, b $=\{ \}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}\}$,
If[ListQ[x], a = Flatten[x]; For[k, k < Length[a], k++, c = a[[k]]; AppendTo[b, ToString1[c] <> y]l; a = StringJoin[Append[b, ToString1[a[[-1]]I]], a = FromCharacterCode[14]; d = a <> StringReplace[x, y-> a] <> a; c =
Sort[DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[StringPosition[d, a]]I]; For[k = 1, k < Length[c], k++, AppendTo[b, StringTake[d, \{c[[k]] + 1, c[[k + 1]]-1\}] ]]; ToExpression[b]l]
In[2814] := ListToString[\{a + b, \{"Agn", 67\}, Kr, 18, Art, 25, "RANS", \{\{\{Avz||72\}\}\}\}, "\&"]
Out[2814]= "a+ b\&"Agn"\&67\&Kr\&18\&Art\&25\&"RANS"\&Avz||72"
In[2815]:= ListToString["a + b\&"Agn"\&67\&Kr\&18\&Art\&25\&Avz || 72", "\&"]
Out[2815]= \{a+ b, "Agn", 67, Kr, 18, Art, 25, Avz|| 72\}
In a number of cases exists necessity to carry out assignments of expressions, whose number isn’t known in advance and which is defined in the course of some calculations, for example, of cyclic character, to the variables. The problem is solved by a rather simpleParVar procedure. The callParVar[ $x, y$ ] provides assignment of elements of a listy to a list of variables generated on the basis of a symbol $\boldsymbol{x}$ with return of the list of these variables in the string format. The given procedure is rather widely used in problems dealing with generating of in advance unknown number of expressions. Fragment below represents source code of theParVar procedure with an example of its use.
$\operatorname{In}[2610]:=\operatorname{ParVar}\left[x_{-} / ;\right.$SymbolQ[x], y_/; ListQ[y]] := Module[\{a=\{\}, b, k=1\}, For[k, k <= Length[y], k++, AppendTo[a, Unique[x]]]; b=ToString[a]; \{b, ToExpression[b <> "=" <> ToString1[y]]\}[[1]]]] In[2611]:= W = ParVar[GS, \{72, 67, 47, 25, 18\}]

Out[2611] = "\{GS\$2660, GS\$2661, GS\$2662, GS\$2663, GS\$2664\}" In[2612]:=
ToExpression[W]
Out[2612]= \{72, 67, 47, 25, 18\}
In a number of problems dealing with lists exists necessity of calculation of difference between2 lists $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}$ which is defined as a list, whose elements are included into a listx, but don't belong to a listy. For solution of the task the following procedure is used. The callMinusLists[ $x, y, \mathbf{1}$ ] returns result of subtraction of a listy from a listx that consists in deletion in the listx of all occurrences of elements from the listy. Whereas the callMinusLists[ $x, y, 2]$ returns result of subtraction of a listy from a listx which consists in parity removal from the listx of entries of elements from the listy, i.e. the number of the elements deleted from the listx strictly correspond to their number in the listy. The following fragment represents source code of theMinusLists procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2980] := MinusLists[x_/; ListQ[x], y_/; ListQ[y], z_/; MemberQ[\{1,2\}, z]]:= Module[\{a, b, c, k=1, n\}, If[z == 1, Select[x, ! MemberQ[y, \#] \&], a = Intersection[x,
y]; b = Map[Flatten, Map[Flatten[Position[x, \#] \&], a]]; c = Map[Count[y, \#] \&, a]; n = Length[b]; For[k, k <= n, k++, b[[k]] = b[[k]][[1 ;; c[[k]]]]]; c = Map[GenRules[\#, Null] \&, b]; Select[ReplacePart[x, Flatten[c]], ! SameQ[\#, Null] \&]]]
$\operatorname{In}[2981]:=\operatorname{MinusLists[\{ a,~b,~c,~a,~d,~a,~b,~b,~a,~e,~c,~c~}\},\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{c}\}, 2]$
Out[2981]= \{a, a, e, c, c $\}$
In[2982]:= MinusLists[\{a, b, c, a, d, a, b, b, a, e, c, c $\},\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{c}\}, \mathbf{1 ]}$ Out[2982] $=\{\mathrm{e}\}$

To the given procedure two means of MinusList andMinusList1 directly adjoin which are of interest as auxiliary means at realisation of a number of our procedures [33,48], and also independent interest at processing of lists. At programming of a number of procedures of access to datafiles has been detected expediency of creation of a certain procedure useful also in other appendices. So, in this context the procedurePosSubList has been created, whose callPosSubList $[x, y]$ returns a nested list ofinitial andfinal elements for entry into a simple listx of a tuple of elements specified by a listy. The following fragment represents source code of thePosSubList procedure and typical examples of its application.
In[2260]:= PosSubList[x_/; ListQ[x], y_/; ListQ[y]] := Module[\{d, a = ToString1[x], b = ToString1[y], c = FromCharacterCode[16]\}, d = StringTake[b, \{2,-2\}];
If[! StringFreeQ[a, d], b = StringReplace[a, d -> c <> "," <>
StringTake[ToString1[y[[2 ;;-1]]], \{2,-2\}]]; Map[\{\#, \# + Length[y]-1\} \&, Flatten[Position[ToExpression[b], ToExpression[c]l]l, \{\}]]
$\operatorname{In}[2261]$ := PosSubList[\{a, a, b, a, a, a, b, a, x, a, b, a, y, z, a, b, a\}, \{a, b, a\}]
$\operatorname{Out}[2261]=\{\{2,4\},\{6,8\},\{10,12\},\{15,17\}\}$
In[2262]:= PosSubList[\{a, a, b, a, a, a, b, a, x, y, z, a, b, a\}, \{a, x, a, b, c\}] Out[2262]= \{\}

The similar approach once again visually illustrates incentive motives and prerequisites for programming of the user tools expanding theMathematica software. Many of means of ourAVZ_Package package appeared exactly in this way [28-33,48].
The procedures Gather1 andGather2 a little extend the standard function Gather1, being rather useful in a number of appendices. The callGather1[L, n] returns the nested list formed on the basis of the listL ofListList-type by means of grouping of sublists of $\boldsymbol{L}$ by itsn-th element. While callGather2[L] returns either the simple list, or the list of ListList-type which defines only multiple elements of a list $\boldsymbol{L}$ with their multiplicities. At absence of multiple elements in $\boldsymbol{L}$ the procedure call returns the empty list, i.e. \{\} [48]. $\operatorname{In}[2472]:=\mathrm{L}=\{\{42, \mathrm{~V}, \mathbf{1}\},\{47, \mathrm{G}, \mathbf{2 \}},\{64, \mathrm{~S}, \mathbf{1}\},\{69, \mathrm{~V}, \mathbf{2 \}},\{64, \mathrm{G}, \mathbf{3}\},\{44, \mathrm{~S}, 2\}\} ;$
Gather1[L, 3]
Out[2472]= \{\{\{42, V, 1\}, \{64, S, 1\}\}, \{\{47, G, 2\}, \{69, V, 2\}, \{44, S, 2\}\}, \{\{64, G, 3\}\}\}
In[2473]:= Gather2[\{"a", 480, "a", 80, "y", 80, "d", "h", "c", "d", 80, 480\}]
Out[2473]= \{\{"a", 2\}, \{480, 2\}, \{80, 3\}, \{"d", 2\}\}
The following group of means serves for ensuring sorting of lists of various type. Among them can be noted such asSortNL, SortNL1, SortLpos, SortLS, SortNestList. So, the callSortNL1 $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{b}]$ returns result of sorting of a listx of theListList-type according to elements in $\boldsymbol{p}$-position of its sublists on the basis of their unique decimal codes, and $\boldsymbol{b}=$
\{Greater $\backslash$ Less \}. Whereas the call SortNestList[x,p,y] returns result of sorting of a nestednumeric orsymbolical listx by apth element of its sublists according to the sorting functionsLess, Greater for numerical lists, andSymbolGreater,SymbolLess for symbolical lists. In both cases a nested list with nesting level1 as actual argumentx is supposed, otherwise an initial listx is returned. At that, in case ofsymbolical lists the comparison of elements is done on the basis of their codes. The next fragment represents source code of the procedure with examples of its use.

In[2738]:= SortNestList[x_/; NestListQ[x], p_/; PosIntQ[p], y_] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ DeleteDuplicates[Map[Length, $\mathbf{x}]$ ], $\mathbf{b}\}, \mathbf{b}=$ If[SameQ[DeleteDuplicates[Map[ListNumericQ, x]], \{True\}] \&\&
MemberQ[\{Greater, Less\}, y], y, If[SameQ[DeleteDuplicates[Map[ListSymbolQ, x]], \{True\}] \&\& MemberQ[\{SymbolGreater, SymbolLess \}, y], y],
Return[Defer[SortNestList[x, p, y]I]]; If[Min[a] <= p <= Max[a], Sort[x, b[\#1[[p]], \#2[[p]l] \&], Defer[SortNestList[x, p, y]l]]

In[2739] := SortNestList[\{ $42,47,67\},\{72,67,47\},\{25,18\}\}, 2$, Greater] Out[2739]= $\{\{72,67,47\},\{42,47,67\},\{25,18\}\}$
In[2740]:= SortNestList[\{\{"a", Avz, b\}, \{x4, Agn67, y3\}, \{V72, G67\}, \{R, Ian\}\},

## 2, SymbolGreater]

Out[2740]= \{\{x4, Agn67, y3\}, \{"a", Avz, b\}, \{R, Ian\}, \{V72, G67\}\}
At that, at programming of the SortNestList procedure for the purpose of expansion of its applicability both onto numeric, and symbolical nested lists it was expedient to define three new functions, namelySymbolGreater and SymbolLess as analogs of the operationsGreater andLess respectively, and the function whose callListSymbolQ[x] returningTrue, if all elements of a listx, including its sublists of an arbitrary nesting level have theSymbol-type, otherwise the call of theListSymbolQ function returnsFalse [2833,48].

The PartialSums[x] procedure of the same name with theMaple-procedure, similarly returns the list of the partial sums of elements of a list $\boldsymbol{x}$ with one difference that at coding of symbol $\boldsymbol{x}$ instring format the callPartialSums[x] updates the initial listx in situ. The fragment represents source code of the PartialSums procedure along with typical examples of its usage.

In[2317]:= PartialSums[L_/; ListQ[L] || StringQ[L] \&\&
ListQ[ToExpression[L]]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\{ \}, \mathbf{b}=$ ToExpression[L], $\mathbf{k}=1, \mathbf{j}\}$, For[k, $\mathbf{k}<=$ Length[b], k++, AppendTo[a, Sum[b[[j]], \{j, k\}]]]; If[StringQ[L], ToExpression[L <> " = " <> ToString[a]], a]]

In[2318] := PartialSums[\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18\}]
Out[2318] $=\{1,3,6,10,15,21,28,36,45,55,66,78,91,105,120,136,153,171\}$
In[2319]:= GS = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18\};

## PartialSums["GS"]; GS

Out[2319] $=\{1,3,6,10,15,21,28,36,45,55,66,78,91,105,120,136,153,171\}$
In[2320]:= SV = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}; PartialSums["SV"]; SV

Out[2320] $=\{a, a+b, a+b+c, a+b+c+d, a+b+c+d+e, a+b+c+d+e+f\}$
In a number of cases exists a need of generation of the list of variables in the form $\boldsymbol{V k}(\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1} . . \boldsymbol{n})$, where $\boldsymbol{V}$ - a name and $\boldsymbol{n}$ - a positive integer. The standard functionsCharacterRange andRange ofMathematica don't solve the given problem therefore for these purposes it is rather successfully possible to use the proceduresRange1, Range2, Range3 andRange3, whose source codes along with typical examples of their usage can be found in [32,48]. The call Range1[J1, Jp] returns the list of variables in shape $\{\boldsymbol{J} \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{J} \mathbf{2}, \boldsymbol{J} \mathbf{3}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{J p}\}$; at that, the actual arguments are coded in\$xxx_yyyN format( $\boldsymbol{N}$ $=\{0 . . p \mid 1 . . p\}$ ) while the callRange2[J, p] returns a list of variables in standard form, providing arbitrariness in choice of identifier of a variable $\boldsymbol{J}$, namely: $\{\boldsymbol{J} \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{J} \mathbf{2}, \boldsymbol{J} \mathbf{3}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{p}\}$; from other party, the callRange3[J, p] returns the list in form $\left\{\boldsymbol{J} 1 \_, \boldsymbol{J} \mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{J} \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{\prime}}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{p}\right.$ \} where $\boldsymbol{J}$ - an identifier and $\boldsymbol{p}$ - an integer. At last, procedureRange4 combines standard functionsRange andCharacterRange with expansion of opportunities of the second function. More detailed description of the above procedures ofRange type with their source codes can be found in [28-33,48]. Whereas some typical examples of their usage are given below, namely:

In[2420]:= Range1[\$Kr_Art1, \$Kr_Art6]
Out[2420]= \{\$Kr_Art1, \$Kr_Art2, \$Kr_Art3, \$Kr_Art4, \$Kr_Art5, \$Kr_Art6\}
In[2421] := Range2[Kr, 12]
Out[2421]= \{Kr1, Kr2, Kr3, Kr4, Kr5, Kr6, Kr7, Kr8, Kr9, Kr10, Kr11, Kr12\} In[2422]:= Range3[h, 12]
Out[2422]= \{h1_, h2_, h3_, h4_, h5_, h6_, h7_, h8_, h9_, h10_, h11_, h12\} In[2423]:=
Range4[42, 72, 2]
Out[2423] $=\{42,44,46,48,50,52,54,56,58,60,62,64,66,68,70,72\}$ The next group of facilities serves for expansion of the standardMemberQ function, and its means are quite useful in work with list structures. So, the MemberQ1 procedure in a certain degree expands the standardMemberQ function onto the nested lists while the MemberQ2 procedure expands the same function, taking into account number of entries of an expression into a list [33,48]. The callMemberQ1[L, $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ returnsTrue if $\boldsymbol{x}$ is an element of any nesting level of a listL(provided that a simple list has nesting level0);otherwise False is returned. In case of return ofTrue through, the third argumenty the call returns the list of levels of the list $L$ that contain occurrences of $\boldsymbol{x}$. While the callMemberQ2[L, $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ ] returnsTrue if $\boldsymbol{x}$ - an element of a list $\boldsymbol{L}$; otherwise False is returned. At that, at return ofTrue, through the $3 r d$ argumenty the number of entries of $x$ into the list $L$ is returned. The callMemberQ3[x,y] returnsTrue if all elements of a listy belong to a listx excluding nesting, and False otherwise. Whereas the callMemberQ3[ $x, y, t]$ with the third optional argument-an expression- returnsTrue, if the listy - a sublist of the list $x$ at arbitrary nesting level, andFalse otherwise. At last, the callMemberQ4[x,y] returnsTrue if at leastone element of a listy or an elementy belongs to a list $\boldsymbol{x}$, andFalse otherwise. If there the $3 r d$ optional argumentz,True is returned only in case of number of occurrences of elementsy not smaller thanz. In addition to the above means the following2 simple means represent a quite certain interest. The callMemberT[ $\mathbf{L}, \boldsymbol{x}]$ returns total number of occurrences of an expressionx into a list $L$ whereas the call MemberLN $[\mathbf{L}, \boldsymbol{x}]$ returns the list ofListList-type whoseeach sublist determines number of nesting level of the list $\boldsymbol{L}$ by its first element, and number of occurrences of an expressionx into this nesting level by its second element.

Thus, the facilitiesMemberQ1, MemberQ2, MemberQ3 andMemberQ4 along with the meansMemberT andMemberLN are useful enough in processing of lists. In principle, these means allow a number of interesting modifications significantly broadening the sphere of their application. Source codes of all mentioned means of so-
calledMember-group with examples of their use can be found in [28-33,48]. The system considers a list as the object allowing multiple occurrences into it of elements and keeping the order of elements which has been given at its definition. For determination of multiplicity of the elements entering a list it is possible to use the functionMultEntryList, whose callMultEntryList[ $x$ ] returns theListList-list; the first element of its sublists defines an element of a listx, whereas the second element determines its multiplicity in the listx regardless of its nesting. Source code of the function with typical examples of its use can be found in [33,48], for example:

In[2720]:= MultEntryList[\{"a",b,"a",c,h,72,g, \{"a",b,c,g,72\}, g, h, \{72,g,h,72\}\}] Out[2720]= \{\{"a", 3\}, \{b, 2\}, \{c, 2\}, \{h, 3\}, \{72, 4\}, \{g, 4\}\}

Unlike 2 standard functionsSplit andSplitBy the procedure callSplit1[x,y] splits a listx into sublists consisting of its elements that are located between occurrences of an element or elements of a listy. If $\boldsymbol{y}$ don't belong to the list $\boldsymbol{x}$, the initial listx is returned. The following fragment represents source code of theSplit1 procedure along with typical examples of its usage.

In[2746]:= Split1[x_List, y_] := Module[\{a, b, c = \{\}, d, h, k=1\}, If[MemberQ3[x, y] || MemberQ[x, y], a = If[ListQ[y],
Sort[Flatten[Map[Position[x, \#] \&, y]l], Flatten[Position[x, y]l]; h = a; If[a[[1]] != 1, PrependTo[a, 1]];
If[a[[-1]] != Length[x], AppendTo[a, Length[x]]]; d = Length[a]; While[k <= d-1, AppendTo[c, $x[[a[[k]] ;$ If[k == d-1, a[[k + 1]], $\mathrm{a}[[\mathrm{k}+1]]-1]]]] ; \mathrm{k}++]$; If[h[[-1]] == Length[x], AppendTo[c, \{x[[-1]]\}]]; c, x]]
$\operatorname{In}[2747]:=\operatorname{Split1[\{ a,~a,~a,~b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{d}\}, \mathbf{a}] \operatorname{Out}[2747]=\{\{a\},\{a\}$, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\} In[2748]:= Split1[\{a, b, a, b, c, d, a, b, a, b, c, d, a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}] Out[2748]= \{\{a, b\}, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{d\}\} In[2749]:= Split1[\{a, b, a, b, c, d, a, b, a, b, c, d, a, b, d\}, \{x, y, z\}] Out[2749] $=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d}\}$

If during the work in the interactive mode diagnostic messages have a quite certain sense, in the software mode(continuous) of execution, for example, of procedures the messages concerning especial situations don't have a sense, complicating software processing of such situations. In this context it is more natural to identify a special situation by return of a conveniently processed expression, for example,\$Failed. The following procedure can serve as an example. The successful callElemsList[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ returns the elements of a listx depending on list of their positions given by a listy. The listy format in the general case has the view $\{\boldsymbol{n 1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{n t},\{\boldsymbol{m} \mathbf{1} ; \ldots ; \boldsymbol{m p}\}\}$, returning elements of a listx according to a standard relationx[[n1]] ... [[nt]][[m1;..; mp]]. At that, the argumenty allows the following formats of the coding $\{\boldsymbol{n 1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{n t}\},\{\{\boldsymbol{m} \mathbf{1} ; \ldots ; \boldsymbol{m p}\}\},\{ \}$; whose results of use are given in the following fragment along with source code of theElemsList procedure.
In[3378]:= ElemsList[x_/; ListQ[x], y_/; ListQ[y]] := Module[\{c = "", k = 1, a =

Select[y, ! ListQ[\#] \&], b = Select[y, ListQ[\#] \&]\}, If[a== \{\}\&\& b== \{\}, x, If[a == \{\}, Quiet[Check[ToExpression[ToString[x] <> "[[" <>
StringTake[ToString[b], $\{3,-3\}]$ <> "]l]"], \$Failed]], If[b == \{ $\}$, c = ToString[x];
While[k <= Length[a], c = c <> "[[" <> ToString[a[[k]]] <> "]]"; k++]; Quiet[Check[ToExpression[c], \$Failed]], c = ToString[x];
While[k <= Length[a], c = c <> "[[" <> ToString[a[[k]]] <> "]]"; k++];
Quiet[Check[ToExpression[c <> "[[" <> StringTake[ToString[b], \{3,-3\}] <> "]]"], \$Failed]IIII]
In[3379] := L = \{ avz, agn, vsv, art, kr\}, \{d,e,f,g,h, \{18,25,47,52,67,72\}\}, \{g,h,j\}\}; In[3380]:= ElemsList[\{\}, \{\}]
Out[3380]= \{\}
In[3381]:= ElemsList[L, \{\}]
Out[3381]= \{\{avz, agn, vsv, art, kr\}, \{d,e,f,g,h, \{18, 25, 47, 52, 67, 72\}\}, \{g,h,j\}\}
In[3382]:= ElemsList[L, $\{\{\mathbf{1}$;; 3\}\}]
Out[3382]= \{\{avz, agn, vsv, art, kr\}, \{d,e,f,g,h, \{18, 25, 47, 52, 67, 72\}\}, \{g,h,j\}\}
In[3383]:= ElemsList[L, \{2, 6, \{3 ;;-1\}\}]
Out[3383] $=\{47,52,67,72\}$
In[3384]:= ElemsList[L, \{2, 6, 5\}]
Out[3384]= 67
In[3385]:= ElemsList[L, \{2, 80.480, 5\}]
Out[3385]= \$Failed
In[3386]:= L[[2]][[6]]][[3; 0]]
Part::take: Cannot take positions 3 through 0 in $\{18,25,47,52,67,72\} . \gg$
Out[3386]= \{18, 25, 47, 52, 67, 72\}[[3;; 0]]
In[3387]:= ElemsList[L, \{2, 6, \{3;; 0\}\}]
Out[3387]= \$Failed
The following two procedures expand the system means oriented on work with list structures, giving a possibility to simplify programming(in certain cases rather significantly) of a number of problems that use the lists. The following fragment represents source codes of procedures along with examples of their application. The callReduceList $[L, x, z, t]$ returns the result of reducing of elements of a list $L$ that are determined by a separate element $\boldsymbol{x}$ or their list to a multiplicity determined by a separate element $\boldsymbol{z}$ or their list. If elements of $\boldsymbol{x}$ don't belong to the list $\mathbf{L}$, the procedure call returns the initial listLL. At that, ifLength[z] < Length[x] a listz is padded on the right by $\mathbf{1}$ to the list length $x$. In addition, thefourth argumentt defines direction of reducing in the list $\boldsymbol{L}$ (on the left att = 1and on the right att = 2). While the callSplitList[L,x] returns result ofsplitting of a list $L$ onto sublists by an element or elementsx; at that, dividers $\boldsymbol{x}$ are removed from the result. If elements $\boldsymbol{x}$ don't belong to the list $\boldsymbol{L}$, the procedure call returns the initial list $\mathbf{L}$. In a number of cases both procedures are rather claimed. A number of means from ourAVZ_Package package rather essentially use the mentioned proceduresReduceList andSplitList [48]. These means arose in the result of programming of other our certain means.

[^1]Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ Map[Flatten, Map[Position[L, \#] \&, Flatten[\{x\}] ]], $\mathbf{b}=\{ \}, \mathbf{m}=$ Flatten[ $\{\mathbf{x}\}], \mathbf{n}=$ Flatten[ $\{\mathbf{z}\}], \mathbf{k}=1\}, \mathbf{n}=$ If[Length[m] > Length[n], PadRight[n, Length[m], 1], n]; For[k, $k$ <= Length[a], k++, If[Length[a[[k]]] >= n[[k]],
AppendTo[b, a[[k]]l], NuIl]]; For[k = 1, k <= Length[a], k++, a[[k]] = If[t == 1, a[[k]] [[1 ;; Length[a[[k]]]-n[[k]]l], a[[k]][[-Length[a[[k]]] + n[[k]] ;;-1]]]]; Select[ReplacePart[L, GenRules[Flatten[a], Null]], ! SameQ[\#, Null] \&]]
In[2521]:= ReduceList[\{f, d, d, d, d, d, f, f, f, f, f, d\}, \{d, f\}, 3, 2] Out[2521]= \{f, d, d, d\} In[2522] := ReduceList[\{f, d, d, d, d, d, f, f, f, f, f, d\}, \{d, f\}, 3, 1] Out[2522]= \{d, d, f, d\}
$\operatorname{In}[2523]:=\operatorname{ReduceList}[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}\},\{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}\},\{1,2\}, \mathbf{1}] \operatorname{Out}[2523]=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, c, d, f, f, g\}
In[2524]:= ReduceList[\{f, f, a, b, c, d, d, f, f, f, g, f\}, \{d, f\}, \{1, 2\}, 2] Out[2524]= \{f, f, a, b, c, d, g\}
$\operatorname{In}[2525]:=\mathbf{L}=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{e}\} ;$
In[2526]:= ReduceList[L, DeleteDuplicates[L], \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}, 1] Out[2526]= \{a, b, b, c, c, c, d, d, d, d, e, e, e, e, e\}
In[3340]:= SplitList[L_/; ListQ[L], x_] := Module[\{a = Flatten[\{x\}], c, d, h, b = ToString[Unique["\$a"]]\}, c = Map[ToString[\#] <> b \&, a]; d = StringJoin[Map[ToString[\#] <> b \&, L]];
h = Select[StringSplit[d, c], \# != "" \&]; h = Map[StringReplace[\#, b-> ","] \&, h]; h = ToExpression[Map["\{" <> StringTake[\#, \{1,-2\}] <> "\}" \&, h]]; Remove[b];
If[Length[h] == 1, h[[1]], h]]
$\operatorname{In}[3341]:=$ SplitList[\{f, f, a, b, c, d, p, p, d, p, d, f, f, f, g, f\}, \{d, f\}] Out[3341]= \{\{a, b, c\}, $\{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{p}\},\{\mathrm{p}\},\{\mathrm{g}\}\}$
In[3342]:= SplitList[\{f, f, a, b, c, d, p, d, f, f, f, g, f \}, \{h, f\}]
Out[3342]= \{ $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{d}\},\{\mathrm{g}\}\}$
A number of the additional means expanding the Mathematica software, in particular, for effective enough programming of problems of manipulation with list structures of various organization is presented in the given present chapter. These and other our means of the given orientation are presented a quite in details in [28-33,48]. In general,Mathematica provides the mass of useful and effective means of processing, except already the mentioned, of the list structures and objects that are based on structures of the given type. Being additional tools for work with lists-basic structures inMathematica - these tools are rather useful in a number of applications of various purpose. Meanwhile, other means which can be used quite successfully at processing lists of various format are also represented in the book. A number of means were already considered above, others will be considered below along with tools that are directly not associated with lists, but quite accepted for work with separate formats of lists.

## Chapter 5. The additional means expanding the standard Mathematicafunctions, or its software as a whole

The string and list structures - some of the most important inMathematica system, they
both are considered in the previoustwo chapters in the context of means, additional to the system means, without regard to a large number of the standard functions of processing of structures of this type. Naturally, here it isn't possible to consider all range of system functions of this type, sending the interested reader to the help information onMathematica or to the corresponding numerous literature. It is possible to find many of these editions on the web-sitehttp://www.wolfram.com/books. Having presented the means expanding the standardMathematica software in the context of processing ofstring andlist structures in the present chapter we will present the means expanding theMathematica which are oriented on processing of other types of objects. First of all, we will present a number of means of bit- by-bit processing of arbitrary symbols. TheBits procedure quite significantly uses functionBinaryListQ, providing a number of useful functions during of work with symbols. On the tuple of actual arguments $<\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}>$, where $\boldsymbol{x}$ - a1-symbolical string(character) and $\boldsymbol{p}$ - an integer in the range $0 . .8$, the callBits $[x, p]$ returns binary representation of $\boldsymbol{x}$ in the form of list, if $\boldsymbol{p}=\mathbf{0}$, andpth bit of such representation of a symbol $\boldsymbol{x}$ otherwise. Whereas on a tuple of the actual arguments $<\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}>$, where $\boldsymbol{x}$ - a nonempty binary list of length no more than $\boldsymbol{8}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}=0$, the procedure call returns a symbol corresponding to the given binary listx; in other cases the callBits[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}$ ] is returned as unevaluated. The following fragment represents source code of theBits procedure along with examples of its usage.

```
In[2819]:= Bits[x_, P_/; IntegerQ[P]] := Module[{a, k}, If[StringQ[x] &&
StringLength[x] == 1, If[1 <= P <= 8,
```

PadLeft[IntegerDigits[ToCharacterCode[x][[1]], 2], 8][[PP]], If[P==0, PadLeft[IntegerDigits[ToCharacterCode[x][[1]], 2], 8], Defer[Bits[x, P]]]], If[BinaryListQ[x] \&\& $1<=$ Length[Flatten[x]] <= 8, a = Length[x];
FromCharacterCode[Sum[x[[k]]*2^(a-k), \{k, 1, a\}]], Defer[Bits[x, P]]ll]
In[2820] := Map9[Bits, \{"A", "A", \{1,0,0,0,0,0,1\}, "A", \{1,1,1,1,0,1\}\}, \{0,2,0,9,0\}] Out[2820]= $\{\{0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1\}, 1, " A "$, Bits["A", 9], "=" $\}$
If the previousBits procedure provides rathersimple processing of symbols, the following2 proceduresBitSet1 andBitGet1 provide the expanded bit- by-bit information processing like ourMaple procedures. In theMaple we created a number of procedures(Bit, Bit1, xbyte, xbyte1, xNB) that provide bit-by-bit information processing [47]; Mathematica also has similar means, in particular, the callBitSet[ $\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{k}$ ] returns the result of setting of1 into $a \boldsymbol{k}-t h$ position of binary representation of an integer $\boldsymbol{n}$. The following fragment represents procedure, whose callBitSet1[ $n, p]$ returns result of setting into positions of binary representation of an integern that are determined by the first elements of sublists of a nested listp, $\{0 \mid \mathbf{1}\}$ - values; at that, in case of non-nested listp the value replacement only in a single position of integern is made. TheBitSet1 procedure is included inAVZ_Package package [48].
In[2338]:= BitSet1[n_/; IntegerQ[n] \&\& $\mathbf{n}>=\mathbf{0 , p}$ _/; ListQ[p]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{b}=1, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{h}=\mathrm{If}[\operatorname{ListListQ[p],~p,\{ p\} ],\mathrm {a}=}$
ToExpression[Characters[IntegerString[n, 2]I]\}, If[ListListQ[h] \&\&
Length[Select[h, Length[\#] == 2 \& \& IntegerQ[\#[[1]]] \& \& IntegerQ[\#[[2]]] \&\& MemberQ[\{0, 1\}, \#[[2]]] \&]] == Length[h], Null, Return[Defer[BitSet1[n, p]ll]; For[b, b <= Length[h], b++, $\{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}=\{\mathbf{h}[[b]][[1]], \mathbf{h}[[b]][[2]]\} ;$ If[c <= Length[a], a[[c]]
= d, Null]]; Sum[a[[k]]*2^(Length[a]-k), \{k, Length[a]\}]] In[2339]:= \{BitSet1[480, \{\{3,1\},\{6,0\},\{9,1\}\}], BitSet1[80,\{4,0\}], BitSet1[80,\{7,1\}]\} Out[2339]= \{481, 80, 81\}
In[2340] := BitSet1[480, $\{\{\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}\},\{\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{0}\},\{\mathbf{9}, 2\}\}]$
Out[2340]= BitSet1[480, $\{\{3,1\},\{6,0\},\{9,2\}\}]$
In[89]:= BitGet1[x__, n_/; IntegerQ[n] \&\& n >= 0, p_/; IntegerQ[p] \&\&
$\mathbf{p}>\mathbf{0}| | \operatorname{ListQ}[p]]:=$ Module[ $\{b=1, c=\{ \}, d, h=\operatorname{lf}[\operatorname{ListQ[p],p,\{ p\} ],a=}$
ToExpression[Characters[IntegerString[n, 2]]]\}, For[b, b <= Length[a], b++, c = Append[c, If[MemberQ[h, b], a[[b]], Null]]]; If[! HowAct[x], x = Length[a], Null]; Select[c, ToString[\#] != "Null" \&]] In[90]:= \{BitGet1[h,80,\{1,5,7\}], h, BitGet1[47, $\{1,5,7\}]$, BitGet1[p,480,\{1,3,5\}], p\} Out[90]= \{\{1, 0, 0\}, 7, $\{1,1\},\{1,1,0\}, 9\}$

Examples of application of the proceduresBitSet1 andBitGet1 very visually illustrate the told. It should be noted that theBitSet1 procedure functionally expands the standard functionsBitSet andBitClear ofMathematica system, whereas the procedureBitGet1 functionally extands the standard functions BitGet andBitLength of the system. The callBitGet1[ $\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{p}$ ] returns the list of bits in the positions of binary representation of an integern that are defined by a listp; in addition, in case of an integer $\boldsymbol{p}$ the bit in a position $\boldsymbol{p}$ of binary representation of integern is returned. While the callBitGet1[x,n,p] through a symbol $\boldsymbol{x}$ in addition returns number of bits in the binary representation of an integern. Examples of the previous fragment very visually illustrate the aforesaid without any additional explanations.
In theMathematica thetransformation rules are generally determined by the Rule function, whose the callRule[a,b] returns the transformation rule in the formata $->\boldsymbol{b}$. These rules are used in transformations of expressions by the following functionsReplaceAll, Replace, ReplaceRepeated, ReplacePart, StringReplaceList, StringCases, StringReplace which use either one rule, or their list as simple list, andListList-list. Fordynamic generation of such rules theGenRules procedure can be quite useful, whose the callGenRules $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ depending on a type of its arguments returns single rule or list of rules; the callGenRules[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}$ ] with the third optional argumentz - any expression- returns the list with single transformation rule or the nested list of ListListtype. Depending on the coding format, the procedure call returns result in the following format, namely:
(1) GenRules $[\{x, y, z, \ldots\}, a]^{-}\{x->a, y \rightarrow>a, z->a, \ldots\}$
(2) GenRules $[\{x, y, z, \ldots\}, a, h] \Rightarrow\{\{x \rightarrow a\},\{y \rightarrow a\},\{z \rightarrow \boldsymbol{a}\}, \ldots\}$ (3) GenRules $[\{x, y$, $z, \ldots\},\{a, b, c, \ldots\}]^{\boldsymbol{P}}\{x \rightarrow \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{y} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{b}, \mathrm{z} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{c}, \ldots\}$ (4) GenRules $[\{x, y, z, \ldots\},\{a, b, c, \ldots\}, h]$ $\Rightarrow\{\{x \rightarrow \boldsymbol{a}\},\{y \rightarrow>b\},\{z->c\}, \ldots\}(5)$ GenRules $[x,\{a, b, c, \ldots\}]^{-}\{x->a\}$
(6) GenRules $[x,\{a, b, c, \ldots\}, h] \Rightarrow\{x \rightarrow a\}$
(7) GenRules $[x, a]^{\mp}\{x->a\}$
(8) GenRules[x, a, h] ${ }^{-=}\{x->a\}$

TheGenRules procedure is useful, in particular, when in some procedure it is necessary to dynamically generate the transformation rules depending on conditions.The following fragment represents source code of theGenRules procedure with most typical examples of its usage on all above-mentioned cases of coding of its call.
In[4040]:= GenRules[x_, y_, z__] := Module[\{a, b = Flatten[\{x\}], c =
Flatten[If[ListQ /@ \{x, y\}== \{True, False\},

PadLeft[ \{\}, Length[x], y], \{y\}]]\}, a = Min[Length /@ \{b, c\}]; b = Map9[Rule, b[[1 ;; a]], c[[1 ;; a]l]; If[\{z\}== \{\}, b, b=List /@ b; If[Length[b] == 1, Flatten[b], b]l]
$\operatorname{In}[4041]:=\{\mathbf{G e n R u l e s}[\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\},\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}]$, GenRules[x, $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}]$,
GenRules[ $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\},\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}]$, GenRules[x, a], GenRules[\{x, y\}, a]\} Out[4041]= \{\{x->a, y->b, z->c\}, \{x->a\}, \{x->a, y->b\}, \{x->a\}, \{x->a, y->a\}\} In[4042]:= \{GenRules[\{x, $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\},\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}, 72]$, GenRules[x, \{a, b, c $\}, 42]$,
GenRules[x,a,6], GenRules[\{x,y\},\{a,b,c\},47], GenRules[\{x,y\},a,67]\} Out[4042]= \{\{\{x-> a\}, \{y->b\}, \{z->c\}\}, \{x-> a\}, \{\{x->a\}, \{y->b\}\}, \{x->a\}, \{\{x->a\},\{y-> a\}\}\}
In[4043]:= GenRules[x_, y_, z__] := Module[\{a, b\}, b=If[ListQ[x] \&\&
! ListQ[y], a = Map[Rule[\#, y] \&, x], If[ListQ[x] \& \& ListQ[y], a = Map9[Rule, x, y], \{x-> Flatten[\{y\}][[1]]\}]]; b = If[\{z\}!= \{\}, SplitBy[b, Head[\#] \& == Rule], b];
If[NestListQ[b] \& \& Length[b] == 1, b[[1]], b]]
$\operatorname{In}[4044]:=\{$ GenRules[ $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\},\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}]$, GenRules[x, $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}]$, GenRules[ $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$, \{a, b, c\}], GenRules[x, a], GenRules[\{x, y\}, a]\}
Out[4044] $=\{\{x->a, y->b, z->c\},\{x->a\}, \operatorname{Map} 9[R u l e,\{x, y\},\{a, b, c\}],\{x->a\}$, \{x-> a, y-> a\}\}
In[4045]:= \{GenRules[\{x, y, z\}, \{a, b, c\}], GenRules[x, \{a, b, c\}], GenRules[\{x, y, z\}, \{a, b, c $\}$ ], GenRules[x, a], GenRules[\{x, y\}, a]\}
Out[4045]= \{\{x-> a, y-> b, z-> c\}, \{x-> a\}, \{x-> a, y-> b, z-> c\}, \{x-> a\}, \{x-> a, y-> a\}\}
In[4046]:= \{GenRules[\{x, y, z\}, \{a, b, c\}, 72], GenRules[x, \{a, b, c \}, 42], GenRules[x, a, 6], GenRules[\{x, y\}, a, 67]\}
Out[4046]= \{\{\{x-> a\}, \{y-> b\}, \{z-> c\}\}, \{x-> a\}, \{x-> a\}, \{\{x-> a\}, \{y-> a\}\}\}
In[2457]:= GenRules2[x_/; ListQ[x], y_]:= If[ListQ[y],
Map[Rule[x[[\#]], y[[\#]]] \&, Range[1, Min[Length[x], Length[y]]]], Map[Rule[x[[\#]], y] \&, Range[1, Length[x] $]$ ] In[2458]:= \{GenRules2[\{x, y, z\}, \{a, b, c $\}$ ],
GenRules2[\{x, y, z\}, h], GenRules2[\{x, y, z\}, \{a, b\}], GenRules2[\{x, y, z\}, \{a, b, c, d $\}$ ] $\}$ Out[2458] $=\{\{x->a, y->b, z->c\},\{x->h, y->h, z->h\},\{x->a, y->b\},\{x->a$, y-> b, z-> c $\}\}$
The GenRules procedure of the same name that is functionally equivalent to the initial procedure is given as an useful modification provided that lists $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}$ astwo first arguments have identical length. The simpleGenRules2 function which depending on type of thesecond argument generates the list of transformation rules of the above formats(1) and(3) respectively finishes the fragment as illustrate very transparent examples. In certain cases these means allows quite significantly to reduce source code of the programmable procedures. Some means of our package essentially use these means [48].
Along with the considered transformation rules of the forma->b the system allows use also of thedelayed rules(RuleDelayed) of the forma:>b ora: $\rightarrow \boldsymbol{b}$ which are realized only at the time of their application. In the rest they are similar to the already considered transformation rules. For generation of list of transformation rules of similar type can be used theGenRules procedure presented above for which theRule function is replaced by theRuleDelayed function, or can be used its modificationGenRules1 adapted onto usage
of one or other function by the corresponding coding of the third argument at thecall GenRules1 $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{h}, \mathbf{z}$ ], wherex, $\boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}$ - arguments completely similar to the arguments of the same name of the procedureGenRules whereas the third $\boldsymbol{h}$ argument determines the mode of generation of the list of the usual or delayed rules on the basis of the received value"rd"(delayed rule) or"r" (simple rule). The next fragment represents a source code of theGenRules1 procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2623]:= GenRules1[x_, y_, h_/; h == "r" || h == "rd", z__] := Module[\{a, b = Flatten[\{x\}], c = Flatten[If[Map[ListQ, $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}]=$
\{ True, False\}, PadLeft[\{\}, Length[x], y], \{y\}]l\}, a = Min[Map[Length, \{b, c\}]]; b= Map9[If[h == "r", Rule, RuleDelayed], b[[1 ;; a]], c[[1 ;; a]]]; If[\{z\}== \{\}, b, b = Map[List, b]; If[Length[b] == 1, Flatten[b], b]l]
In[2624]:= GenRules1[\{x, y, z\}, \{a, b, c\}, "r", 480]
Out[2624]= \{\{x-> a\}, \{y->b\}, \{z-> c\}\}
In[2625] := GenRules1[\{x, y, z\}, \{a, b, c $\}$, "rd", 80]
Out[2625] $=\{\{\mathrm{x}: \rightarrow \mathrm{a}\},\{\mathrm{y}: \rightarrow \mathrm{b}\},\{\mathrm{z}: \rightarrow \mathrm{c}\}\}$
In[2626]:= GenRules1[\{x, y, z\}, a, "r"]
Out[2626] = \{x-> a, y-> a, z-> a\}
In[2627]:= GenRules1[\{x, y, z\}, a, "rd"]
Out[2627] $=\{x: \rightarrow a, y: \rightarrow a, z: \rightarrow a\}$
In[2628]:= GenRules1[\{x, y\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, "rd", 480]
Out[2628]= \{\{x: $\rightarrow \mathrm{a}\},\{\mathrm{y}: \rightarrow \mathrm{b}\}\}$
In[2629]:= GenRules1[x, a, "rd", 80]
Out[2629] $=\{\mathrm{x}: \rightarrow \mathrm{a}\}$
Considering the importance of the mapfunction, sinceMaple 10, the option `inplace`, admissible only at usage of this function with rectangularrtable- objects at renewing these objects in situ was defined. Whereas for objects of other type this mechanism isn't supported as certain examples from [25-27] illustrate. For the purpose of disposal of this shortcoming we offered a quite simpleMapInSitu procedure [27,47]. Along with it the similar means and forMathematica in the form of two functionsMapInSitu andMapInSitu1 together with theMapInSitu2 procedure have been offered. The following fragment represents source codes of the above means with typical examples of their application.
In[2650]:= MapInSitu[x_, y_/; StringQ[y]] := ToExpression[y <> "=" <> ToString[Map[x, ToExpression[y]ll]
In[2651] := y = \{a, b, c $\} ; \mathbf{h}=\{\{4.2,7.2\},\{4.7,6.7\}\} ;$
\{MapInSitu[G, "y"], MapInSitu[Sin, "h"]\}
Out[2651] = \{\{G[a], G[b], G[c]\}, \{ \{-0.871576, 0.793668\}, \{-0.999923, 0.40485\}\}\}
In[2652]:= \{y,h\}
Out[2652]= $\{\{\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{a}], \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{b}], \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{c}]\},\{\{-0.871576,0.793668\},\{-0.999923,0.40485\}\}\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2653]:=\{H, G\}=\{\{8.48,47.67,18.25\},\{7.8,47.67,18.25\}\}$
Out[2653]= \{0.810367,-0.519367,-0.564276\}
In[2654] := MapInSitu1[x_, y_] := ToExpression[ToString[Args[MapInSitu, 80]] <>
"=" <> ToString[Map[x, y]]]
In[2655]: $=\mathbf{y}=\{\{\mathbf{8 0 . 4 2}, \mathbf{2 5 . 5 7}\}$, $\{\mathbf{8 0 . 4 5}, \mathbf{8 0 . 8 9}\}\}$; MapInSitu1[Sin, y]
Out[2655] $=\{\{-0.95252,0.423458\},\{-0.942959,-0.711344\}\}$
In[2656]:= y
Out[2656] $=\{-0.942959,-0.711344\}$
In[2657]: = MapInSitu2[x_, y_] := Module[\{a = Map[x, y], b = ToString[y], h, d=\{\}, $\mathbf{k}=1$, c = Select[Names["**], StringFreeQ[\#, "\$"] \&]\}, For[k, k <= Length[c], k++, h $=\mathbf{c}[[k]]$; If[ToString[ToExpression[h]] === b, d = Append[d, h], NulI]]; For[k = 1, k <= Length[d], k++, h = d[[k]]; ToExpression[h <> " = " <> ToString[a]]]; a]
In[2658]: = MapInSitu2[Sin, \{7.4, 47.67, 18.25\}]
Out[2658] $=\{0.998543,-0.519367,-0.564276\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2659]:=\{\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{G}\}$
Out[2659] $=\{\{0.810367,-0.519367,-0.564276\},\{0.998543,-0.519367,-0.564276\}\}$ With the mechanisms used byMaple-процедуройМарInSitu andMath- functionsMapInSitu of the same name andMapInSitu1 can familiarize in [25-33,47,48]. Means MapInSity for both systems are characterized by the prerequisite, that thesecond argument at their call points out on an identifier in string format to which a certain value has been ascribed earlier and that is updated in situ after its processing by the function $\{\boldsymbol{m a p} \mid \mathbf{M a p}\}$. The callMapInSitu1[ $x, y]$ provides assignment to all identifiers to which in the current session the values coinciding with value of the second argument $y$ have been ascribed, of the result of the call ofMap, updating their values in situ. Anyway, the calls of these procedures return Map $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ as a result. The previous fragment represents source codes of all these procedures and typical examples of their usage.
The standardPart function is quite useful at analysis and processing of the expressions in addition to theHead function, allowingsix formats of coding [60]. Between the functionsHead, Level andPart some useful relations take place that can be used for problems of testing of expressions, in particular, Part[Ex, 0] $=\mathbf{H} \mathbf{H e a d}[\boldsymbol{E x}]$, Level[Ex, 1] [[1]] $\equiv$ Part $[E \boldsymbol{E x}, 1]$, Level[Ex,Infinity] $\equiv$ Level[Ex, -1], where $\boldsymbol{E x}$ - an arbitrary expression, etc. The given means can be used quite successfully for testing and processing of expressions. So, the following fragment represents source code of the procedure, whose the call Decomp[x] returns the list of all unique atomic components of an arbitrary expressionx, includingnames of variables, functions, procedures, operations along with constants. This procedure significantly uses the above functions Level andHead; usage of the functionsHead, Level andPart in a number of functions and procedures of the package [48] proved their effectiviness. In[2417]:= Decomp[x_] := Module[\{c = DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[Level[x, Infinity]], Abs[\#1] === Abs[\#2] \&], b = \{\}, k\}, Label[ArtKr];
For[k=1, k <= Length[c], k++, b = Append[b, If[AtomQ[c[[k]]], c[[k]], \{Level[c[[k]],-1], Head[c[[k]]]\}]]]; b = DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[b], Abs[\#1] === Abs[\#2] \&]; If[c == b, Return[b], $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{b} ; \mathbf{b}=\{ \}$; Goto[ArtKr]]]

In[2418]: = Decomp[\{6*Cos[x]-n*Sin[y]/(Log[h]-b), ProcQ[c, d]\}] Out[2418]= \{6, x, Cos, Times, -1, n, b, h, Log, Plus, Power, y, Sin, c, d, ProcQ\}

The following procedure makes grouping of the expressions that are given by argument $\boldsymbol{L}$ according to their types defined by theHead1 procedure; at that, a separate expression or their list is coded as an argument $L$. The call of GroupNames[ $L$ ] returns simple list or
nested list, whose elements are lists, whosefirst element- an objecttype according to theHead1 procedure while the others- expressions of this type. The fragment represents source code of theGroupNames with examples from which the format of the result that is returned by the procedure is visible quite transparently.
In[2486]:= GroupNames[L_] := Module[\{a = If[ListQ[L], L, \{L\}], c, d, p, t, b= \{\{"Null", "Null"\}\}, k=1\},
For $[k, k$ <= Length[a], k++, $\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{a}[[k]] ; \mathbf{d}=$ Head1[c]; $\mathbf{t}=$ Flatten[Select[b, \#[[1]] === d \& ]]; If[t == \{\}, AppendTo[b, \{d, c\}], p = Flatten[Position[b, t]][[1]];
AppendTo[b[[p]], c]]]; b = b[[2 ;;-1]]; If[Length[b] == 1, Flatten[b], b]] In[2487]:= GroupNames[\{Sin, Cos, ProcQ, Locals2, 80, Map1, StrStr, 67/42, Avz, Nvalue1, a + b\}]

Out[2487] = \{\{System, Sin, Cos\}, \{Module, ProcQ, Locals2, Map1, Nvalue1\}, \{Integer, 80\}, \{Function, StrStr\}, \{Rational, 67/42\}, \{Symbol, Avz\}, \{Plus, a+b\}\} In[2488]:= GroupNames[Head1]
Out[2488]= \{Module, Head1 \}
In[2489]: : L = GroupNames[Names["*"]]
Out[2489]= \{\{Global`System, " [FormalA]", ..., "CallPacket"\}, \{Function, "AcNb", ..., "\$ProcName"\},
\{String, "ActionMenu", ..., "GroebnerBasis"\},
\{Module, "ActiveProcess", ..., "WhichN"\},
\{System, "CanberraDistance", ..., "\$VersionNumber"\}\}
In[2490]:= Map[Length, L]-1
Out[2490] $=\{594,548,90,500,6817\}$
In particular, from 2 last examples of theGroupNames usage follows, that names of the current session belong to five groups, namely:Global'System, Function, String, Module andSystem, the number of elements in which is $594,548,90,500$ and 6817 respectively. Meanwhile, for receiving this result a considerable time expenditure are needed, due to the need of testing of a large number of means of the current session.
In addition to theGroupNames procedure a certain interest can present and a rather simple procedure, whose callLocObj[x] returns the three-element list whosefirst element defines an object $\boldsymbol{x}$, thesecond element determines its type in the context \{"Module",
"SFunction"(system function), "Expression", "Function"\}, while thethird element- its location in the context \{ "Global"- the current session,"System" - a kernel orMathematica library, "Context" - a system or user package that has been loaded into the current session and which contains definition of the objectx\}. The following fragment represents source code of the procedure and the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2244] := LocObj[x_] := Module[\{a = Head1[x], b\},
b[y_] := StringTake[Context[y], \{1,-2\}]; If[a == "Module", \{x, "Module", b[x]\}, If[a $==$ "Function", $\{\mathbf{x}$, "Function", $\mathbf{b}[\mathbf{x}]\}$, If[SystemQ[x], $\{\mathbf{x}$, "SFunction", $\mathbf{b}[\mathbf{x}]\}$,
\{x, "Expression", "Global"\}]I]] In[2245]:= Map[LocObj, \{PureDefinition, ProcQ, StrStr, Sin, a + b, 500\}] Out[2245]= \{\{PureDefinition, "Module", "AladjevProcedures"\},
\{ProcQ , "Module", "AladjevProcedures"\}, \{StrStr, "Function", "AladjevProcedures"\},
\{Sin, "SFunction", "System" $\}$,
\{a+ b, "Expression", "Global"\}, \{500, "Expression", "Global"\}\} While the
callNames1[] returns the nested returns the nestedelement list whose1st element defines the list of names of procedures, the2nd element- the list of names of functions, the3rd element- the list of names whose definitions have been evaluated in the current session whereas the4th element determines the list of other names associated with the current session. The fragment represents source code of theNames1 procedure along with an application example.
In[2545]: = Names1[x__/; $\{\mathbf{x}\}==\{ \}]:=$ Module[ $\{\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{b}=\{\{ \},\{ \},\{ \},\{ \}\}, \mathbf{a}=$ Select[Names["*"], StringTake[\#, $\{1,1\}]$ != "\$" \&]\},
While[c <= Length[a], d = a[[c]]; If[ProcQ[d], AppendTo[b[[1]], d],
If[Quiet[Check[QFunction[d], False]], AppendTo[b[[2]], d], h =
ToString[Quiet[DefFunc[d]]]; If[! SameQ[h, "Null"] \&\& h == "Attributes[" <> d <>
"] = \{Temporary\}", AppendTo[b[[3]], d]], AppendTo[b[[4]], d]]]; c++]; b] In[2546]:= Names1[]
Out[2546]= \{ \{"Bt", "Mas", "Names1", "W"\}, \{"F", "G"\}, \{"Art25\$", "Kr18"\}, \{\}\}
The Names1 procedure is a rather useful means in a number of appendices, in particular, in some questions of the procedural programming, in certain relations expanding the standardNames function ofMathematica. Though, during work in the current session, the execution of theNames1 procedure demands the increasing time expenditure, assuming its circumspect usage.

The call RemoveNames[] provides removal from the current session of the names, whose types are other than procedures and functions, and whose definitions have been evaluated in the current session; moreover, the names are removed so that aren't recognized byMathematica any more. The call RemoveNames[] along with removal of the above names from the current session returns the nestedsession returns the nestedelement list whose first element determines the list of names of procedures, whereas the second element- the list of names of functions whose definitions have been evaluated in the current session. The following fragment represents source code of theRemoveNames with typical examples of its usage.

```
In[2565]:= RemoveNames[x__] := Module[{a = Select[Names["**"],
ToString[Definition[#]] != "Null" &], b}, ToExpression["Remove[" <>
StringTake[ToString[MinusList[a, Select[a, ProcQ[#]||!
SameQ[ToString[Quiet[DefFunc[#]]], "Null"]|| Quiet[Check[QFunction[#], False]]
&]ll, {2,-2}] <> "]"]; b = Select[a, ProcQ[#] &]; {b, MinusList[a, b]}]
In[2566] := {Length[Names["*"]], RemoveNames[], Names["*"]} Out[2566]= {80,
{{"Ar", "Kr", "Rans"}, {"Ian"}}, {"Ar", "Kr", "Rans", "Ian"}} In[2567]:=
```


## RemoveNames[]

```
Out[2567]= \{ \{"Art", "Kr", "Rans"\}, \{"Ian"\}\}
In[2568]:= RemoveNames[]
Out[2568]= \{ \{"M", "M1", "M2"\}, \{"F", "F42", "F47", "\$LoadContexts"\}\}
```

The RemoveNames procedure is a rather useful means in some appendices connected with cleaning of the workingMathematica area from definitions of non-used symbols.

The given procedure confirmed a certain efficiency in management of random access memory.
Using our procedures and functions such asDefFunc3, HeadPF, ToString1, SymbolQ andPrefixQ, it is possible to obtain the more developed means of testing of program objects of theMathematica; theObjType procedure acts as a similar means. The callObjType[x] returns the type of an objectx in the context \{Function,Module,Block or DynamicModule\}, in other cases the type of an expression assigned in the current session to a symbolx by assignment operators $\{:=,=\}$ is returned. The following fragment represents source code of the ObjType procedure along with typical application examples.

```
In[2220]:= ObjType[x_] := Module[{a, b, c, d = {}, h},
If[ToString1[HeadPF[x]] === "HeadPF[" <> ToString1[x] <> "]" ||
```

SymbolQ[HeadPF[x]], Return[Head[x]], b = \{ToString1[DefFunc[x]]\}; c =
Length[b]]; Do[AppendTo[d, h = StringSplit[b[[k]], ":= "]; \{h[[1]],
If[PrefixQ["Module[\{", h[[2]]], Module,
If[PrefixQ["Block[\{", h[[2]]], Block, If[PrefixQ["Function[", h[[2]]], Function, If[PrefixQ["DynamicModule[\{", h[[2]]], DynamicModule, \{Function, Head[ToExpression[h[[2]]I]]\}]I]]\}]; Flatten[d, 1]]

In[2221] := Sv[x_, y_] := x + y; G[x_] := Block[\{\}, $\left.\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2\right] ;$ V[x_] := If[EvenQ[x], x, 2*x]; V[x_, y_] := Block[\{a = If[PrimeQ[x], NextPrime[y]]\}, a*(x + y)];
In[2222]:= Map[ObjType, \{ObjType, 80, a + b, ProcQ\}]
Out[2222] = \{\{"ObjType[x_]", Module\}, Integer, Plus, \{"ProcQ[x_]", Module\}\}
In[2223]:= Map[ObjType, \{Sv, G, V\}]
Out[2223]= \{\{"Sv[x_, y_]", \{Function, Plus\}\}, \{"G[x_]", Block\},
\{ "V[x_, y_]", Block\}, \{"V[x_]", \{Function, Times\}\}\} In[2224]:= ObjType[DefFunc3]
Out[2224]= \{"DefFunc3[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]]", Module\}
In[2225]:= F := Function[\{x, y\}, x + y]; \{F[80, 480], ObjType[F]\} Out[2225]= \{560, Function\}
In[2226]:= F1 := \#1 * \#2 \&; \{F1[80, 480], ObjType[F1]\}
Out[2226] $=$ \{38400, Function $\}$
In[2227]:= Map[ObjType, \{HeadPF, StrStr \}]
Out[2227]= \{\{"Head1[x_]", Module\}, \{"StrStr[x_]", \{Function, String\}\}\} In[2228]:= Agn := "4247679886"; Avz = 2014; Map[ObjType, \{Agn, Avz\}] Out[2228]= \{String, Integer $\}$

Here is quite appropriate to make one explanation : the ObjType procedure carries to theFunction type not only especially functional objects, but also definitions of the formatName $\left[x_{-}, y_{-}, z_{-}, \ldots\right]:=$ Expression; in this case the call returns the list of the following format, namely: \{"Name[x_, y_, $\left.z_{-}, ..\right]$], \{Function,Head[Expression]\}\}. Due to the aforesaid theObjType procedure is represented to us as a rather useful means at testing of objects of various type in the current session in problems of procedural programming.

In a number of cases exists an urgent need of determination of the program objects and their types activated directly in the current session. The problem is solved by theTypeActObj procedure, whose callTypeActObj[] returns the nested list, whose
sublists in string format by the first element contain types of active objects of the current session, whereas other elements of the sublist are names corresponding to this type; at that, the types recognized by the system, or the types of expressions determined by us, in particular, \{`Procedure`; Function`\} can act as a type. In a certain sense theTypeActObj procedure supplements the ObjType procedure. The following fragment represent source code of the procedure with examples of its application. In[2787] := TypeActObj[] := Module[\{a = Names["**"], b=\{\}, c, d, h, p, k=1\}, Quiet[For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, h = a[[k]]; c = ToExpression[h]; p = StringJoin["0", ToString[Head[c]]]; If[! StringFreeQ[h, "\$"] || (p === Symbol \& \& "Definition" \([c]===\) Null), Continue[], b = Append \([b,\{h\), If[ProcQ[c], "0Procedure", If[Head1[c] === Function, "0Function", pl]\}]]l]; a = Quiet[Gather1[Select[b, ! \#1[[2]] === Symbol \& ], 2]]; a = ToExpression[StringReplace[ToString1[DeleteDuplicates /@ Sort /@ Flatten /@ a], "AladjevProcedures`TypeActObj""-> ""]l; Append[\{\}, Do[a[[k]][[1]] = StringTake[a[[k]][[1]], \{2,-1\}], \{k, Length[a]\}]]; a]
In[2788] := TypeActObj[]
Out[2788]= \{ "Symbol", "A", "B", "g", "H3", "m", "n", "PacletFind", "System", "Procedure" $\}$, \{"Procedure", "As", "Kr"\}, \{"Function", "G", "V"\}, \{"List", "xyz"\}\} In[2789]:= TypeActObj[]
Out[2789]= \{\{"String", "Agn"\}, \{"Symbol", "atr", "F2", "F47", "M", "Sv", "V"\},
\{"Integer", "Avz"\}, \{"Function", "F", "F1"\},
\{"Procedure", "G", "M2", "M3", "M4", "M5", "RemoveNames"\}\}
In the context of use of the standard functions Nest andMap for definition of new pure functions on the basis of available ones, it is possible to offer a procedure as an useful generalization of the standardMap function, whose callMapp [F, $\boldsymbol{E}, \boldsymbol{x}]$ returns result of application of a function/procedure $\boldsymbol{F}$ to an expression $\boldsymbol{E}$ with transfer to it of the actual arguments determined by a tuple of expressions $\boldsymbol{x}$ which can be and empty. In case of the empty tuplex the identityMap[F, $\boldsymbol{E}] \equiv \operatorname{Mapp}[\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{E}]$ takes place. As formal arguments of the standard functionMap[ $f, g]$ act the name of a procedure/function whereas as thesecond argument- an arbitrary expressiong, to whose operands of the first level is appliedf. The following fragment represents source code of the Mapp procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2634]:= Mapp[f_/; ProcQ[f]]||SysFuncQ[f]||SymbolQ[f], Ex_, x__]:= Module[\{a $=\operatorname{Level}[E x, 1], b=\{x\}, \mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{h}, \mathrm{g}=$ Head[Ex], $\mathrm{k}=1\}$, If[b== \{\}, Map[f, Ex], $\mathrm{h}=$ Length[a]; For[k, k <= h, k++, AppendTo[c, ToString[f] <> "[" <> ToString1[a[[k]]] <> ", " <> ListStrToStr[Map[ToString1, \{x\}]] <> "]"]l;
g[Sequences[ToExpression[c]]I]] In[2635]:= Mapp[F, \{a, b, c \}, x, y, z]
Out[2635] $=\{F[a, x, y, z], F[b, x, y, z], F[c, x, y, z]\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2636]:=\mathbf{M a p p}[\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$
Out[2636] $=\mathrm{F}[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}]+\mathrm{F}[\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}]+\mathrm{F}[\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}]$
In[2637]:= Mapp[F, $(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}) /(\mathbf{g}+\mathbf{h})+\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}], \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}]$
Out[2637] $=\mathrm{F}[(\mathrm{m}+\mathrm{n}) /(\mathrm{g}+\mathrm{h}), \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}]+\mathrm{F}[\operatorname{Sin}[\mathrm{x}], \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}]$
In[2638]:= Mapp[StringPosition, \{"11123", "33234"\}, \{"2", "3", "23"\}] Out[2638]=
$\{\{\{4,4\},\{4,5\},\{5,5\}\},\{\{1,1\},\{2,2\},\{3,3\},\{3,4\},\{4,4\}\}\} \operatorname{In}[2639]:=$
Mapp[StringReplace, \{"123525", "2595"\}, \{"2"-> "V", "5"-> "G"\}] Out[2639]= \{"1V3GVG", "VG9G"\}
In[2640]:= Map[F, \{ \{a, b\}, \{c, d, e\}\}]
Out[2640]= $\{\mathrm{F}[\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}], \mathrm{F}[\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}\}]\}$
In[2641]:= Mapp[F, \{ \{a, b\}, \{c, d, e\}\}, x, y, z]
$\operatorname{Out}[2641]=\{F[\{a, b\}, x, y, z], F[\{c, d, e\}, x, y, z]\}$
In[2642]:= Mapp[ProcQ, \{Sin, ProcQ, Mapp, PureDefinition, SysFuncQ\}]
Out[2642]= \{False, True, True, True, False $\}$
In[2653]:= Mapp1[f_/; SymbolQ[f], L_/; ListQ[L]] := Module[\{b, a = Attributes[f]\},
SetAttributes[f, Listable]; b = Map[f, L]; ClearAllAttributes[f]; SetAttributes[f, a]; b]

We will note that realization of algorithm of theMapp procedure is based on the following relation, namely:

## Map[F, Expr] $\equiv$ Head[Expr][Sequences[Map[F,Level[Expr,1]]l]

Whose rightness follows from definition of the system functionsHead, Map, Level, and also of theSequences procedure considered in the present book. The following simple example rather visually illustrates the aforesaid:
$\operatorname{In}[4942]:=\operatorname{Map}[F,(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}) /(\mathbf{g}+\mathbf{h})+\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}]]==\operatorname{Head}[(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}) /(\mathbf{g}+\mathbf{h})+\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}]]$
[Sequences[Map[F, Level[(m + n)/(g + h) $+\operatorname{Sin}[x], 1]$ ]l]
Out[4942]= True
The given relation can be used and at realization of cyclic structures for the solution of problems of other directionality, including programming on the basis of use of mechanism of thepure functions. While theMapp procedure in some cases rather significantly simplifies programming of various tasks. TheListable attribute for a function $\boldsymbol{F}$ determines that the function $\boldsymbol{F}$ will be automatically applied to elements of the list that acts as its argument. Such approach can be used rather successfully in a number of cases of realization of blocks, functions and modules.
In particular, in this context a rather simple Mapp1 procedure is of interest, whose callMapp1 $[x, y]$ unlike the callMap $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ of the standard function returns result of applying of a block, function or a modulex to all elements of a listy, regardless of their location on list levels. The previous fragment represents source code of theMapp1 procedure with comparative examples relative to the systemMap function.
Meanwhile, for a number of functions and expressions the Listable-attribute doesn't work, and in this case the system provides2 special functionsMap andThread that in a certain relation can quite be referred to the structural means that provide application of functions to parts of expressions. In this conexion we created group of enough simple and at the
same time useful procedures and functions, so-calledMapmeans which enough significantly expand the systemMap function. Two means of this group- the procedures Mapp andMapp1 that have a number of applications in means of package AVZ_Package [48] have already been presented above, we will present also other means of thisMap-group. The following fragment represents source codes of means of this group with typical examples of their application that on the formal level rather visually illustrate results of calls of these means on correct factual arguments. Similar representation allows to significantly minimize descriptions of means when on the basis of formal results of calls it is quite simple to understand an essence of each means ofMap-group.

## In[2625]:= Map1[x_/; ListQ[x] \&\&

SameQ[DeleteDuplicates[Map[SymbolQ[\#] \&, x]], \{True\}], y_List] :=
Map[Symbol[ToString[\#]][Sequences[y]] \&, x] In[2626]:= Map1[\{F, G, H, V\}, \{x, y, $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}\}]$
Out[2626]= \{F[x,y,z,h,p, t], G[x,y,z,h,p,t], H[x,y,z,h,p,t], V[x,y,z,h,p,t]\}
In[2627] := Map2[F_/; SymbolQ[F], c_/; ListQ[c], d_/; ListQ[d]] :=
Map[Symbol[ToString[F]][\#, Sequences[d]] \&, c]
In[2628]:= Map2[F, \{a, b, c, d, e, g\}, \{x, y, z, p, q, h\}]
$\operatorname{Out}[2628]=\{F[a, x, y, z, p, q, h], F[b, x, y, z, p, q, h], F[c, x, y, z, p, q, h], F[d, x, y, z, p$, q, h], F[e, x, y, z, p, q, h], F[g, x, y, z, p, q, h]\}
In[2629]:= Map3[f_/; SymbolQ[f], g_, l_/; ListQ[I]] :=
Map[Symbol[ToString[f]][g, \#] \&, l]
In[2630]:= Map3[F, H, \{ $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\}]$
Out[2630] $=\{\mathbf{F}[\mathbf{H}, \mathrm{x}], \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{H}, \mathrm{y}], \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{H}, \mathrm{z}], \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{H}, \mathrm{h}], \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{H}, \mathrm{p}], \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{H}, \mathrm{h}], \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{H}, \mathrm{m}], \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{H}, \mathrm{n}]\}$
In[2631] := Map4[F_/; SymbolQ[F], L_/; ListQ[L], x_] :=
Map[Symbol[ToString[F]][\#, x] \&, L]
In[2632]: = Map4[F, \{a, b, c, d, h, g, m, n\}, x]
Out[2632]= $\{F[a, x], F[b, x], F[c, x], F[d, x], F[h, x], F[g, x], F[m, x], F[n, x]\}$
In[2633]:= Map5[F_, L_/; NestListQ[L]] := Map[F[Sequences[\#]] \&, L] In[2634]:=
Map5[S, $\{\{\mathbf{x 1}, \mathrm{y} 1, \mathrm{z} 1, \mathrm{t} 1\},\{\mathrm{x} 2, \mathrm{y} 2, \mathrm{z} 2\},\{\mathrm{x} 3, \mathrm{y} 3\},\{\mathrm{x} 4, \mathrm{y} 4, \mathrm{z} 4, \mathrm{t4}, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n}\}\}]$
Out[2634] $=\{\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{y} 1, \mathrm{z} 1, \mathrm{t} 1], \mathrm{S}[\mathrm{x} 2, \mathrm{y} 2, \mathrm{z} 2], \mathrm{S}[\mathrm{x} 3, \mathrm{y} 3], \mathrm{S}[\mathrm{x} 4, \mathrm{y} 4, \mathrm{z} 4, \mathrm{t} 4, \mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{n}]\}$
In[2635]:= $\mathbf{F}\left[\mathbf{x}_{-}, \mathbf{y}_{-}, \mathbf{z}_{-}, \mathbf{h}_{-}\right]:=\mathbf{a}[\mathbf{x}] * \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{y}]^{*} \mathbf{d}[\mathbf{z}]^{*} \mathbf{g}[\mathbf{z}]-\mathbf{c}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}]$
In[2636]:= Map5[F, \{\{x1, y1, z1, t1\}, \{x2, y2, z2\}, \{x3, y3\}, \{x4, y4, z4, t4, m, n\}\}]
Out[2636]= $\{-c[x 1, y 1, z 1]+a[x 1] b[y 1] d[z 1] g[z 1], F[x 2, y 2, z 2], F[x 3, y 3]$,
F[x4, y4, z4, t4, m, n]\}
In[2637] := Map6[F_/; PureFuncQ[F], L_/; ListListQ[L]] := Module[\{a, h, p, b =
Length[L], $c=$ Length[L[[1]]], $d=\{ \}, k=1\}, h=S t r i n g T a k e[T o S t r i n g[F], ~\{1,-4\}] ;$
For[k, k <= b, k++, a = \{\}; AppendTo[d, StringReplace[h, Flatten[\{For[p = 1, p <= c, p++, AppendTo[a, "\#" <> ToString[p]-> ToString[L[[k]][[p]I]I]], a\}][[2 ;;-1]]I]];
ToExpression[d]]
In[2638] := Map6[a[\#1]*b[\#2]*d[\#3]*g[\#4z]-c[\#1, \#2, \#3] \&, $\{\{\mathbf{x 1}, \mathbf{y 1}, \mathbf{z 1}, \mathbf{t 1}\},\{x 2, \mathrm{y} 2$, z2, t2\}, \{x3, y3, z3, t3\}, \{x4, y4, z4, t4\}\}]
Out[2638] $=\{-c[x 1, y 1, z 1]+a[x 1] b[y 1] d[z 1] g[t 1],-c[x 2, y 2, z 2]+a[x 2] b[y 2] d[z 2]$
$\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{t} 2],-\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{x} 3, \mathrm{y} 3, \mathrm{z} 3]+\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{x} 3] \mathrm{b}[\mathrm{y} 3] \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{z} 3] \mathrm{g}[\mathrm{t} 3]-\mathrm{c}[\mathrm{x} 4, \mathrm{y} 4, \mathrm{z} 4]+\mathrm{a}[\mathrm{x} 4] \mathrm{b}[\mathrm{y} 4] \mathrm{d}[\mathrm{z} 4] \mathrm{g}[t 4]\}$

In[ 2639]:= Map7[x__/; DeleteDuplicates[Map[SymbolQ, \{x\}]] === \{True\}, y_/;
ListQ[y]] := Map[FunCompose[Reverse[Map[Symbol, Map[ToString, \{x\}]]], \#] \&, y] In[2640]:= Map7[F, G, H, \{a, b, c, d, h\}]
Out[ 2640]= $\{\mathrm{F}[\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{H}[\mathrm{a}]]], \mathrm{F}[\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{H}[\mathrm{b}]]], \mathrm{F}[\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{H}[\mathrm{c}]]]$, $\mathrm{F}[\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{H}[\mathrm{d}]]], \mathrm{H}[\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{F}[\mathrm{h}]]]\}$ In[2641]:=
Map7[Sin, Sqrt, N, \{18, 25, 47, 67, 72, 480\}]
Out[2641] $=\{-0.891682,-0.958924,0.541709,0.945597,0.807261,0.0821536\}$
In[2642]:= Map8[x__/; DeleteDuplicates[Map[SymbolQ, \{x\}]] === \{True\}, y_/;
ListQ[y]] := Map[Symbol[ToString[\#]][Sequences[y]] \&, \{x\}] In[2643]:= Map8[x, y, $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g},\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}]$
Out[2643]= \{x[a, b, c, d], y[a, b, c, d], z[a, b, c, d], h[a, b, c, d], g[a, b, c, d]\} In[2644]:=
Map9[F_/; SymbolQ[F], x_/; ListQ[x], y_/; ListQ[y]] := If[Length[x] == Length[y], Map13[F, \{x, y\}], Defer[Map9[F, x, y]l]
$\operatorname{In}[2645]:=$ Map9[F, \{a, b, c, d, g, p\}, \{x, y, z, h, s, w\}]
$\operatorname{Out}[2645]=\{F[a, x], F[b, y], F[c, z], F[d, h], F[g, s], F[p, w]\}$
In[2646]:= Map9[Rule, \{"72a", "67g", "47s", "80b"\}, \{"a", "b", "c", "d"\}]
Out[2646]= \{"72a"-> "a", "67g"-> "b", "47s"-> "c", "80b"-> "d"\} In[2647]:=
Map9[Rule, \{a, b, c, d, m, p\}, \{x, y, z, t, n, q\}]
Out[2647]= \{a-> x, b-> y, c-> z, d-> t, m-> n, p-> q\}
In[2648]:= Map9[Plus, \{a, b, c, d, g, p, u\}, \{x, y, z, h, s, w, t \}]
$\operatorname{Out}[2648]=\{a+x, b+y, c+z, d+h, g+s, p+w, u+t\}$
In[2649]:= Map10[F_/; SymbolQ[F], x_, L_/; ListQ[L], y__] :=
Map[Symbol[ToString[F]][x, \#, Sequences[\{y\}]] \&, L]
$\operatorname{In}[2650]:=\operatorname{Map10[F,~x,~\{ a,~"b",~c,~d\} ,~y,~"z",~h]~}$
Out[2650]= \{F[x,a,y, "z",h], F[x, "b", y, "z", h], F[x, c, y, "z",h], F[x,d, y, "z",h]\}
In[2651]:= Map10[F, "x", \{a, "b", c, d, f, g\}]
Out[2651]= \{F["x", a], F["x", "b"], F["x", c], F["x", d], F["x", f], F["x", g]\} In[2652]:= Map10[SuffPref, "C:|89b8ff17cbdce3\ mxdwdrv.dll", \{".nb",
".m", ".dll", ".cdf"\}, 2]
Out[2652]= \{False, False, True, False $\}$
In[2653] := Map11[x_/; SymbolQ[x], y_/; ListQ[y], z_] := (If[ListQ[\#1], (x[\#1, z] \&)
/@ \#1, x[\#1, z]l \&) /@ y
$\operatorname{In}[2654]:=\mathbf{M a p 1 1 [ G , ~ \{ \mathbf { x } , \mathbf { y } , \mathbf { z } , \mathbf { m } , \mathbf { n } , \mathbf { g } \} , \mathbf { t } ]}$
$\operatorname{Out}[2654]=\{G[x, t], G[y, t], G[z, t], G[m, t], G[n, t], G[g, t]\}$
In[2655]:= Map12[F_/; SymbolQ[F], x_/; NestListQ1[x]] := Module[\{c, a =
ToString1[x], b = ToString[F] <> "@"\}, c = StringReplace[a, \{"\{"-> "\{" <> b, ", "-> "," <> b\}]; c = StringReplace[c, b <> "\{"-> "\{"]; ToExpression[c]] In[2656]:= Map12[F, $\{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\},\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}, \mathbf{h},\{\mathbf{m},\{\{" \mathbf{p} "\}\}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p},\{\{\{\mathbf{x}, " \mathbf{y} "\}\}\}\}\}]$ Out[2656]= \{\{F[a], F[b], F[c]\}, \{F[x], F[y], F[z]\}, F[h], \{F[m], \{\{F["p"]\}\}, F[n],
F[p] , \{\{\{F[x], F[y]\}\}\}\}\}
In[2657]:= Map12[ToString1, \{ \{a, b, c \}, \{x, y, z\}, "h", \{m, \{"x"\}, n, p\}\}] Out[2657]= \{\{"a", "b", "c"\}, \{"x", "y", "z"\}, ""h"", \{"m", \{""x""\}, "n", "p"\}\}
In[2658]:= Map13[x_/; SymbolQ[x], y_/; ListListQ[y]] := Module[\{k, j, a =

Length[y], b = Length[y[[1]]], $\mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{d}=\{ \}\}$,
For $[k=1, k<=b, k++, \operatorname{For}[j=1, j<=a, j++$, AppendTo[c, y[[j]][[k]]]]; AppendTo[d,
Apply[x, c]]; c = \{\}]; d] In[2659]:= Map13[F, \{\{a, b, c, s\}, \{x, y, z, g\}, \{m, n, p, w\}\}]
Out[2659]= \{F[a, x, m], F[b, y, n], F[c, z, p], F[s, g, w]\}
In[2660]:= Map13[ProcQ, $\{$ \{ProcQ\}\}]
Out[2660]= \{True $\}$
In[2661]:= Map13[Plus, $\{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{t}\},\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{t}\},\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g}\}\}] \operatorname{Out}[2661]=\{a+$ $m+x, b+n+y, c+p+z, 2 g+h, g+2 t\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2662]:=\mathbf{G}\left[\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{b}}, \mathbf{y}\right] \mathbf{:}: \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}$; Map13[G, $\left.\{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\},\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\},\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}\}\}\right] \operatorname{Out}[2662]=$ $\{G[a, x, m], G[b, y, n], G[c, z, p]\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2663]:=\operatorname{Map} 13[G,\{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}\},\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{v}\}\}]$
$\operatorname{Out}[2663]=\{a+x, b+y, c+z, g+t, h+v\}$
In[2664]:= Map14[x_/; SymbolQ[x], y_/; ListQ[y], z_, t__] :=
Module[\{a = Map[x[\#, z] \&, y]\}, If[\{t\}== \{\}, a, Map[ToString, a]]l
$\operatorname{In}[2665]$ := Map14[G, \{a, b, c, d, f, g, h\}, Kr]
Out[2665] $=\{\mathrm{G}[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{Kr}], \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{Kr}], \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Kr}], \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{Kr}], \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{Kr}], \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{Kr}], \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{Kr}]\}$
In[2666]:= Map14[G, \{a, b, c, d, f, g\}, Kr, 500]
Out[2666]= \{"G[a,Kr]", "G[b,Kr]", "G[c,Kr]", "G[d,Kr]", "G[f,Kr]", "G[g,Kr]"\}
In[2667]:= Map14[G, \{ \}, Kr, 90]
Out[2667]= \{ $\}$
In[2668]:= Map15[x_/; SameQ[DeleteDuplicates[Map[SymbolQ, \{x\}]], \{True\}], y_] := Map[\#[y] \&, \{x\}]
In[2669] := Map15[TableForm, MatrixForm, \{ $1 \mathbf{1 , ~ V , ~ 7 2 \} , ~ \{ 2 , ~ G , ~ 6 7 \} , ~ \{ 3 , ~ S , ~ 4 7 \} , ~ \{ 4 , ~ A , ~}$ 25\}, \{5, K, 18\}\}] 1 v 721 v 72
2G672G67
3S 47, 3 S 47
4A 254 A 25
Out[2669] = 5 K 185 к 18
In[2670]:= Map15[F, G, H, P, Q, X, Y, (a + b)]
Out[2670]= \{F[a+b], G[a+b], H[a+b], P[a+b], Q[a+b], X[a+b], Y[a+b]\}
In[2671]:= Map16[f_/; SymbolQ[f], I_/; ListQ[l], x__] := Quiet[(f[\#1, FromCharacterCode[6]] \&) /@ 1/. FromCharacterCode[6]-> Sequence[x]]
In[2672]:= Map16[F, \{ $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{t}\}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{p}$ ]
Out[2672]= \{F[x, h, m, p], F[y, h, m, p], F[z, h, m, p], F[t, h, m, p]\} In[2673]:=
Map17[x_, y_/; RuleQ[y] || ListRulesQ[y]] :=
If[RuleQ[y], Map[x, y], Map[Map[x, \#] \&, yl] In[2674]:= Map17[F, \{a-> b, c-> d, t-> g, w-> v, h-> 80\}]
Out[2674] $=\{\mathrm{F}[\mathrm{a}]->\mathrm{F}[\mathrm{b}], \mathrm{F}[\mathrm{c}]->\mathrm{F}[\mathrm{d}], \mathrm{F}[\mathrm{t}]->\mathrm{F}[\mathrm{g}], \mathrm{F}[\mathrm{w}]->\mathrm{F}[\mathrm{v}], \mathrm{F}[\mathrm{h}]->\mathrm{F}[80]\}$
The previous fragment represents source codes of means of the above Map- group with examples of their usages, from which the structure of the results returned by them is quite visually visible. Without increasing essence, we will give only short explanations concerning means of the given group. For example, the following calls
Map1[ $\{F, G, H, \ldots\},\{x, y, \ldots\}], \operatorname{Map} 2[F,\{a, b, \ldots\},\{x, y, \ldots\}], \operatorname{Map} 3[F, H,\{x, y, \ldots\}]$
return respectively lists of the following format, namely:
$\{F[x, y, \ldots], G[x, y, \ldots], H[x, y, \ldots], \ldots\} ;\{F[a, x, y \ldots], F[b, x, y, \ldots], F[c, x, y, \ldots], \ldots\} ;\{F[H$, $x], F[H, y], F[H, z], F[H, h], \ldots, F[H, g], F[H, m], F[H, n], \ldots\}$.
The call Map4[x, $y, z]$ returns result in the format $\{x[a 1, z], x[a 2, z], x[a 3, z], \ldots\}$, wherey $=$ $\{a 1, \boldsymbol{a} 2, \boldsymbol{a} 3, \ldots$. . Whereas two proceduresMap5 andMap6 expand action of the system functionMap onto cases of classical and pure functions with any number of arguments. The callMap7[F, $\boldsymbol{G}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{V},\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}\}]$ where $\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{G}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{V}$ - symbols and $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}\}$ - the list of arbitrary expressions, returns result of the following format, namely:
\{ $F[G[\ldots V[a]]]] \ldots], F[G[\ldots V[b]]]] \ldots], F[G[\ldots V[c]]]] \ldots$........,F[G[...V[v]]I]...]\} without demanding any additional explanations in view of transparency.
Quite certain interest is represented by quite simple Map8 function, whose callMap8[F, $\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{H}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{V},\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}\}$ ], where to $\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{G}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{V}$ - symbols whereas $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}\}$ - the list of arbitrary expressions, returns result of the format:
$\{F[a, b, c, \ldots, v], G[a, b, c, \ldots, v], H[a, b, c, \ldots, v], \ldots, V[a, b, c, \ldots, v]\}$
without demanding any additional explanations in view of transparency ; at that, theMap8 function is rather useful means, in particular, at organization of comparisons of results of the calls of functionally similar blocks/functions /modules on identical tuples of the actual arguments. While the callMap9[x, $\left.\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}\},\left\{a 1, \boldsymbol{b}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v} 1\right\}\right]$ wherex - the symbol, $\{\mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}$, $\ldots, \boldsymbol{v}\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}\}$ are lists of the arbitrary expressions of identical length, returns result of the following format, namely:
$\{x[a, a 1], x[b, b 1], x[c, c 1], x[d, d 1], \ldots, x[v, v 1]\}$
The call Map10[F, $x,\{a, b, \ldots, v\}, c 1, c 2, \ldots, c n]$, where $F-$ a symbol, $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\{a, b, c, \ldots$, $\boldsymbol{v}\}$ - an expression and lists of expressions respectively,c1, c2, ..., $\boldsymbol{c n}$ - optional arguments, returns result of the following format, namely:
$\{F[x, a, c 1, c 2, \ldots], F[x, b, c 1, c 2, \ldots], F[x, c, c 1, c 2, \ldots], \ldots, F[x, v, c 1, c 2, \ldots]\}$
The Map12 procedure generalizes the standardMap function onto a case of a nested list as its second actual argument. The callMap12[F, $\{\{a, b, c, \ldots, v\},\{a 1, b 1, c 1, \ldots, v 1\}, \ldots, p$, ..., $\{\boldsymbol{a p}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{c p}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v p}\}\}$ ] where $\boldsymbol{F}$ - a symbol, and the second argument- the nested list of arbitrary expressions, returns result of the following format, namely:
$\left\{\operatorname{Map}[F,\{a, b, c, \ldots, v\}], \operatorname{Map}[F,\{a 1, b 1, c 1, \ldots, v 1\}], \ldots, F[p], \ldots\right.$, Map $^{2} F,\{a p, b p, h$, cp,..., vp\}]\}
Whereas the Map13 procedure generalizes the standardMap function onto case of a list ofListList-type as itssecond actual argument. The procedure call Map13[F, $\{\{a, b, c, \ldots$, $\boldsymbol{v}\},\{a 1, b 1, c 1, \ldots, v 1\}, \ldots,\{a p, b p, c p, \ldots, v p\}\}]$ where $F-$
a symbol, and the second argument- the list ofListList-type of expressions, returns result of the following format, namely:
$\{F[a, a 1, a 2, \ldots, a p], F[b, b 1, b 2, \ldots, b p], F[c, c 1, c 2, \ldots, c p], \ldots, F[v, v 1, v 2, \ldots, v p]\}$
In case of an undefinite symbol $\boldsymbol{x}$ the concept ofarity is ignored; meanwhile, if an actual argumentx defines procedure or function of the user, the call of Map13 is returned unevaluated ifarityx is other than length of sublists ofy. The callMap14[F, $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \ldots$,
$\boldsymbol{v}\}, \boldsymbol{y}$ ] where $\boldsymbol{F}$ - a symbol, the second argument is a list of any expressions and $\boldsymbol{y}$ - an arbitrary expression, returns result of the following format, namely:
$\{F[a, y], F[b, y], F[c, y], F[d, y], \ldots, F[v, y]\}$
At that, an use at the call Map14[F, $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}\}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{t}]$ of optional4th actual argument- an arbitrary expression- returns result of the following format, namely:
$\{" F[a, y]$ ", "F[b, $y]$ ", "F[c, y]", "F[d, y]", ..., "F[v, y]"\} The callMap15[x1,x2, x3, ..., $\boldsymbol{x p}, \boldsymbol{t}$ ] where $\boldsymbol{x j}$ - symbols, and $\boldsymbol{t}$ - an arbitrary admissible expression, returns result of the following format, namely: $\{x 1[t], x 2[t], x 3[t], x 4[t], x 5[t], \ldots, x p[t]\}$
The call Map16[F, $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{v}\}, \mathbf{c} 1, \boldsymbol{c 2}, \ldots, c \boldsymbol{c}]$ where $\boldsymbol{F}$ - a symbol whereas $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \ldots$, $\boldsymbol{v}\}$ - a list of arbitrary expressions, andc1, $\mathbf{c 2}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{c n}$ - optional arguments accordinglyreturns the list of the following format, namely:
 callMap17[F, $\{\boldsymbol{a}->\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}->\boldsymbol{d}, \ldots\}]$ where $\boldsymbol{F}$ - a symbol while $\{\boldsymbol{a}->\boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}->\boldsymbol{d}, \ldots\}$ - a list of rules returns the list of the following format, namely: $\{\mathbf{F}[\boldsymbol{a}]->\mathbf{F}[\boldsymbol{b}], \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{c}]->\mathbf{F}[\boldsymbol{d}], \ldots$...\} without demanding any additional explanations in view of its transparency. The seventeen means, represented above, form so-calledMap-group which rather significantly expands functionality of standard functionMap of the system. From the presented information the formats of the returned results of calls of the above means are quite transparent, without demanding any additional explanations. Means of Mapgroup in a number of cases allow to simplify programming of procedures and functions, significantly extending the standardMap function. TheAVZ_Package package also use these tools. It is rather expedient to programmatically process all special and erroneous situations arising in the course of calculation for that theMathematica has quite enough means in theInput-mode whereas at procedural processing of such situations the question is slightly more difficult. For this reason theTry function which represents a certain analog oftry-sentence ofMaple system whose mechanism is very effective in theInput-mode and at a procedural processing of special and erroneous situations when erroneous and special situations without any serious reason don't lead to a procedure completion without returning the corresponding diagnostic messages has been offered. The fragment below represents source code of theTry function along with examples of its typical application.
In[2458] := G::norational = "actual argument `1` is not rational" Out[2458]= "actual argument 1 ` is not rational" In[2459]:= G[x_] := If[Head[x] === Rational, Numerator[x]^9 + Denominator[x]^9, Message[G::norational, x]; Defer[G[x]]] In[2460]:= G[42.73] G::norational: actual argument 42.73` is not rational Out[2460]= G[42.73]
$\operatorname{In}[2461]:=\operatorname{Try}\left[x_{-} / ;\right.$StringQ[x], y_] := Quiet[Check[ToExpression[x], \{y,
\$MessageList $\}$ ]
In[2462]:= Try["G[42/73]", "Res"]
Out[2462]=59 278258092117385
In[2463]:= Try["G[42.73]", "Res"]
Out[2463]= \{"Res", \{G::norational\}\}
In[2464]:= Try["1/0", "Error"]

Out[2464]= \{"Error", \{Power::infy \}
In[2465]:= Ag[x_Integer, y_Integer] := Module[\{a = Try[ToString[x] <> "/" <> ToString[y], "Error"]\}, If[ListQ[a], If[a[[1]] === "Error", \{x, y, a[[2]]\}], x/y]] In[2466]:= Ag[80, 480]
Out[2466]= 1/6
In[2467]:= $\mathbf{A g}[\mathbf{8 0}, \mathbf{0}]$
Out[2467]= \{80, 0, \{Power::infy\}\}
First of all, for illustration of operating of the function $\operatorname{Try}[x, y]$ the message with name"G::norational" that is used by simple functionG[x] in case of its call on argument $\boldsymbol{x}$ different from a rational number. Such call outputs this message with return of the unevaluated call(at that,only simplifications of an expressionxcan be done). TheTry function is similar totry-clause ofMaple, providing processing of $x$ depending on themessages initiated by evaluation of $\boldsymbol{x}$. Furthermore, it is necessary to note that all messages initiated by such evaluation of an expressionx, should be activated in the current session. The call of the function has the following format, namely:

## Try["x-expression",y\}\}]

where the first argument $\boldsymbol{x}$ defines an expressionx in string format whereas thesecond argument defines the message associated with a possible special or erroneous situation at calculation of expressionx. In case evaluation of an expressionx is correct, the result of its evaluation(for example,the procedure call) is returned, otherwise the nested list of the format $\{\boldsymbol{y},\{\boldsymbol{M e s}\}\}$ is returned whereMes defines the system message generated as a result of processing of a erroneous or special situation by the system. The functionTry proved itself as a rather convenient means for processing of special and erroneous situations at programming of a number of applied and system problems. For the rather experienced users of theMathematica system the codes of the illustrative examples and means of this section are quite transparent and of any special additional explanations don’t demand.

### 5.1. The control branching structures and cyclic structures in the Mathematicasystem

Rather difficult algorithms of calculations and/or control algorithms (first of all) can't use especiallyconsequent schemes, including various constructions changing consequent order of an algorithm execution depending on these or those conditions:conditional andunconditional transitions, cycles, branchings (the structures of such type in a number of cases are called ascontrol structures). In particular, for the organization of the control structures of the branching type theMath-language ofMathematica has rather effective tool provided with theIf-function having three formats of coding [28-33,60]. In a number of cases a simpleIff procedure from number of arguments from $\mathbf{1}$ ton that generalizes the standardIf function is quite useful tool; it is very convenient at number of arguments, starting with1, what is convenient in cases when calls of thelff function are generated in a certain procedure automatically, simplifying processing of erroneous and special situations arising by a call of such procedure on number of arguments from range2..4. The following fragment represents source code of theIff procedure with an example. At that, it must be kept in mind that all actual arguments ofy, since the second, are coded in string format in
order to avoid their premature calculation at the callifff $x, \ldots$. $]$ when the actual arguments are being calculated/simplified.
In[2745] := Iff[x_, y__/; StringQ[y]] := Module[\{a=\{x, y\}, b\}, b=Length[a]; If[b== 1||b >= 5, Defer[Iff[x, y]], If[b === 2, If[x, ToExpression[y]],
If[b == 3, If[x, ToExpression[y], ToExpression[a[[3]]]], If[b == 4, If[x, ToExpression[a[[2]]], ToExpression[a[[3]]], ToExpression[a[[4]]]], Null]]]]]
In[2746]:= a = \{\}; For[k=1, k<=73, k++, Iff[PrimeQ[k], "AppendTo[a, k]"]]; a Out[2746] $=\{2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,47,53,59,61,67,71,73\}$
So, the functionIf represents the most typical instrument for ensuring of the branching algorithms. In this context it should be noted thatII-means of the Maple andMathematica are considerably equivalent, however readability of difficult enough branching algorithms realized byif-offers of theMaple system is being perceived slightly more clearly. In particular,Maple allows the conditionalif-offer of the following format, namely:
iflc1 then v1 elif lc2 then v2 elif lc3 then v3 elif lc4 then v4...else vk end if
where $\boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{t h} \boldsymbol{l}_{\boldsymbol{j}}$ - a logical condition and $\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{j}$ - an arbitrary expression, whose sense is rather transparent and considered, for example, in books [25-27,49]. This offer is very convenient at programming of a number of conditional structures. For determination of similar structure in Mathematica the IFk procedure whose source code along with examples of usage represents the following fragment can be used, namely:

In[2340] := IFk[x_] := Module[\{a = \{x\}, b, c = "", d="If[", e="]", h=\{\}, k=1\}, b $=$ Length[a]; If[For[k, $k<=\mathbf{b}-1, k++$, AppendTo[h, b >= 2 \& \& ListQ[a[[k]]] \& \& Length[a[[k]]] == 2]]; DeleteDuplicates[h] != \{True\}, Return[Defer[Ifk[x]]], k=1]; For $[k, k<=b-1, k++, ~ c=c<>d<>$ ToString[a[[k]][[1]]]] <> "," <> ToString[a[[k]] [[2]]] <> ","]; c = c <> ToString[a[[b]l] <> StringMultiple[e, b-1]; ToExpression[c]]
$\operatorname{In}[2341]:=\operatorname{IFk}[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\},\{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\},\{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{s}\},\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\},\{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}\}, \mathbf{h}]$
Out[2341]= If[a, b, If[c, d, If[g, s, If[m, n, If[q, p, h]]]]]
In[2342]:= IFk[\{False, b\}, \{False, d\}, \{False, s\}, \{True, n\}, \{False, p\}, h] Out[2342]= n
In[2343]:= IFk[\{False, b\}, \{False, d\}, \{False, s\}, \{False, n\}, \{g, p\}, h] Out[2343]= If[g, p, h]
In[2060] := IFk1[x__] := Module[\{a =\{x\}, b, c = "", d="If[", $\mathbf{e}=$ "]", $\mathbf{h}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}\}, \mathbf{b}$ $=$ Length[a]; If[For[k, $k<=\mathbf{b}-1, k++$, AppendTo[h, b >= 2 \& \& ListQ[a[[k]]] \&\&
Length[a[[k]]] == 2]]; DeleteDuplicates[h] != \{True\}, Return[Defer[Ifk1[x]]], \{h, k\}= $\{\}, \mathbf{1}\}]$; If[For[k, k <= b-1, k++, AppendTo[h, a[[k]][[[1]]]]; Select[h, !
MemberQ[\{True, False\}, \#] \&] != \{\}, Return[Defer[Ifk1[x]]], k = 1]; For[k = 1, k <= b-1, k++, c = c <> d <> ToString[a[[k]][[1]]] <> "," <> ToString[a[[k]][[[2]]] <> ","]; c = c <> ToString[a[[b]]] <> StringMultiple[e, b-1]; ToExpression[c]]
$\operatorname{In}[2061]:=$ IFk1[\{False, b\}, \{False, d\}, \{False, s\}, \{False, n\}, \{g, p\}, h] Out[2061]= IFk1[\{False, b\}, \{False, d\}, \{False, s\}, \{False, n\}, \{g, p\}, h] In[2062]:= IFk1[\{False, b\},
\{False, d\}, \{False, s\}, \{True, n\}, \{False, p\}, h] Out[2062]= n
$\operatorname{In}[2063]:=\operatorname{IFk} 1[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\},\{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\},\{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{s}\},\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\},\{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}\}, \mathbf{h}]$
$\operatorname{Out}[2063]=\operatorname{IFk} 1[\{a, b\},\{c, d\},\{g, s\},\{m, n\},\{q, p\}, h]$

In[2065]:= IFk1[\{True, b\}]
Out[2065]= IFk1[\{True, b\}]
In[2066]:= IFk1[\{False, b\}, Agn]
Out[2066] = Agn
The call of the $\mathbf{I F k}$ procedure uses any number of the actual arguments more than one; the arguments use the the arguments use theelement lists of the format $\{\mathbf{I c j}, \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{j}\}$, except the last. Whereas the last actual argument is a correct expression; at that, a testing oflcj on Boolean type isn't done. The call of theIFk procedure on a tuple of correct actual arguments returns the result equivalent to execution of the correspondingMapleif-offer [25-27].
At that, theIFk1 procedure is an useful extension of the previous procedure which unlikeIFk allows only Boolean expressions as the actual arguments Icj, otherwise returning the unevaluated call. In the rest, the proceduresIFk andIFk1 are functionally identical. Thus, similarly to theif-offer ofMaple system the proceduresIFk andIFk1 are quite useful at programming of the branching algorithms of various types. With that said, the above procedures IFk andIFk1 are provided with a quite developed mechanism of testing of the factual arguments transferred at the procedure call whose algorithm is easily seen from source code. Now, using the described approach fairly easy to program inMath-language an arbitrary construction ofMaple-language describing the branching algorithms [28-33].
To a certain degree it is possible to refer toIf-constructions also theWhich-function of the following format

## Which[ lc1, w1, lc2, w2, lc3, w3,...,Ick, wk]

that returns result of evaluation of thefirstwj-expression for which Boolean expressionlcj(j=1..k) acceptsTrue value, for example:
In[2735] := G[x_] := Which[-Infinity <= $\mathbf{x}<\mathbf{8 0}, \operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}], \mathbf{8 0}<=\mathbf{x}<\mathbf{4 8 0}, \operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{x}], \mathbf{4 8 0}<=$ $\mathrm{x}<=$ Infinity, $\mathrm{x}^{\wedge}$ 2]
In[2736]:= \{G[67], G[80.480], G[480], G[2014], G[-18.06]\}
Out[2736] $=\{\operatorname{Sin}[67], 0.361044,230400,4056196,0.710041\}$
The example illustrates definition of a piecewise -defined function through theWhich function. If some of the evaluated conditionslcj doesn't return \{True|False\} the function call is returned unevaluated while in case of False value for all conditionslci( $\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1 . .} \boldsymbol{k}$ ) the function call returnsNull, i.e. nothing. At dynamic generation of aWhich-object a simpleWhichN procedure can be rather useful which allows any even number of arguments similar to the Which-function, otherwise returning result by unevaluated. In the rest, the WhichN is similar to theWhich function; the following fragment represents source code of the procedure along with typical examples of its usage.

In[4731]:= WhichN[x_] := Module[\{ $\mathbf{a}=\{\mathbf{x}\}, \mathbf{c}=$ "Which[", $\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}\}, \mathbf{d}=$
Length[a]; If[OddQ[d], Defer[WhichN[x]], ToExpression[For[k, k <= d, k++, c = c <> ToString[a[[k]]] <> ","]; StringTake[c, \{1,-2\}] <> "]"]l] In[4732]:= WhichN[a, b, c, d, f, g, h, r]
Out[4732]= Which[a, b, c, d, f, g, h, r]
$\operatorname{In}[4733]:=\mathbf{f}=\mathbf{8 0}$; WhichN[False, $\mathbf{b , f} \mathbf{f}=\mathbf{8 0} \mathbf{~ S V}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}]$

The above procedures IFk, IFk1 andWhich represent a quite certain interest at programming a number of applications of various purpose, first of all, of the system character.

### 5.2. The cyclic control structures of theMathematicasystem

So, one of the main cyclic structures of the system is based on the function For that has the following general format of coding, namely:

## For[a,<lc>, b,<Body of a cyclic construction>]

Since the given $\boldsymbol{a}$, the body of a construction which contains offers ofMath- language, with cyclicincrement of a cyclic variable on a magnitudeb so long as a logical condition(Ic) doesn't acceptTrue is cyclically calculated. Simple example of usage of this function is represented below, namely:
$\operatorname{In}[2942]:=\operatorname{For}[k=1 ; h=1, k<10000, k=k+1, h=h \wedge 2+80 * h+k ; ~ I f[k<5$, Continue[], Print[h]; Break[]]]
994450659746015592932434074712430
For continuation of a Forcycle and exit from it the control wordsContinue andBreak serve respectively as it very visually illustrates a simple example above. While other quite widely used means in theMathematica system for organization of cyclic calculations is theDo function that hasfive formats of coding whose descriptions with examples can be found in books [28-33,60]. Meantime, unlike theMaple system theMathematica system has no analog of very useful cyclic constructions of types(1.b) and(1.d) [27] that allow to execute cyclical calculations at subexpressions of a certain expression what provides possibility on their basis to program quite interesting constructions as illustrate simple fragments [25-27]. In this context we will represent the procedure whose callDO[x,y,j] returns the list of results of cyclic calculation of an expressionx on a cycle variablej which accepts values from the $\mathbf{O p}[y]$ list. The construction in a certain relation is analog of the cyclic construction for_in for theMaple system [25-27,47].

In[2274] := DO[x_, y_, k_] := Module[\{a = x, b=Op[y], c, d=1, R = \{\}\}, c:= Length[b] + 1; While[d < c, R = Insert[R, a /. k-> b[[d]],-1]; a := x; d++]; R] $\operatorname{In}[2275]:=\mathbf{D O}[\mathbf{k} \wedge \mathbf{2}+\log [\mathbf{k}], \mathbf{f}[\mathbf{g}[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}], \mathbf{h}[\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}]], \mathbf{k}]$ Out[2275]= $\{\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}] \wedge 2+\log [g[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]], \mathrm{h}[\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{l}] \wedge 2+\log [\mathrm{h}[\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{l}]]\}$

In our books [28-33] the reciprocal functional equivalence of both systems is quite visually illustrated when the most important computing constructions of theMathematica system with this or that efficiency are simulated by the Maple constructions and vice versa. Truly, in principle, it is a quite expected result because the builtin languages of both systems areuniversal and in this regard with one or the other efficiency they can program any algorithm. But in the temporary relation it is not so and at using of cyclic structures of large enough nesting level theMaple can have very essential advantages before
Mathematica. For confirmation we will give a simple example of a cyclical construction programmed both in theMaple, and theMathematica.

The results speak for themselves - if inMaple11 the execution of a certain construction
requires 7.800 s , thenMathematica10 for execution of the same construction requires already 49.358 s, i.e. approximately6.3 times more(the estimations have been obtained on PCDell Optiplex 3020,i5-4570 3.2 GHz with 64-bit Windows 7 Professional). Furthermore, with growth of depth of nesting and range of a cycle variable at implementation of cyclic constructions this difference rather significantly grows.
$>\mathbf{t}$ := time(): for k1 to $\mathbf{1 0}$ do for $k 2$ to 10 do for $k 3$ to 10 do for $k 4$ to 10 do for $k 5$ to 10 do for k6 to 10 do for $k 7$ to 10 do for $k 8$ to 10 do 80 end do end do end do end do end do end do end do end do: time()-t; \# (Maple 11)
7.800

In[2693]:= n=10; $\mathbf{t}=$ TimeUsed[]; For[k1 = 1, k1 <= n, k1++, For[k2 = 1, k2 <= n, k2++,
For $[\mathbf{k} 3=1, k 3<=n, k 3++$,
For $[k 4=1, k 4<=n, k 4++$,
For $[k 5=1, k 5<=n, k 5++$,
For $[k 6=1$, k6 <= n, k6++,
For $[k 7=1, k 7<=n, k 7++$,
For[k8 = 1, k8 <= n, k8++, 80] 1 ] $]$ II]]; TimeUsed[] -t Out[2693]= 49.358
Naturally, the received values are determined by the main resources of the computer however on identical resources this basic relation retains. From the given example follows theMaple uses more effective algorithms in the temporary relation for realization of cyclical constructions of large nesting depth and range of cycle variable, than it takes place for its main competitor

- theMathematica systems even of its latest version10.1.0.0. A number of interesting enough comparisons relative to estimates of time characteristics of performance of mass means of processing and calculations is represented in our books [25-27]. Of these comparisons follows, that according to time characteristics theMaple system in certain cases is more preferable than the Mathematica system what in each concrete case supposes the corresponding comparative analysis.

Among special types of cyclic control structures in the Mathematica system it is possible to note a series of interesting enough ones, some of them have various level of analogy with similar means of theMaple system [27,28-33]. However in general, means ofMathematica system are more preferable at generation of the nested expressions and, first of all, of pure functions that play especially essential part in problems of functional programming in the Mathematica system. At comparative consideration of the control structures ofbranching andcycle that are supported by both systems, two main groups have been distinguished, namely:basic andadditional resources of providing the specified control structures. So, theif offer of theMaple system and the If function of theMathematica system represent the most typical means of ensuring of the branching algorithms. At operating with both means a point of view has been formed, the means are being represented as substantially equivalent, however these means realized by theif clause of theMaple for rathercomplex branching algorithms are being slightlymore simply perceived in sence of readability. In other respects it is very difficult to give preference to any of these control means and in this relation both leading systems can quite be considered as equivalent.

## Chapter 6. Problems of procedural programming in the Mathematicasoftware

Procedural programming is one of basic paradigms of theMathematica that in quite essential degree differs from the similar paradigm of well-known traditional procedural programming languages. The given circumstance is the cornerstone of a number of the system problems relating to a question of procedural programming in Mathematica. Above all, similar problems arise in the field of distinctions in realization of the above paradigms in the Mathematica and in the environment of traditional procedural languages. Along with it, unlike a number oftraditional andbuilt-in languages thebuiltinMathlanguage has no a number of useful enough means for work with procedural objects. Some such means are represented in our books [28-33] andAVZ_Package package [48]. A number of the tasks connected with such means is considered in the present chapter, previously having discussed the concept of ${ }^{\text {procedure` inMathematica as }}$ bases of its procedural paradigm. At that, means of analysis of this section concern only the user procedures and functions because definitions of all system functions(unlike,say,from the Maple) from the user are hidden, i.e. are inaccessible by standard means of theMathematica system.

### 6.1. Definition of procedures in theMathematicasoftware

Procedures in theMathematica system formally represent functional objects of following two simple formats, namely:
M[x_/;Testx,y_/;Testy, ...] \{:=|=\}Module[\{locals\},Procedure Body] B[x_/;Testx,y_/;Testy, ...] \{:=|=\}Block[\{locals\},Procedure Body]
i.e., the procedures of both types represent functions from two arguments - body of a procedure(Body) andlocal variables (locals). Local variables- the list of names, perhaps, with the initial values which are attributed to them. These variables have local character concerning procedure, i.e. their values aren't crossed with values of the symbols of the same name outside of the procedure. All other variables in procedure have global character, dividing area of variables of theMathematica current session.
Thus, in definition of procedures it is possible to distinguish five following component, namely:

- procedure name (Min the first procedure definition);
- procedure heading(M[x_/;Testx,y_/;Testy, ...]in the both procedures definitions); -procedural brackets (Module[...]orBlock[...]);
-local variables(list of local variables \{locals\};can be empty);
- procedure body;can be empty.

Above all, it should be noted the following very important circumstance. If in the traditional programming languages the identification of an arbitrary procedure/function is made according to itsname, in case ofMathlanguage identification is made according to itsheading. The circumstance is caused by that the definition of a procedure/function inMathlanguage is made by the manner different from traditional [28-33]. Simultaneous existence of the procedures/functions of the same name with various headings in the given
situation is admissible as it illustrates the following fragment, namely:
In[2434] := M[x_, y_] := Module[\{\}, x + y]; M[x_] := Module[\{\}, $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge 2] ; ~ M\left[y \_\right] ~:=~}$ Module[\{\}, $\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{3}$; $\mathbf{M}\left[\mathbf{x} \_\right]$:= Module[\{\}, $\left.\{\mathbf{x}\}\right]$
In[2435]:= Definition[M]
Out[2435]= M[x_, y_]:= Module[\{\}, $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}$ ]
M[y_]:= Module[\{\}, $\left.\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} 3\right]$
M[x__]:= Module[\{\}, \{x\}]
In[2436]:= \{M[480, 80], M[80], M[42, 47, 67, 25, 18]\}
Out[2436] $=\{560,512000,\{42,47,67,25,18\}\}$
In[2437]:= G[x_Integer] := Module[\{\}, x]; G[x_] := Module[\{\}, $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge}$ 2]; G[480]
Out[2437]= 480
At the call of a procedure/function of the same name from the list is chosen the one, whose formal arguments of the heading correspond to the factual arguments of the call, otherwise the call is returned byunevaluated, except forsimplifications of the actual arguments according to the standard system agreements. Moreover, at compliance of formal arguments of heading with the actual ones a procedurex is caused, whose definition is above in the list returned at theDefinition[x] call; in particular, whose definition has been calculated in theMathematica current session by the first.
Further is being quite often mentioned about return of result of the call of a function/procedure by unevaluated, it concerns both the standard system means, and the user means. In any case, the call of a procedure/function on aninadmissible tuple of actual arguments is returned by unevaluated, except for standard simplifications of the actual arguments. In this connection the UnevaluatedQ procedure providing testing of a certain procedure/function regarding of return of its call unevaluated on a concrete tuple of the factual arguments has been programmed. The callUnevaluatedQ[F,x] returnsTrue if the callF[x] is returned unevaluated, andFalse otherwise; in addition, on an erroneous callF[x]"ErrorInNumArgs" is returned. The fragment below represents source code of the UnevaluatedQ procedure with examples of its usage. Procedure represents a certain interest for program processing of results of calls of procedures and functions.
In[3246] := UnevaluatedQ[F_/; SymbolQ[F], x__] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ Quiet[Check[F[x], "e", F::argx]]\}, If[a === "e", "ErrorInNumArgs", If[ToString1[a]===ToString[F]<>"[" <> Iff $\{\mathbf{x}\}==\{ \}$,"",
ListStrToStr[Map[ToString1, \{x\}]] <> "]"], True, False]]]
In[3247] := \{UnevaluatedQ[F, x, y, z], UnevaluatedQ[Sin, x, y, z]\} Out[3247]= \{True, "ErrorInArgs"\}
In[3248]:= \{UnevaluatedQ[Sin, 48080], UnevaluatedQ[Sin, 480.80],

## UnevaluatedQ[Sin]\}

Out[3248]= \{True, False, "ErrorInNumArgs"\}
Meanwhile, the standard Definition function in the case of the procedures/ functions of the same name in a number of cases is of little use for solution of tasks which are connected with processing of definitions of such objects. Above all, it concerns the procedures whose definitions are located in the user packages loaded into the current session ofMathematica as illustrates a simple example of receiving definition of
theSystemQ procedure [48]:
In[3247]:= Definition["SystemQ"]
Out[3247]= SystemQ[AladjevProcedures`SystemQ`S_]:=
If[Off[ "Definition"::"ssle"]; !
ToString["Definition"[AladjevProcedures`SystemQ`S]]=== Null\&\&
SysFuncQ1[AladjevProcedures`SystemQ`S], On["Definition"::"ssle"]; True, On["Definition"::"ssle"]; False] The callDefinition[x] of the standard function in a number of cases returns the definition of some objectx with the context corresponding to it what at quite large definitions becomes bad foreseeable and less acceptable for the subsequent program processing as enough visually illustrates the previous example. Moreover, the name of object or its string format also can act as an actual argument. For elimination of this shortcoming we defined a number of means allowing to obtain definitions of procedures/functions in a certain optimized format. As such tools it is possible to note such asDefOptimum, Definition1, Definition2, Definition3,
Definition4, DefFunc, DefFunc1, DefFunc2, DefFunc3 andDefOpt. These means along with some others are presented in books [28-33] and included in theAVZ_Package package [48]. The following fragment represents source codes of the most used of them.
In[2470]:= Definition2[x_/; SameQ[SymbolQ[x], HowAct[x]]] := Module[\{a, b = Attributes[x], c\},

If[SystemQ[x], Return[ \{"System", Attributes[x]\}], Off[Part::partw]];
ClearAttributes[x, b]; Quiet[a = ToString[InputForm[Definition[x]]];
Mapp[SetAttributes, $\{$ Rule, StringJoin\}, Listable]; c = StringReplace[a, Flatten[\{Rule[StringJoin[Contexts1[], ToString[x] <> "`"], ""]\}]]; c = StringSplit[c, "In \n"]; Mapp[ClearAttributes, \{Rule, StringJoin\}, Listable]; SetAttributes[x, b]; a = AppendTo[c, b]; If[SameQ[a[[1]], "Null"] \&\& a[[2]] == \{\}, On[Part::partw]; \{"Undefined", Attributes[x]\}, If[SameQ[a[[1]], "Null"] \&\& a[[2]] != \{\}\&\&! SystemQ[x], On[Part::partw]; \{"Undefined", Attributes[x]\}, If[SameQ[a[[1]], "Null"] \&\& a[[2]] != \{\}\&\& a[[2]] != \{\}, On[Part::partw]; \{"System", Attributes[x]\}, On[Part::partw]; a] ]I]]

In[2471]:= Definition2[SystemQ]
Out[2471]= \{"SystemQ[S_]:= If[Off[MessageName[Definition, "ssle"]];
! ToString[Definition[S]]=== Null\&\& SysFuncQ1[S], On[MessageName[Definition, "ssle"]]; True, On[MessageName[Definition,"ssle"]]; False]", \{\}\} In[2472]:= Definition2[Tan]

Out[2472]= \{"System", \{Listable, NumericFunction, Protected\} \}
In[2473]:= Definition2[(a + b)/(c + d )]
Out[2473]= Definition2[(a+b)/(c+d)]
In[2502]:= Definition3[x_/; SymbolQ[x], y_/; ! HowAct[y]] := Module[\{a =
Attributes[x], b = Definition2[x]\},
If[b[[1]] == "System", $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}$; \{"System", a\}, b=Definition2[x][[1 ;;-2]];
ClearAttributes[x, a]; If[BlockFuncModQ [x, y], ToExpression[b]; SetAttributes[x, a]; Definition[x], SetAttributes[x, a]; Definition[x]]I]

In[2503]:= Definition3[SystemQ, y]
Out[2503]= SystemQ[S_]:= If[Off["Definition"::"ssle"];
! ToString["Definition"[S]]=== Null\&\& SysFuncQ1[S],
On[ "Definition"::"ssle"]; True, On["Definition"::"ssle"]; False] In[2504]:= y
Out[2504]= "Function"
In[2505]:= Definition3[Sin, z]
Out[2505]= Attributes[Sin]= \{Listable, NumericFunction, Protected $\} \operatorname{In}[2506]:=\mathbf{z}$
Out[2506]= Sin
In[2598] := Definition4[x_/; StringQ[x]] := Module[\{a\},
a = Quiet[Check[Select[StringSplit[ToString[InputForm[ Quiet[Definition[x]]]], "n"], \# != " " \&\& \# != x \& ], \$Failed]]; If[a === \$Failed, \$Failed, If[SuffPref[a[[1]], "Attributes[", 1], a = AppendTo[a[[2 ;;-1]], a[[1]]]]]; If[Length[a] != 1, a, a[[1]]]]
In[2599]:= W = 80; G := 480; Map[Definition4, \{"W", "G", "72", "a + b", "If"\}] Out[2599] $=\{$ "W= 80", "G:= 480", \$Failed, \$Failed, "Attributes[If]= \{HoldRest, Protected\}"\}
In[2600]:= A[x_] := Module[\{a=90\}, $\left.\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{a}] ; \mathbf{A [ x}, y_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{6}\}, \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{a}] ;$
A[x_, y_List] := Block[ \{\}, \{x, y\}]; A[x_Integer] := Module[\{a=480\}, x + a]; A := \{a, b, c, d, h\}; SetAttributes[A, \{Flat, Listable, Protected\}]; In[2601]:= Definition4["A"] Out[2601]= \{"A:= \{a, b, c, d, h\}", "A[x_Integer]:= Module[\{a= 480\}, x+ a]",
"A[x_]:= Module[\{a= 90\}, x+ a]", "A[x_, y_List]:= Block[\{\}, \{x, y\}]", "A[x_, y_]:= Module[ $\{a=6\}, x+y+a]$ ", "Attributes[A]=
\{Flat , Listable, Protected\}"\}
A number of functional means ofMath-language as the actual arguments assume only objects of the types \{Symbol,String,HoldPattern[Symbol]\} what in some cases is quite inconvenient at programming of problems of different purpose. In particular,
theDefinitionDefinition 33]. For the purpose of expansion of theDefinition function on the types, different from the mentioned ones, theDefinition1 procedure can be used, whose callDefinition1[x] returns definition of an objectx in string format, "Null" ifx isn't defined, otherwise\$Failed is returned. A fragment in [28,33] represents the procedure code with typical examples of its application from which certain advantages of theDefinition1 concerning theDefinition are quite visually visible. TheDefinition1 procedure has been realized with use of ourToString1 procedure [28] that unlike the standardToString function provides correct converting of expressions in string format. TheDefinition1 procedure processes the main special and erroneous situations. Meanwhile, theDefinition1 procedure doesn't rid the returned definitions from contexts and is correct only for objects with unique names. Moreover, in case of the multiple contexts theDefinition1 procedure call returns definitions with a context that answers the last user package loaded into the current session. On system functions, theDefinition1 procedure call returns"Null". As an expansion of theDefinition1 procedure theDefinition2 procedure represented by the previous fragment can serve. The given procedure uses our meansContexts1, HowAct, Mapp, SymbolQ andSystemQ considered in the present book and in [28-33]. These means are rather simple and are used in our means enough widely [48].

Unlike previous procedure Definition1, theDefinition2 procedure rids the returned
definitions from contexts, and is correct for program objects with unique names. TheDefinition2 call on system functions returns the nested list, whose first element"System", whereas the second element- the list of attributes ascribed to a factual argument. On a function or procedure of the user $\boldsymbol{x}$ the callDefinition $2[x]$ also returns the nested list, whose first element

- theoptimized definition ofx(in the sense of absence of contexts in it), whereas the second element- the list of attributes ascribed tox; in their absence the empty list acts as the second element of the returned list. In the case ofFalse value on a test ascribed to formal argumentx, the callDefinition2[x] will be returnedunevaluated. Analogously to the previous procedure, the procedure Definition2 processes the mainspecial anderroneous situations. In addition, Definition1 andDefinition2 return definitions of objects in string format. The callDefinition3[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ ] returns the optimum definition of a procedure or a functionx, while through the second argumenty -an undefined variable- the type ofx in a context \{"Procedure", "Function", "Procedure\&Function"\} is returned ifx is a procedure or function, on system functions the procedure call returns the list \{"Function", \{Attributes\}\} while thru the second argument $\boldsymbol{y}$ thefirst argument is returned; at inadmissibility of thefirst argumentx the call is returned unevaluated, i.e.Definition[x].
The call Definition $4[x]$ in a convenient format returns the definition of an object $x$ whose name is coded in the string format, namely:(1) on a system functionx its attributes are returned,(2) on the user block, function, module the call returns the definition of objectx in string format with the attributes, options and/or values by default for formal arguments ascribed to it(if such are available), (3) the call returns the definition of an objectx in string format for assignments by operators $\{$ ":=", "=" $\}$, and(4) in other cases the procedure call returns\$Failed. The procedure has a number of interesting appendices at programming of various system appendices.
The followingDefOpt procedure represents a quite certain interest that in a number of cases is more acceptable than theDefinition function along with our proceduresDefFunc, DefFunc1, DefFunc2 andDefFunc3 considered in [28-33,48] that are also intended for obtaining definitions of procedures and functions in the convenient form acceptable for processing. The following fragment represents source code of the procedure with examples of its use.
In[2342]:= DefOpt[x_/; StringQ[x]] := Module[\{a = If[SymbolQ[x], If[SystemQ[x], b = "Null", ToString1[Definition[x]], "Null"]l, b, c\}, If[! SameQ[a, "Null"], b = Quiet[Context[x] ]]; If[! Quiet[ContextQ[b]], "Null",
c = StringReplace[a, b <> x <> ""-> ""]; ToExpression[c]; c]]
In[2343] := DefOpt["SystemQ"]
Out[2343]= SystemQ[S_]:= If[Off[MessageName[Definition, "ssle"]]; !
ToString[Definition[S]]=== Null\&\& SysFuncQ1[S], On[MessageName[Definition, "ssle"]]; True, On[MessageName[Definition,"ssle"]]; False]
In[2344]:= DefFunc[\$TypeProc]
Out[2344]= Attributes[\$Failed]= \{HoldAll, Protected $\}$
In[2345]:= DefOpt["\$TypeProc"]
Out[2345]= "\$TypeProc:= CheckAbort[If[\$Art24\$Kr17\$ =

Select[\{Stack[Module] , Stack[Block], Stack[DynamicModule]\}, \#1!= \{\}\&]; If[\$Art24\$Kr17\$ == \{\}, Clear[\$Art24\$Kr17\$]; Abort[], \$Art24\$Kr17\$ = ToString[\$Art24\$Kr17\$[[1]][[1]]]]; SuffPref[\$Art24\$Kr17\$, "Block[\{", 1], Clear[\$Art24\$Kr17\$]; "Block", If[SuffPref[\$Art24\$Kr17\$, "Module[\{", 1]\&\& !StringFreeQ[\$Art24\$Kr17\$, "DynamicModule"], Clear[\$Art24\$Kr17\$]; "DynamicModule", Clear[\$Art24\$Kr17\$]; "Module"]], \$Failed]"
In[2346]:= Map[DefOpt, \{"If", "Sin", "Goto", "a + b", "80", 480\}] Out[2346]= \{Null, Null, Null, Null, Null, DefOpt[480]\}
On the other hand, our some procedures are unsuitable in case of necessity of receiving definitions of a number of procedural variables, in particular, \$TypeProc as some illustrate examples in [33]. And only the procedure call DefOpt[x] returns definition of an arbitrary objectx in an optimum format irrespective of type of the user objectx. At that, the callDefOpt $[x]$ not only returns an optimum form of definition of an objectx in string format, but also evaluates it in the current session what in a number of cases is useful enough; at the procedure call the name of the objectx is coded in the string format; while on the system functions and other string expressions the call $\operatorname{DefOpt}[x]$ returns"Null". At the same time it must be kept in mind that the DefOpt is inapplicable to the procedures / functions of the same name, i.e. having several definitions with different headings. The previous fragment represents source code of theDefOpt procedure with examples of its usage.
The OptDefinition procedure is an interesting enough modification of the previous procedure; its source code with examples of usage represents the following fragment. The call OptDefinition $[x]$ returns the definition of a procedure or a functionx optimized in the above sense i.e. without context associated with the user package containing the procedure or functionx.

In[3298] := OptDefinition[x_/; Quiet[ProcQ[x] || FunctionQ[x]]] := Module[\{c = \$Packages, a, b, d, h = Definition2[x]\}, \{a, b\}= \{h[[1 ;;-2]], h[[-1]]\};
ClearAllAttributes[x]; d = Map[StringJoin[\#, ToString[x] <> ""] \&, c];

## ToExpression[Map[StringReplace[\#, GenRules[d, ""]] \&, a]]; SetAttributes[x, b]; Definition[x]]

In[3299]:= SetAttributes[ToString1, \{Listable, Protected\}];

## Definition[ToString1]

Out[3299]= Attributes[ToString1]= \{Listable, Protected $\}$
ToString1[AladjevProcedures`ToString1`x_]:=
Module[\{AladjevProcedures`ToString1`a= "\$Art23Kr15\$.txt",
AladjevProcedures`ToString1`b= "", AladjevProcedures`ToString1`c,
AladjevProcedures`ToString1`k=1\},
Write[AladjevProcedures`ToString1`a,
AladjevProcedures`ToString1`x];
Close[AladjevProcedures`ToString1`a];
For[AladjevProcedures`ToString1`k,

AladjevProcedures`ToString1`k< \[Infinity], AladjevProcedures`ToString1`k++,
AladjevProcedures`ToString1`c=

Read[AladjevProcedures`ToString1`a, String]; If[AladjevProcedures`ToString1`c=== EndOfFile, Return[DeleteFile[
Close[AladjevProcedures`ToString1`a]]; AladjevProcedures`ToString1`b],
AladjevProcedures`ToString1`b= AladjevProcedures`ToString1`b<>
StrDelEnds[AladjevProcedures`ToString1`c, " ", 1]]]]
In[3300]:= OptDefinition[ToString1]
Out[3300]= Attributes[ToString1]= \{Listable, Protected $\}$
ToString1[x_]:= Module[\{a= "\$Art25Kr18\$.txt", b= "", c, k= 1\}, Write[a, x]; Close[a];
For[k, $\mathrm{k}<\infty, \mathrm{k}++$, $\mathrm{c}=$ Read[a, String]; If[c=== EndOfFile, Return[DeleteFile[Close[a]]; b], b= b<> StrDelEnds[c, " ", 1]]]]
It is necessary to pay attention to use of the GenRules procedure providing generation of the list of transformation rules for providing of replacements in a string definition of an objectx. In a number of cases such approach is a rather effective at strings processing. TheDefOptimum procedure realized in a different manner is full analog of the previous procedure, whose callDefOptimum[x] returns the definition of a function or procedurex optimized in the respect that it doesn't contain acontext of the user package containing definition of the procedure/function $\boldsymbol{x}$. The following fragment represents source code of this procedure with a typical example of its usage.

## In[2245] := SetAttributes[OptDefinition, \{Listable, Protected\}]; <br> Definition[OptDefinition]

Out[2245]= Attributes[OptDefinition]= \{Listable, Protected $\}$
OptDefinition[x_/; ProcQ[x]|| FunctionQ[x]]:= Module[\{a= Definition2[x][[[1;;-2]], b= Definition2[x][[-1]], AladjevProcedures`OptDefinition`c= \$Packages,
AladjevProcedures`OptDefinition`d,
AladjevProcedures`OptDefinition`h\},
ClearAllAttributes[ToString1];
AladjevProcedures`OptDefinition`d=(\#1<> (ToString[x]<> "")\&)/@
AladjevProcedures`OptDefinition`c;
ToExpression[(StringReplace[\#1,
GenRules[AladjevProcedures`OptDefinition`d, ""]]\&)/@ a]; SetAttributes[x, b];
"Definition"[x]]
In[2246]:= DefOptimum[x_/; Quiet[ProcQ[x] || FunctionQ[x]]]:= Module[\{a, c, k = 1, b = "Art\$Kr.txt", d = Context[x], f = Attributes[x] \}, ClearAttributes[x, f]; Save[a= ToString[x], x]; For[k, k < Infinity, k++, c = Read[a, String]; If[SameQ[c,
EndOfFile], Break[], Write[b, StringReplace[c, d <> ToString[x] <> """-> ""]ll];
Map[Close, \{a, b\}]; Get[b]; Map[DeleteFile, \{a, b\}]; SetAttributes[x, f];
Definition[x]]
In[2247]:= DefOptimum[OptDefinition]
Out[2247]= Attributes[OptDefinition]= \{Listable, Protected $\}$
OptDefinition[x_/; Quiet[ProcQ[x]|| FunctionQ[x]]]:= Module[\{c= \$Packages, a, b, d, h= Definition2[x]\}, \{a, b\}= \{h[[1;;-2]], h[[-1]]\}; ClearAllAttributes[x]; d= (\#1<>
(ToString[x]<> """)\&)/@ c; ToExpression[(StringReplace[\#1, GenRules[d, ""]]\&)/@ a];
SetAttributes[x, b]; "Definition"[x]]
In[2500]:= DefOpt1[x_] := Module[\{a = ToString[x], b, c $\}$,
If[! SymbolQ[a], \$Failed, If[SystemQ[x], x,

If[ProcQ[a]||FunctionQ[a], b = Attributes[x]; ClearAttributes[x, b]; c = StringReplace[ToString1[Definition[x]], Context[a] <> a <> ""-> ""]; SetAttributes[x, b]; c, \$Failed]]I]
In[2501] := DefOpt1[StrStr]
Out[2501]= "StrStr[x_]:= If[StringQ[x], "<>x<>", ToString[x]]" In[2502]:=
Map[DefOpt1, $\{\mathbf{a}+\mathrm{b}, 72$, Sin $\}$ ]
Out[2502]= \{\$Failed, \$Failed, Sin $\}$
The algorithm of the above DefOptimum procedure is based on saving of the current definition of a block/function/modulex in anASCII format file with the subsequent its converting into thetxt-datafile containing definition of the objectx without occurrences of a package context in which definition of this object is located. Whereupon the result datafile is loaded by means of theGet function into the current session of theMathematica with return of the optimized definition of the objectr.

Meanwhile, the last DefOpt1 procedure of the previous fragment is seemed as effective enough for receiving of definition of the user procedure/function in optimized format in the above sense, i.e. without context. The procedure callDefOpt1[x] on a system functionx returns its name, on an user function or procedure returns its optimized code in string format whereas on other values of argument $x \$$ Failed is returned. The previous fragment represents source code of the procedureDefOpt1 along with examples of its usage. For a number of appendices, including appendices of system character, the standardDefinition function seems as important enough means whose call Definition[x] returns the definition of an object $\boldsymbol{x}$ with attributes ascribed to it; in the absence of definition theNull, i.e. nothing, or the ascribed attributes to an undefined symbol $\boldsymbol{x}$ is returned, namely:
Attributes $[\boldsymbol{x}]=$ The list of attributes ascribed to a symbol $\boldsymbol{x}$ As very visually illustrate the following simple examples, namely:
In[2839]:= SetAttributes[h, Listable]; Definition[h]
Out[2839]= Attributes[h]= \{Listable $\}$
In[2840]:= Definition[Sin]
Out[2840]= Attributes[Sin]= \{Listable, NumericFunction, Protected $\}$ Meanwhile, on the other hand, many problems of processing of objects are based strictly speaking on their definitions in their pure form. Therefore the allotment of definition of an arbitrary objectx in pure form can be provided, in particular, by means of 2 mechanisms whose essence is explained by the examples in [28-33] by means of the proceduresDef andDef1; definition of the procedureDef1 gives the following example, namely:
In[2526]:= Def1[x_/; StringQ[x]] := Module[\{a\}, If[! SymbolQ[x] || SystemQ[x],
\$Failed, a = Definition2[x][[1 ;;-2]]; If[Length[a] == 1, a[[1]], a]]]
$\operatorname{In}[2527]:=\mathbf{B}\left[\mathbf{x} \_\right]:=\mathbf{x} ; \mathbf{B}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ _] := Module[\{a, b, c $\left.\}, \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}\right]$;
B[x_/; IntegerQ[x]]:= Block[\{a, b, c, d\}, x]
In[2528]:= SetAttributes[B, \{Protected\}]; Attributes[B]
Out[2528]= \{Protected $\}$
In[2529]:= Def1["B"]
Out[2529]= \{"B[x_/; IntegerQ[x]]:= Block[\{a, b, c\}, x]",
"B[x_]:= x", "B[x_, y_]:= Module[\{a, b, c, d\}, x+ y]"\}
In[2530]:= Definition[B]
Out[2530]= Attributes[B]= \{Protected $\}$
B[x_/; IntegerQ[x]]:= Block[\{\}, x]\}
B[x_]:= x
B[x_, y_]:= Module[\{\}, $x+y]$
In event of an object $\boldsymbol{x}$ of the same name the procedure callDef1 $[x]$ returns the list of the optimized definitions of the objectx in string format without the attributes ascribed to it. If $\boldsymbol{x}$ defines an unique name, the call returns the optimized definition of the object $\boldsymbol{x}$ in string format without the attributes ascribed to it. The name of an objectx is given in string format; in addition, on unacceptable values of argument $\boldsymbol{x} \$$ Failed is returned.
An extension of the standardAttributes function is represented by simple procedure, whose callAttributes1[x, $\boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{t}, \ldots]$ unlike standard function on objectsx, $\boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{t}, \ldots$, that differ from admissible ones, returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}, without output of any error messages what in a number of cases more preferably from standpoint of processing of erroneous situations. While on admissible objectsx, $\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \ldots$ the callAttributes $\mathbf{1}[x, y, z, \ldots]$ returns the list of the attributes ascribed to objects $, y, z, \ldots$ The following fragment represents source code of the procedure along with typical examples of its usage.

## In[2796]:= Attributes1[x_] := Module[\{a= <br> Map[Quiet[Check[Attributes[\#], \{\}]] \&, \{x\}]\}, If[Length[a] == 1, a[[1]], a]]

In[2797] := L:= \{42, 47, 67, 25, 18\}; SetAttributes[L, \{Flat, Protected, Listable\}]
In[2798]:= Attributes1[L[[5]], Sin, ProcQ]
Out[2798]= \{ \{\}, \{Listable, NumericFunction, Protected $\}$, $\}\}$
In[2799]:= Attributes1[72, a + b, Attributes1, While, If]
Out[2799]= \{\{\}, \{\}, \{\}, \{HoldAll, Protected\}, \{HoldRest, Protected\}\}
The Attributes 1 procedure is a rather useful tool in a number of appendices. Means of processing of the attributes specific to procedures/functions in the form of proceduresAttributesH andDefAttributesH are presented below.
As it was noted above, the strict differentiation of the blocks, functions and modules in theMathematica is carried out not by means of their names as it is accepted in the majority of known programming languages and systems, but by means of theirheadings. For this reason in a number of cases of the advanced procedural programming, the important enough problem of the organization of mechanisms of the differentiated processing of such objects on the basis of their headings arises. A number of such means is presented in the present book, here we will determine two means ensuring work with attributes of objects on the basis of their headings. The following fragment represents source codes of2 meansDefAttributesH andAttributesH along with typical examples of their usage.
The callDefAttributesH[x, $\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{h}, \ldots]$ returnsNull, i.e. nothing, assigning $\{\boldsymbol{y}=$ "Set" $\}$ or deleting $\{\boldsymbol{y}=$ "Clear" $\}$ the attributes determined by arguments $\{\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{h}, \ldots\}$ for an object with headingx. Whereas in attempt of assigning or deleting of an attribute, nonexistent in the current version of the system, the procedure call returns the list whose1st element is $\$$ Failed, whereas the2nd element- the list of the expressions different from the current attributes. At that, the callAttributesH[x] returns the list of attributes ascribed to an object
with headingx. An useful function whose callClearAllAttributes $[x, y, z, \ldots]$ returnsNull, i.e. nothing, canceling all attributes ascribed to the symbols $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \ldots$ completes the given fragment.
In[2880]:= DefAttributesH[x_/; HeadingQ[x], y_/; MemberQ[\{"Set", "Clear"\}, y], z__] :=
Module[ \{a\}, If[AttributesQ[\{z\}, a = Unique[g]], ToExpression[y <> "Attributes[" <> HeadName[x] <> ", " <> ToString[\{z\}] <> "]"], \{\$Failed, a\}]]
$\operatorname{In}[2881]:=\mathbf{M}\left[\mathbf{x} \_\right]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{ \},\{\mathbf{x}\}] ; \mathbf{M}\left[\mathbf{x} \_, \mathbf{y}_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{ \},\{\mathbf{x}\}] ; \mathbf{M}\left[\mathbf{x} \_, \mathbf{y}_{-}\right.$, z_] := $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}$
In[2882]:= DefAttributesH["M[x__, y_, z_]", "Set", Flat, Protected, Listable]
In[2883]:= Attributes[M]
Out[2883]= \{Flat, Listable, Protected $\}$
In[2884]:= DefAttributesH["M[x__, y_, z_]", "Set", AvzAgn]
Out[2884] $=$ \{\$Failed, $\{$ AvzAgn \} \}
In[2930]:=AttributesH[x_/; HeadingQ[x]] :=
Attributes1[Symbol[HeadName[x]]] In[2972]:= AttributesH["M[x__, y_, z_]"]
Out[2972] = \{Flat, Listable, Protected $\}$
In[2973]:= ClearAllAttributes[x_] :=
Map[Quiet[ClearAttributes[\#, Attributes[\#]];] \&, \{x\}][[1]]]
In[2974] := SetAttributes[G, \{Flat, Protected\}]; SetAttributes[V, \{Protected\}]
In[2975]:= Map[Attributes, \{G, V \}]
Out[2975]= \{ \{Flat, Protected\}, \{Protected\}\}
In[2976]:= ClearAllAttributes[G, V]; Attributes[G]
Out[2976]= $\}$
The represented means equally with Attributes1 andSetAttributes1 [33,48] of work with attributes that are most actual for objects of the type \{Function, Block,Module\}, in some cases are rather useful. At that, these means can be used quite effectively at programming and other means ofdifferent purpose, first of all, of means of the system character.
The mechanism of the Mathematica attributes is quite effective tool both for protection of objects against modifications, and for management of a mode of processing of arguments at calls of blocks, functions and modules. So, by means of assignment to a procedure or function of theListable attribute can be specified that this procedure or function has to be applied automatically to all actual arguments as for a list elements. In the following fragment the simple procedure is presented, whose callCallListable $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ ] returns the list of valuesMap[ $\boldsymbol{x}$, Flatten [\{y\}]], wherex - a block, function or module from one formal argument, andy - the list or sequence of the actual arguments that can be and empty. The fragment represents source code and the most typical examples of usage of theCallListable procedure.
In[2977]:= ToString[\{a, b, c + d, 72, $\mathbf{x}$ * $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{( m + n ) * S i n [ p - t ] \} ] ~}$
Out[2977]= "\{a, b, c+d, 72, x y, (m+n) Sin[p-t]\}"
In[2978]:= CallListable[x_/; SystemQ[x] || BlockFuncModQ[x], y__] := Module[\{a $=$ Attributes $[x]\}$, If[MemberQ[a, Listable], $x[$ Flatten $[\{y\}]]$, SetAttributes $[x$,
Listable]; \{x[Flatten[\{y\}]], ClearAttributes[x, Listable]\}[[1]]l]

In[2979] := CallListable[ToString, $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{8 0}, \mathbf{x} \mathbf{~} \mathbf{y},(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}) * \operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{t}]\}]$ Out[2979]= \{"a", "b", "c+ d", "80", "x y", "(m+ n) Sin[p- t]"\}
In[2980]:= CallListable[ToString, a, b, c $+\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{4 8 0}, \mathbf{x} \mathbf{y},(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}) * \operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{t}]]$ Out[2980]= \{"a", "b", "c+ d", "480", "x y", "(m+n) Sin[p-t]"\}
In[2981]:= CallListable[ToString]
Out[2981]= $\}$
The approach used by theCallListable procedure is quite effective and can be used in a number of the appendices programmed in theMathematica.
In conclusion of this section some useful means for receiving the optimized definitions of procedures/functions are in addition represented. So, the call DefFunc $[x]$ provides return of theoptimized definition of anx-object whose definition is located in the user package ornb-document and which has been loaded into the current session. At that, the namex should define an object without any attributes and options; otherwise the erroneous situation arises. The fragment below represents source code of theDefFunc procedure with typical examples of its usage.
In[2461]:= DefFunc[x_/; SymbolQ[x] || StringQ[x]] :=
Module[\{a = GenRules[Mapp[StringJoin, \{"Global", Context[x]\},
ToString[x] <> "`"], ""], b = StringSplit[ToString[InputForm[Definition[x]]], "\n \n"]\}, ToExpression[Map[StringReplace[\#, a] \&, b]]; Definition[x]] In[2462]:= Definition[ListListQ] Out[2462]= ListListQ[AladjevProcedures`ListListQ`L_]:= If[AladjevProcedures`ListListQ`L!= \{\}\&\& ListQ[AladjevProcedures`ListListQ`L]\&\& Length[Select[AladjevProcedures`ListListQ`L, ListQ[\#1]\&\& Length[\#1]== Length[AladjevProcedures`ListListQ`L[[1]]]\&]]== Length[AladjevProcedures `ListListQ`L], True, False] In[2463]:= DefFunc[ListListQ] Out[2463]= ListListQ[L_]:= If[L! = \{\}\&\& ListQ[L]\&\&
Length[Select[L, ListQ[\#1]\&\& Length[\#1]== Length[L[[1]]]\&]]== Length[L], True, False]

Naturally, the standard Definition function also is suitable for receiving of definition of an object activated in the current session, but in case of amfile or anb-document exists an essential distinction as is well illustrated by the return of definition of theListListQ function fromAVZ_Package package [48] by means of both theDefinition function, and ourDefFunc procedure. In the second case the obtained definition is essentially more readably, first of all, for large source codes of procedures and functions. With procedures DefFunc1, DefFunc2 andDefFunc3 which are quite useful versions of the aboveDefFunc procedure, the interested reader can familiarize oneself in [28-33]; the means are presented and in our package [48]. These means also are functionally adjoined by the ToDefOptPF procedure and the OptRes function [28,48]. Meanwhile, theToDefOptPF procedure is inefficient for a case when the user packages with identical contexts have been loaded into the current session [33]. We for the similar purposes widely use the above Definition2 procedure.

Withal, having provided loading of the user package for instance UPackage by the callLoadMyPackage["... \UPackage.mx", Context], all definitions containing in it will be in the optimized format, i.e. they will not contain the context associated with the UPackage package. At that, processing of means of a package loaded thus will be significantly simpler. TheLoadMyPackage procedure is considered in appropriate section. In the subsequent sections of the presented book the means of manipulation with the main components of definitions of the user procedures and functions are considered.

### 6.2. Definition of the user functions and pure functions in software of theMathematicasystem

First of all, we will notice that so -calledfunctional programming isn't any discovery of Mathematica system, and goes back to a number of software means that appeared long before the above system. In this regard we quite pertinently have focused slightly more in details the attention on theconcept of functional programming in a historical context [3033]. Whereas here we only will note certain moments characterizing specifics of the paradigm of functional programming. We will note only that the foundation offunctional programming has been laid approximately at the same time, asimperative programming that is the most widespread now, i.e. in the30th years of the last century.A. Church(USA)the author of $\lambda$-calculus and one of founders of the concept of Homogeneous structures(Cellular Automata) in connection with his works in the field of infinite abstract automata and mathematical logic along withH. Curry(England) and $\boldsymbol{M}$.
Schönfinkel(Germany) that have developed the mathematical theory ofcombinators, with good reason can be considered as the main founders of mathematical foundation of functional programming. At that,functional programming languages, especiallypurely functional ones such asHope andRex, have largely been used in academical circles rather than in commercial software development. While prominent functional programming languages such asLisp have been used in industrial and commercial applications. Today, functional programming paradigm is also supported in somedomain-specific programming languages for example byMathlanguage of theMathematica system. From rather large number of languages of functional programming it is possible to note the following languages which exerted a great influence on progress in this field, namely: Lisp, Scheme, ISWIM, familyML, Miranda, Haskell, Clean, etc. [33]. By and large, if theimperative languages are based on operations of assignment and cycle, thefunctional languages on recursions. From advantages of functional languages can be noted the following the most important, namely:
-programs on functional languagess as a rule are much shorter and simpler than their analogs on imperative languages;
-almost all modern functional languages are strictly typified ensuring the safety of programs;strict typification allows to generate more effective code;
-in a functional language the functions can be transferred as an argument to other functions or are returned as result of their call;
-in the pure functional languages (which aren't allowing by-effects for functions) there is no an operator of assigning, objects of such language can't be modified and deleted, it is only possible to create new objects by decomposition and synthesis of the existing ones. In pure functional languages all functions are free from by-effects. Meanwhile, functional
languages can imitate the certain useful imperative properties. Not all functional languages are pure forasmuch in many cases the admissibility of by-effects allows to essentially simplify programming. However today the most developed functional languages are as a rule pure. With many interesting enough questions concerning a subject of functional programming, the reader can familiarize oneself, for example, in [74]. While with quite interesting critical remarks on functional languages and possible ways of their elimination it is possible to familiarize oneself in [28-33,75].
A series of concepts and paradigms are specific for functional programming and are absent inimperative programming. Meanwhile, many programming languages, as a rule, are based on several paradigms of programming, thus imperative programming languages can quite use and concepts offunctional programming. In particular, as an important enough concept are so-called pure functions, whose result of performance depends only on their factual arguments. Such functions possess certain useful properties a part of which it is possible to use for optimization of program code and parallelization of calculations. Questions of realization of certain properties of pure functions in the environment of imperativeMaple-language have been considered in [28-33]. In principle, there are no special difficulties for programming in the functional style in languages that aren't the functional. TheMath-language professing the mixed paradigm of functional and procedural programming supports functional programming, then theMaple-language professing the concept of especially procedural programming at the same time only allows certain elements of functional programming.
However, first of all a few words about the system functions, i.e. functions belonging properly to the Math-language and its environment. Generally speaking, to call these system tools by functions is not entirely correct since realization of many of them is based on the procedural organization, but we stopped on such terminology inherent actually to the system. So, theMathematica system has very large number of the built-in functions, at the same time it provides simple enough mechanisms for definition of the user functions. In the simplest case a certain function $\boldsymbol{F}$ with several formal arguments $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \ldots$ has the following very simple format, namely:

## $F\left[x_{-}, y_{-}, z_{-}, \ldots\right]\{:=\mid=\}$ an expression dependent on variables $x, y, z_{, \ldots}$ as a rule

So, $F\left[x_{-}\right]:=\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{3}+\mathbf{8 0}$ defines the function $\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{3}+\mathbf{8 0}$ in standardmathematical notation; the call of such function on concrete actual argument is defined as $\boldsymbol{F}[x]$, in particular, as illustrates the following simple example, namely: $\operatorname{In}[2442]:=\mathbf{F}[\mathbf{x}] \mathbf{: =} \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{3}+\mathbf{9 0} ; \mathbf{F}[\mathbf{5 0 0}]$ Out[2442]= 125000090

For receiving of definition of an arbitrary function (and not only functions, but an arbitrary definition on the basis of operator of postponed":="or immediate"=" assignments), excepting the built-in functions, serve the built-inDefinition function along with our means considered in the previous section, allowing to receive the optimized definitions in the above sense of as procedures and functions. We will consider briefly elements of functional programming in theMathematica in whose basis the concept of thepure function lays. So, thepure functions- one of the basic concepts offunctional programming that is a component of all programming system in theMathematica in general. Further, the questions relating to this component will be considered in more detail, here we will define only the basic concepts. In theMathematica pure functions are defined as follows.

A pure function in the environment of the Mathematica has the following three formats of coding, namely:
Function[x, Function body] - a pure function with one formal argumentx;
Function[ \{x1,x2, ...,xp\},Function body] -a pure function with formal argumentsx1, x2, ...,xp;
(Function body)\& - a pure function with formal arguments\#,\#1,\#2,..., \#n.
At that, at using of the third format that is often called as short form of pure function for its identification theampersand (\&) serves whose absence causes either erroneous situations or incorrect results at impossibility to identify the demanded pure function. The reader familiar with formal logic or theLisp programming language can simply identify pure functions with unnamed functions ord-expressions. Moreover, the pure functions are rather close to mathematical concept of operators. In definition of apure function so-called substitutes(\#) of variables are used, namely:
\# -the first variable of a pure function;
\#n - $\boldsymbol{n}$-th variable of a pure function;
\#\# -sequence of all variables of a pure function;
\#\#n-sequence of variables of a pure function starting withn-th variable.
At application of pure functions, unlike traditional functions and procedures, there is no need to designate their names, allowing to code their definitions directly in points of their call that is caused by that the results of the calls of pure functions depend only on values of the actual arguments received by them. So, selection from a list $W$ of the elements meeting certain conditions and elementwise application of a function to elements of a list can be carried out by constructions of the formatSelect[W, Test[\#] \&] andMap[F[\#] \&,W] respectively as illustrates the following simple example, namely:
In[2341] := Select [\{a, 72, 75, 42, g,67,Art, Kr, 2015, s,47,500 \}, IntegerQ[\#] \&] Out[2341] $=\{72,75,42,67,2015,47,500\}$
In[2342]:= Map[(\#^2 + \#) \&, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\}]
Out[2342]= \{2, 6, 12, 20, 30, 42, 56, 72, 90, 110, 132, 156\}
At using of the short form of a pure function it is necessary to be careful at its coding because the ampersand has quite low priority. For example, the expression\#1+ \#2- \#3+ \#2\& withoutparentheses is correct while, generally speaking, they are obligatory, in particular, at using of apure function as the right part of a transformation rule as illustrates very simple example: In[2392]:= \{a/. a-> \#1 + \#2 + \#3 \& , a /. a-> (\#1 + \#2 + \#3 \& ) \} Out[2392]= \{a/. a-> \#1+ \#2+ \#3\&, \#1+ \#2+ \#3\& $\}$
In[2393]:= \{Replace[a, a-> \#1*\#2*\#3 \&], a/. a-> (\#1*\#2*\#3 \&) \}
Replace::reps: \{a-> \#1\#2\#3\&\} is neither a list of replacement rules nor a valid dispatch table, and so cannot be used for replacing. >> Out[2393]= \{Replace[a, a-> \#1\#2\#3\&], \#1\#2\#3\& $\}$

In combination with a number of functions, in particular, Map, Select and some others the using of pure functions is rather convenient, therefore the question of converting from traditional functions into pure functions seems a quite topical; for its decision various approaches, including creation of the program converters can be used. We used pure
functions a rather widely at programming of a number of problems of different types of the applied and the system character [28-33,48].
The following procedure provides converting of a function determined by the format $\mathbf{G}\left[x_{\mathbf{\prime}}\right.$, $\left.y_{-}, \ldots\right]:=W(x, y, \ldots)$ into pure function of any admissible format, namely: the callFuncToPure $[x]$ returns the pure function that is an analog of a function $x$ of the third format, whereas the callFuncToPure[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}$ ] wherep - any expression, returns pure function of thetwo first formats. The fragment below represents source code of theFuncToPure procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2822] := FuncToPure[x_/; QFunction[ToString[x]], y__] := Module[\{d, t, a = HeadPF[x], b = Map[ToString, Args[x]], c = Definition2[x][[1]], $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g}=\{ \}, \mathbf{p}\}, \mathbf{d}$ = Map[First, Mapp[StringSplit, b, "_"]]; p = StringTrim[c, a <> " := "]; h = "Hold[" <> p <> "]"; \{t, h\}= \{Length[b], ToExpression[h]\}; While[k <= t, AppendTo[g, d[[k]] <> "-> \#" <> ToString[k]]; k++]; h = ToString1[ReplaceAll[h, ToExpression[g]l]; g = StringTake[h, \{6,-2\}]; ToExpression[If[\{y\}!= \{\}, "Function[" <> If[Length[b] == 1, StringTake[ToString[d], \{2,-2\}], ToString[d]] <> ", " <> p <> "]", g <>" \&"]ll]
In[2823] := G[x_Integer, y_Integer, z_Real] := $\mathbf{z}^{*}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})+\operatorname{Sin}\left[x^{*} y^{*} z\right] ;$ FuncToPure[G] Out[2823]=\#3 (\#1+ \#2) + Sin[\#1\#2\#3]\&
$\operatorname{In}[2824]:=\mathbf{G}\left[x_{-}\right.$Integer, $y_{-}$Integer, $\mathbf{z}_{-}$Real] := $\mathbf{z}^{*}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})+\operatorname{Sin}\left[\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{z}\right]$; FuncToPure[G, 80]
Out[2824]= Function[\{x, y, z\}, z*(x+y)+ Sin[x*y*z]]
In[2825]:= V[x_/; IntegerQ[x]] := If[PrimeQ[x], True, False];
 Out[2825] $=\{47,13,7,41,2,17,23\}$
In[2826]:= \{S[x_] := x^2 + 23*x + 16; FuncToPure[S, 47], FuncToPure[S][80]\} Out[2826] = \{Function[x, $x^{\wedge 2+23 * x+16], ~ 8256\} ~}$

However, at using of the FuncToPure procedure for converting of a certain traditional function into pure function it must be kept in mind a number of the essential enough moments. First, the resultant pure function won't have attributes, options and initial values of arguments along with logic tests for admissibility of the actual arguments. Secondly, a converting automatically doesn't do the resultant function as a pure function if a original traditional function such wasn't, i.e. result of the procedure call should depend only on the obtained actual arguments. A number of useful means of operating with pure functions will be considered in the book slightly below.
On the other hand, the following procedure in a certain measure is inverse to the previous procedure, its callPureToFunc $[x, y]$ where $\boldsymbol{x}$ - definition of a pure function, and $\boldsymbol{y}$ - an unevaluated symbol- returnsNull, i.e. nothing, providing converting of definition of a pure function $x$ into the evaluated definition of equivalent function with a namey. In addition, on inadmissible actual arguments the procedure call is returned unevaluated. The fragment below represents source code of the procedure with an example of its usage.
In[2525]:= PureToFunc[x_/; PureFuncQ[x], y_/; ! HowAct[y]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ Map[ToString, OP[x]], b, c, d, k=1\}, b = Select[a, StringTake[\#, \{1, 1\}] == "\#" \&]; d = Map[StringReplace[\#, "\#"-> "x"] \&, b]; c = ToString[y] <> "[";
For[k, k <= Length[b], k++, c = c <> d[[k]] <> ","]; c = StringTake[c, \{1,-2\}] <> "]
:= "; ToExpression[StringTake[c <> StringReplace[ToString1[x], GenRules[b, d]], \{1,-4\}] $]$ ]
In[2526] := PureToFunc[\#4*(\#1 + \#2)/(\#3-\#4) + \#1*\#4 \& , Gs] In[2527]:=

## Definition[Gs]

Out[2527]= Gs[x1_, x2_, x3_, x4_]:= (x4 (x1+ x2))/(x3- x4)+ x1 x4
Unlike FuncToPure the callModToPureFunc[ $x$ ] provides the converting of a module or blockx into pure function under following conditions:(1) the module/blockx can’t have local variables or all local variables should have initial values;(2) the module/blockx can't have active global variables, i.e. variables for which in an arbitrary objectx assignments are done;(3) formal arguments of the returned function don't save tests for their admissibility; (4) the returned function inherits attributes and options of an objectx. The fragment below represents procedure code with examples of its application.
In[2428] := ModToPureFunc[x_/; QBlockMod[x]] := Module[\{a, c, d, p, j, t, Atr = Attributes[x], 0 = Options[x], n = "\$\$\$" <> ToString[x], b=
Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}][[1]], $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{q}=\{ \}\}$, ToExpression["\$\$\$" <> b]; c =
LocalsGlobals1[Symbol[n]]; a = Args[Symbol[n], 80]; d =
StringReplace[PureDefinition[n], HeadPF[n] <> " := "-> "", 1];
ToExpression["ClearAll[" <> n <> "]"]; If[c[[3]] != \{\}, Return[\{\$Failed, "Globals", c[[3]]\}]]; c = Map[\{\#, ToString[Unique[\#]]\}\&, Join[a, c[[1]]I]]; While[k <= Length[c], $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{c}[[k]] ; \mathbf{d}=$ StringReplaceS[d, $\mathbf{p [ [ 1 ] ] , p [ [ 2 ] ] ] ;} \mathbf{k + + ] ;} \mathbf{d}=$ ToString[ToExpression[d]]; $\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{Map}[$ ToString, UnDefVars[ToExpression[d]]];
t = Map[StringTake[\#, \{1, If[StringFreeQ[\#, "\$"],-1, Flatten[StringPosition[\#, "\$"]] [[1]]-1]\}] \&, t]; $k=1$; While[k <= Length[t], $\mathbf{j}=1$; While[j <= Length[c], If[t[[k]] == c[[j]][[2]], AppendTo[q, c[[j]][[1]]]]; j++]; k++]; k = 1; While[k <= Length[c], p = c[[k]]; d = StringReplaceS[d, p[[2]], p[[1]]]; k++]; If[p = MinusList[q, a]; p != \{\}, \{\$Failed, "Locals", p\}, ToExpression["ClearAll[" <> n <> "]"]; n = "\$\$\$" <> ToString[x]; ToExpression[n <> " := Function[" <> ToString[a] <> ", " <> d <> "]"]; If[Atr != \{\}, ToExpression["SetAttributes[" <> n <> "," <>
ToString[Atr] <> "]"]]; If[O != \{\}, ToExpression["SetOptions[" <> n <> "," <> ToString[O] <> "]"]l; n]] In[2429]:= B[x_, y_] := Block[\{a, b=80, c, d\}, (a + b + c)*(x $+\mathbf{y}+\mathrm{d})]$; B1[x_, y_]:= Block[\{a = 480, b = 80, c = 72\}, (a + b + c)* $(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y})]$;
SetAttributes[B1, \{Protected, Listable\}]; B2[x_, y_] := Block[\{a = 480, b=80\}, h = (a $\left.+b)^{*}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}) ; \mathrm{t}=42\right] ;$ B3[x_, y_]:= Block[\{a=480, b, c\}, $\mathrm{h}=(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c})^{*}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}) ; \mathrm{g}=$ 67]; B4[x_, y_] := Block[\{a = 480, b=80\}, $h=(a+b) *(x+y) ; ~ t=z] ; ~ B 5\left[x \_y \_\right]:=$ $\operatorname{Module}\{\{a=480, b, c, d=80\},(a+b) *(c+d)]$
In[2430]:= ModToPureFunc[B]
Out[2430]= \{\$Failed, "Locals", \{"a", "c", "d"\}\}
In[2431]:= ModToPureFunc[B1]
Out[2431]= "\$\$\$B1"
In[2432]:= Definition["\$\$\$B1"]
Out[2432]= Attributes[\$\$\$B1]= \{Listable, Protected $\}$
\$\$\$B1:= Function[\{x, y\}, 632* $(x+y)]$

In[2433]:= ModToPureFunc[B2]
Out[2433]= \{\$Failed, "Globals", \{"h", "t"\}\}
In[2434]:= ModToPureFunc[B3]
Out[2434]= \{\$Failed, "Globals", \{"h", "g"\}\}
In[2435]:= ModToPureFunc[B4]
Out[2435]= \{\$Failed, "Globals", \{"h", "t"\}\}
In[2436]:= ModToPureFunc[B5]
Out[2436]= \{\$Failed, "Locals", \{"b", "c"\}\}
In[2437]:= A[m_, n_, p_/; IntegerQ[p], h_/; PrimeQ[h]] :=
Module[ $\left.\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{4 2 . 8 0}\}, \mathbf{h}^{*}(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{p}) / \mathbf{a}\right] \operatorname{In}[2438]:=\mathbf{M o d T o P u r e F u n c [ A ]}$
Out[2438]= "\$\$\$A"
In[2439]:= Definition["\$\$\$A"]
Out[2439]= \$\$\$A:= Function[\{m, n, p, h\}, 0.0233645*h*(m+n+ p)] In[2440]:= M[x_, y_ /; StringQ[y]] := Module[\{a, b=80, c = 6, d\}, a*x + b*y] In[2441]:= SetAttributes[M, Protected]; ModToPureFunc[M] Out[2441]= \{\$Failed, "Locals", \{"a", "d"\}\}
In[2442]:= G[x_] := Module[\{a = 90, b = 500\}, a + b]; ModToPureFunc[G];

## Definition[\$\$\$G]

Out[2442]= \$\$\$G: = Function[\{x\}, 590]
A successful call ModToPureFunc[ $x$ ] returns the name of the resultant pure function in the formToString [Unique[x]], otherwise procedure call returns the nested list of the format $\{\$ F a i l e d$, , "Locals"|"Globals"\}, \{list of variables in string format\} $\}$ whose the first element\$Failed determines inadmissibility of converting,second element- the type of the variables that were as a reason of it while the third element- the list of variables of this type in string format. At that, the name of a block or module should be as the actual argumentx, otherwise the procedure call is returned unevaluated. Along with standard means the procedure in very essential degree uses our proceduresHeadPF,
Args,LocalsGlobals1, ClearAllMinusList, PureDefinition, StringReplaceS,
QBlockMod, UnDefVars that are considered in the present book and in considered in the present book and in 33], that allowed to considerably simplify programming of this procedure. These means and at programming some other appendices are rather useful. In general, it must be kept in mind that the mechanism of thepure functions composes a core of the paradigm offunctional programming inMathematica.

### 6.3. Means of testing of procedures and functions in the Mathematicasoftware

Having defined procedures of two types (ModuleandBlock) and functions, includingpure functions, at the same time we have no standard means for identification of objects of the given types. In this regard we created a series of means that allow to identify objects of the specified types. In the present section non-standard means for testing of procedural and functional objects are considered. We will note that theMathematica- a rather closed system in contradistinction, for example, to its main competitor- theMaple system in which perusal of source codes of its software that are located both in the main and in auxiliary libraries is admissible. While theMathematica system has no similar
opportunity. In this connexion the software presented below concerns only to the user functions and procedures loaded into the current session from a package ( $\boldsymbol{m}$-or themx-file), or a document(nb-file;also may contain a package) and activated in it. It is known that for providing a modularity of the software the procedures are rather widely used that in the conditions of theMathematica system the modular and block constructions provide. Both a module(Module), and a block(Block) provide the closed domain of variables which is supported via the mechanism of local variables. Procedures on the basis of both modular, and block structure provide, in general, a rather satisfactory mechanism of the modularity. Above we attributed the modular and block objects to the procedure type, but here not everything so unambiguously and that is why. In procedural programming a procedure represents some kind of so-called "black box" whose contents is hidden from the external software with which it interacts only through arguments and global variables(if they are used by a procedure body). Whereas action domain of the local variables is limited by a procedure body only, without crossing with the variables of the same name outside of procedure. Meanwhile, between procedures ofmodular andblock types exists a rather essential distinction which is based on mechanisms of local variables that are used by both types of procedures. In brief the essence of such distinction consists in the following.
Traditional programming languages at work with variables use mechanism "lexical scope", which is similar to the modular mechanism inMathematica, while the modular mechanism is similar to"dynamic scope" that is used, for
example, in the symbolic languages like Lisp. So, if lexical scope considers the local variables connected with a module, dynamic scope considers the local variables connected only with a concrete segment of history of a block execution. In books [28-33] the question of preference of procedures on the basis of modular structure, than on the basis of block structure is considered in details. Meanwhile, the block procedures are often convenient in case of organization of various interactive calculations. Thus, generally, supposing existence of procedures of the above two types(modularandblock) in the Mathematica software, for ensuring reliability it is recommended to use the procedures ofModule type. Distinctions of procedures on both basics can be illustrated with the following typical examples, namely:

```
In[2254] := B[x_] := Block[\{a, b, c, d\}, \(\mathbf{x}\) *( \(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d})]\)
\(\operatorname{In}[2255]:=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}=\{42,47,67,6\}\)
Out[2255]= \{42, 47, 67, 6\}
\(\operatorname{In}[2256]:=\{\mathbf{B}[100], \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}\)
Out[2256] \(=\{16200,42,47,67,6\}\)
\(\operatorname{In}[2257]:=\mathbf{B}[\mathbf{x}]]:=\operatorname{Block}[\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{8 0}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{4 8 0}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}, \mathbf{x} \mathbf{*}(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d})] \operatorname{In}[2258]:=\)
\{B[100], a, b, c, d\}
Out[2258]= \{63300, 42, 47, 67, 6\}
In[2259]:= M[x_] := Module[\{a = 80, b = 480, c, d\}, \(\left.\mathbf{x}^{*}(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d})\right]\) In[2260]:=
\{M[100], a, b, c, d\}
Out[2260]= \(\{100\) (560+c\$75395+d\$75395), 42, 47, 67, 6\}
\(\operatorname{In}[2261]:=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}=\{42,47,18,25\} ;\)
In[2262]:= B2[x_] := Block[\{a, b, c, d\}, \{a,b,c,d\}= \{72,67,80,480\}; Plus[a,b,c,d]]
In[2263]:= \{B2[100], \{a, b, c, d\}\}
```

Out[2263]= \{699, \{42, 47, 18, 25\}\}
From the presented fragment follows, if local variables of a modular object aren’t crossed with domain of values of the variables of the same name that are external in relation to it, the absolutely other picture takes place in a case with local variables of a block object, namely: if initial values or values are ascribed toall local variables of such object in its body, they save effect in the object body; those variables of object to which such values weren't ascribed accept values of the variables of the same name that are external in relation to the block object. So, at fulfillment of the listed conditions themodular and
block objects relative to local variables(and in general as procedural objects) can quite be considered as equivalent. Naturally, told remains in force also for block objects with empty lists of local variables. Specified reasons have been laid to the basis of an algorithm programmed by theRealProcQ procedure represented by the following fragment.

In[2347]:= RealProcQ[x_] := Module[\{a, b = " = ", c, d, p\},
If[! ProcQ[x], False, If[ModuleQ[x], True, a = Locals1[x]; c = PureDefinition[x]; d = Map[\#[[1]]-1 \&, StringPosition[c, b]]; p = Map[ExprOfStr[c, \#,-1, \{" ", "\{", "["\}] \&, d]; p = DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[Map[StrToList, pl]]; If[p == a, True, False]]]]
In[2348] := B1[x_] := Block[\{a = 80, b = 480, c = 72, d=42\}, x* $(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d})]$; RealProcQ[B1]
Out[2348]= True
In[2349]:= M2[x_] := Block[\{a = 80, b = 480, c, d\}, \{c, d\}= \{42, 47\}; $\left.\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{c}^{*} \mathbf{d}\right]$;
RealProcQ[M2]
Out[2349]= True
$\operatorname{In}[2350]:=$ M3[x_] := Block[\{a = 80, b = 48, c, h\}, $\mathbf{h}=\mathbf{7 2 ;} \mathbf{x * h}$; RealProcQ[M3] Out[2350]= False

Experience of usage of the RealProcQ procedure confirmed its efficiency at testing objects of the type"Block" that are considered as real procedures. At that, we will understand an object of type \{Module,Block\} as areal procedure which in theMathematica software is functionally equivalent to aModule, i.e. is some procedure in its classical understanding. The callRealProcQ[x] returnsTrue if the symbolx defines aModule or aBlock which is equivalent to aModule, andFalse otherwise. At that, it is supposed that a certain block is equivalent to a module if all its local variables have initial values or some local variables have initial values while others obtain values by the operator "=" in the block body. The procedure along with the standard means uses as well proceduresProcQ, Locals1, ModuleQ, ExprOfStr, StrToList which are considered in the present book and in [33]. From all our means solving the problem of testing of theprocedural objects, the aboveRealProcQ procedure with the greatest possible reliability identifies the procedure in its classical understanding; in addition, the procedure can be of type \{Module, Block\}. In some cases in addition to the above means of testing of theMath-objects a rather useful and quite simple procedure can be used whose callBlockQ $[x]$ returnsTrue if the symbolx defines a block object, andFalse otherwise. The following fragment represents source code of theBlockQ procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
$\operatorname{In}[2377]:=\operatorname{BlockQ}[\mathbf{x}]]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}=\operatorname{If}[S y m b o l Q[x]$,

Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}][[1]], \$Failed]\}, If[MemberQ[\{\$Failed, "System"\}, a], False,
b = Mapp[StringJoin, $\{$ " := ", " = "\}, "Block[\{"]; If[SuffPref[a, Map3[StringJoin, HeadPF[x], b], 1], True, False]]] In[2378]:= Sv[x_] := Module[\{\}, y := 72; z := 67; \{y, z $\}$ ];
$\operatorname{Agn}\left[x \_\right]:=\operatorname{Block}\left[\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{8 0}\}, \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathrm{x}\right] ; \operatorname{Kr}\left[\mathrm{x} \_\right]:=\operatorname{Block}[\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{h}=\mathbf{b}\}$,

Agn, Art, a + b, 90\}]
Out[2379]= \{False, True, True, False, False, False $\}$
In[2380]:= BlockQ1[x_Symbol] := If[TestBFM[x] === "Block", True, False]
In[2381]:= Map[BlockQ1, \{Sv, Kr, Agn, Art, 80\}]
Out[2381]= \{False, True, True, False, BlockQ1[80]\}
In[2382]:= ModuleQ1[x_Symbol]:= If[TestBFM[x]==="Module",True,False]
In[2383]:= Map[ModuleQ1, \{Sv, Kr, Agn, Art, 90\}]
Out[2383]= \{True, False, False, True, ModuleQ1[90]\}
In[2384] := ModuleQ2[x_] := Module[\{b, a = If[SymbolQ[x],
Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}][[1]], \$Failed]\}, If[MemberQ[\{\$Failed, "System"\}, a], False,
b = Mapp[StringJoin, $\{$ " := ", " = "\}, "Module[\{"]; If[SuffPref[a, Map3[StringJoin, HeadPF[x], b], 1], True, False]]l]
The above fragment is completed by an example with the simple BlockQ1 function which is functionally equivalent to the previousBlockQ procedure and is based on ourTestBFM procedure; this fragment represents also not less simpleModuleQ1 function whose callModuleQ1[x] returnsTrue if the symbolx defines a modular structure, andFalse otherwise. The result of the procedure callModuleQ2[x] is analogous to the callModuleQ1[x]. We will note, the previous means of testing of objects of type \{Module,Block, Function\} support only single objects, but not objects of the same name, i.e. for each such object in the current session ofMathematica system the only definition should be activated. Therefore the means of testing of objects in independence from number of the definitions standing behind them are of special interest. Such problem is solved by the followingFuncBlockModQ procedure, whose result of a callFuncBlockModQ[x, $\boldsymbol{y}$ ] returnsTrue, if $\boldsymbol{x}$ - the symbol defines an object of type \{Function,Module,Block\}; at that, in the presence for the symbolx of several definitions theTrue is returned only if all its definitions generate an object of the same type. Whereas through the second argumenty -an undefinite variable- an object type in the context of \{"Function", "Block", "Module"\} is returned. If symbolx defines an object of the same name whose definitions are associated with subobjects of different types, the procedure callFuncBlockModQ[x,y] returnsFalse while thru the 2nd argumenty "Multiple" is returned. The following fragment represents source code of theFuncBlockModQ procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2654]:= FuncBlockModQ[x_/; SymbolQ[x], y_/; ! HowAct[y]] := Module[\{b, c, $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}, \mathbf{a}=$ PureDefinition[x] $\}$,
If[MemberQ[ \{"System", \$Failed\}, a], False, a = Flatten[\{a\}]; b =

Flatten[\{HeadPF[x]\}]; c = Join[Mapp[StringJoin, b, " := "], Mapp[StringJoin, b, " = "]l]; c = GenRules[c, ""]; c = StringReplace[a, c]; \{m, n\}= Map[Length, \{Select[c, SuffPref[\#, "Block[\{", 1] \&], Select[c, SuffPref[\#, "Module[\{", 1] \&]\}]; If[Length[a] == m, y = "Block"; True,
If[Length[a] == n, $\mathbf{y}=$ "Module"; True,
If[m + $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{0} \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{y}=$ "Function"; True, $\mathbf{y}=$ "Multiple"; False]]II]]
In[2655] := Sv[x_] := Module[\{\}, y := 72; z := 667; \{y, z\}];
Agn[x_] := Block[\{a = 80\}, a*x]; B[x_] := Block[\{a, b, c, d\}, x*(a + b + c + d)]; B[x_,
 Module[\{\}, $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}]$; V[x_] := Module[\{a, b, c, d\}, $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{d})]$; V[x_, y_] :=
Block[\{\}, $x+y] ;$ F[x_, $\left.y_{-}\right]:=x+y ; F\left[x_{-}, y_{-}, z_{-}\right]:=x+y+z$
In[2656]:= \{FuncBlockModQ[Sv, y], y \}
Out[2656] = \{True, "Module" $\}$
In[2657]:= \{FuncBlockModQ[B, y1], y1\}
Out[2657]= \{True, "Block"\}
In[2658]:= \{FuncBlockModQ[M, y2], y2\}
Out[2658]= \{True, "Module"\}
In[2659]:= \{FuncBlockModQ[V, y3], y3\}
Out[2659]= \{False, "Multiple"\}
In[2660]:= \{FuncBlockModQ[While, y4], y4\}
Out[2660]= \{False, y 4$\}$
In[2661]:= \{FuncBlockModQ[F, y4], y4 \}
Out[2661]= \{True, "Function" $\}$
This procedure along with standard means uses also our means GenRules, HeadPF, HowAct, Mapp, PureDefinition, SuffPref andSymbolQ that are considered in this book and in $[32,33]$. Below, other means of testing of the objects of type \{"Function","Block", "Module"\} will be presented too, though already the above means allow to considerably solve the given problem.
Insofar as procedures of both types (Module, Block) along with functions of the user are basic objects of procedural programming in theMathematica then a very important problem of creation of means for testing of belonging of an object to the type \{Module, Block, Function\} exists. The next fragment represents theTestBFM procedure that is successfully solving this problem.
In[2620]:= TestBFM[x_] := Module[\{a = Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}], b, d, h, p, k, j, $\mathbf{t}=\{ \}\}$,
If[MemberQ[ \{\$Failed, "System"\}, a[[1]]], Return[\$Failed], b =
Flatten[\{HeadPF[x]\}]; For[k = 1, k <= Length[a], k++, d = a[[k]]; p = Map[b[[k]] <> \# \& , \{" := ", " = "\}]; h = StringReplace[d, \{p[[1]]-> "", p[[2]]-> ""\}, 1];
If[SuffPref[h, "Module[\{", 1], t = AppendTo[t, "Module"], If[SuffPref[h, "Block[\{", 1], $\mathrm{t}=$ AppendTo[t, "Block"],
If[SuffPref[h, "DynamicModule[\{", 1],
$t=$ AppendTo[t, "DynamicModule"], $t=$ AppendTo[t, "Function"]I]II]; If[Length[t ]
$=\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{t}[[1]], \mathrm{t}]]$
$\operatorname{In}[2621]:=\mathbf{M}\left[x_{-}\right]:=\mathbf{x} ; \mathbf{M}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}\right]:=$Module[\{\}, $\left.\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}\right]$;
$\mathbf{M}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}, z_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Block}\left[\{ \}, x^{+} \mathbf{y}+\mathrm{z}\right]$;
In[2622]:= PureDefinition[M]
Out[2622]= \{"M[x_]:= x", "M[x_, y_]:= Module[\{\}, x+y]",
"M[x_, y_, z_]:= Block[\{\}, x+y+z]"\}
In[2623]:= TestBFM[M]
Out[2623]= \{"Function", "Module", "Block"\}
In[2624]:= Map[TestBFM, \{a + b, avz, Sin, SuffPref, For, 2015\}]
Out[2624]= \{\$Failed, \$Failed, \$Failed, "Module", \$Failed", \$Failed\}
The procedure call TestBFM[x] returns the type of a functional, modular or block objectx in format"Function","Module", "DynamicModule", "Block", whereas on argumentx of other type the procedure call returns $\$$ Failed. At that, if an argumentx defines an object of the same name, the procedure call TestBFM[x] returns the list of types of the subobjects composing it, having bijection with the list of definitions returned by the callPureDefinition[x].

At that, the following procedure can appear as an useful enough means of testing of objects, its callProcFuncBIQ[x,y] returnsTrue if $\boldsymbol{x}$ is a procedure, function or block, otherwiseFalse is returned. Moreover, at return ofTrue, thru argumenty -an undefinite variable- axobject type is returned \{"Block", "Module", "DynamicModule", "Function", "PureFunction"\}, otherwise the2nd argument remains undefinite. The next fragment represents source code of the procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[3178]:= ProcFuncBIQ[x_, y_/; ! HowAct[y]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ToString[HeadPF[x]], b = ToString[y] <> " = ", c = PureDefinition[x] $\}$, If[ListQ[c], False, If[SuffPref[a, "HeadPF[", 1], If[SuffPref[a, " \& ]", 2], y = "PureFunction"; True, False], If[HeadingQ[a],
If[SuffPref[c, a <> " := Module[\{", 1], y = "Module"; True, If[SuffPref[c, a <> " := Block[\{", 1], y = "Block"; True, If[SuffPref[c, a <> " := DynamicModule[\{", 1],
y = "DynamicModule"; True, $\mathbf{y}=$ "Function"; True] $]$, False] []] In[3179]:= Dm[] := DynamicModule[\{x\}, \{Slider[Dynamic[x]], Dynamic[x]\}]
In[3180] := DPOb[] := Module[\{a = 80, b=67, c=18, d=25\}, Plus[a, b, c, d]]
$\operatorname{In}[3181]:=\mathbf{B}\left[\mathbf{x} \_\right]:=$Block[\{a\}, $\left.\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{x}\right] ;$ G := Function[500 + 90*\# \&]; In[3182]:=
Clear[g, g1, g2, g3, g4, g5]; \{ProcFuncBIQ[Dm, g],
ProcFuncBlQ[DPOb, g1], ProcFuncBIQ[B, g2], ProcFuncBlQ[G, g3],
ProcFuncBlQ[500 + 90*\# \&, g4], ProcFuncBIQ[500, g5]\} Out[3182]= \{True, True, True, True, True, False\}
In[3183]:= \{g, g1, g2, g3, g4, g5\}
Out[3183]= \{"DynamicModule", "Module", "Block", "PureFunction", "PureFunction", g5\}
In[3184]:= ClearAll[t]; F[x_] := 500 + 90*x; \{ProcFuncBIQ[F, t], t $\}$ Out[3184]= \{True, "Function"\}
It should be noted that this procedure is correctly executed only on objects of the above
type provided that they have the single definitions, otherwise returning theFalse. The procedure along with standard means uses also our meansHeadingQ, HeadPF, HowAct, PureDefinition andSuffPref that are considered in the present book and in our previous books [32,33].
As it was already noted above, in general case between procedures of types "Module" and"Block" exist principal enough distinctions which don’t allow a priori to consider a block structure as a full procedure. Such distinctions are based on various used mechanisms of local variables as it was visually illustrated with examples slightly above. It is possible to give more complex examples of similar distinctions [30-33]. Therefore the type of a procedure should be chosen rather circumspectly, giving preference to procedures of the type"Module". Therefore, theBlockToModule procedure can be usefull enough, whose callBlockToModule[x] returnsNull, providing converting of a procedure of the type"Block" into procedure of the type"Module". The fragment below represents source code of theBlockToModule procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2468] := BlockToModule[x_Symbol] := Module[\{b, c, d, h=\{\}, k=1, n, m, a = Definition2[x]\}, If[ListQ[a] \&\& a[[1]] == "System" || UnevaluatedQ[Definition2, x], \$Failed, $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{a}[[-1]]$; ClearAllAttributes[x]; c = a[[1 ;;-2]]; d = Flatten[\{HeadPF[x]\}]; For[k, $k$ <= Length[d], $k++,\{n, m\}=\{c[[k]], d[[k]]\} ;$ If[SuffPref[n, $\{\mathbf{m}<>$ " := Block[\{", m <> " = Block[\{"\}, 1], AppendTo[h, StringReplace[n, "Block[\{"-> "Module[\{", 1]], AppendTo[h, n]]l; Map[ToExpression, h]; SetAttributes[x, b]l]
$\operatorname{In}[2469]:=\mathrm{V}\left[\mathbf{x} \_\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}, \mathbf{x}^{*}(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})\right] ; \mathbf{V}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \mathbf{y}]:=\operatorname{Block}[\{ \}, \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}] ; \mathbf{V}\left[\mathrm{x} \_\right]$ $:=\{\mathbf{x}\}$
In[2470]:= Options[V] = \{agn-> 67, asv-> 47\};
SetAttributes[V, \{Protected, Listable\}]
In[2471]:= Definition2[V]
Out[2471]= \{"V[x_]:= Module[\{a, b\}, $\left.\mathrm{x}^{*}(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})\right]$ ", "V[x_, $\mathrm{y} \_$]:= $\operatorname{Block[\{ \} ,~x+y]",~}$ "V[x__]:= \{x\}", "Options[V]= \{agn-> 67, asv-> 47\}", \{Listable, Protected \}\}
In[2472]:= BlockToModule[V]
In[2473]:= Definition2[V]
Out[2473]= \{"V[x_]:=Module[\{a, b\}, $\left.\mathrm{x}^{*}(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})\right]$ ], "V[x_, y_]:=Module[\{\}, x+y]", "V[x__]:= \{x\}", "Options[V]= \{agn-> 67, asv-> 47\}", \{Listable, Protected\}\}
In[2474]:= G[x_] := Block[\{\}, $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\wedge 2] ; ~ G\left[x \_, ~ y \_\right] ~=~ B l o c k[\{ \}, ~} x^{*} y\right] ;$ G[x__] := Block[\{\}, \{x\}]
In[2475]:= Options[G] = \{ian-> 80, rans-> 480\};
SetAttributes[G, \{Protected, Listable\}]
In[2476]:= Definition2[G]
Out[2476]= \{"G[x_]:=Block[\{\},x^2]", "G[x_, y_]=x*y", "G[x__]:=Block[\{\}, \{x\}]", "Options[G]= \{ian-> 80, rans-> 480\}", \{Listable, Protected\}\}
In[2477]:= BlockToModule[G]; Definition2[G]
Out[2477]= \{"G[x_]:=Module[\{\}, x^2]", "G[x_, y_]=x*y", "G[x__]:=Module[\{\}, \{x\}]", "Options[G]= \{ian-> 80, rans-> 480\}", \{Listable, Protected\}\}
The call BlockToModule[x] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, simultaneously with converting of a procedurex ofblock type into the procedure ofmodular type of the same name with preservation of all attributes and options of a source procedure of block type. Moreover,
several definitions ofmodules,blocks or/ andfunctions also can be associated with an objectx, however the procedure callBlockToModule[x] provides converting only ofblock components of the objectx intomodular structures. The above examples quite visually illustrate the aforesaid.
Due to the mechanism of the global variables used by blocks and modules it is necessary to make certain explanations. In this context it is possible to distinguish two types of global variables- passive andactive ones. Passive global variables are characterized by that, they are only used by an object, without changing their values outside of the object. While the assignment of values by means of operators \{":=","="\} foractive global variables is done in an object body, changing their values and outside of the object. In view of the above the active global variables are of interest at processing of blocks and modules, and procedures in general. A number of our means processing the objects of this type whose definitions contain the active global variables consider the specified circumstance, carrying out processing of objects of the type \{"Module","Block"\} so that not to change values ofactive global variables used by them outside of their scope. In this relation the proceduresBlockQ, ModuleQ,BlockFuncModQ,BlockModQ given below are rather indicative.

The call BlockFuncModQ[x] returnsTrue, if $\boldsymbol{x}$ - a symbol defining a typical (with heading) function, block or module, andFalse otherwise. While the call
BlockFuncModQ $[x, y]$ on condition of the main return ofTrue through the $2 n d$ optional argumenty -an undefinite variable- returns type of an objectx in the context of
\{"Block","Function", "Module"\}. On the other hand, the call BlockModQ[x] returnsTrue, ifx - symbol defining a block or module, and False otherwise. Whereas the callBlockModQ $[x, y]$ on condition of the main return ofTrue through optional argumenty -an undefinite variable- returns type of an objectx in the context of \{"Block","Module"\}. The fragment below submits source codes of the proceduresBlockModQ andBlockFuncModQ along with the most typical examples of their usage.
In[2612]:= BlockFuncModQ[x_, y__] := Module[\{b, c,
$\mathbf{a}=$ Flatten [\{PureDefinition[x] $\}][[1]]\}$,
If[MemberQ[ \{\$Failed, "System"\}, a], False, b = StringSplit[a, \{" := ", "= "\}, 2]; If[StringFreeQ[b[[1]], "["], False, c = If[SuffPref[b[[2]], "Module[\{", 1], "Module", If[SuffPref[b[[2]], "Block[\{", 1], "Block", "Function"]]; If[\{y\}!= \{\}\&\&! HowAct[y], y = c]; True]l]
In[2613] := M[x_, y_] := Module[\{a = 80, b=480\}, $\left.\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{b}\right]$;
F[x_] := x; B[_]:= Block[\{\}, x]
In[2614]:= \{BlockFuncModQ[M, y], y\}
Out[2614] = \{True, "Module" $\}$
In[2615]:= \{BlockFuncModQ[F, y1], y1 \}
Out[2615]= \{True, "Function"\}
In[2616]:= \{BlockFuncModQ[B, y2], y2\}
Out[2616]= \{True, "Block"\}
In[2639]:= BlockModQ[x_, y__] := Module[\{s = FromCharacterCode[6], a =
Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}][[1]], b, c\},

If[MemberQ[ \{\$Failed, "System"\}, a], False, b = StringReplace[a, \{" := "-> s, " = "-> s\}, 1]; b = StringTake[b, \{Flatten[StringPosition[b, s]][[1]] + 1,-1\}]; c = If[SuffPref[b, "Module[\{", 1], "Module", If[SuffPref[b, "Block[\{", 1], "Block"]]; If $[\{\mathbf{y}\}!=\{ \} \& \&!$ HowAct[y], $\mathbf{y}=\mathrm{c}] ;$ If[c === Null, False, True] $]$ ]
In[2640]:= \{BlockModQ[M, y3], y3\}
Out[2640]= \{True, "Module" $\}$
In[2641]:= \{BlockModQ[F, y4], y4\}
Out[2641]= \{False, Null\}
In[2642]:= \{BlockModQ[B, y5], y5\}
Out[2642]= \{True, "Block" $\}$
From the aforesaid follows, at programming of the means that manipulate with objects of the type \{"Block", "Module"\} and which use global variables, it is necessary to consider possibility, what in the course of the call of these means for their global variables the assignments are done what can conflict with values of variables of the same name which have been received in the current session earlier. Naturally, in general, that isn't so essential for the reason that by a call of such objects, theglobal variables used by them and so will receive values if is being not envisaged the contrary. In order to avoid possible misunderstanding a procedure has to provide saving of values of global variables which have been received by them up to the procedure call with restoration them at exit from the procedure. Simple example illustrates a mechanism of saving of values of a variabley of the current session that is used as global variable of a simple procedure $\mathbf{K r}$, namely: In[2495]:= Kr[x_] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{y}\}, \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{5 0 0} ; \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{y}+\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{b}\}[[1]]]$ $\operatorname{In}[2496]:=\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{4 2 ;}$ \{ $\mathbf{K r [ 1 0 0 ] , ~ y \}}$
Out[2496]= \{690, 42\}
Functions of the Mathematica system have a number of interesting means for support of work with dynamic objects. We recall that dynamic module DynamicModule[\{x,y, z, $\ldots\}, W]$ represents an object that supports the same local status for variables $x, y, z, \ldots$ in the course of evaluation of all dynamic objects of a $W$ body. The variables specified inDynamicModule by default have values throughout all current session. At that, the dynamic object can act not only directly as an expression, but also, in particular, as coordinate in a graphic primitive, as an object of type"slider", as a setting for an option. Meanwhile, unlike thestandard module thedynamic module directly doesn't allow to receive its definition by the standardDefinition function, only our proceduresDefinition2 andPureDefinition allow to solve this problem as it illustrates the following fragment, namely:

In[2760] := Dm[x_, y_/; PrimeQ[y]] := DynamicModule[\{a = 90, b = 500\}, a + b*(x + y)]; Definition[Dm]

Out[2760]= Dm[x_ y_/; PrimeQ[y]]:= a\$\$ + b\$\$ (x+ y)
In[2761]:= Definition2[Dm]
Out[2761]= \{"Dm[x_, y_/; PrimeQ[y]]:= DynamicModule[\{a=90, b=500\}, $\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} *(\mathrm{x}+$ y)]", \{\}\}

In[2762]:= PureDefinition[Dm]
Out[2762]= "Dm[x_, y_/; PrimeQ[y]]:= DynamicModule[\{a=90, b=500\}, a+ b* (x+ y)]"

In[2799]:= ModuleQ[x_Symbol, y /; y == Null || SymbolQ[y] \&\& ! HowAct[y]] := Module[\{a = PureDefinition[x], b\},
If[ListQ[a] ||a == "System" || $\mathbf{a}===$ \$Failed, False, $\mathbf{b}=$ HeadPF[x]; If[SuffPref[a, b <> ":= " <> "Module[\{", 1], If[\{y\}!= \{\}, y = "Module"]; True,
If[SuffPref[a, b <>" := " <> "DynamicModule[\{", 1], If[\{y\}!= \{\}, y= "DynamicModule"]; True, False]II]

```
In[2800] := {ModuleQ[Dm, t], t }
Out[2800]= {True, "DynamicModule"}
{True, "Module"}
Out[2802] = {False, t2}
In[2803]:= {ModuleQ[Sin, t2], t2}
Out[2803]= {False, t2}
In[2804]:= {ModuleQ[500, t2], t2}
Out[2804]= {ModuleQ[500, t2], t2}
```

In[2801]:= V[x_] := Module[\{a, b\}, $\left.\mathbf{x}^{*}(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})\right] ;$ \{ModuleQ[V, t1], t1\} Out[2801]=
In[2802]:= V[x_, y_] := Block[\{\}, x + y]; V[x_] := \{x\}; \{ModuleQ[V, t2], t2\}

The rather useful ModuleQ procedure completes the given fragment whose callModule $\mathrm{Q}[x]$ returnsTrue if an objectx, given by a symbol, is a module, andFalse otherwise; while the callModuleQ $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ with the second optional argumenty -an undefinite variable- throughy returns module typex in the context \{"Module", "DynamicModule"\}. At that, the procedure call on a tuple of incorrect actual arguments is returned unevaluated. In other cases the call ModuleQ[x,y] returns theFalse. The procedure along with standard means uses also our meansHeadPF, HowAct, PureDefinition, SymbolQ, SuffPref that are considered in this book and in [32,33]. Meanwhile, several essential enough moments concerning theModuleQ procedure should be noted. First of all, theModuleQ procedure is oriented on a modular object $\boldsymbol{x}$ which has single definition, returningFalse on the objects of the same name. Moreover, the procedure algorithm assumes that the definition of a modular objectx is based on the operator ofpostponed assignment":=", but not on the operator "=" of theimmediate assignment because in the latter case the objectx will be distinguished by the standardDefinition function and our testing means as a function. In our opinion, theModuleQ is rather useful in programming of various type of problems and first of all the system character.

For testing of objects onto procedural type we proposed a number of means among which it is possible to note such asProcQ, ProcQ1, ProcQ2. The call ProcQ[x] provides testing of an objectx be as a procedural object \{"Module", "Block"\}, returning accordinglyTrue orFalse; whereas theProcQ1 procedure is a useful enough modification of theProcQ procedure, its callProcQ1[x, t] returnsTrue, ifx - an object of typeBlock,Module orDynamicModule, and "Others" orFalse otherwise; at that, the type of objectx is returned through the actual argumentt -an undefinite variable. Source codes of the mentioned procedures, their description along with the most typical examples of their application are presented in our books [30-33] and inAVZ_Package package [48]. A number of receptions used at their creation can be useful enough in practical programming. The aboveProcQ procedure is quite fast, processes attributes and options,
however has certain restrictions, first of all, in case of objects of the same name [33]. The fragment below represents source codes of both procedures along with typical examples of their usage.

In[2492]:= ProcQ[x_] := Module[\{a, atr = Quiet[Attributes[x]], b, c, d, h\}, If[! SymbolQ[x], False, If[SystemQ[x], False,
If[UnevaluatedQ[Definition2, x], False, If[ListQ[atr] \&\& atr != \{\},
ClearAllAttributes[x]]; a = Quiet[SubsDel[ToString[InputForm[Definition[x]]], """ <> ToString[x] <> "", \{"[", ",", " "\},-1]]; Quiet[b = StringTake[a, \{1, First[ First[StringPosition[a, \{" := Block[\{"," :=Block[\{"\}]-1]]\}]; c = StringTake[a, \{1, First[

First[StringPosition[a, \{" := Module[\{"," :=Module[\{"\}]-1]]\}]; d = StringTake[a, \{1, First[First[StringPosition[a, \{":= DynamicModule[\{"," :=DynamicModule[\{"\}]-1]]\}]]; If[b === ToString[HeadPF[x]], SetAttributes[x, atr]]; True, If[c === ToString[HeadPF[x]], SetAttributes[x, atr]]; True, If[d === ToString[HeadPF[x]], SetAttributes[x, atr]]; True, SetAttributes[x, atr]]; False]]l]I]]
In[2493]:= Map[ProcQ, \{Sin, a + b, ProcQ1, ProcQ, 73, UnevaluatedQ\}] Out[2493]= \{False, False, True, True, False, True\}
In[2620] := ProcQ1[x_, y__/; y == Null || SymbolQ[y] \& \& ! HowAct[y]] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ Quiet[Check[Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x] $\}$ ], \$Failed]], $\mathbf{b}=$
StringLength[ToString[x]], c, g= ToString[Unique["agn"]l, $h=\{ \}, p=\$ \$ 72, k=1, t$ $=\{ \}\}$, If[SubsetQ[\{\$Failed, "System"\}, a], False, For[k, k <= Length[a], k++,
Clear[\$\$\$72]; ToExpression[g <> StringTake[a[[k]], \{b + 1,-1\}]]; AppendTo[h, c = ProcQ[g]]; BlockFuncModQ[g, \$\$72]; AppendTo[t, If[c \&\& \$\$72 == "Function", "DynamicModule", \$\$\$72]]; Clear[g]; g = ToString[Unique["agn"]ll]; \$\$\$72 = p; Clear["\$\$\$72", g]; If[\{y\}!= \{\}, y=\{h, t\}, Null]; If[DeleteDuplicates[h] == \{True\}, True, False]]] In[2621]:= V[x_] := Module[\{a, b\}, $\mathbf{x *}(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})] ; \mathbf{V}\left[\mathbf{x}_{-}, y_{]}\right]:=$Block[\{\}, $\mathbf{x}$ $+y] ;$ V[x_] := \{x\}; \{ProcQ1[V, y], y $\}$

Out[2621]= \{False, \{\{True, True, False\}, \{"Module", "Block", "Function"\}\}\}
In[2622]:= G[x_] := Module[\{a=73\}, $\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{\wedge}$ 2]; G[x_, y_]:= Module[\{\}, $\left.\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}\right] ; \mathbf{G}\left[\mathbf{x}_{\_}\right]$ := Module[\{a = 90, b = 500\}, Length[\{x\}]+ a*b]; \{ProcQ1[G, u], u\} Out[2622]= \{True, \{\{True, True, True\}, \{"Module", "Module", "Module"\}\}\} In[2686]:=
ProcBMQ[x_/; BlockModQ[x], y__] :=
Module[\{a, b, c = " = ", d, p\}, If[! SingleDefQ[x],
"Object <" <> ToString[x] <> "> has multiple definitions", If[ModuleQ[x], True, \{a, b $\}=\{$ PureDefinition[x], Locals1[x] $\} ; \mathbf{d}=$ Map[\#[[1]]-1 \&, StringPosition[a, c]]; p = Map[ExprOfStr[a, \#,-1, \{" ", "\{", "["\}] \&, d]; p =
DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[Map[StrToList, p]]]; If[\{y\}!= \{\}, y = MinusList[b, p], Null]; If[p== b, True, False] ]I]
$\operatorname{In}[2687]:=\mathbf{P}\left[\mathrm{x} \_\right]$:= Block[\{a = 90, $\left.\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{5 0 0}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g}\right\}, \mathbf{h}=(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})^{*} \mathbf{x} ; \mathbf{h}{ }^{\wedge}$ 2];
\{ProcBMQ[P, q], q\}
Out[2687]= \{False, \{""", "d", "g"\}\}
$\operatorname{In}[2688]:=\mathrm{T}[\mathrm{x}]$ ] := Block[\{a=6, $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{8 , c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{g}\},\{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{g}\}=\{1,2,3,4\}] ;$
\{ProcBMQ[T, v], v\}

Out[2688]= \{True, $\}\}$
In[2689]:= G[x_] := Block[\{a, b\}, x]; G[x_, y_] := Block[\{a, b\}, x + y]; ProcBMQ[G] Out[2689]= "Object<G> has multiple definitions"
In[2690]:= SingleDefQ[x_] := If[ListQ[PureDefinition[x]] || MemberQ[ \{\$Failed, "System"\}, PureDefinition[x]], False, True]
In[2691] := G[x_] := Block[\{\}, x]; G[x_, y_] := Block[\{a\}, x*y]; SingleDefQ[G] Out[2691]= False
In[2692]:= a[x_] := x; a[x_, y_]:= x/y; Map[SingleDefQ, \{73, c/b, If, ProcQ, a \}] Out[2692]= \{False, False, False, True, False $\}$
In this context we created the ProcQ1 procedure that generalizes theProcQ procedure, first of all, in case of the objects of the same name. The previous fragment represents source code of theProcQ1 procedure with examples of its most typical application. The callProcQ1[x] returnsTrue if the symbolx defines a procedural object of the type \{Block,Module,DynamicModule\} with unique definition along with an object consisting of their any combinations with different headings(the objects of the same name). Moreover, in case of a separate object or an objectx of the same nameTrue is returned only when all its components is procedural objects in the sense stated above, i.e. they have a type \{Block,DynamicModule,Module\}. Meanwhile, the procedure call ProcQ1[x, y] with the2nd optional argumenty -an undefinite variable- thru it returns simple or the nested list of the following format, namely:
$\{\{a 1, a 2, a 3, a 4, \ldots, a p\},\{b 1, b 2, b 3, b 4, \ldots, b p\}\}$
where $\boldsymbol{a j}^{\boldsymbol{j}}\{$ True,False $\}$ whereasbj ${ }^{\epsilon}\{$ "Block", "DynamicModule", "Function", "Module"\}; at that, between elements of the above sublists exists one-to-one correspondence while pairs $\{\boldsymbol{a j}, \boldsymbol{b j}\}(\boldsymbol{j}=\mathbf{1 . . p})$ correspond to subobjects of the objectx according to their order as a result of the callDefinition[ $x$ ].

The ProcQ1 procedure is rather widely used and is useful enough in many appendices, it differs from the previousProcQ procedure in the following context, namely:(1)quite successfully processes the objects of the same name, (2) defines procedurality in case of the objects of the same name, whose subobjects are blocks or functions. The procedure along with standard means significantly uses as well our means such asHowAct,
PureDefinition, SymbolQ, ProcQ, BlockFuncModQ that are considered in the present book and in [28-33]. At last, the above fragment is completed by theProcBMQ procedure whose callProcBMQ[x] with one argument returnsTrue, if a block or a modulex - areal procedure in the above context, andFalse otherwise; the procedure call ProcBMQ[x,y] with the second optional argumenty -an undefinite variable- returns thru it the list of local variables of the blockx in string format which have no initial values or for which in a body of the blockx the assignments of values weren't made. We will note, theProcBMQ procedure is oriented only on one-defined objects whose definitions are unique while the message "Object $<\boldsymbol{x}>$ has multiple definitions" is returned on objects $\boldsymbol{x}$ of the same name. The procedure along with standard means uses also our meansExprOfStr, BlockModQ, ModuleQ, PureDefinition, Locals1, SingleDefQ, MinusList, StrToList that are considered in this book and in [30-33]. In particular, the procedure significantly uses rather simple and very useful function, whose callSingleDefQ[x] returnsTrue if the actual
argumentx defines a name of a procedure, a block or a function having single definition; in other cases the function call returnsFalse. The above fragment contains source code of the SingleDefQ function with the most typical examples of its application. In addition to our means testing procedural objects, we will note the simple procedure, whose callUprocQ[x] returnsFalse if an objectx isn't procedure or is object of the same name, and the2-element list otherwise; in this case itsfirst element isTrue while thesecond- a type \{"DynamicModule"|"Block"| "Module"\} of the objectx. On functions the2-element list of the format \{False, "Function"\} is returned. On inadmissible factual argumentx the procedure call is returned unevaluated. The following fragment represents source code of the UprocQ procedure along with typical examples of its application.

## In[2515]:= UprocQ[x_/; SymbolQ[x]] := Module[\{a = Unique["agn"], b\}, If[SingleDefQ[x], b = ProcQ1[x, a]; \{b, a[[2]][[1]]]\}, False]]

In[2516] := a[x_] := x^3; Dm[] := DynamicModule[\{x\}, \{Slider[Dynamic[x]], Dynamic[x] $\}$ ]; P[x_] := Block[\{a = 90, b = 500, h $\}, \mathrm{h}=\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{b}^{*}$; $\mathbf{h}^{\wedge}$ 2]
In[2517]:= Map[UprocQ, \{ProcQ, P, Dm, 73, a \}]
Out[2517]= \{\{True, "Module"\}, \{True, "Block"\}, \{True, "DynamicModule"\}, UprocQ[73], \{False, "Function"\}\}

Having considered the main means of testing of procedural objects that are absent among standard means of theMathematica system it is reasonable to consider the means similar to them for testing of thefunctional objects where under functional means we will understand objects whose definitions have the following format, namely:
$\boldsymbol{F}\left[x_{-} / ;\right.$Test $_{x}, y_{-} / ;$Test $_{y}, z_{-} / ;$Test $\left._{z}, \ldots\right]:=W(x, y, z, \ldots)$ or pure functions of one of the following formats, namely:

Function[ Body]or short formBody\&(formal arguments\#(\#1), \#2, \#3,etc.) Function[x, Body]-a pure function with single formal argumentx Function[\{x1, x2, ...\},Body]-a pure function with formal arguments $\{x 1, x 2, \ldots\}$

We will give some simple examples onto these types of functions, namely:
$\operatorname{In}[2325]:=\mathrm{y}:=$ Function[\{x, y\}, x + y]; y1 = Function[\{x, y\}, x + y]; z := \#1 + \#2 \&;
z1 = \#1 + \#2 \&; F[x_, y_]:= x + y
In[2326]:= \{y[80, 480], y1[80, 480], z[80, 480], z1[80, 480], F[80, 480]\}
Out[2326]= $\{560,560,560,560,560\}$
On objects of the above functional type the calls of procedures ProcQ1 and ProcQ returnFalse, therefore for testing of functional type and other means considered below are offered. However, first of all, we will consider means testing the system functions, i.e. functions of theMath-language along with its environment. By and large, these system tools are called byfunctions not entirely correctly, because implementation of many of them is based on the procedural organization, meanwhile, we stopped on the given terminology, inherent actually to the system. And in this regard it is possible to present means of testing of the system functions, besides that, the testing of objects regarding to be standard functions of theMathematica system in a number of important enough problems arises need. In this regard a simple enough functionSysFuncQ solves the given problem; its callSysFuncQ[x] returns True if an objectx is astandard function of
theMathematica system andFalse otherwise; whereas simpleSysFuncQ1 function is a functionally equivalent modification of the previousSysFuncQ procedure. The following fragment represents source codes of the above means with examples of their usage.
In[2419]:= SysFuncQ[x_] := If[UnevaluatedQ[Definition2, x], False,

> If[SameQ[Definition2[x][[1]], "System"], True, False]] In[2420]:= Map[SysFuncQ, \{Sin, Tan, While, If, Do, ProcQ, 6, Length, a/b\}] Out[2420]= \{True, True, True, True, True, False, False, True, False\} In[3037]:= SysFuncQ1[x_] :=
> MemberQ[Names["System"*"], ToString[x]]

In[3038]:= Map[SysFuncQ1, \{Sin, Tan, While, If, Do, ProcQ, 6, Length, a/b\}] Out[3038]= \{True, True, True, True, True, False, False, True, False \}

We will consider means of testing of the user functional objects, the first of which is the procedureQFunction that is the most general means of testing of objectsx of the functional type, whose callQFunction $[x]$ returnsTrue on a traditional functionx and $x$-objects, generated by the functionCompile, andFalse otherwise. At that, the construction of format $\mathbf{J}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}, \ldots\right]\{:=\mid=\} \boldsymbol{J}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \ldots)$ is understood as the traditional function. The fragment represents source code of theQFunction procedure with examples of its usage. At that, the given procedure along with standard means uses and our means such as HeadPF,Definition2, SymbolQ, Map3, SuffPref, ToString1 andToString3 that are considered in the present book and in [28-33]. In particular, simple ToString3 function is presented right there and its callToString3[x] serves for converting of an expression $\boldsymbol{x}$ in stringInputForm format. This function has a number of useful enough appendices.
In[2393]:= QFunction[x_] := Module[\{a = Quiet[Definition2[x][[1]]], b = ToString3[HeadPF[x]]\},
If[! SingleDefQ[x], False, If[SameQ[a, x], False, If[SuffPref[Quiet[ToString1[a]], "CompiledFunction[", 1], True, If[SuffPref[b, "HeadPF[", 1], False, b = Map3[StringJoin, b, \{" := ", " = "\}]; If[MemberQ[\{SuffPref[StringReplace[a, b -> ""], "Module[", 1], SuffPref[StringReplace[a, b -> ""], "Block[", 1]\}, True], False, True]IIIII
In[2394] := V := Compile[\{\{x,_Real\}, \{y,_Real\}\}, x/y]; Kr := (\#1^2 + \#2^4) \&; Art := Function[\{x, y\}, $\left.x^{*} \operatorname{Sin}[y]\right] ;$ GS[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]] := Sin[75] + $\operatorname{Cos}[42] ; \operatorname{Sv}\left[x \_/ ;\right.$IntegerQ[x], $y_{-} /$; IntegerQ[y]] := $x^{\wedge 2+y^{\wedge} 2 ; S:=C o m p i l e[\{\{x, ~}$ _Integer $\},\{\mathbf{y}, \quad$ Real $\}\},(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})^{\wedge} 3$ ];
In[2395] := Map[QFunction, \{V, S, Art, Kr, Pi, 42.72, GS, Sv\}] Out[2395]= \{True, True, False, False, False, False, True, True\}
In[2396]:= G[x_Integer, y_Real, z_Real] := $\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{z}$
In[2397]:= Map[QFunction, $\left\{\# 1^{*} \# 2^{*} \# 3\right.$ \& , Function[\{x,y,z\}, $\left.\left.\left.\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{z}\right], G, P r o c Q\right\}\right]$
Out[2397]= \{False, False, True, False $\}$
In[2571]:= ToString3[x_] := StringReplace[ToString1[x], """ -> ""] In[2576]:=
ToString3[\#1^2 + \#2^4 \& ]
Out[2576]= "\#1^2+ \#2^4\& "
In[2642] := QFunction1[x_] := Module[\{a, c = ToString[Unique["agn"]l], b, p, d=\{\}, $\mathbf{k}=1\}$, If[UnevaluatedQ[Definition2, x], False, If[SysFuncQ[x], False, a =

Definition2[x][[If[Options[x] == \{\}, 1 ;; -2, 1 ;; -3]]]; For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, p = c <> ToString[k]; ToExpression[p <> a[[k]]l; AppendTo[d, If[QFunction[b = p <> ToString[x]], True, False]]; ToExpression["ClearAll[" <> b <> "]"]]; Clear[c]; If[DeleteDuplicates[d] == \{True\}, True, False]]l] In[2643]:= F[x_]:= $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2 ;}$ F[x_, y_] =
 _Real\}\}, ( $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})^{\wedge}$ 2];
In[2644] := Map[QFunction1, \{"Sin", "F", "Art", "V", "Kr", "GS", "Sv", "S"\}] Out[2644]= \{False, True, False, True, False, True, True, True \}
In[2645]:= G[x_] := x; SetAttributes[G, Protected];
\{ QFunction[G], QFunction1["G"]\} Out[2645]= \{True, True\}
In[2646]:= \{Map[QFunction, \{Art, Kr\}], Map[QFunction1, \{"Art", "Kr"\}]\}
Out[2646] $=$ \{ $\{$ False, False $\}$, $\{$ False, False $\}\}$
In[2647]:= Sv[x_] := x; Sv[x_, y_] := x+y; \{QFunction[Sv], QFunction1["Sv"]\} Out[2647]= \{False, True \}

However, the QFunction procedure, successfully testing functional objects which are determined both by the traditional functions with headings and generated by the standardCompile function doesn't processpure functions; at that, this procedure doesn't process also thefunctional objects of the same name as visually illustrate the last example of the previous fragment. While theQFunction1 procedure solves the given problem, whose source code is represented in the second part of the previous fragment. The procedure call QFunction1[x] returnsTrue on a traditional functionx and an objectx, that has been generated by theCompile function, andFalse otherwise; moreover, on an objectx of the same nameTrue is returned only if all its components are traditional functions and/or are generated by theCompile function. At that, the callQFunction1 $[x]$ assumes coding of factual $x$ argument in string format. Both procedures enough effectively process options and attributes of the tested objects. Meanwhile, both theQFunction1 procedure, and the QFunction procedure can't correctly test, generally speaking,pure functions as quite visually illustrate examples of the previous fragment.

Along with the above types of functions the Mathematica system uses also theCompile function intended for compilation of functions which calculate numerical expressions at certain assumptions. TheCompile function has the following four formats of coding, each of which is oriented on separate type of compilation, namely:

Compile[ \{x1, x2, ...\},J]-compiles a function for calculation of an expressionJin the assumption that all values of arguments $\boldsymbol{x}_{j}\{\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1 , 2 , \ldots}\}$ have numerical character; Compile[\{\{x1, t1\}, \{x2, t2\}, \{x3, t3\}, ...\},J]-compiles a function for calculation of an expressionJin the assumption that all values of argumentsxjhave accordingly typeti $\{\boldsymbol{j}=\mathbf{1}$, 2, 3, ...\};
Compile[\{\{x1,p1,w1\}, \{x2,p2,w2\}, ...\},J]-compiles a function for calculation of an expressionJin the assumption that values of argumentsxjare rankswjof an array of objects,each of which corresponds to apjtype $\{\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, 3, \ldots\}$; Compile[s,J, $\{\{\boldsymbol{p 1}, p w 1\}$, $\{\{p 2, p w 2\}, \ldots\}]$-compiles a function for calculation of an expressionJin the assumption that its subexpressionsswhich correspond to the pjtemplates have thepwitypes accordingly $\{j=1,2,3, \ldots\}$.

The Compile function processes procedural and functional objects, matrix operations, numerical functions, functions of work with lists, etc.Compile function generates a special objectCompiledFunction. The callCompile[..., Evaluate[exp]] is used to specify thatexp should be evaluated symbolically before compilation.

For testing of this type of functions a rather simple CompileFuncQ function can be supposed whose callCompileFuncQ[x] returnsTrue ifx represents a Compile function, andFalse otherwise. The following fragment represents source code of the function with the most typical examples of its usage.

In[2367] := V := Compile[\{\{x,_Real\}, \{y,_Real\}\}, $\left.\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{\wedge} 2\right] ;$ Kr := (\#1*\#2^4) \&; Art := Function[\{x, y\}, $\left.\mathbf{x}^{*} \operatorname{Sin}[y]\right] ; \mathbf{H}\left[x_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Block}\left[\{ \}, x^{\prime}\right] ; \mathbf{H}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}\right]:=x+y ;$
SetAttributes["H", Protected]; P[x_]]:= Plus[Sequences[\{x\}]]; GS[x_/; IntegerQ[x], $y_{-} /$; IntegerQ[y]] := Sin[78] + Cos[42]; Sv[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]] := $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}$; Sv = Compile[\{ $\{x, \quad$ Integer $\},\{y, \quad$ Real $\left.\left.\}\right\},(x+y) \wedge 6\right] ;$
$\mathrm{S}:=$ Compile[\{ $\left\{\mathbf{x}, \_\right.$Integer $\},\left\{\mathbf{y}, \_\right.$Real $\left.\left.\}\right\},(\mathrm{x}+\mathbf{y})^{\wedge} 3\right] ; \mathrm{G}=\operatorname{Compile}\left[\left\{\left\{\mathbf{x}, \_\right.\right.\right.$Integer $\},\{\mathbf{y}$, _Real\}\}, (x + y)]; P[x_]:= Module[\{\}, x]
In[2368] := CompileFuncQ[x_] :=
If[SuffPref[ToString[InputForm[Definition2[x]]],
"Definition2[CompiledFunction[\{", 1], True, False]
In[2369] := Map[CompileFuncQ, \{Sv, S, G, V, P, Art, Kr, H, GS, ProcQ\}] Out[2369]= \{True, True, True, True, False, False, False, False, False, False\} In[2370]:=
Map[CompileFuncQ, \{80, avz, a + b, Sin, While, 42.72\}] Out[2370]= \{False, False, False, False, False, False\}
TheCompileFuncQ procedure expands possibilities of testing of functional objects in theMathematica system, representing quite certain interest, first of all, for problems of system programming.
ThePureFuncQ function presented below is oriented for testing of the pure functions, its callPureFuncQ[ $f$ ] returnsTrue iff defines apure function, and False otherwise. The fragment represents source code of the function along with examples of its typical usage.
In[2385] := PureFuncQ[f_] := Quiet[StringTake[ToString[f], $\{-3,-1\}]==$ " \& " \& \& !
StringFreeQ[ToString[f], "\#"] || SuffPref[ToString[InputForm[f]], "Function[", 1]]
In[2386] := Map[PureFuncQ, \{\#1 + \#2 \&, Function[\{x, y, z\}, x+y], G, ProcQ\}]
Out[2386]= \{True, True, False, False $\}$
In[2387]:= Map[PureFuncQ, \{Sin, F, Art, V, Kr, GS, Sv, S\}]
Out[2387]= \{False, False, True, False, True, False, False, False\} In[2388]:= Z :=
Function[ $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}, \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}]$; SetAttributes[Z, Protected] In[2389]:= \{PureFuncQ[Z],
Attributes[Z]\}
Out[2389]= \{True, $\{$ Protected $\}\}$
In[2390]:= FunctionQ[x_]:= If[StringQ[x], PureFuncQ[ToExpression[x]]||
QFunction1[x], PureFuncQ[x]||QFunction[x]
$\operatorname{In}[2391]:=$ Map[FunctionQ, $\left\{" G ", " P r o c Q ", " F u n c t i o n\left[\{x, y, z\}, \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{z}\right]\right.$ ", "\#1 + \#2*\#3 \&"\}]
Out[2391]= \{True, False, True, True \}

In[2392]:= Map[FunctionQ, \{"V","S","Art","Kr","Pi","42.72","GS","Sv","F"\}] Out[2392]= \{True, True, True, True, False, False, True, True, True\}
In[2393]:= Map[QFunction, \{V, S, Art, Kr, Pi, 42.72, GS, Sv, F\}] Out[2393]= \{True, True, False, False, False, False, True, True, True\}
The simple enough FunctionQ function completes the previous fragment; its callFunctionQ[x] returnsTrue if an objectx is a function of any type of both traditional, and pure, andFalse otherwise. In addition, the namex of an object can be coded both in symbolical, and in string formats; in the second case correct testing of an objectx is supported, permitting multiplicity of its definitions, i.e. the objectx can be of the same name in the above-mentioned sense. It must be kept in mind that the means of testing that are represented above refers to the testing means of the user functions, and aren't intended for standard functions of theMathematica system, returning on them, as a rule,False. So, a number of means for the differentiated identification of the user functions of and traditional, and pure functions has been determined, in particular, procedures and functionsFunctionQ, QFunction, QFunction1 andPureFuncQ respectively. Thus, these means provide strictdifferentiation of such basic element offunctional andprocedural programming, asfunction. These and means similar to them are useful enough in applied and system programming in the environment of theMathematica system.
Meantime, here it is necessary to make one very essential remark once again. As it was already noted, unlike the majority of the known languagesMathlanguage identifies procedures and functions not on their names, but on the headings, allowing not only the procedures of the same name with different headings,but also their combinations with functions. Therefore the question of testing of program objects in context of type
\{Procedure, Function\} isn't so unambiguous. The means of testing presented above \{ProcQ, QFunction1, FunctionQ,PureFuncQ,etc.\} allow as argumentx an object or only with one heading or thefirst object returned by the system callDefinition $[x]$ as it was illustrated above. At that, for on objects of the same name the calls of a series of means, considered above returnTrue only in a case when the definitions composing them are associated with subobjects of the same type.
In this connection it is very expedient to define some testing procedure that determines belonging of an objectx to a group \{Block, CompiledFunction, Function, Module, PureFunction, ShortPureFunction\}. As one of similar approaches it is possible to offer procedure, whose callProcFuncTypeQ[x] returns the list of format $\{$ True, $\{t 1, t 2, \ldots, t p\}\}$ if a simple objectx or subobjects of an objectx of the same name whose namex is coded in string format have the typestij from the set
\{CompiledFunction,PureFunction,ShortPureFunction, Block,Function,Module\}, otherwise the list of format \{False, $\boldsymbol{x}$, "Expression" $\}$ or $\{$ False, $\boldsymbol{x}$, "System" $\}$ is returned. In the case of an objectr of the same name a sublist of types $\{\mathbf{t 1}, \boldsymbol{t 2}, \ldots, t \boldsymbol{p}\}(\boldsymbol{j}=\mathbf{1} . . \boldsymbol{p})$ of subobjects composingx is returned; whereas "System" and"Expression" determines a system functionx and an expressionx respectively. So, theProcFuncTypeQ procedure can be applied as a group test for belonging of an objectx to the above types. The following fragment represents source code of theProcFuncTypeQ procedure with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2528]:= ProcFuncTypeQ[x_/; StringQ[x]] := Module[\{a, b, d=\{\}, k=1, p\},

If[ShortPureFuncQ[x], \{True, "ShortPureFunction"\}, If[SuffPref[x, "Function[\{", 1], \{True, "PureFunction"\}, If[UnevaluatedQ[Definition2, x], \{False, x, "Expression"\},
If[SysFuncQ[x], \{False, x, "System"\},
a = Definition2[x][[If[Options[x] == \{\}, 1 ;;-2, 1 ;;-3]]]; For[k, k <= Length[a], k++,
b = Flatten[\{HeadPF[x] \}]; b = Flatten[Map[Map3[StringJoin, \#, $\{":=", "="\}]$ \&, b]l; p = StringReplace[a[[k]], GenRules[b, ""], 1];
If[SuffPref[p, \{"Compile[\{", "CompiledFunction[\{"\}, 1],
AppendTo[d, "CompiledFunction"], If[SuffPref[p, "Block[\{", 1], AppendTo[d, "Block"],
If[SuffPref[p, "Module[", 1], AppendTo[d, "Module"], If[SuffPref[p, "Function[\{", 1], AppendTo[d, "PureFunction"], If[ShortPureFuncQ[p], AppendTo[d, "ShortPureFunction"], If[PureFuncQ[ToExpression[x]], AppendTo[d, "PureFunction"], AppendTo[d, "Function"][I]I]II]; \{True, d\}]I]I]

In[2529] := V := Compile[\{\{x,_Real\}, \{y,_Real\}\}, (x^3+y)^2]; Sv[x_]:=
Module[\{\}, x]; Art := Function[\{x, y\}, x*Sin[y]]; GS[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/;
IntegerQ[y]] := Sin[x] + y; Sv[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]]:=x^2+y^2; Sv = Compile[\{ $\{x, \quad$ Integer $\},\left\{y, \_\right.$Real $\left.\left.\}\right\},(x+6 * y)^{\wedge} 6\right] ;$
S := Compile[\{\{x,_Integer\}, \{y,_Real\}\}, (x+y)^3]; Kr:=(\#1*\#2^4) \&; G = Compile[\{\{x,_Integer\}, \{y,_Real\}\}, (x+y)]; H[x_]:= Block[\{a\}, x]; H[x_, y_]:=x+ y; SetAttributes["H", Protected]

In[2530]:= ProcFuncTypeQ["Sv"]
Out[2530]= \{True, \{"CompiledFunction", "Module", "Function"\}\} In[2531]:=
ProcFuncTypeQ["H"]
Out[2531]= \{True, \{"Block", "Function"\}\}
In[2532]:= ProcFuncTypeQ["G"]
Out[2532]= \{True, \{"CompiledFunction" $\}$ \}
In[2533] := A[x_, y_] := x + y; A[x_] := x; ProcFuncTypeQ["A"] Out[2533]= \{True,
\{"Function", "Function"\}\}
In[2534]:= ProcFuncTypeQ["Art"]
Out[2534]= \{True, \{"PureFunction"\}\}
In[2535]:= ProcFuncTypeQ["Function[\{x, y\}, x+y]"]
Out[2535]= \{True, "PureFunction"\}
In[2536]:= ProcFuncTypeQ["Kr"]
Out[2536]= \{True, \{"ShortPureFunction" \}\}
In[2537]:= ProcFuncTypeQ["a+g+s*\#\&"]
Out[2537]= \{True, "ShortPureFunction" $\}$
In[2538]:= ProcFuncTypeQ["GS"]
Out[2538]= \{True, \{"Function"\}\}
In[2539]:= ProcFuncTypeQ["S"]
Out[2539]= \{True, \{"CompiledFunction"\}\}
In[2543]:= ShortPureFuncQ[x_] :=
PureFuncQ[ToExpression[If[StringQ[x], x, ToString[x]]]] \&\&

StringTake[StringTrim[ToString[If[StringQ[x], ToExpression[x], ToString[x]]I], $\{-\mathbf{1},-\mathbf{1}\}]==$ " $\&$ "
In[2544] := Map[ShortPureFuncQ, \{"a+g+s*\#\&", Kr, "Kr", a + g + s*\# \& \}]
Out[2544]= \{True, True, True, True $\}$
In[2545]:= ProcFuncTypeQ["2015"]
Out[2545]= \{False, "2015", "Expression"\}
In[2546]:= ProcFuncTypeQ["While"]
Out[2546]= \{False, "While", "System"\}
Along with the ProcFuncTypeQ procedure the above fragment represents a simple and useful enough function, whose callShortPureFuncQ[x] returns True ifx determines a pure function in short format, andFalse otherwise. In, particular, this function is used by theProcFuncTypeQ procedure too. In a number of applications of both the applied, and system character which are connected withprocessing of procedures and functions theProcFuncTypeQ procedure is a rather effective testing group means.
For identification of functional objects (traditionalandpurefunctions) in the Mathematica system exist quite limited means that are based only on calls of the system functionsPart $[x, 0]$ andHead $[x]$ which return the headings of an expression $x$; at that, on pure functionsFunction is returned, whereas on the traditional functionsSymbol is returned as a simple enough fragment a rather visually illustrates, namely:
In[2905] := G[x_Integer, y_Real, z_Real] := x*y^2 + z
In[2906]:= Map[Head, $\left\{\# 1^{*} \# 2^{*} \# 3\right.$ \&, Function[\{x, y, z\}, x + y*z], G, ProcQ\}]
Out[2906]= \{Function, Function, Symbol, Symbol\}
In[2907]:= Mapp[Part, \{\#1*\#2*\#3 \&, Function[\{x, y, z\}, x*y*z], G, ProcQ\}, 0] Out[2907]= \{Function, Function, Symbol, Symbol\}
In[2908]: = Map[PureFuncQ, $\left\{\# 1^{*} \# 2\right.$ \&, Function[ $\left.\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}, x^{*} y^{*} z\right], G$, ProcQ $\left.\}\right]$ Out[2908]= \{True, True, False, False $\}$
In[2909]:= Map[QFunction, \{\#1*\#2 \&, Function[ $\left.\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}, \mathbf{x} / \mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{z}\right], \mathbf{G}$, ProcQ\}] Out[2909]= \{False, False, True, False\}
In[2910]:= Map[FunctionQ, \{\#1*\#2 \&, Function[ $\left.\left.\left.\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}, \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{z}\right], \mathbf{G}, \operatorname{ProcQ}\right\}\right]$ Out[2910] $=$ \{True, True, True, False $\}$
In[2911]:= \{m, n\}= \{\#1 + \#2*\#3 \& , Function[\{x, y\}, $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{*} \mathbf{y}]\} ;$ Map[Head, $\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\}]$
Out[2911]= \{Function, Function $\}$
In[2912]:= \{Mapp[Part, \{m, n\}, 0], Map[QFunction, \{m, n\}]\}
Out[2912]= \{\{Function, Function\}, \{False, False\}\}
In[2913]:= \{Map[FunctionQ, $\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\}]$, Map[PureFuncQ, $\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\}]\}$ Out[2913]= $\{\{$ True, True\}, \{True, True\} \}
In this context the Head2 procedure seems as an useful enough means that is a modification of theHead1 procedure and that is based on the previous ProcFuncTypeQ procedure and the standardHead function. The procedure callHead2[x] returns the heading or the type of an objectx, given in string format. In principle, thetype of an object can quite be considered as aheading in its broad understanding. TheHead2 procedure serves to such problem generalizing the standardHead function and returning the heading of an expressionx in the context of \{Block, CompiledFunction, Function, Module, PureFunction, ShortPureFunction, Symbol, System,Head[x]\}. The examples of use of
both means on the same list of the tested objects that in a number of cases confirm preference of theHead2 procedure are given as a comparison. The following fragment represents source code of theHead2 procedure and the most typical examples of its use. Whereas theHead3 function presented here expands the system functionHead and our proceduresHead1, Head2 upon condition, that a tested expressionx is considered apart from the sign; distinction is visually illustrated by results of the call of these means on the identical actual arguments. In general, the function callHead3[x] is similar to the procedure callHead1[x].
In[2651]:= Head2[x_] := Module[\{b,
a = Quiet[Check[ProcFuncTypeQ[ToString[x]], \{Head[x]\}]]\}, If[SameQ[a[[-1]], "System"], "System",
If[SameQ[a[[-1]], "Expression"], Head[x], If[ListQ[a], b = a[[-1]]]; If[Length[b] == 1, b[[1]], b]l]]
In[2652] := Map[Head2, \{"\#1 + \#2*\#3\&", "Function[\{x, y, z\}, x+y*z]", "G", "ProcQ", "a + b", "\{x, y, z\}", ""ransian"", Avz, While\}]
Out[2652]= \{"ShortPureFunction", "PureFunction", \{"Block", "Block", "Module"\}, "Module", String, String, String, Symbol, "System"\}
In[2653]:= Map[Head2, \{"V", "Art", "G", "ProcQ", "GS", "Sv", "S", "H", "Agn", 80, 42.47, Kr\}]
Out[2653]= \{"CompiledFunction", "PureFunction", \{"CompiledFunction", "Function"\}, "Module", "Function", \{"CompiledFunction", "Module", "Function"\}, "CompiledFunction", \{"Block", "Function"\}, String, Integer, Real, "ShortPureFunction"\}
In[2654]:= Map[Head, \{"V", "Art", "G", "ProcQ", "GS", "Sv", "S", "H", "Agn", 80, 42.47, Kr\}]

Out[2654]= \{CompiledFunction, Function, CompiledFunction, Symbol, Symbol, CompiledFunction, CompiledFunction, Symbol, Symbol, Integer, Real, Function\}
In[2655]:= Head3[x_] := Symbol[If[Part[x, 1] ===-1, Head1[-1*x], Head1[x]]] In[2656]:= \{Head[Sin[-a + bl], Head2[Sin[-a + b]], Head3[Sin[-a + b]l\} Out[2656]= \{Times, Times, Sin\}

At last, quite natural interest represents the question of existence of the user procedures and functions activated in the current session. The solution of the given question can be received by means of the procedure whose procedure callActBFMuserQ[] returnsTrue if such objects in the current session exist, andFalse otherwise; meanwhile, meanwhile, the callActBFMuserQ[x] thru optional argument $\boldsymbol{x}$ - an undefinite variable- returns the2-element nested list whose the first element contains name of the user object in string format while the second defines list of its types in string format respectively. The fragment presents source code of theActBFMuserQ and examples of its use.

In[2570] := ActBFMuserQ[x__/; If[\{x\}== \{\}, True, If[Length[\{x\}] == $\mathbf{1} \& \&!$
HowAct[x], True, False]]] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{b}=\{ \}, \mathbf{c}=1, \mathbf{d}, \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{a}=$ Select[Names["*"], !
UnevaluatedQ[Definition2, \#] \&]\}, For[c, c <=Length[a], c++, h =
Quiet[ProcFuncTypeQ[a[[c]]l];
If[h[[1]], AppendTo[b, \{a[[c]], h[[-1]]\}], Null]]; If[b == \{\}, False, If[\{x\}!= \{\}, x =

If[Length[b] == 1, b[[1]], b]]; True]]
In[2571] := V := Compile[\{ $\{\mathbf{x}, \quad$ Real $\}$, $\{\mathbf{y}, \quad$ Real $\left.\}\},\left(\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathbf{y}\right)^{\wedge} 2\right]$;
Art := Function[\{x, y\}, $\left.x^{*} \operatorname{Sin}[y]\right] ;$ Kr := (\#1^2 + \#2^4) \&; GS[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]]:= Sin[80] + Cos[42];
 Block[\{\}, x]; H[x_, y_]:=x+y; SetAttributes["H", Protected] P[x_] := Module[\{\}, x]; P[y_] := Module[\{\}, y];
P[x__]:= Plus[Sequences[\{x\}]]; P[x__]:= Plus[Sequences[\{x\}]]; P[y_/; PrimeQ[y]] := Module[\{a = "Agn"\}, y]; T42[x_, y_, z_] := x* ${ }^{*} \mathbf{z}^{2}$ P[x_/; StringQ[x]] :=
Module[\{\}, x]; P[x_/; ListQ[x]]:= Module[\{\}, x]; R[x_]:= Module[\{a = 500\}, $\mathbf{x}^{*}$ a]; GSV := (\#1^2 + \#2^4 + \#3^6) \&

In[2572] := ActBFMuserQ[]
Out[2572]= True
In[2573]:= \{ActBFMuserQ[t], t $\}$
Out[2573]= \{True, \{ \{"Art", \{"PureFunction"\}\}, \{"G", \{"CompiledFunction"\}\},
\{"GS", \{"Function"\}\}, \{"H", \{"Block", "Function"\}\}, \{"P1", \{"Function"\}\}, \{"W", \{"Function"\}\}, \{"R", \{"Module"\}\}, \{"Kr", "ShortPureFunction"\}, \{"V", \{"CompiledFunction"\}\}, \{"P", \{"Module", "Module", "Module", "Module", "Module", "Function", "Function"\}, \{"T42", \{"Function"\}\}, \{"GSV", "ShortPureFunction"\}\}\}\}

At that, the given procedure along with standard means uses and our means such asDefinition2, HowAct, ProcFuncTypeQ andUnevaluatedQ that are considered in the present book and in [28-33]. The procedure is represented as a rather useful means, first of all, in the system programming.

### 6.4. Headings of procedures and functions in the Mathematicasystem

In many contexts, it is not necessary to know the exact value of an arbitrary expression; it suffices to know that the given expression belongs to a certain broad class, or group, of expressions which share some common properties. These classes or groups are known astypes. If $\boldsymbol{T}$ represents a type, then an expression is of type $\boldsymbol{T}$ if it belongs to the class that $\boldsymbol{T}$ presents. For example, an expression is said to be of typeInteger if it belongs to the definite class of expressions denoted by the type nameInteger, which is the set of integers. Many procedures and functions use the types to direct the flow of control in algorithms or to decide whether an expression is a valid input. For example, the behavior of a function or a procedure generally depends on the types of its actual arguments. At that, the result of a number of operations is defined by type of their arguments. Thetype - fundamental concept of the theory of programming, defining an admissible set of values or operations which can be applied to such values and, perhaps, also a way of realization of storage of values and performance of operations.
Any objects with which the programs operate, belong to certain types. The concept of data type in programming languages of high level appeared as absolutely natural reflection of that fact that the data and expressions which are processed by a program can have various sets of admissible values, be stored in RAM of the computer in different ways, be
processed by different commands of the processor. At that, the type of any object can be defined in 2 ways, namely: by a set of all values belonging to this type, or by a certain predicate function defining object belonging to this type. Advantages from use of types of objects are reduced to three highlights:(1) protection against errors of assignment, incorrect operations along with inadmissible factual arguments passed to a procedure/function;(2) the standardization provided by agreements on the types supported by the majority of the programming systems,(3) documenting of software in many respects becomes simpler at use of the standard typification of the objects and data used in them. In the modern languages of programming are used several systems of typification a brief characteristic of which can be found in [33] whereas more in detail it is possible to familiarize oneself with them in a number of books on modern programming systems. Considering importance of typification of language objects, this aspect is considered by us and concerningMathematica system in books [28-33]. In particular, in our book [30] enough in details from point of view of development of the mechanism of typification bothMathematica andMaple systems are considered as computer mathematics systems which are the most developed and popular for today.
Unlike 209 types, for example, of theMaple 11 which are tested by the ไype`procedure(apart from a considerable enough set of the user types connected to the Mapleby means of our library [47]), theMathematica 9 has only60 testingQ- functions whose names have the formNameQ, for example, callSyntaxQ[x] returnsTrue if the contents of stringx is a correctMathematica-expression, andFalse otherwise. In a certain measure to this function theToExpression function adjoins that evaluates all expressions which are in the argument of string format with return ofNull. By results of their performance the given functions can be considered as testing tools of correctness of the expressions that are in a string. At that, if in the first case we receive value \(\{\) True,False \(\}\), in the second case the correctness can be associated with return ofNull. In this context the ToExpression function in a certain relation is similar to the`parse`procedure of theMaple system [10,14-16,21,25-27]. If necessary, the user can also create own functions with names of the formName \(\mathbf{Q}\) which will significantly expand the range of similar standard system tools. Below, this question largely is detailed on specific examples of such means. Coding of definitions of types directly in headings of procedures/functions takes place only for theMathematica system, allowing in the call point of a procedure/function without execution of it and without appeal to external tools to execute testing for an admissibility of the actual arguments received by the procedure/function. Such approach increases efficiency of execution of a procedure/function, doing it by the more mobile. The given approach is especially convenient in the case where the type posesses highly specialized character or its definition is described by small and a rather clear program code. Indeed, in a number of cases the inclusion of definitions of the testing means directly into headings is very conveniently. So, this approach is used rather widely in means from theAVZ_Package package [48]. In general, this approach allows to typify quite in details in many important applied data; its essence rather visually illustrates the following simple fragment, namely: In[2524] := ArtKr[x_/; \{T[z_] := If[z <= 80 \&\& z >= 8, True, False], T[x]\}[[2]], y_/; StringQ[y] \&\& ! SuffPref[y, \{"avz", "agn", "vsv"\}, 1]] := Module[\{a = 72, b = 67\}, y <> " = " <> ToString[x + a + b]] In[2525] := \{T[6], Map7[ArtKr, Sequences, \{ \{72, "h"\}, \{42, "j"\}, \{50, "avagvs"\}\}], T[6]\} Out[2525]= \(\{\mathrm{T}[6]\), , " \(\mathrm{h}=211 "\), "j= 181", "avagvs= 189" \(\}\), False \(\}\) In[2526]:= Definition[T] Out[2526]= T[z_]:= If[z<= 80\&\& z>= 8, True, False] The example of simple \(\mathbf{A r t K r}\) procedure of the modular type quite visually illustrates opportunities on the organization of typified testing of the actual arguments of the procedure when definition of a type \(\boldsymbol{T}\) is given directly in heading of the procedure and is activated at once after the first call of \(\operatorname{ArtKr}\) procedure. Many of means of \(A V Z \_\)Package package use similar approach in own organization [48]. On the assumption of the general definition of a procedure, in particular, of modular type M[x_/;Test \(x_{x}, y_{-} / ;\)Test \(\left._{y}, \ldots\right]\) : = Module[ \(\{\) locals \(\}\), Procedure body] and of that fact that concrete definition of the procedure is identified not by itsname, but itsheading we will consider a set of the useful enough means which provide the various manipulations with headings of procedures and functions, and play a very important part in procedural programming and, first of all, programming of problems of the system character. Having defined such object rather useful in many appendices as theheading of a procedure/function in the form"Name[The list of formal arguments with the testing means ascribed to them]", quite naturally arises the question of the creation of means for a testing of objects regarding their relation to the type`Heading`. It is possible to represent theHeadingQ procedure as a such tool whose source code with examples of its use is represented by the following fragment. The procedure callHeadingQ[x] returnsTrue if an objectx, given in string format, can be considered as a syntactic correct heading; otherwise False is returned; in case of inadmissible argumentx the callHeadingQ[x] is returned unevaluated. TheHeadingQ procedure is rather essentially used in a series of means from theAVZ_Package package [48].
In[3385]:= HeadingQ[x_/; StringQ[x]] := Module[\{a, b, c, k=1, m = True, $\mathbf{n}=$ True $\}$, If[StringTake[x, $\{-1,-\mathbf{1}\}]==$ " $]$ " \& \&
StringCount[x, \{"[", "]"\}] == 2 \&\& ! StringFreeQ[StringReplace[x, " "-> ""], "[]"], Return[m], If[! StringFreeQ[RedSymbStr[x, "_", "_"], "[_]"], Return[! m]]]; Quiet[Check[ToExpression[x], Return[False]]];
If[DeleteDuplicates[Map3[StringFreeQ, x, \{"[", "]"\}]] === \{False\}, c =
StringPosition[x, "["][[1]][[[2]]; If[c == 1, Return[False], a = StringTake[x, \{c,-1\}]],
Return[False]]; b = StringPosition[a, "["][[1]][[[1]]; c = StringPosition[a, "]"][[[-1]]
[[1]]; a = "\{" <> StringTake[a, \{b + 1, c-1\}] <> "\}"; a = Map[ToString,
ToExpression[a]];
If[DeleteDuplicates[Mapp[StringFreeQ, a, "_"]] ==\{False\}, Return[True]]; If[\{c, a $\}==\{2,\{ \}\}$, Return[True], If[a == $\}|\mid$ StringTake[a[[1]], $\{1,1\}]==$ ",",
Return[False], For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, b = a[[k]];
If[StringReplace[b, "_"-> ""] != "" \&\& StringTake[b, $\{-1,-1\}]==$ " " || !
StringFreeQ[b, "_"] || ! StringFreeQ[b, "_:"]||! StringFreeQ[b, "_."], m = True, n =

False] ]l; m \&\& n]
In[3386] := \{HeadingQ["D[x_, y_/; ListQ[y], z_:75, h_]"],
HeadingQ["D[x_, y_, z_:75, h_]"],
HeadingQ["D[x_, y_/; ListQ[y], $\mathbf{z}_{-}: 75$, _]"] $\}$
Out[3386]= \{True, True, True $\}$
In[3387]= \{HeadingQ["D[x_, y_/; ListQ[y], z_:75, h]"],
HeadingQ["[x_, y_/; ListQ[y], z:75]"] \}
Out[3387]= \{False, False $\}$
In[3388]:= \{HeadingQ["g[]"], HeadingQ["t[x__]"], HeadingQ["p[x_]]"],
HeadingQ["h[_]"] \}
Out[3388]= \{True, True, True, False\}
In[3389]:= \{HeadingQ["D[_, x_]"], HeadingQ["Z[x__]"],
HeadingQ["Q[x $\qquad$ ]"]\}
Out[3389]= \{True, True, True $\}$
In[3390] := \{HeadingQ["D[x_, y_/; ListQ[y], z_:75, h]"],
HeadingQ["V[x_, y_/;ListQ[y], z_.]"]\}
Out[3390]= \{False, True \}
At that, the given procedure along with standard means uses and our means such
asRedSymbStr, Map3 andMapp which are considered in the present book and in [28-33].
The procedure is represented as a rather useful means, first of all, in system programming, for example, at testing of objects types in definitions of procedures and functions similarly to the meansHead1 and Head2, considered in the present book too.
The followingHeadingQ1 procedure represents a very useful expansion of the aboveHeadingQ procedure concerning its opportunity of testing of the headings onto their correctness. The procedure callHeadingQ1[x] returns True if the actual argumentx, given in string format, can be considered as a syntactically correct heading; otherwiseFalse is returned. The next fragment represents source code of theHeadingQ1 procedure and examples of its use.
In[2512] := HeadingQ1[x_/; StringQ[x]] := Module[\{b, c = \{\}, d, h="F", k=1, a = Quiet[StringTake[x, \{Flatten[StringPosition[x, "[", 1]][[1]]+ 1,-2\}]]\}, If[StringFreeQ[x, "["], False, b = StringSplit1[a, ","]; For[k, k <= Length[b], k++, d = b[[k]]; c = Append[c, If[StringFreeQ[d, "_"], False, If[MemberQ[ToString /@ \{Complex, Integer, List, Rational, Real, String, Symbol\}, StringTake[d, \{Flatten[StringPosition[d, "_"]][[-1]] + 1,-1\}]], True, HeadingQ[h <> "[" <> d <> "]"]III]; If[DeleteDuplicates[c] == \{True\}, True, False]]]
In[2513] := Map[HeadingQ1, \{"H[s_String,x_/;StringQ[x],y_]",
"T[x_y_/;ListQ[y],z_List]",
"V[x_, y_/; ListQ[y]\&\&Length[L] == 90]", "E[x_, y_/; ListQ[y], z__]"\}]
Out[2513] = \{True, True, True, True $\}$
In[2514]:= \{Map[HeadingQ, \{"H[s_Integer]", "G[n_Integer,L_List]", "G[n__Integer]"\}], Map[HeadingQ1, \{"H[s_Integer]", "G[n_Integer,L_List]", "G[n__Integer]"\}]\}

Out[2514]= \{\{True, True, True $\}$, \{True, True, True $\}\}$
In addition to the system means theHeadingQ1 procedure uses procedure StringSplit1 that represents an useful generalization of the system function StringSplit. It should be noted that regardless of the correct testing of quite wide type of headings, meanwhile, the procedureHeadingQ along with the HeadingQ1 not has comprehensive character because of a series of features of syntactical control ofMath-language. That the following simple example very visually illustrates, from which follows, that the system testing means perceive incorrect headings as correct expressions.

In[2567] := ToExpression["W[x__/;_StringQ[x]]"]
Out[2567]= W[x__/; _StringQ[x]]
In[2568]:= SyntaxQ["W[x__/;_StringQ[x]]"]
Out[2568]= True
At the same time two these procedures are rather useful in many cases. Meanwhile, on the basis of ourArgsTypes procedure serving for testing of formal arguments of a function/procedure which has been activated in the current session perhaps further expansion of the testing opportunities of the HeadingQ1, allowing in certain cases to expand types of the correctly tested headings of procedures/functions. Meanwhile, here it is possible to tell only about expansion of opportunities at certain cases, but not about expansion as a whole. The fragment below represents source code of theHeadingQ2 procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2942]:= HeadingQ2[x_/; StringQ[x]] :=
Module[ \{a, b, c, d = ToString[Unique["agn"]l\}, \{a, b\}= Map[DeleteDuplicates, Map[Flatten, Map3[StringPosition, $x$, \{"[", "]"\}]ll]; If[StringLength[x] == b[[-1]] \&\& SymbolQ[c = StringTake[x, \{1, a[[1]]-1\}]], Quiet[Check[ToExpression[
StringReplace[x, c <> "[" -> d <> "[", 1] <> " := 72"], False]]; c = Map[SyntaxQ, ArgsTypes[d]]; ToExpression["Remove[" <> d <> "]"]; If[DeleteDuplicates[c] === \{True\}, True, False], False]]

In[2943]:= Map8[HeadingQ1, HeadingQ2, \{"V[x__/_String]"\}]
Out[2943] = \{True, False\}
In[2944]:= Map8[HeadingQ1, HeadingQ2, \{"V[x_/; StringQ[x]]"\}] Out[2944]= \{True, True
In[2945]:= Map[HeadingQ2, \{"F[x_/; StringQ[x]]", "F[x/; StringQ[x]]",
"F[x; StringQ[x]]", "F[x_/_ StringQ[x]]", "F[x_//; StringQ[x]]", "F[x_; y_; z_]"\}] Out[2945]= \{True, True, True, False, False, True $\}$
In[2946]:= Map[HeadingQ1, \{"F[x_/; StringQ[x]]", "F[x/; StringQ[x]]",
"F[x; StringQ[x]]", "F[x_/_ StringQ[x]]",
"F[x_//; StringQ[x]]", "F[x_; y_; z_]"\}] Out[2946]= \{True, False, False, True, False, True
In[2947]:= Map[HeadingQ, \{"F[x_/; StringQ[x]]", "F[x/; StringQ[x]]", "F[x;
StringQ[x]]", "F[x_/_ StringQ[x]]", "F[x_//; StringQ[x]]", "F[x_; y_; z_]"\}]
Out[2947]= \{True, False, False, True, False, True \}
In[2948]:= Map[\#["F[x_/_ StringQ[x]]"] \&, \{HeadingQ, HeadingQ1\}] Out[2948]= \{True, True\}

In[2949]:= Map[\#["F[x_/_ StringQ[x]]"] \&, \{HeadingQ2, HeadingQ3\}] Out[2949]= \{False, False\}
In[2950]:= Map[\#["F[x_/_StringQ[x]]"] \&, \{HeadingQ, HeadingQ1\}] Out[2950]= \{True, True\}
In[2951]:= Map[\#["F[x_/_StringQ[x]]"] \&, \{HeadingQ2, HeadingQ3\}] Out[2951]= \{False, False $\}$

Analogously to the procedures HeadingQ andHeadingQ1, the procedure
callHeadingQ2[x] returnsTrue if actual argumentx, set in string format, can be considered as a syntactically correct heading; otherwiseFalse is returned. At that, the examples presented in the above fragment of applications of the proceduresHeadingQ, HeadingQ1 andHeadingQ2 quite visually illustrate distinctions between their functionality. The group of these means includes also theHeadingQ3 procedure that in the functional relation is equivalent to theHeadingQ2 procedure; its callHeadingQ3[x] returnsTrue if an actual argumentx, set in string format, can be considered as a syntactically correct heading; otherwise, the call returnsFalse. At the same time between pairs of procedures
\{HeadingQ[x],HeadingQ1[x]\}\& \{HeadingQ2[x],HeadingQ3[x]\} principal distinctions exist, in particular, on the headings $\left\{\mathbf{F}\left[x_{-} / \_\right.\right.$StringQ[x]], F[x_/ _StringQ[x]\} the first pair returnsTrue while the second pair returns False as a quite visually illustrates the above fragment. It is also necessary to note that the first pair of testing functions is more high-speed what is very essential at their use in real programming. Meanwhile, considering similar and some other unlikely encoding formats of the headings of functions and procedures, the represented four proceduresHeadingQ[x], HeadingQ1[x], HeadingQ2[ $x$ ] andHeadingQ3[ $x$ ] can be considered as rather useful testing means in modular programming. At that, from experience of their use and their temporary characteristics it became clear that it is quite enough to be limited oneself only by proceduresHeadingQ[x], HeadingQ1[x] that cover rather wide range of erroneous coding of the headings. Furthermore, taking into account the mechanism ofparse of expressions for their correctness that theMathematica system uses, creation of comprehensive tools of testing of the headings is very unlikely. Naturally, it is possible to use non-standard receptions for receiving the testing means for the headings having a rather wide set of deviations from the standard, however such outlay do not pay off by the received benefits.

The following procedure serves as an useful enough means at manipulating with procedures and functions, its callHeadPF[x] returns heading in string format of a block, module or function with a namex activated in the current session, i.e. of function in its traditional understanding with heading. While on other values of argumentx the call is returned unevaluated. Meanwhile, the problem of definition of headings is actual also in the case of the objects of the above type of the same name which have more than one heading. In this case the procedure callHeadPF[ $w$ ] returns the list of headings in string format of the subobjects composing an objectw as a whole. The following fragment represents source code of theHeadPF procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2942] := HeadPF[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]] := Module[\{b, c= ToString[x], a = Select[Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}], ! SuffPref[\#, "Default[", 1] \&]\}, b = Map[StringTake[\#, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[\#, \{" := ", " = "\}]][[1]]-1\}] \&, a]; If[Length[b] == 1, b[[1]], b]]

In[2943]:= G[x_, y_] := x*Sin[y] + y*Cos[x]; s[]:= 90*x; g:= $500 \operatorname{In}[2944]:=$
Map[HeadPF, \{G, s, Sin, 2015, g\}]
Out[2944] = \{"G[x_, y_]", "s[]",HeadPF[Sin], HeadPF[2015], HeadPF[500]\} In[2945]:= Map[HeadPF, \{If, Tan, Log, True, G, "Infinity", For, Do, ProcQ\}] Out[2945]= \{HeadPF[If], HeadPF[Tan], HeadPF[Log], HeadPF[True],
" G[x_, y_]", HeadPF["Infinity"], HeadPF[For], HeadPF[Do], "ProcQ[x_]"\} In[2946]:= M[x_/; $\mathbf{x}==$ "avzagn"] := Module[\{a\}, a*x]; M[x_, y_, z_] := x $^{*} \mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{z} ; \mathbf{M}\left[x_{-} /\right.$; IntegerQ[x], y_String] := Module[\{a, b, c\}, x]; M[x_String] := x; M[x_, y_] := Module[\{a, b, c \}, "abc"; x + y]; In[2947]:= HeadPF[M]
Out[2947]= \{"M[x_/; x== "avzagn"]", "M[x_, y_, z_]", "M[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_String]", "M[x_String]", "M[x_, y_]"\}
So, the call HeadPF[x] returns the heading in string format of an object with a namex of the type \{block,function,module\} which has been activated in the current session. At that, for an objectx which has several various headings, the callHeadPF[x] returns the list of the headings whose order fully meets the order of the definitions returned by the function callDefinition[ $x$ ]. In this regard testing of an object $\boldsymbol{x}$ regarding to be of the same name is enough actually; theQmultiplePF procedure solves the problem whose source code along with typical examples of its usage the following fragment represents.

In[2780]:= QmultiplePF[x_, y__] :=
Module[\{a = Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}]\}, If[MemberQ[\{\{"System"\}, \{\$Failed\}\}, a], False, If[\{y\}!= \{\}\&\&! HowAct[y], y = If[Length[a] == 1, a[[1]], a]]; True]]
In[2781] := M[x_/; x == "avzagn"] := Module[\{a, b, c\}, x]; M[x_String] := x; M[x_, $\left.y_{-}, z_{-}\right]:=x^{*} y^{*} z ; M_{1}\left[x_{-} L i s t, y_{\_}\right]:=\operatorname{Block}[\{a\}$, Length $[x]+y]$ M[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_String] := Module[\{a, b, c\}, x]; M[x_, y_] := Module[\{a, b, c\}, "abc"; x + y]; In[2782]:= QmultiplePF[M]

Out[2782] = True
In[2783]:= \{QmultiplePF[M, s], s\}
Out[2783]= \{True, \{"M[x_/; x== "avzagn"]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, x]",
" M[x_String]:= x", "M[x_, y_, z_]:= x*y*z", "M[x_List, y_]:= Block[\{a, b, c\}, "abc"; Length[x]+ y]", "M[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_String]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, x]", "M[x_, y_]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, "abc"; x+ y]"\}\}
In[2784]:= Map[QmultiplePF, \{M, 90, Avz, Sin, If, a + b \}]
Out[2784]= \{True, False, False, False, False, False\}
The procedure callQmultiplePF[ $x$ ] returnsTrue, if $\boldsymbol{x}$ - an object of the same name(block,function,module), andFalse otherwise. While the procedure call QmultiplePF[x,y] with the2nd optional argumenty -an indefinite variable- returns throughy the list of definitions of all subobjects with a namex. The QmultiplePF procedure realization significantly uses the earlier considered PureDefinition procedure, and also ourHowAct function. In a number of cases theQmultiplePF procedure despite the relative simplicity is a rather convenient means at testing of objects of the specified types.
At testing of objects often arises necessity of allotment among them of the system
functions; this problem is solved by simple function, whose the call SystemQ[x] returnsTrue if an objectx is a system function, i.e. is defined by builtin language of theMathematica,andFalse otherwise. The function very simply is defined directly on the basis of the standard functionsDefinition, Names andToString. The following fragment represents source code of the SystemQ function with typical examples of its application. In a number of appendices the given function represents quite certain interest and, first of all, giving opportunity quite effectively to differentiate means.
In[2975]:= SystemQ[S_] := If[Off[Definition::ssle];
! ToString[Definition[S]] === Null \&\& MemberQ[Names["System**"], ToString[S]], On[Definition::ssle]; True, On[Definition::ssle]; False]
In[2976] := Map[SystemQ, \{90, G, Sin, Do, While, False, ProcQ, a/b^2, M\}] Out[2976]= \{False, False, True, True, True, True, False, False, False\} Above all, theSystemQ function is often used in the headings of procedures and functions, testing the actual arguments for admissibility. In addition to theSystemQ function it makes sense to present an useful enough function whose callLangHoldFuncQ $[x]$ returnsTrue if $x$ - a basic function of Math- language, andFalse otherwise. At that, underbasic function is understood a system function with one of the attributes ascribed to it, namely:HoldFirst, HoldAll orHoldRest. The function is represented as a quite useful means in the case of necessity of more accurate differentiation of software. The next fragment represents source code of theLangHoldFunc function along with examples of its most typical usage. In[2299]:= LangHoldFuncQ[x_] := If[SystemQ[x] \& \&
Intersection[Quiet[Check[Attributes[x], False]], \{HoldAll, HoldFirst, HoldRest \}] != \{\}, True, False]
In[2300] := Map[LangHoldFuncQ, \{If, Goto, Do, Sin, Rule, Break, While, Switch, Which, For\}]
Out[2300]= \{True, False, True, False, False, False, True, True, True, True \}
For a series of problems of system character the LangHoldFuncQ function allows to differentiate the set of all system functions of theMath-language according to the specified feature.

Right there pertinently to note some more means linked with the HeadPF procedure. So, theHeadings procedure- an useful enough expansion of the HeadPF procedure in the case of the blocks/functions/modules of the same name but with various headings. Generally the callHeadings[ $x$ ] returns the nested list whose elements are the sublists defining respectively headings of subobjects composing an objectx; thefirst elements of such sublists defines the types of subobjects whereas others define theheadings corresponding to them. The next fragment represents source code of theHeadings procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.

[^2]t <> "," <> n <> "]"]; k++]; c = Select[c, Length[\#] > 1 \&]; If[Length[c] == 1, c[[1]], c]] In[2386]:= M[x_/; SameQ[x, "avz"], y_] := Module[\{a, b, c\}, y]; M[x_, y_, z_]:= $\mathbf{x}$ $+\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{z} ; \operatorname{L1}\left[\mathrm{x}_{-}, \mathrm{y} \_\right]:=\operatorname{Block}[\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}, \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}] ;$
M[x_/; $\mathbf{x}==$ "avz"] := Module[\{a, b, c $\}, \mathbf{x}] ;$ L[x_] := x; M[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_String] := Module[\{a, b, c\}, x]; M[x_, y_] := Module[\{a, b, c\}, "agn"; x + y]; M[x_String] := x; M[x_/; ListQ[x], y_] := Block[\{a, b, c\}, "agn"; Length[x] + y];
In[2387]:= Headings[M]
Out[2387]= \{ $\left\{\right.$ "Block", "M[x_/; ListQ[x], $\left.\left.y_{-}\right] "\right\},\left\{" F u n c t i o n ", ~ " M\left[x_{-}, y_{-}, z_{-}\right] "\right.$, " M[x_String]"\}, \{"Module", "M[x_/; x=== "avz", y_]", "M[x_/; x== "avz"]", "M[x_ /; IntegerQ[x], y_String]", "M[x_, y_]"\}\} In[2388]:= V1[x_] = x; Map[Headings, \{L, L1, 80, Sin, agn, V1 $\}$ ] Out[2388]= \{\{"Function", "L[x_]"\}, \{"Block", "L1[x_, y_]"\}, Headings[80],
Headings[Sin] , Headings[agn], \{"Function", "V1[x_]"\}\} In[2389]:= G[x_] := x;
Headings[G]
Out[2389]= \{"Function", "G[x_]"\}
In[2390]:= $\mathbf{h}=\mathbf{8 0} ; \mathbf{P}[\mathbf{x}]]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{8 0}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{4 8 0}\}, \mathbf{h}=(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})^{*} \mathbf{x} ; \mathbf{h} \wedge 2\right] ;$
\{Headings[P], h\}
Out[2390]= \{\{"Module", "P[x_]"\}, 80\}
On $\boldsymbol{x}$ arguments different from the block/function/module, the procedure callHeadings[ $\boldsymbol{x}$ ] is returned unevaluated. This tool is of interest, first of all, from the programmer standpoint. In a number of the appendices which use the procedural programming, theHeadings procedure is useful enough. At that, the given procedure along with standard means uses and our means such asBlockFuncModQ, PureDefinition andHeadPF that are considered in the present book and in [28-33]. Examples of the previous fragment very visually illustrate structure of the results returned by the given procedure.

In a number of the appendices which widely use procedural programming, a rather useful is theHeadingsPF procedure which is an expansion of the previous procedure. Generally the procedure callHeadingsPF[] returns the nested list, whose elements are the sublists defining respectively headings of functions, blocks and modules whose definitions have been evaluated in the current session; the first element of each such sublist defines an object type in the context of $\{$ "Block","Module", "Function"\} while the others define the headings corresponding to it. The procedure call returns thesimple list if any of sublists doesn't contain headings; at that, if in the current session the evaluations of definitions of objects of thespecified three types weren't made, the procedure call returns theempty list. At that, the procedure call with any arguments is returned unevaluated. The fragment below represents source code of theHeadingsPF procedure along with examples of its typical usage.
$\operatorname{In}[2913]:=$ HeadingsPF[x__/; SameQ[x, \{\}]]:= Module[\{a=\{\}, $\mathbf{d}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}=$ \{\{"Block"\}, \{"Function"\}, \{"Module"\}\}, t\},
Map[If[Quiet[Check[BlockFuncModQ[\#], False]], AppendTo[a, \#], Null] \&,
Names["*"]]; b = Map[Headings[\#] \&, a]; While[k <= Length[b], t = b[[k]];
If[NestListQ[t], d = Join[d, t], AppendTo[d, t]]; k++]; Map[If[\#[[1]] == "Block", c[[1]] = Join[c[[1]], \#[[2 ;; -1]]], If[\#[[1]] == "Function", c[[2]] = Join[c[[2]], \#[[2 ;; -

1]]], c[[3]] = Join[c[[3]], \#[[2 ;; -1] ]]]] \&, d]; Select[c, Length[\#] > 1\&]’ If[Length[c] $==1, c[[1]], c]]$
In[2914] := M[x_/; SameQ[x, "avz"], y_]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, y]; L1[x_]:=x; M[x_, $\left.\left.\mathbf{y}_{-}, \mathrm{z}_{-}\right]:=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{L}^{2} \mathrm{x}_{-}, \mathrm{y}_{-}\right]:=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}$;
M[x_/; $\mathbf{x}==$ "avz"] := Module[\{a, b, c\}, $\mathbf{x}] ;$
$\mathbf{M}\left[x_{-} / ;\right.$IntegerQ[x], y_String] := Module[\{a, b, c\}, $\left.\mathbf{x}\right] ; \mathbf{M}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}$, "agn"; x + y]; M[x_String] := x; M[x_/; ListQ[x], y_] := Block[\{a, b, c\}, "agn";
Length $[x]+y]$;
F[x_/; SameQ[x, "avz"], y_] := \{x, y\}; F[x_/; x == "avz"] := x In[2915]:=
HeadingsPF[]
Out[2915]= \{\{"Block", "M[x_/; ListQ[x], y_]"\},
\{ "Function", "F[x_/; x=== "avz", $\left.y_{-}\right] ", ~ " F\left[x_{-} / ; x==" a v z "\right] ", ~ " L\left[x_{-}, y_{-}\right] ", ~ " L 1\left[x_{-}\right] "$, "M[x_, y_ z_]", "M[x_String]"\},
\{"Module", "M[x_/; x=== "avz", $\left.\mathrm{y}_{-}\right]$", "M[x_, y_]",
"M[x_/; x== "avz"]", "M[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_String]"\}\}

## Reloading of the system without activation of the user means of the specified three types (Block, Function, Module)

In[2490]:= HeadingsPF[]
Out[2490]= $\}$
In[2491] := V[x_, y_] := v*y; F[x_String]:= x <>"avz"; G[x_]:= x^2; L[y_]:=y
In[2492]:= HeadingsPF[]
Out[2492]= \{"Function", "F[x_String]", "G[x_]", "L[y_]", "V[x_, y_]"\}
At that, the given procedure along with standard means uses and our tools such asBlockFuncModQ, Headings andNestListQ that are considered in the present book and in [28-33]. Examples of the previous fragment enough visually illustrate structure of the results returned by the procedure. But it must be kept in mind that performance of the procedure directly depends on stage of the current session when theHeadingsPF procedure has been called and how many definitions for the user means of the type \{Function,Module, Block\} were calculated in theMathematica current session.

In certain problems of processing of the headings at times arises the question of evaluation of thename of a heading whose decision a very simple function gives whose callHeadName[x] returns the name of a headingx in the string format provided that the heading is distinguished by procedureHeadingQ orHeadingQ1 as a syntactically correct heading, i.e. the callHeadingQ[x] or HeadingQ1[x] returnsTrue; otherwise, the function callHeadName[ $x$ ] will be returned unevaluated. The following fragment represents source code of theHeadName function along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2645] := HeadName[x_/; HeadingQ[x] || HeadingQ1[x]] := StringTake[x, \{1,
StringPosition[x, "[", 1][[1]][[1]]-1\}]
In[2646]:= Map[HeadName, \{"V[x_/; StringQ[x]]", "G[x_String]", "S[x_/;
IntegerQ[x]]", "Kr[x_/; StringQ[x], y__]", "Art[]"\}]
Out[2646]= \{"V", "G", "S", "Kr", "Art"\}

In[2647]:= Map[HeadName, \{"V[j_; StringQ[j]]", "S[j/; IntegerQ[j]]"\}] Out[2647]= \{HeadName["V[j_;StringQ[j]]"],HeadName["S[j/;IntegerQ[j]]"]\}

In some cases of procedural programming, for example, in case of necessity of insertion of calls of procedures/functions on the basis of their headings into structures of string type, theHeadToCall procedure is represented as a quite useful tool, whose callHeadToCall[h] in string format returns the call of a procedure/function on the basis of its heading on`pure`formal arguments (i.e. without the tests for an admissibility ascribed to them), whereh - admissible heading of a procedure/function. The following fragment represents source code of theHeadToCall procedure along with examples of its usage.
In[2511] := HeadToCall[j_/; HeadingQ[j]] := Module[\{a = HeadName[j], b\}, b="\{" <> StringTake[StringReplace[j, a <> "["-> "", 1], \{1,-2\}] <> "\}"; b = Select[StrToList[b], ! StringFreeQ[\#, "_"] \&]; b = Map[StringTake[\#, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[\#, "_"]I[[1]]-1\}] \&, b]; a <> "[" <> StringTake[ToString[b], \{2,-2\}] <> "]"]

In[2512] := HeadToCall["G[x_, y_/; StringQ[y], z_/; MemberQ[\{0, 1, 2\}, z],
t_Symbol, h_/; IntegerQ[h], z_, p__]"]
Out[2512]= "G[x, y, z, t, h, z, p]"
In[2513]:= HeadToCall["V[x_List, y_/; PrimeQ[y] \&\& y < 90, z_/; ! HowAct[z], t_Integer, $\mathbf{z}$ _, $\mathbf{p}$ __]"]
Out[2513]= "V[x, y, z, t, z, p]"
At that, it must be kept in mind that the procedure call returns also optional arguments of the studied heading.
In the light of possibility of existence in the current session of Mathematica of the procedures of the same name with different headings the problem of removal from the session of a procedure with concrete heading represents a certain interest; this problem is solved by the procedureRemProcOnHead, whose source code along with examples of usage are represented below.
In[2437]:= RemProcOnHead[x_/; HeadingQ[x] || HeadingQ1[x] || ListQ[x] \&\& DeleteDuplicates[Map[HeadingQ[\#] \&, x]] == \{True\}] :=

Module[ \{b, c, d, p, a = HeadName[If[ListQ[x], x[[1]], x]]\}, If[!
MemberQ[Names["*"]||! HowAct[a], a], \$Failed, b = Definition2[a]; c = b[[1 ;;-2]]; d = b[[-1]]; ToExpression["ClearAttributes[" <> a <> "," <> ToString[d] <> "]"]; y = Map[StandHead, Flatten[\{x\}]]; p = Select[c, ! SuffPref[\#, x, 1] \&]; ToExpression["Clear[" <> a <> "]"]; If[p == \{\}, "Done", ToExpression[p]; ToExpression["SetAttributes[" <> a <> "," <> ToString[d] <> "]"]; "Done"]l]
In[2438] := M[x_/; SameQ[x, "avz"], y_] := Module[\{a, b, c \}, y]; L1[x_] := x; M[x_, $\left.\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{\prime}}, \mathbf{z}_{-}\right]:=\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z} ; \mathbf{L}\left[\mathbf{x}_{-}, \mathrm{y}_{-}\right]:=\mathbf{x}+\mathrm{y}$;
M[x_/; $\mathbf{x}==$ "avz"] := Module[\{a, b, c\}, $\mathbf{x}]$;
M[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_String] := Module[\{a, b, c\}, x]; M[x_, y_] := Module[\{a, b, c\}, "agn"; x + y]; M[x_String] := x; M[x_/; ListQ[x], y_] := Block[\{a, b, c\}, "agn";
Length[x] + y]; F[x_/; SameQ[x, "avz"], y_]:= \{x, y\}; F[x_/; x == "avz"] := x
In[2439] := Definition[M]

Out[2439]= M[x_/; x=== "avz", y_]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, y]
M[x_, $\left.y_{-}, z_{-}\right]:=x+y+z$
M[x_/; x=="avz"]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, x]
M[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_String]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, x] M[x_/; ListQ[x], y_]:= Block[\{a,
b, c\}, "agn"; Length[x]+ y] M[x_, y_]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, "agn"; x+ y]
M[x_String]:= x
In[2440]:= RemProcOnHead[\{"M[x_, y_,z_]", "M[x_/;ListQ[x],y_]"\}]
Out[2440]= "Done"
In[2441]: = Definition[M]
Out[2441]= M[x_/; x=== "avz", y_]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, y]
M[x_/; x== "avz"]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, x]
M[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_String]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, x] M[x_, y_]:= Module[\{a, b, c\},
"agn"; $x+y$ ]
M[x_String]:= x
In[2530]:= G[x_, y_/; IntegerQ[y]] := x + y
In[2531]:= RemProcOnHead["G[x_,y_/;IntegerQ[y]]"]
Out[2531]= "Done"
In[2532]:= Definition[G]
Out[2532]= Null
In[2533]:= Definition[F]
F[x_/; $x===$ "avz", $\left.y_{-}\right]:=\{x, y\}$
F[x_/; x== "avz"]:= x
In[2534]:= RemProcOnHead["F[x_/;x=="avz"]"]
Out[2534]= "Done"
In[2535]:= Definition[F]
Out[2535]= F[x_/; x=== "avz", y_]:= \{x, y\}
In[2541]:= V[x_] := Module[\{\}, $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge 6] ;}$ V[x_Integer] := $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}$; Definition[V]
Out[2541] $=$ V[x_Integer]: $=x^{\wedge} 2$
V[x_]:= Module[\{\}, $\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 6$ ]
In[2542]:= \{RemProcOnHead["V[x_Integer]"], RemProcOnHead["V[x_]"]\}
Out[2542]= \{"Done", "Done" $\}$
In[2543]:= Definition[V]
Out[2543] = Null
In[2544]:= Map[RemProcOnHead, \{"L[x_, y_]", "L1[x_]"\}]
Out[2544]= \{"Done", "Done" $\}$
In[2545]:= \{Definition[L1], Definition[L]\}
Out[2545]= \{Null, Null $\}$
In[2508]:= StandHead[x_/; HeadingQ[x] || HeadingQ1[x]] :=
Module[ \{a = HeadName[x], b\}, b = StringReplace[x, a <> "["-> "", 1]; b =
ToString1[ToExpression["\{" <> StringTake[b, \{1,-2\}] <> "\}"]]; a <> "[" <>
StringTake[b, $\{2,-2\}]$ <> "]"]
In[2509] := StandHead["V[x_,y_Integer,z_/;StringQ[z]]"]
Out[2509]= "V[x_, y_Integer, z_/; StringQ[z]]"
In[2510]:= StandHead["F[x_/;x==="avz",y_]"]

Out[2510]= "F[x_/; x=== "avz", y_]"
The successful call of the procedure RemProcOnHead[x] returns "Done", having removed from the current session a procedure/function or their list with heading or accordingly with list of the headingsx that are given in the string format; at that, on inadmissible factual argumentx the procedure call returns\$Failed or is returned unevaluated. At the same time, the remaining subobjects with the name of the objectx which have been processed by the procedureRemProcOnHead save and options, and attributes, except in the case when the objectx is removed completely. At that, it is necessary to do 2 remarks, namely:(1) the means of this fragment that are used as examples have only formally correct code, no more, and(2) heading in the procedure callRemProcOnHead[x] is coded according to the formatToString1 $[x]$.
The previous fragment contains source code of the RemProcOnHead with examples of its usage along with control of the obtained results. It must be kept in mind that realization of algorithms of a number of the procedures that significantly use the headings requires the coding of headings in the format corresponding to the system agreements at evaluation of definitions of a procedure/function/block. For automation of representation of aheading in the standard format theStandHead procedure can be quite useful whose source code along with examples of its application completes the previous fragment. The procedure callStandHead[ $h$ ] returns the heading of a block/ procedure/function in the format corresponding to thesystem agreements at evaluation of its definition.

So, if in the Maple the identifier of a procedure/function is its name, then in theMathematica system this function is carried out by its heading, i.e. the construction of kind"Name[List of formal arguments]" that it is necessary to consider at programming of means for processing of the specified objects. Therefore theNames function needs to be applied in combination with the Definition function because the first returns only the names of procedures and functions and tells nothing about existence in the current session of the user procedures/functions of the same name with different headings as nice illustrates the following simple fragment, namely:
In[2620]:= G[x_] := Module[ $\left.\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{9 0}\}, \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}^{2}+\mathbf{a}\right]$;
G[x_/; PrimeQ[x]]:= Module[\{a = 90\}, $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{a}$ ];
V[x_/; ListQ[x]] := Module[\{\}, Length[x]];
V[x_] := Module[\{\}, $\left.x^{\wedge} 2\right]$
In[2621] := Select[Names["*"], ProcQ1[\#] \&]
Out[2621]= \{"G", "V"\}
In[2622]:= Definition[G]
Out[2622] = G[x_/; PrimeQ[x]]:= Module[\{a= 90\}, x+ a]
G[x_]:= Module[\{a=90\}, $\left.x^{\wedge} 2+a\right]$
In[2623]:= Definition[V]
Out[2623]= V[x_/; ListQ[x]]:= Module[\{\}, Length[x]]
V[x_]:= Module[\{\}, $\left.\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]$
In[2624]:= MdP[x__] := Module[\{b = \{\}, c, d,
a = Select[Names["**"], BlockFuncModQ[\#] \&]\}, d = Flatten[Map[ToString, $\{\mathbf{x}\}]$ ];
$\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{I f}[\mathbf{a}==\{ \},\{ \}$, If[d == \{\}, a, If[MemberQ4[a, d], Intersection[a, d], \{\}]]]; If[a ==
\{\}, \$Failed, c = Map[AppendTo[b, $\{\#$, Length[Flatten[\{PureDefinition[\#] $]$ ] $]\}$ ] \&, a] [[-1]]; If[Length[c] > 1, c, c[[1]]I]]
In[2625]:= MdP[]
Out[2625]= \{\{"G", 2\}, \{"V", 2\}\}
In[2626] := MdP[G, V, H]
Out[2626]= \{\{"G", 2\}, \{"V", 2\}\}
In[2627]:= Clear[G, V]; MdP[]
Out[2627]= \$Failed
In[2628]:= MdP[G1, V1, H]
Out[2628]= \$Failed
In[3502]:= MdP[]
Out[3502]= \{\{"F", 2\}, \{"G", 2\}, \{"L", 1\}, \{"L1", 1\}, \{"M", 7\}\}
The previous fragment defines the procedure, whose call $\mathbf{M d P}[x]$ returns a
simple2-element list, in which the first element- an object name in string format and the second element- number of headings with such name(ifx defines a procedure/function/block activated in the current session; in the absence of similar object\$Failed is returned); the nested list whose2-element sublists have the structure described above(if an objectxdefines the list of the modules/ functions/blocks activated in the current session), the nested list of the previous format(ifxis empty,defining the list of all functions/blocks/modules activated in the current session); in the absence of the functions/modules/blocks activated in the current session the callMdP returns $\$$ Failed. At that, the procedure along with standard means uses and our means such
asBlockFuncModQ, PureDefinition andMemberQ4 which are considered in the present book and in [28-33]. Examples of the previous fragment rather visually illustrate structure of the results returned by the given procedure.

As it was already noted, the current session may contain several different definitions of a procedure/function/block with the same name which differ only at the level of their headings. The procedure callDefinition2[x] in an optimum format returns the list of all definitions in string format of a block/ procedure/function with a namex, accompanying it with options and the list of the attributes that are ascribed to a symbolx. According to the system agreements the procedures/functions/blocks of thesame name have the same ascribed options and attributes as illustrates the following fragment:

```
In[3389] := G[x_, y_] := \(\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\)
In[3390]:= Options[G] = \{Art-> 25, Kr-> 18\};
In[3391]:= SetOptions[G, Art-> 25, Kr-> 18]
Out[3391]= \{Art-> 25, Kr-> 18\}
```

In[3392]:= Definition2[G]
Out[3392]= \{"G[x_, y_]:= x^2*y^2", "Options[G]:= \{Art-> 25, Kr-> 18\}", \{\}\}
In[3393]:= G[x_] := x^2; G[x_, y_, z_]:= $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}$;
SetAttributes[G, \{Protected, Listable\}]
In[3394]:= Definition2[G]
Out[3394]= \{"G[x_, y_]:= x^2*y^2", "G[x_]:= x^2", "G[x_, y_ z_]:= x+y+z",
"Options[G]:= \{Art-> 25, Kr-> 18\}", \{Listable, Protected\}\} In[3395]:= DefOnHead[x_
/; HeadingQ[x]] := Module[\{a, b, c, d, h = RedSymbStr[StringReplace[StandHead[x], ","-> ", "]," ", " "]\}, a = HeadName[h]; b = Definition2[ToExpression[a]];
c = Select[b, SuffPref[\#, Map3[StringJoin, h, \{" := ", " = "\}], 1] \&]; d = Select[b, SuffPref[\#, Quiet[Map3[StringJoin, "Options[" <> a <> "]", \{" = ", " := "\}]l, 1] \&]; If[MemberQ[b, "Undefined"], \$Failed,

If[d == \{\}, AppendTo[c, b[[-1]]], Join[c, \{d[[1]], b[[-1]]\}]]l] In[3396]:=
DefOnHead["G[x_y_,z_]"]
Out[3396]= \{"G[x_, y_, z_]:= x+ y+ z", "Options[G]:= \{Art-> 24, Kr-> 17\}",
\{Listable, Protected\}\}
In[3397]:= DefOnHead["G[x_,y_]"]
Out[3397]= \{"G[x_, y_]:= x^2*y^2", "Options[G]:= \{Art-> 24, Kr-> 17\}",
\{Listable, Protected\}\}
In[3398]:= DefOnHead["G[x_]"]
Out[3398]= \{"G[x_]:= x^2", "Options[G]:= \{Art-> 24, Kr-> 17\}",
\{Listable, Protected\}\}
For receiving of definition of a procedure/function/block $\boldsymbol{x}$ with the given heading(the main identifier) a number of means one of which is presented by the previous fragment is created;more precisely theDefOnHead procedure whose callDefOnHead[j] returns the list whose thefirst element- definition in string format of a procedure/function/block with the given heading(or the list of definitions for the subobjects of the same name) whereas other elements are options(if they are) and list of attributes ascribed to the function/block/ procedurex. At that, the following defining relationHeadName $[j]=\boldsymbol{x}$ takes place. As a whole, it is recommended to use a certain unique name for each definition, for providing of such possibility the system functionsClear and ClearAll can be used at modifications of means if their headings change. Thus, at the call of a procedure/function/block from the list of definitions of its subobjects a definition with the heading corresponding to the actual arguments, i.e. that are admissible for formal arguments with the ascribed tests for an admissibility is chozen. Moreover, a heading of the formatG[ $\left.x_{-}, y_{-}, z_{-}, \ldots\right]$ has the minimum priority among the headings of other formats irrespective of the evaluation order in the current session of definitions of procedures/functions/blocks of the same name as very visually illustrates the following rather simple fragment, namely:
In[2863] := G[x_, y_] := StringJoin[x, y] <> "RansIan"
In[2864]:= G[x_Integer, y_Integer] := x + y
In[2865]:= G[x_String, y_Integer] := $\mathbf{y}^{*}$ StringLength[x]
In[2866]:= Definition2[G]
Out[2866]= \{"G[x_Integer, y_Integer]:= x+ y", "G[x_String, y_Integer]:=
y *StringLength[x]",
"G[x_, y_]:= StringJoin[StringJoin[x, y], "RansIan"]", \{\}\} In[2867]:= \{G[80, 90],
G["AvzAgnVsvArtKr", 500]\}
Out[2867] $=\{170,7000\}$
In[2868]:= G["AvzAgnVsvArtKr", "Tallinn"]
Out[2868]= "AvzAgnVsvArtKrTallinnRansIan"
In[2869]:= G[x_, y__] := If[\{y\}== \{\}, $\mathbf{x}^{\left.\wedge 2, ~\{y\}=\{\mathbf{x}\} ; \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right] ; \text { G[500] Out[2869]= } 250 ~}$

000
In[2870]:= G["90", "500"]
Out[2870]= "90500RansIan"
In[2871]:= ClearAll[G]
In[2872]:= G[x_] := $\mathbf{x}^{\left.\wedge 2 ; ~ G[x], ~ y \_/ ; ~!~ H o w A c t[y] ~===~ N u l l\right] ~:=~}\left\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right\}[[2]]$
In[2873]:= Definition2[G]
Out[2873]= \{"G[x_]:=x^2", "G[x_, $y_{-} /$; !HowAct[y]=== Null]:=
$\left\{y=x, x^{\wedge} 2\right\}[[2]]$ ", $\}\}$
Above, it was already noted that in the most cases is expedient to use only one definition of a procedure/function/block, that at times quite significantly simplifies its processing. Meanwhile, in certain cases is quite convenient the usage of a number of the procedures/functions/blocks of the same name, for example, for the purpose of simplification of their program realization. So, realization of theG function from undefinite number of formal arguments of the2nd part of the previous fragment can serve as an example. Definition of twoG functions covering all cases of the functionG in some cases allows to simplify realization. In this example such simplification isn't so obvious since it only illustrates reception while in case of rather complex procedures which in the body have to execute processing of undefinite quantity of the received actual arguments such approach can be very effective.
As it was noted above, generally the user procedure /function/block can has both the ascribed attributes, and options. At that, some of earlier considered means were based, mainly on the call of ourDefinition $2[x]$ of our procedure returning the list whose last element contains the list ofattributes ascribed to symbol $\boldsymbol{x}$ whereas the sublist ofDefinition2[x][[1;;-2]] contains definitions of a procedure/function/block together with options if those exist. The next PureDefinition procedure solves the problem of receiving ofpure definitions of a procedure/function/block without options and the ascribed attributes.

In[2826]:= G[x_] := x; G[x_, y_/; ! HowAct[y] === Null] := $\left\{\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right\}[[2]]$ In[2827]:= Options[G] = \{Art-> 25, Kr-> 18\};

SetOptions[G, Art -> 25, Kr-> 18]
Out[2827]= \{Art-> 25, Kr-> 18\}
In[2828]:= SetAttributes[G, \{Listable, Protected\}]; Definition2[G] Out[2828]= $\left\{" \mathrm{G}\left[\mathrm{x}_{-}\right]:=\mathrm{x}\right.$ ", "G[x_, y_/; !HowAct[y]=== Null]:= $\{\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{x}\}[[2]]$ ",
"Options[G]:= \{Art-> 25, Kr-> 18\}", \{Listable, Protected\}\} In[2834]:=
PureDefinition[x_, t__]:= Module[\{b, c, d,
h = ToString[x] <> "/: Default[", a = If[UnevaluatedQ[Definition2, x], \$Failed, Definition2[x]]\},
If[a === \$Failed, Return[\$Failed]]; b=a[[1 ;; -2]]; c = If[SuffPref[b[[-1]],
Map3[StringJoin, "Options[" <> ToString[x] <> "]", \{" = ", " := "\}], 1], b[[1 ;;-2]], b]; If[ $\{t\}!=\{ \} \& \&!$ HowAct[t], d = MinusList[a, c]; Join[If[Length[d] > 1, d, Flatten[d]], Select[a, SuffPref[\#, h, 1] \&]l]; c = Select[c, ! SuffPref[\#, h, 1] \&]; If[Length[c] == 1, c[[1]], c]]
In[2835]:= \{PureDefinition[G, t], t \}

Out[2835]= \{\{"G[x_]:=x", "G[x_, y_/; !HowAct[y]===Null]:= \{y=x,x\}[[2]]"\},
\{ "Options[G]:= \{Art-> 25, Kr-> 18\}", \{\}\}\}
The procedure callPureDefinition[x] returns definition in string format or their list of a block/function/modulex without options, ascribed attributes and values by default for formal arguments while the callPureDefinition[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{t}$ ] with the second optional argument $\boldsymbol{t}$ an undefinite variable- through it returns the list of the options, attributes and values by default attributed to symbolx. In the case of inadmissible argument $\boldsymbol{x}$ the procedure call returns $\$$ Failed, including also a call on theCompile functions. The fragment above represents source code of thePureDefinition procedure with examples of its application. ThePureDefinition procedure is represented as useful tool in various processings of definitions of blocks/functions/modules. Procedure is rather widely used in series of means of our packageAVZ_Package [48].

The concept of the Mathematica allows existence of a few blocks, functions or procedures, of the same name that are identified by theirheadings but not names. Operating with these objects is supported by a number of the means presented in the given book and in the packageAVZ_Package [48]. In this connection the procedureExtrProcFunc represents a certain interest, whose callExtrProcFunc[ $\boldsymbol{h}$ ] returns an unique name of a generated block/function/ procedure that in the list of definitions has a headingh; otherwise,\$Failed is returned. The procedure is characteristic in that leaves all definitions of a symbolHeadName[ $\boldsymbol{h}$ ] without change. At that, the returned object saves all options and attributes ascribed to the symbolHeadName[h]. The following fragment represents source code of theExtrProcFunc procedure along with the most typical examples of its application.
In[2860] := ExtrProcFunc[x_/; HeadingQ[x]] := Module[\{a = StandHead[x], c, d, b = HeadName[x], g, p\}, c = Definition2[ToExpression[b]]; If[c[[1]] == "Undefined", \$Failed, d = Select[c, SuffPref[\#, a <> " := ", 1] \&]; c = ToString[Unique[b]]; If[d != \{\}, ToExpression[c <> d[[1]]]; g = AttrOpts[b]; p = c <> b; Options[p] = g[[1]];
SetOptions[p, g[[1]]l]; ToExpression["SetAttributes[" <> p <> "," <> ToString[g[[2]]] <> "]"]; Clear[c]; p, Clear[c]; \$Failed]]l
In[2861]:= H[x_] := x^2; H[x_, y_] := x + y; H[x_, y, z_] := x + y + x; H[x_Integer] := $x$; H[x_, y_Integer] := x + y; H[x_String] := x <> "Agn"
Options[H] = \{Art-> 25, Kr-> 18\}; SetOptions[H, \{Art-> 25, Kr-> 18\}];
SetAttributes[H, \{Listable, Protected\}] In[2862]:= Definition2[H]

```
Out[2862] = \{"H[x_Integer]:= x", "H[x_String]:= StringJoin[x, "Agn"]", "H[x_]:= x^2", "H[x_, y_Integer]:= x+ y", "H[x_, y_]:= x+ y", "H[x_, y_, z_]:= x+ y+ x", "Options[H11H]= \{Art-> 25, Kr-> 18\}", \{Listable, Protected \}\}
```

In[2863] := ExtrProcFunc["H[x_,y_,z_]"]
Out[2863]= "H11H"
In[2864]:= Definition["H11H"]
Out[2864] = Attributes[H11H]= \{Listable, Protected $\}$
$\operatorname{H11H}\left[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{z}\right.$ _]: $=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{x}$
Options[H11H]= \{Art-> 25, Kr-> 18\}
In[2865]:= ExtrProcFunc["H[x_,y_,__String]"]

Out[2865]= \$Failed
In[2866]:= ExtrProcFunc["H[x_String]"]
Out[2866]= "H12H"
In[2867]:= Definition["H12H"]
Out[2867]= Attributes[H12H]= \{Listable, Protected $\}$
H12H[x_String]:= x<> "Agn"
Options[H12H]= \{Art-> 25, Kr-> 18\}
In[2868]: = H12H["AvzAgnVsvArtKr"]
Out[2868]= "AvzAgnVsvArtKrAgn"
In[2869]:= H11H[42, 2014, 72]
Out[2869]= 2098
In[3543]:= AttrOpts[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]] := Module[\{b, c, d, a =
Definition2[x]\}, b = a[[-1]]; c = Select[a, SuffPref[\#,"Options[" <> ToString[x] <> "]", 1] \&]; If[c == \{\}, d = c, d = StringSplit[c[[1]]," := "][[2]]]; \{ToExpression[d], b\}]

In[3544] := AttrOpts[H]
Out[3544] $=$ \{ \{Art $->$ 25, Kr $->18\}$, \{Listable, Protected $\}\}$
In[3545]:= Sv[x_, y_] := $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2 ;}$ AttrOpts[Sv]
Out[3545]= \{\{\}, \{\}\}
At that, the procedure along with standard means uses and our means such asHeadingQ,
Definition2, HeadName, StandHand, SuffPref andAttrOpts which are considered in the present book and in [28-33]. Moreover, the last AttrOpts procedure completes the previous fragment. The procedure call AttrOpts[x] returns the2-element nested list whosefirst element determines options whereas thesecond element defines the list of the attributes ascribed to a symbolx of type \{Block,Funcion,Module\}. On a symbolx without options and attributes ascribed to it, the callAttrOpts[x] returns $\{\},\{ \}\}$. Examples of the previous fragment rather visually illustrate structures of the results that are returned by both the procedureExtrProcFunc, and theAttrOpts.

At that, in definition of the ExtrProcFunc procedure one artificial reception essential in practical programming has been used. So, direct application of our and standard means \{Attributes, ClearAllAttributes, SetAttributes\} for processing of attributes in body of a procedure in certain cases doesn't give of the desired result therefore it is necessary to use special constructions the organization of which is rather transparent and doesn't demand any special explanations. The reception represented in source code of theExtrProcFunc procedure from the previous fragment is used in some other means that are represented in the present book and in our packageAVZ_Package [48]. So, the procedureRemProcOnHead, considered above, also significantly uses the given reception.

The call ActBFM[] of the next rather simple function returns the list ofnames in string format of the user blocks, functions and modules, whose definitions have been activated in the currentMathematica session. The next fragment represents source code of the function along with an example of its usage.
In[2824] := ActBFM[] := Select[Names["Global*"], ! TemporaryQ[\#] \& \&
BlockFuncModQ[\#] \&]
In[2825]:= ActBFM[]

Out[2825]= \{"ActBFM", "Agn", "Avz", "B", "f", "F", "M", "Name", "RansIan"\} The aboveActBFM function has a number of interesting enough appendices at programming of various problems, first of all, of the system character. In particular, the ActBFM function plays a rather essential part at search of the user objects, whose definitions have been evaluated in the current session of theMathematica system.

### 6.5. Formal arguments of procedures and functions; the means of processing them in theMathematicasoftware

Having considered in the previous two sections the means of manipulation with definitions of blocks/functions/modules, and also their headings, we pass to consideration of means whose scope of interests includes a number of important problems connected with manipulation by formal arguments that compose headings of definitions of the user procedures and functions. At that, these components are extremely important and their total absence in headings doesn't allow system in general to consider objects with similar headings as procedures or functions. In the previous section the means of processing ofheadings of procedures/blocks/functions have been considered from which procedureHeadingQ1 in the best way tests an arbitrary string as a heading what very visually illustrates the following simple example: In[2546]:= Map[HeadingQ1, ["G[]", "G[ ]", "G[ ]"\}]
Out[2546]= \{False, False, False $\}$
In[2547]:= G[] := x; \{FunctionQ[G], Clear[G]\}[[1]]
Out[2547]= False
In[2548]:= G[x_/; SameQ[\{x\}, \{\}]]:= x; FunctionQ[G]
Out[2548]= True
In[2549]:= HeadingQ["G[x_/; SameQ[\{x\}, \{\}]l]"]
Out[2549]= True
In[2550]:= G[x__] := \{x\}; G[]
Out[2550]= \{ $\}$
In[2551]:= \{HeadingQ["G[x___]"], HeadingQ1["G[x__]]"]\}
Out[2551]= \{True, True $\}$
Of the represented example follows, that strings of the type"G[ ]" can’t be considered as syntactic correct headings, and definitions on their basis can’t be considered as procedures or functions. Meanwhile, in case of necessity to define procedures or functions whose calls make sense on the empty list of actual arguments, it is possible to code theirheadings as it is stated above; in this case our means identify them properly. Further consideration of means of manipulation with formal arguments of procedures, blocks and functions assumes short introduction into templates concept; in more detail the given question is considered in help on the system and, in particular, in book [33]. Templates(patterns) are used in theMathematica for representation of the classes of expressions. Very simple example of a template is an expression $\boldsymbol{h}\left[\boldsymbol{x}_{\mathbf{\prime}}\right]$ that represents a class of expressions of typeh[any expression]. As the prerequisite of introduction of the concept"Template" into theMathematica the fact served, what many enough operations support work not only with separate expressions but also with templates representing the whole classes of expressions. So, in particular, it is possible to use the templates in rules of transformation
for the indicating of that how properly to transform classes of expressions. The templates can be used for calculation of positions of all expressions in some certain class along with a number of other applications of the sufficiently developed templates mechanism.
The basic identifier that defines, practically, all templates in Mathematica is the"_" symbol(symbol of underlining) that is being ascribed to some symbol on the right. In this case theMathematica system considers such symbol as any admissible expression used as its value. The callHead[ $x]$ of the earlier mentioned function on a pattern $x$ returnsPattern while the callPatternQ[x] of very simple function returnsTrue if $x$ - a template, andFalse otherwise:
In[2570]:= PatternQ[x_] := If[Head[x] === Pattern, True, False] In[2571]:=
 False, False, True, False, True, False\}, \{Pattern, Blank, Times, Pattern, Power,Pattern, BlankSequence\}\} In[2572]:= Map18[x /; ListQ[x] \&\& DeleteDuplicates[Map[SymbolQ[\#] \&, x]] == \{True\}, y_/; ListQ[y]] := Map[Map[\#, y] \&, x] In[2573]:= Map18[\{X, Y, Z\}, \{a, b, c\}] Out[2573]= \{\{X[a], X[b], X[c]\}, \{Y[a], Y[b], Y[c]\}, \{Z[a], Z[b], Z[c]\}\}
Along with the PatternQ function and comparative examples for it and the standardHead function the previous fragment represents quite simple and usefulMap18 function in many appendices in addition to the represented means of theMap-group. The callMap18[x, y], where $\boldsymbol{x}$ - the list $\{x 1, x 2, \ldots, x n\}$ of symbols and $y$ - the list $\{y 1, y 2, \ldots, y p\}$ of any expressions, returns the nested list of the following format, namely:
$\{\{x 1[y 1], x 1[y 2], \ldots, x 1[y p]\},\{x 2[y 1], x 2[y 2], \ldots, x 2[y p]\}, \ldots,\{x n[y 1], x n[y 2], \ldots, x n[y p]\}\}$
The result returned by the function call Map18[x, $\boldsymbol{y}]$ is transparent enough and of ant special explanations doesn't demand. In principle, it is possible to place the"_" symbol in any place of an expression, defining thus the pattern corresponding to some group of the expressions received by replacement of this symbol by any expression. Several simple enough examples of patterns are given below, namely:
$\boldsymbol{h}$ [x_]-heading of a block/function/procedurehwith one formal argumentxwhere $\boldsymbol{x}$-an arbitrary expression;
$\boldsymbol{h}\left[x_{-}, y_{\_}\right]$-heading of a block/function/procedurehwith two formal argumentsx, $\boldsymbol{y}$ wherex, $\boldsymbol{y}$ -arbitrary expressions;
$\boldsymbol{h}\left[x_{-}, x_{\mathbf{l}}\right]$-heading of a block/function/procedurehwith two identical arguments $\boldsymbol{x} w h e r e x$ -an arbitrary expression;
$\boldsymbol{x}^{\wedge} \boldsymbol{n}_{\mathbf{-}}$-defines an arbitrary expressionxin an arbitrary degreen;
$\boldsymbol{x}_{-} \wedge \mathbf{n}_{-}-$defines an arbitrary expressionxin an arbitrary degreen;
$\boldsymbol{x}_{-}+y_{-}+z_{-}$-определяет сумму трех произвольных выраженийх, yuz;
$\left\{x_{-}, y_{-}, z_{-}\right\}-d e t e r m i n e s ~ t h e ~ l i s t ~ o f ~ t h r e e ~ a r b i t r a r y ~ e x p r e s s i o n s x, ~ y a n d z ; ~ 90 x-\wedge y_{-}+500$ $x_{-} y_{-}+z_{-}-$defines an expression with five patterns.
Basic patterns in theMathematica are the following three patterns, namely: _orBlank[l(in the full form)-the pattern defining an arbitrary expression;
_ torBlank[t](in the full form)-the pattern defining an arbitrary expression with a headingt;
__(2symbols"_") orBlankSequence[](in the full form)-the pattern defining an arbitrary
expression or sequence of arbitrary expressions;
__torBlankSequence[t](in the full form)-the pattern determining an arbitrary expression or sequence of arbitrary expressions with a headingheach; ___(3symbols"_")
orBlankNullSequence[l(in the full form)-the pattern that determines absence of expressions, or sequence of arbitrary expressions; ___t torBlankNullSequence[t](in the full form)-the pattern which determines absence of expressions,or sequence of arbitrary expressions with headingteach.

At that, in the full form the expressions containing patterns of types \{ "__", "_्", "_"\} are represented in the formats illustrated by the next example: In[2500]:=
Map[FullForm, $\left\{x_{-}, x_{\_}, x_{\_}\right.$
Out[2500]= \{Pattern[x, Blank[]], Pattern[x, BlankSequence[]],
Pattern[x , BlankNullSequence[]]\}
The simple enoughExprPatternQ function provides testing of an expression regarding existence in it of patterns of types \{"_", "__", "__"\}, whose the call ExprPatternQ[x] returnsTrue if an expressionx contains at least one of the patterns \{"_", "_,", "__一"\}, andFalse otherwise. The next fragment represents source code of theExprPatternQ function with typical examples of its use:
In[2502]:= ExprPatternQ[x_] := ! StringFreeQ[ToString[FullForm[x]], \{"BlankSequence[]", "BlankNullSequence[]", "Blank[]"\}] In[2503]:=
 \{False, True, True, True, True\}

The user has possibility to create patterns for expressions with an arbitrary structure, however the most widespread way of use of templates is a block/ function/procedure definition when formal arguments are specified in its heading. At that, the coding of formal arguments without the above patterns doesn't allow to consider these objects as the blocks/functions/procedures as illustrates a simple enough example:

In[2589] := G[x, y] := x^2 $+\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}$; G1[x_, y_] := $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}$
In[2590]:= \{G[90, 500], G1[90, 500]\}
Out[2590] $=\{G[90,500], 258100\}$
Once again it is necessary to emphasize that patterns in the Mathlanguage represent classes of expressions with the given structure when one pattern corresponds to a certain expression and if the structure of pattern coincides with structure of an expression, i.e. by a filling of the patterns it is possible to receive an expression. Moreover, even two expressions, mathematically equivalent, can't be presented by the same template if they don’t have the same structure. For example, expression $(\boldsymbol{a}+\boldsymbol{b})^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}$ is equivalent to expression $\boldsymbol{a}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2 + 2 *} \boldsymbol{a}^{*} \boldsymbol{b}+\boldsymbol{b}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}$ however these expressions aren’t equivalent at the level of patterns representing them, for the reason, that both have various full form as illustrates a simple example:

In[2507]:= FullForm[(a + b)^2]
Out[2507]//FullForm=
Power[Plus[a, b], 2]
In[2508]:= FullForm[a^2 + 2* $\left.\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{b}+\mathbf{b}^{\wedge} 2\right]$
Out[2508]//FullForm=

Plus[Power[a , 2], Times[2, a, b], Power[b, 2]]
The fact that patterns define structure of expressions, is very important for the solution of the problem of determination of the transformation rules of changing of structure of expressions without change of their mathematical equivalence. The system has not other general criterion which would allow to define equivalence of two expressions. For realization of algorithm of the comparison of expressions the system uses reduction them upto the full form determined by theFullForm function. In the reference on
theMathematica a number of important mechanisms of creation of patterns for a quite wide class of expressions is discussed while in other manuals the receptions used by theMathematica for the purpose of expansion and restriction of classes of expressions represented by patterns are being considered. For definition of the expressions coinciding with the given pattern it is possible to apply theCases function allowing five coding formats [68]; so, the callCases[a,p] according to the first format returns the elementsexpressions of a lista that are structurally corresponded to a pattern $\boldsymbol{p}$ as very visually illustrates the following simple example, namely:
$\operatorname{In}[2610]:=\operatorname{Cases}\left[\left\{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{c}^{\wedge} 5,5+\mathbf{6}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{7}, \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{p}^{\wedge} \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{m} \wedge(-\mathbf{p})\right\}, \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{x} \_\wedge \mathbf{n}\right]$ Out[2610]= $\left\{\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \mathrm{c}^{\wedge 5}, \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \mathrm{p}^{\wedge} \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \mathrm{m}^{\wedge}-\mathrm{p}\right\}$
Meanwhile, without being distracted by details, we only will note that the Mathematica has a number of the functions providing the functioning with expressions at the level of the patterns representing them as in general, and at the level of the subexpressions composing them; furthermore, the reader can familiarize oneself with these means, in particular, in [51,60,65,68,71].
As it was noted, it is possible to apply the Cases function for determination of the expressions coinciding with a givenpattern, however not all problems of expressions comparison with patterns are solved by the standard means. For solution of the problem inbroader aspect theEquExprPatt procedure can be rather useful whose callEquExprPatt $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{p}]$ returnsTrue if an expression $\boldsymbol{x}$ corresponds to a given patternp, andFalse otherwise. The fragment below represents source code of the procedure with examples of its application.
In[3395] := EquExprPatt[x_, y_/; ExprPatternQ[y]] := Module[\{c, d=\{\}, j, t, v=\{\}, k $=\mathbf{1 , p}, \mathbf{g}=\{ \}, \mathrm{s}=\{ \}, \mathbf{a}=$ Map[FullForm, Map[Expand, $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}]], \mathrm{b}=$ Mapp[MinusList, Map[OP, Map[Expand, \{x, y\}]], \{FullForm\}], z = SetAttributes[ToString, Listable], $\mathbf{w}\},\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}=\operatorname{ToString}[\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}\}] ; \mathbf{p}=$ StringPosition[c[[2]], \{"Pattern[", "Blank[]]"\}];

While[k = 2*k-1; k <= Length[p], AppendTo[d, StringTake[c[[2]], \{p[[k]][[1]], p[[k + 1]][[2]] \}]]; k++]; \{t, k\}= \{ToExpression[d], 1\}; While[k <= Length[t], AppendTo[v, StringJoin[ToString[Op[t[[k]]I]]]; k++]; v = ToString[v]; v = Map13[Rule, \{d, v\}]; v = StringReplace[c[[2]], v]; b=Quiet[Mapp[Select, b, ! SystemQ[\#] ||
BlockFuncModQ[ToString[\#]] \&]]; \{b, k, j\}= \{ToString[b], 1, 1\}; While[k <= Length[b[[1]]], z = b[[1]]][[k]]; AppendTo[g, \{"[" <> z <> ","-> "[w"," " <> z <> ","> " w", "[" <> z <> "]"-> "[w]", "" <> z <> "]"-> " w]"\}]; k++]; While[j <= Length[b[[2]]l], z = b[[2]][[j]]; AppendTo[s, \{"[" <> z <> ","-> "[w", " " <> z <> ","> " w", "[" <> z <> "]"-> "[w]"," " <> z <> "]"-> " w]"\}]; j++];
ClearAttributes[ToString, Listable]; $\mathrm{z}=$ Map9[StringReplace, $\{\mathrm{c}[[1]], \mathrm{v}\}$,

Map[Flatten, $\{\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{s}\}]$ ]; SameQ[z[[1]], StringReplace[z[[2]],
Join[GenRules[Flatten[Map[\# <> "," \&, Map[ToString, t]]], "w"],
GenRules[Flatten[Map[\# <> "]" \&, Map[ToString, t]]], "w]"],
GenRules[Flatten[Map[\# <> ")" \&, Map[ToString, t]l], "w)"ll]l]
In[3396] := EquExprPatt[a*Sin[x]-5*b* $\left.\mathbf{c} \wedge \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{a}^{*} \operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}]-5 * \mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{x} \_\wedge \mathbf{n}\right]$ ] Out[3396]= True In[3397]:= EquExprPatt[a*Sin[x]-5*b*c^5, 90*Sin[x]-500*b*x_^n_] Out[3397]= True
In[3398]:= EquExprPatt[a^2 + 2*a*b + b^2, (x_+ y_) $\mathbf{n}^{\wedge}$ 2]
Out[3398]= True
In[3399]: = Mapp[EquExprPatt, $\left\{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{c}^{\wedge} \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{5}+\mathbf{6}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{\wedge} 7, \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{p}^{\wedge} \mathbf{m}\right.$,
$\left.\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{m} \wedge \mathbf{p}\right\}, \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{x} \_$_n_]
Out[3399]= \{True, True, True, True $\}$
$\operatorname{In}[3400]:=$ Mapp[Cases, $\left\{\left\{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{c}^{\wedge} 5\right\},\left\{5+6^{*} \mathbf{y}^{\wedge} 7\right\},\left\{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{p}^{\wedge} \mathbf{m}\right\}\right.$,
$\left.\left.\left\{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{m}^{\wedge} \mathbf{p}\right\}\right\}, \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{x}_{-} \wedge \mathbf{n} \_\right]$
Out[3400] $=\left\{\left\{a+b c^{\wedge} 5\right\},\{ \},\left\{a+b p^{\wedge} m\right\},\{a+b m \wedge p\}\right\}$
In[3401]:= EquExprPatt1[a^2 + 2* $\left.\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{b}+\mathbf{b}^{\wedge 2,(a+b)}{ }^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right]$
Out[3401]= True
At that, the definition of the EquExprPatt along with standard means uses and our means such asExprPatternQ,Map9, Map13, Mapp, MinusList, Op, OP, ProcQ, QFunction, SystemQ, which are considered in the present book and in [28-33]. The last examples of the fragment illustrate as well the more ample opportunities of theEquExprPatt procedure concerning the standard Cases function. As the algorithm of the procedure is based on presentation of expressions and patterns in the full form(FullForm), in principle, as the second argument of theEquExprPatt procedure it is possible to apply any expression, having encoded the second argument asy_ in definition of the EquExprPatt, having modified it in the EquExprPatt1 procedure different from theEquExprPatt only by this condition. In this case it is possible to test two any expressions regarding theirstructural equivalence what represents a quite important question in a number of tasks of the expressions analysis. Note, in realization of the procedure a quite useful reception of temporary endowing of the systemToString function byListable-attribute is used. In [33] the questions of manipulations with patterns are considered in detail.
Determination of types of expression in patterns. For this purpose it is quite possible to use headings of expressionsw(they are determined by the function callHead[w]), which define their main essence. So, the patterns_h and $\boldsymbol{x}$ _ $\boldsymbol{h}$ will represent expressions with a headingh, the next headings from which are the most often used, namely:
$x_{\text {_ }} h$ - an expression $x$ with heading h:
$x_{-}$Integer -an expressionxwith headingInteger(integer)
x_Real -an expressionxwith headingReal(real number)
 expressionxwith headingList(list)
$x_{\text {_String }}$-an expressionxwith headingString(string)
x_Symbol -an expressionxwith headingSymbol(symbol)
x_Plus -an expressionxwith headingPlus(addition,subtraction) x_Times -an expressionxwith headingTimes(product,division) $x_{\text {_ Power -an expressionxwith }}$ headingPower(power)

In principle, any admissible heading can act as some heading as a part of a pattern. We will give examples of such patterns, namely:
In[2415] := G[x_Plus] := x^2; S[x_Power] := $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2} ;\left\{\mathbf{G}[90], G[\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}], \mathrm{S}[500], \mathrm{S}\left[\mathbf{a}^{\wedge} \mathbf{b}\right]\right.$, G[c-d], $\left.\mathbf{5}^{\wedge}(-1)\right\}$
Out[2415]= \{G[90], (a+b)^2, S[500], a^(2 b), (c-d)^2, 1/5\}
Meanwhile, in certain cases of standardly defined headings isn 't enough for assignment of patterns, quite naturally bringing up the question of addition to their list of the headings determined by the user. Since for evaluation of a heading, the standardHead function is used, therefore naturally to modify this function regarding testing by it of wider class of the headings. For this purpose theRepStandFunc procedure has been determined, whose the call RepStandFunc[ $\mathbf{x , y}, \mathbf{z}]$ returns the call of a functiony of the same name with a standard functiony and whose definition is given in string format by the argumentr, on the listz of its actual arguments. At the same time such call of theRepStandFunc procedure is once-only in the sense that after the call the initial state of a standard functiony is restored. The following fragment presents source code of theRepStandFunc procedure and examples of its application and of testing of aftereffect of result of its call; along with that, in other part of the fragment the means illustrating the aforesaid are presented.

In[3380] := RepStandFunc[x_/; StringQ[x], y_/; SymbolQ[y], z_/; ListQ[x]] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{b}=$ Attributes[y], a = ToString[y] <> ".mx"\}, DumpSave[a, y]; ClearAllAttributes[y]; Clear[y]; ToExpression[x]; d = y[Sequences[z]]; Clear[y]; Get[a]; SetAttributes[y, b]; DeleteFile[a]; d]
In[3381]:= $\mathbf{x}=$ " $\operatorname{Sin}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}, z_{-}\right]:=\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2+y^{\wedge} 2+z^{\wedge} 2^{2}$ ";
RepStandFunc[x, Sin, \{73, 90, 500\}]
Out[3381]= 263429
In[3382]:= $x=$ "Sin[x_] := x^5"; RepStandFunc[x, Sin, \{47\}]
Out[3382]= 229345007
In[3383]:= Definition[Sin]
Out[3383]= Attributes[Sin]= \{Listable, NumericFunction, Protected $\}$
In[3384]:= Sin[73.50090]
Out[3384] $=-0.947162$
In[3390] := Headd := "Head[x_] := Module[\{b = \{ListListQ, ProcQ, SystemQ,
NestListQ, QFunction\}, c = \{ListList, Procedure, System, NestList, Function\}, h =
SetAttributes[SetAttributes, Listable], d=90, k=1\}, SetAttributes1[c, Protected];
Quiet[For[k = 1, k <= Length[b], k++, If[b[[k]][x], d = c[[k]]; Break[]]]];
ClearAttributes[SetAttributes, Listable]; If[d === 90, x[[0]], d]]"
In[3391] := RepStandFunc[Headd, Head, $\{\{\{\mathbf{a}\},\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\},\{\mathbf{d}\}\}\}]$
Out[3391]= NestList
In[3392]:= Definition[Head]
Out[3392]= Attributes[Head]= \{Protected $\}$
In[3393]:= Head[\{\{a\}, \{b, c $\},\{\mathbf{d}\}\}]$

Out[3393]= List
In[3394]:= G[h_NestList] := Length[h]
$\operatorname{In}[3395]:=\mathbf{G}[\{\{\mathbf{a}\},\{\mathbf{b}\},\{\mathbf{c}\},\{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{t}\},\{\mathbf{f}\},\{\mathbf{g}\},\{\mathbf{v}\}\}]$
Out[3395]= G[\{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d, t\}, \{f\}, \{g\}, \{v\}\}]
In[3396]:= G[h_List] := Length[h]
$\operatorname{In}[3397]:=\mathbf{G}[\{\{\mathbf{a}\},\{\mathbf{b}\},\{\mathbf{c}\},\{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{t}\},\{\mathbf{f}\},\{\mathbf{g}\},\{\mathbf{v}\}\}]$
Out[3397]= 7
In[3398]:= ClearAllAttributes[Head]; Clear[Head]; ToExpression[Headd] In[3399]:=
G[h_ListList] := Length[h]
$\operatorname{In}[3400]:=\mathbf{G}[\{\{\mathbf{a}\},\{\mathbf{b}\},\{\mathbf{c}\},\{\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{t}\},\{\mathbf{f}\},\{\mathbf{g}\},\{\mathbf{v}\}\}]$
Out[3400]= 7
In[3795]:= SetAttributes1[x_, y_] :=
ToExpression["SetAttributes[SetAttributes, Listable];
SetAttributes[" <> ToString[x] <> ", " <> ToString[y] <> "];
ClearAttributes[SetAttributes, Listable]"]
$\operatorname{In}[3796]:=\mathbf{t}=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}$; SetAttributes1[t, Listable];
Map[Attributes, Flatten[\{t, SetAttributes $\}$ ]]
Out[3796]= \{\{Listable\}, \{Listable\}, \{Listable\}, \{HoldFirst, Protected\} $\}$
In the previous fragment a string structureHeadd has been presented which represents definition of theHead procedure of the same name with standard Head function with expansion of functionality of the last. As an example the callRepStandFunc[Headd, Head, $\{\{\{a\},\{b, c\},\{d\}\}\}]$ is presented whose result is a modification of theHead(Headd) function whose once-only application to a list of NestList-type returns the headingNestList on such list, whereas theHead function on this list returns the headingList. Modifications of the Head procedure in string structureHeadd are quite simple(by an appropriate extension of the lists represented by local variablesbandc), in principle allowing to expand the list of headings arbitrarily widely. However, these headings aren't distinguished by theMathematica as components of" $\boldsymbol{x} \_\boldsymbol{h}$ " patterns as the fragment example with function $\boldsymbol{G}$ very visually illustrates. Moreover, this result takes place both at using of theRepStandFunc procedure, and at theprolonged replacement(for the duration of theMathematicacurrent session) of the standardHead function by its modification which is located in string structureHeadd. As a result of similar procedure theMathematica restart is required for recovery of the original version of theHead function if before, it wasn't kept in a datafile of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format from which it could be loaded into the current session as that theRepStandFunc procedure does. At that it is supposed that a block/procedure/function replacing a standard functionx shouldn't contain calls of the initial functionx; otherwise, emergence of the special or erroneous situations up to the looping is a quite real, demanding the restart of theMathematica system.
At last, theSetAttributes1 function completes the previous fragment; its call
SetAttributes1[x,y] expands the standardSetAttributes function onto the form of presentation of thefirst argumentx, for which theindexed variables, lists, etc. can be used, for example, providing the ascribing of attributesy to elements of a listx. Meanwhile, the above mechanism ofonce-only use of the substitutes of the same name of standard functions in certain cases is rather effective method, however prolongation of such substitutes on the current session can cause conflict situations with its functions that
significantly use originals of the replaced means. So, the given mechanism should be used a rather circumspectly.
The question of processing of the formal arguments with good reason can be considered as the first problem relating to the calculation of tuple of formal arguments of the user functions/modules/blocks that have been activated in the current session directly or on the basis of download of the packages containing their definitions. In the previous works [3033,48 ] certain means for the solution of this task have been offered in the form of the procedures Args, Args0, Args1, Args2, below we will present similar means in narrower assortment and with the improved functional characteristics. First of all, as a very useful means, we will present theArgs procedure whose callArgs $[x]$ returns the list of formal arguments of the user module/block/functionx. The following fragment represents sourse code of theArgs procedure with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2322] := V := Compile[\{ $\left\{\mathbf{x}, \_\right.$Real $\}$, $\{\mathbf{y}, \quad$ Real $\left.\left.\}\right\},\left(\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{3}+\mathbf{y}\right)^{\wedge} 2\right]$;
Kr := (\#1^2 + \#2^4-90*\#3) \&; H[x_] := Block[\{\}, x]; Art := Function[\{x, y\},
x*Sin[y]]; P[y_] := Module[\{\}, y]; P[x__]:= Plus[Sequences[\{x\}]]; H[x_, y_]:= x + y; GS[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]] := Sin[90] + Cos[42]; Sv[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_ /; IntegerQ[y]] := x^2 + y^2; Sv = Compile[\{\{x, _Integer\}, $\{\mathbf{y}, \quad$ Real $\left.\}\},(x+y)^{\wedge} \mathbf{6}\right] ;$ S
:= Compile[\{\{x,_Integer $\},\left\{\mathbf{y}, \_\right.$Real $\left.\left.\}\right\},(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})^{\wedge} 3\right]$;
$\mathbf{G}=$ Compile[ $\left\{\{\mathbf{x}, \quad\right.$ Integer $\},\left\{\mathbf{y}, \_\right.$Real $\left.\left.\}\right\},(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})\right]$;
T:= Compile[\{\{x,_Real\}\}, (x+y)]; SetAttributes[H, Protected];
In[2323]:= Args[P_, z__] := Module[\{a, b, c, $\mathbf{d}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{V t}\}$, If[CompileFuncQ[P] || BlockFuncModQ[P],

Vt[y_/; ListQ[y]] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{p}=1, \mathbf{q}=\{ \}, \mathbf{t}\}$, While[p <= Length[y], q = Append[q, t = ToString[y[[p]]]; StringTake[t, \{1, StringPosition[t, "_"][[1]][[1]]-1\}]]; p++]; q]; If[CompileFuncQ[P],
a = StringSplit[ToString[InputForm[Definition2[P]]], "\n \n"][[1]]; b =
Quiet[SubStrSymbolParity1[a, "\{", "\}"]]; b = Select[b, ! StringFreeQ[\#, "_"]||!
StringFreeQ[a, " Function[" <> \#] \&]; b = Mapp[StringSplit, b, ", "];
b = Mapp[StringReplace, $\mathbf{b},\{"\{"->" ", "\} "-\gg "\}] ;$ b = Mapp[Select, b,
StringFreeQ[\#, "Blank\$"] \&]; c = b[[2]];
For[k, k <= Length[c], k++, d = Append[d, c[[k]] <> b[[1]][[k]]]]; d =
ToExpression[d];
If $\{\{\mathbf{z}\}==\{ \}, \mathbf{d}$, Flatten[Map[Vt, $\{\mathbf{d}\}]]$,
If[BlockFuncModQ[P], a = Flatten[\{HeadPF[P]\}];
For[k, $k$ <= Length[a], k++, d = Append[d,
If[ $\{\mathrm{z}\}$ ! $=\{ \}$, Vt[ToExpression[" $\{$ " <> StringTake[a[[k]], \{StringLength[ToString[P]] + 2,-2\}] <> "\}"]l, ToExpression[" \{" <> StringTake[a[[k]], \{StringLength[ToString[P]] $+2,-2\}]$ <> "\}"]lll]; If[Length[d] == 1, d[[1]], d],
a = StringTake[StringReplace[ToString[InputForm[Definition2[P]]], "Definition2["> "", 1], \{1,-2\}]; If[SuffPref[a, "Function[\{", 1],
b = SubStrSymbolParity1[a, "\{", "\}"]; b = Select[b, ! StringFreeQ[a, "Function[" <> \#] \&][[1]]; a = StringSplit[StringTake[b, \{2,-2\}], ", "], a = StringReplace[a, "\#"-> "\$\$\$\$\$"]; a = Map[ToString, UnDefVars[ToExpression[a]]]; Map[ToString,

ToExpression[Mapp[StringReplace, a, "\$\$\$\$\$"-> "\#"]l]I]], \$Failed]]
In[2324] := Map[Args, \{V, S, Sv, T\}]
Out[2324]= \{\{x_Real,y_Real\},\{x_Integer,y_Real\},\{x_Integer,y_Real\},\{x_Real\}\}
In[2325]:= Mapp[Args, \{V, S, Sv, T\}, gs]
Out[2325]= \{\{"x", "y"\}, \{"x", "y"\}, \{"x", "y"\}, \{"x"\}\}
In[2326]:= Map[Args, $\{\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P}, \mathbf{G S}\}]$
Out[2326]= \{\{\{x_\},\{x_,y_\}\},\{\{y_\},\{x_\}\}\},\{x_/; IntegerQ[x],y_/; IntegerQ[y]\}\}
In[2327]: = Mapp[Args, \{H, P, GS\}, gs]
Out[2327]= \{ \{\{"x"\}, \{"x", "y"\}\}, \{\{"y"\}, \{"x"\}\}, \{"x", "y"\}\}
In[2328]:= Map[Args, $\{$ Art, Kr\}]
Out[2328]= \{ \{"x", "y"\}, \{"\#1", "\#2", "\#3"\}\}
In[2329]:= Mapp[Args, \{Art, Kr\}, gs]
Out[2329]= \{\{"x", "y"\}, \{"\#1", "\#2", "\#3"\}\}
In[2330]:= Map[Args, \{avz, 50090, a + b\}]
Out[2330]= \{\$Failed, \$Failed, \$Failed
In[2556]:= Args1[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x] $]:=\operatorname{Module}[\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{a}=$ Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}]\}, For[b, b <= Length[a], b++, t =
ToString[Unique["agn"]]; p = t <> ToString[x]; ToExpression[t <> a[[b]]]; d = Unique["avz"];

AppendTo[c, \{Args[p], BlockFuncModQ[p, d]; d\}]; d = ToUpperCase[d]; ToExpression["Clear[" <> p <> "," <> t <> "," <> d <> "]"]]; If[Length[c] == 1, c[[1]], c]]
In[2557]:= Args1[H]
Out[2557]= \{\{\{x_\}, "Block"\}, \{\{x_, y_\}, "Function"\}\}
In[2558]:= Args1[GS]
Out[2558]= \{ \{x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]\}, "Function"\} In[2559]:= Args1[P] Out[2559]= $\left\{\left\{\left\{y_{-}\right\}\right.\right.$, "Module" $\}$, \{ $\left\{\mathrm{x} \_\right.$_ $\}$, "Function" $\left.\}\right\}$
At that, the format of the result returned by a callArgs[x] is defined by type of an objectx, namely:

- the list of formal arguments with the types ascribed to them is returned on theCompile function;
- the list of formal arguments with the tests for an admissibility of the actual arguments ascribed to them or without them is returned on \{module, block, typical function\}; at that, theArgs procedure processes the situation"objects of the same name with various headings", returning the nested list of the formal arguments concerning all subobjects composing an objectx in the order that is determined by the callDefinition2[x]; - the list of slots $\{\# \mathbf{1}, \ldots, \# \boldsymbol{n}\}$ in string format of formal arguments is returned on pure function in short format while for standard pure function the list of formal arguments in string format is returned.
Moreover, the call $\mathbf{A r g s}[\mathbf{W g}, \boldsymbol{h}]$ with the second optional argumenth -any admissible expression or any their sequence - returns the result similar to the call with the first argument, with that difference that all formal arguments are encoded in string format, but without types ascribed to arguments and tests for admissibility. On an inadmissible actual
argument the call $\mathbf{A r g s}[x]$ returns $\$$ Failed. Told very visually is looked through in the examples which are represented in the previous fragment.
At that, the definition of theArgs along with standard means uses and our means such as BlockFuncModQ, CompileFuncQ, Definition2, SuffPref, HeadPF, Mapp, SubStrSymbolParity1 andUnDefVars that are considered in the present book and in [2833]. This procedure is used quite widely, first of all, in problems of system programming in theMathematica, significantly expanding the above-mentioned proceduresArgs, Args0, Args1, Args2. In the same context we will note that a number of the means presented in [32] are absent in the present book because of replacement their by more quick- acting and functionally developed means; like this, theArgsProc procedure whose functions are overlapped by theArgs procedure.
The Args1 procedure completes the previous fragment, whose callArgs1[x] returns simple or the nested list, whose elements are2-element lists, whose first element represents the list of formal arguments with the types and tests, ascribed to them while the second- an object type in the context \{"Module", "Block","Function"\}. As argumentx the objects on whichBlockFuncModQ $[x]$ returnsTrue are allowed. On an unacceptable argumentr the procedure call Args1[x] is returned unevaluated.
TheArgsBFM procedure is quite useful means in addition to the procedures Args andArgs1; it is intended for evaluation of formal arguments of a block/ function/module. The next fragment represents source code of the procedure ArgsBFM along with typical examples of its usage.
$\operatorname{In}[2396]:=\operatorname{ArgsBFM}\left[x_{-} / ;\right.$BlockFuncModQ[x], y__]:= Module[ $\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{a}=$ Flatten[\{HeadPF[x] $\}$ ], $\mathbf{d}=\{ \}, \mathbf{n}=$ ToString[x] <> "[", k=1\}, b= Map[ToExpression["\{" <> StringTake[\#, \{StringLength[n] + 1,-2\}] <> "\}"] \&, a]; c = Map[Map[ToString, \#] \&, b]; While[k <= Length[c], p = c[[k]]; AppendTo[d, Map[StringTake[\#, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[\#, "_"]][[1]]-1\}] \&, p]]; k++]; If[\{y\}!= \{\}\&\&! HowAct[y], y = c]; d]
In[2397] := G[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]] := x + y; G[x_, y__] := x + y; G[x_, y_/; IntegerQ[y], z_] := x + y + z; G[x_Integer, y_] := x + y; G[x_/; x == \{42, 47, 67\}, y_/; IntegerQ[y]] := Length[x] + y; G[x_/; IntegerQ[x]] := x
In[2398]:= ArgsBFM[G]
Out[2398]= \{\{"x", "y"\}, \{"x", "y", "z"\}, \{"x", "y"\}, \{"x", "y"\}, \{"x", "y"\}, \{"x"\}\}
In[2399]:= ArgsBFM[G, gs]
Out[2399]= \{\{"x", "y"\}, \{"x", "y", "z"\}, \{"x", "y"\}, \{"x", "y"\}, \{"x", "y"\}, \{"x"\}\} In[2400]:= gs
Out[2400]= \{\{"x_/; IntegerQ[x]", "y_/; IntegerQ[y]"\},
\{ "x_", "y_/; IntegerQ[y]", "z_"\}, \{"x_Integer", "y__"\}, \{"x_/; x== \{42, 47, 67\}", "y_ /; IntegerQ[y]"\}, \{"x_", "y__"\}, \{"x_/; IntegerQ[x]"\}\}
The procedure call ArgsBFM[x] returns the list offormal arguments in string format of a block/function/module $\boldsymbol{x}$ whereas the callArgsBFM $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ with the second optional argumenty -an undefinite variable- in addition returns thru it the list of formal arguments of the block/function/modulex with the tests for admissibility in string format that are ascribed to them.

The next ArgsTypes procedure serves for testing of the formal arguments of a block/function/module activated in the current session. The procedure callArgsTypes[x] returns the nested list, whose2-element sublists in string format define names of formal arguments and their admissible types(and in a broader sense the tests for their admissibility along with initial values by default) respectively. At absence for an argument of type it is defined as "Arbitrary" that is characteristic for arguments ofpure functions and arguments without the tests and/or initial values ascribed to them, and also which have format patterns \{"_,", "__"\}. The following fragment represents source code of the ArgsTypes procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2775] := V := Compile[\{\{x,_Real\}, \{y,_Real\}\}, (x^3+y)^2];
Kr := (\#1^2 + \#2^4-90*\#3) \&; H[x_] := Block[\{\}, x]; Art := Function[\{x, y\}, x*Sin[y]]; H[x_, y_] := x + y; P[x__]:= Plus[Sequences[\{x\}]]; GS[x_] := x;
P[x_/; StringQ[x], y_]:= StringLength[x];
GS[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]] := Sin[90] + Cos[42]; Sv[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_ /; IntegerQ[y]] := x^2 + y^2; Sv = Compile[\{\{x,_Integer\}, \{y,_Real\}\}, (x+y)^6]; S
:= Compile[\{ $\{x$, Integer $\},\left\{y, \_\right.$Real $\left.\left.\}\right\},(x+y)^{\wedge} 3\right]$;
$\mathbf{G}=$ Compile[ $\left\{\{\mathbf{x}, \quad\right.$ Integer $\},\left\{\mathbf{y}, \_\right.$Real $\left.\left.\}\right\},(x+y)\right]$;
P[y_] := Module[\{\}, y]; P[x_] := Plus[Sequences[\{x\}]]; T:= Compile[\{\{x,_Real\}\}, (x + y)]; GS[x_, y_String] := \{x, y\} In[2776]:= ArgsTypes[x_/; CompileFuncQ[x] || BlockFuncModQ[x]]:=

Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\operatorname{Args}[\mathrm{x}], \mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{d}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}=1\}$, If[CompileFuncQ[x], $\mathbf{a}=$ Mapp[StringSplit, Map[ToString, a], "_"]; If[Length[a] == 1, a[[1]], a], If[PureFuncQ[x], a = Map[\{\#, "Arbitrary"\} \&, a]; If[Length[a] == 1, a[[1]], a], SetAttributes[ToString, Listable]; a = Map[ToString, a]; ClearAttributes[ToString, Listable]; a = If[NestListQ[a], a, \{a\}]; For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, c = Append[c,

Mapp[StringSplit, Mapp[StringSplit, a[[k]], "_/; "], \{"__", "__", "_"\}]l]; c; For[k = 1, k <= Length[c], k++, d = Append[d, Map[Flatten, c[[k]]]I]; c = \{\}; For[k = 1, k <= Length[d], k++, c = Append[c, Map[If[Length[\#] == 1, \{\#[[1]], "Arbitrary"\}, \{\#[[1]], StringReplace[\#[[2]], "‘"-> ""]\}] \&, d[[k]II]]; c = Map[If[Length[\#] == 1, \#[[1]], \#] \&, c]; If[Length[c] == 1, c[[1]], c]l]]

```
In[2777]:= Map[ArgsTypes, {GS, Args}]
Out[2777]= {{{{"x", "IntegerQ[x]"}, {"y", "IntegerQ[y]"}},
{{"x", "Arbitrary"}, {"y", "String"}}, {"x", "Arbitrary"}}, {{"P", "Arbitrary"}, {"z",
"Arbitrary"}}}
In[2778]:= ArgsTypes[P]
Out[2778]= {{{"x", "StringQ[x]"}, {"y", "Arbitrary"}},
{"y", "Arbitrary"}, {"x", "Arbitrary"}}
In[2779]:= Map[ArgsTypes, {Art, Kr}]
Out[2779]= {{{"x", "Arbitrary"}, {"y", "Arbitrary"}},
{{"#1", "Arbitrary"}, {"#2", "Arbitrary"}, {"#3", "Arbitrary"}}}
In[2780]:= Map[ArgsTypes, {V, Sv, S, G, T}]
Out[2780]= {{{"x", "Real"}, {"y", "Real"}}, {{"x", "Integer"}, {"y", "Real"}}, {{"x",
"Integer"}, {"y", "Real"}},
{{"x", "Integer"}, {"y", "Real"}}, {"x", "Real"}}
```

Moreover, the ArgsTypes procedure successfully processes the mentioned situation"objects of the same name with various headings", returning the nested 2-element lists of formal arguments concerning the subobjects composing an objectx, in the order determined by theDefinition function. And in this case2-element lists have the format, represented above whereas for objects with empty list of formal arguments the empty list is returned, i.e. \{\}. Unlike ArgsTypes of the same name [29,30] the given procedure processes blocks/ modules/functions, includingpure functions andCompile functions. At that, the callArgsTypes $[\boldsymbol{x}]$ on an illegal argument $x$ is returned unevaluated. Multiple patterns of formats _ _ andx__ allow to determine any number of admissible factual arguments of a block/function/module; at that, if the first pattern defines not less than one argument, the second pattern allows absence of the actual arguments. The mentioned patterns formats of formal arguments allow to determine the objects of the specified type, allowing any number of the actual arguments at their calls. This circumstance is the basis for programming of the means that define arity of the user block/function/ module, i.e. number of the actual arguments allowed at the object calls of a specified type that doesn't cause special(unevaluated calls) or the erroneous situations caused by discrepancy between number of the received factual arguments and of admissible at determining of an object. The question of calculation of arity of the user block/function/module is rather important in many appendices and, first of all, of system character, butMathematica has no means for its solution therefore certain procedures for the solution of the question have been created such asArity, Arity1, Arity2, Aritym, Aritypf that solve this problem with one or another degree of generality [30-32]. The next fragment presents source code of theArity procedure that generalizes all above-mentioned means solving the arity problem along with examples of its more typical applications.

In[2565] := V := Compile[ $\{\{\mathbf{x}, \quad$ Real $\},\{\mathbf{y}, \quad$ Real $\left.\}\},\left(\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{3}+\mathbf{y}\right)^{\wedge} 2\right]$;
Kr := (\#1^2 + \#2^4-500*\#3) \&; H[x_, y_]:=x+y;Art := Function[\{x, y\}, x*Sin[y]]; H[x_] := Block[\{\}, x]; P[x_]]:= Plus[Sequences[\{x\}]]; SetAttributes[H, Protected]; GS[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]] := Sin[500] + Cos[42]; Sv[x_/; IntegerQ[x],

S := Compile[\{\{x, _Integer\}, $\{\mathbf{y}, \quad$ Real $\left.\}\},(x+y){ }^{\wedge} 3\right]$;
$\mathbf{G}=$ Compile[ $\left\{\{\mathbf{x}, \quad\right.$ Integer $\},\left\{\mathbf{y}, \_\right.$Real $\left.\left.\}\right\},(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})\right]$;
P[y_] := Module[\{\}, y]; T := Compile[\{\{x,_Real\}\}, (x+y)]; Vs[x_/; SameQ[\{x\}, \{\}]] $\left.:=\{x\} ; W_{x}\right]:=x ; W\left[x_{-}, y_{-}\right]:=x+y ; W\left[x_{\_}, y_{-}, z_{-}, t_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{ \}, x^{*} y^{*} z^{*} t ;\right.$
W[x_, y_Integer] := $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}$
In[2566] := Arity[P_/; SystemQ[P] || CompileFuncQ[P] || PureFuncQ[P]
||BlockFuncModQ[P]] := Module[\{a\}, If[SystemQ[P], "System", a = Args[P];
Mapp[SetAttributes, \{ToString, StringFreeQ\}, Listable]; a = Map[ToString, a]; a =
Map[If[DeleteDuplicates[StringFreeQ[\#, "_"]] === \{True\}, Length[\#],
"Undefined"] \&, If[NestListQ[a], a, \{a\}]]; Mapp[ClearAttributes, \{ToString,
StringFreeQ\}, Listable]; If[Length[a] == 1, a[[1]], a]]]
In[2567] := Map[Arity, \{V, S, Sv, T\}]
Out[2567]= \{2, 2, 2, 1\}
In[2568]:= Map[Arity, \{H, P, GS \}]
Out[2568]= \{\{1,2\}, \{1, "Undefined"\}, 2, 1\}
In[2569]:= Map[Arity, \{Art, Kr, ProcQ, Sin, For\}]

Out[2569]= \{2, 3, 1, "System", "System" $\}$
In[2570]:= Map[Arity, \{avz, 500, a + b \}]
Out[2570]= \{Arity[avz], Arity[500], Arity[a+b]\}
In[2571]:= Arity[W]
Out[2571]= \{4, 2, 1, 2\}
In[2666]:= Arity1[P_/; SystemQ[P] || CompileFuncQ[P] || PureFuncQ[P] ||
BlockFuncModQ[P]] :=
Module[ \{a\}, If[SystemQ[P], "System", a = Args[P]; a = Mapp1[ToString, a]; a =
Map[If[DeleteDuplicates[StringFreeQ[\#, "_"]] === \{True\}, Length[\#],
"Undefined"] \&, If[NestListQ[a], a, \{a\}]]; If[Length[a] == 1, a[[1]], a]]] In[2667]:=
Map[Arity1, \{V, S, Sv, T\}]
Out[2667] $=\{2,2,2,1\}$
In[2668]:= Map[Arity1, \{H, P, GS\}]
Out[2668]= $\{\{1,2\},\{1$, "Undefined" $\}, 2,1\}$
In[2669]:= Map[Arity1, \{Art, Kr, ProcQ, Sin, For\}]
Out[2669]= \{2, 3, 1, "System", "System" $\}$
In[2670]:= Arity1[W]
Out[2670] = \{4, 2, 1, 2$\}$
In[2671]:= Map[Arity1, \{avz, 500, a + b\}]
Out[2671]= \{Arity1[avz], Arity1[500], Arity1[a+b]\}
On blocks /functions/modules with undefinite number of arguments the call Arity[x] returns"Undefined", on the system functions the callArity[x] returns "System" while on the objects having the fixed number of actual arguments their number is returned, in other cases the call is returned unevaluated. We will note that theArity procedure processes the special situation"objects of the same name with various headings", returning the list of arities of subobjects composing an objectr. At that, between this list and the list of definitions of subobjects which is returned on the callDefinition[ $x$ ] there is one-to-one correspondence. The definition of theArity procedure along with standard means uses and our means such asArgs, BlockFuncModQ, CompileFuncQ, Mapp, SystemQ,
PureFuncQ, NestListQ that are considered in the present book and in [28-33]. Moreover, at programming of theArity in the light of simplification of its algorithm is expedient for the period of a procedure call to ascribe to the system functionsToString andStringFreeQ the attribute Listable, allowing to considerably reduce source code of theArity. At last, theArity1 procedure-a rather effective equivalent analog ofArityprocedure- completes the previous fragment.

The ArityBFM procedure defining arity of objects of type \{module, classical function, block $\}$ serves as quite useful addition to the proceduresArity and Arity1. The following fragment represents source code of theArityBFM and the most typical examples of its usage.

In[2474]:= ArityBFM[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]] := Module[\{b, a =
Flatten[\{HeadPF[x]\}]\}, b = Map[If[! StringFreeQ[\#, \{"__", "__"\}], "Undefined",
Length[ToExpression[" \{" <> StringTake[StringReplace[\#, ToString[x] <> "["-> "", 1], $\{1,-2\}]$ <> "\}"]l] \&, a]; If[Length[b] == 1, b[[1]], b]] In[2475]:= G[x_/;

IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]]:= x+y;
G[x_Integer, y__]:= x*y; G[x_, y_/; IntegerQ[y], z_]:=x+y+z; G[x_, y_] := x+y; G[x_/; IntegerQ[x]]:= x;
G[x_/; $x==\{42,47,67\}, y_{-} /$; IntegerQ[y]] := Length[x] +y ; In[2476]:=
ArityBFM[G]
Out[2476]= \{2, 3, "Undefined", 2, "Undefined", 1\}
In[2477]:= S[x_, y_] := x*y; V[x_]] := \{x\}; Map[ArityBFM, \{S, V\}] Out[2477]= \{2, "Undefined"
$\left.\left.\operatorname{In}[2478]:=\mathbf{V}\left[\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h} \_\right]:=\mathbf{N}\left[\mathbf{h}^{*}\left(\mathbf{3}^{*} \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{b}+\mathbf{( c - b}\right)^{*} \mathbf{d}+\mathbf{( d - a}\right)^{*} \mathbf{c}\right) / 3000\right] \operatorname{In}[2479]:=$ \{V[25, 18, 47, 72, 67], ArityBFM[V]\}
Out[2479]= \{126.116, \{5, "Undefined" $\}\}$
The procedure call ArityBFM[x] returnsarity(number of arguments) of an objectx of type $\{$ block, function, module\}; at that, a function of classical type is understood as functionx, i.e. some function withheading. In the presence in heading of formal arguments with patterns \{"_,","__"\} arity is defined as undefinite("Undefined") because arity is understood as a real number of the factual arguments, admissible at a call of an object $x$ which in that case can be undefinite. At that, on objectsx of type, different from the specified, the procedure call is returned unevaluated.

Like the Maple system, theMathematica system doesn't give a possibility to test inadmissibility of all actual arguments in a block/function/module in a point of its call, interrupting its call already on thefirst inadmissible actual argument. Meanwhile, in view of importance of definition of allinadmissible actual arguments only for one pass, theTestArgsTypes procedure solving this important enough problem and presented in our book [30] and package AVZ_Package [48] has been created. At that, the emergence of new means and updating of our existing functional means allows to update also and the given means, presented by the procedure of the same name. The following fragment presents source code of theTestArgsTypes procedure along with one its useful modificationTestArgsTypes1 and examples of their usage.
In[2760]:= TestArgsTypes[P_/; ModuleQ[P] || BlockQ[P] ||
QFunction[P], y_] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}=\{ \}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}=1, \mathbf{a}=\operatorname{Map}[$ ToString, $\operatorname{Args}[\mathrm{P}]], \mathrm{b}=$ ToString[InputForm[y]l]\}, ClearAll["\$TestArgsTypes"]; If[! SuffPref[b, ToString[P] <> "[", 1], Return[y], c = Map[ToString1, ToExpression[" \{" <> StringTake[b, \{StringLength[ToString[P]] + 2,-2\}] <> "\}"]l]; If[Length[a] != Length[c], \$TestArgsTypes = "Quantities of formal and factual arguments are different"; \$Failed, For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, d = Append[d, ToExpression["\{" <> c[[k]] <> "\}" <> "/." <> a[[k]] <> "-> True"]l]; d = Map[If[ListQ[\#], \#[[1]], \#] \&, d]; h = Flatten[Map3[Position, d, Cases[d, Except[True]]]]; h = Map[\{\#, If[ListQ[d[[\#]]], Flatten[d[[\#]], 1], d[[\#]]]\} \&, h]; \$TestArgsTypes = If[Length[h] == 1, h[[1]], h]; \$Failed]]
$\operatorname{In}[2761]:=\mathbf{P}\left[\mathbf{x}_{-}, \mathbf{y}\right.$ _String, $\mathbf{z} / /$; If[z === 90, True, False] $]:=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\} \operatorname{In}[2762]:=$ TestArgsTypes[P, P[agn, "ArtKr", 90]]
Out[2762]= \{agn, "ArtKr", 90\}
In[2763]:= TestArgsTypes[P, P[x, y, z]]

Out[2763]= \$Failed
In[2764]:= \$TestArgsTypes
$\operatorname{Out}[2764]=\{\{2, y\},\{3, z\}\}$
In[2765]:= TestArgsTypes[P, P[x, y, z, h]]
Out[2765]= \$Failed
In[2766]:= \$TestArgsTypes
Out[2766]= "Quantities of formal and factual arguments are different" In[2767]:=
TestArgsTypes[P, P[x, "y", \{500\}]]
Out[2767]= \$Failed
In[2768]:= \$TestArgsTypes
Out[2768]= \{3, \{500\}\}
In[2769]:= TestArgsTypes[P, P[x, a + b, \{500\}]]
Out[2769]= \$Failed
In[2770]:= \$TestArgsTypes
$\operatorname{Out}[2770]=\{\{2, a+b\},\{3,\{500\}\}\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2771]:=$ VS[x_, $n_{-} / ;$IntegerQ[n], $y_{-}, z_{-} / ;$StringQ[z], L_/; ListQ[L] \&\&
MemberQ[\{\{0\}, \{1\}, \{0, 1\}\}, Sort[DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[L]]]]] :=
Block[ \{ \}, L[[StringLength[y <> z] + n]]] In[2772]:= VS[6,-4, "A", "vz", \{0, \{1, 0, 1\}, $\{1,0,0,0,1,1,1,0,0,1\}\}]$

Out[2772] $=\{1,0,0,0,1,1,1,0,0,1\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2773]:=\operatorname{VS}[6,7.2, A, " v z ",\{\mathbf{0},\{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\},\{\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}\}\}] \operatorname{Out}[2773]=$ VS[6, 7.2, A,"vz", $\{0,\{1,0,1\},\{1,0,0,0,1,1,1,0,0,1\}\}] \operatorname{In}[2774]:=$
TestArgsTypes[VS, VS[9, 7.2, A, "v", \{0, \{1, 0, 1\}, \{1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1\}\}]]
Out[2774] = \$Failed
In[2775]:= \$TestArgsTypes
Out[2775]= \{2, 7.2 $\}$
In[2776]:= TestArgsTypes[VS, VS[9, 7.2, A, vz, $\{\mathbf{0},\{\mathbf{1 , ~ 0 , ~ 1 \} , ~ \{ 2 , ~ 0 , ~ 0 , ~ 0 , ~ 7 , ~ 2 \} ~ \} ] ] ~}$
Out[2776]= \$Failed
In[2777]:= \$TestArgsTypes
Out[2777] $=\{\{2,7.2\},\{4, \mathrm{vz}\},\{5,\{0$, True, 2, $0,0,0,7,2\}\}\}$
In[2778]:= TestArgsTypes[VS, VS[9, 0, "A", "v", \{0, \{1, 0, 0,1\}, \{1, 0, 1, 0, 1\}\}]]
Out[2778]= $\{1,0,0,1\}$
In[2779]:= \$TestArgsTypes
Out[2779]= \$TestArgsTypes
In[2862]:= TestArgsTypes1[P_/; ModuleQ[P] || BlockQ[P] ||
QFunction[P], y_]:= Module[ $\{c, d=\{ \}, h, k=1, n, p, w, w 1, a=$
Quiet[ArgsTypes[P]], g = Map[ToString1, Args[P]], b = ToString[InputForm[y]l], a = Map[ $\{\#[[1]]$, StringReplace[\#[[2]], "\>">>""]\}\&, a]; ClearAll["\$TestArgsTypes", "\$\$Art\$Kr\$\$"]; If[! SuffPref[b, ToString[P] <> "[", 1], Return[y], c =
Map[ToString1, ToExpression[" $\{$ " <> StringTake[b, \{StringLength[ToString[P]] + 2,-2\}] <> "\}"]l]; If[Length[a] != Length[c], Return[\$TestArgsTypes = "Quantities of formal and factual arguments are different"; \$Failed], w = Map[StringTake[\#, \{1, StringPosition[\#, "_"][[1]][[1]]-1\}] \&, g]; w1 = Map[ToString, Unique[w]]; While[k
<=Length[w], ToExpression[w1[[k]] <> " = " <> w[[k]]]; k++]; Map[ClearAll, w]; For[k = 1, $k$ <= Length[a], k++, p = a[[k]]; If[p[[2]] === "Arbitrary", d = Append[d, True],
If[StringFreeQ[g[[k]],"/; "],
If[ToExpression["Head[" <> c[[k]] <> "] === " <> p[[2]]], d = Append[d, True], d = Append[d, False]], \$\$Art\$Kr\$\$ = ToExpression[p[[1]]]; n = ToExpression[\{p[[1]] <> " = " <> c[[k]], p[[2]]\}]; ToExpression[p[[1]] <> " = " <> "\$\$Art\$Kr\$\$"]; If[n[[-1]], d = Append[d, True], d = Append[d, False]]III]; h =
DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[Map3[Position, d, Cases[d, Except[True]]I]]; h = Map[\{\#, If[ListQ[c[[\#]]], Flatten[c[[\#]], 1], c[[\#]]]\} \&, h]; \$TestArgsTypes = If[Length[h] == 1, h[[1]], h]; k = 1; While[k <= Length[w], ToExpression[w[[k]] <> " = " <> w1[[k]]]; k++]; ClearAll["\$\$Art\$Kr\$\$"]; \$Failed]

In[2863] := TestArgsTypes1[P, P[x, a + b, \{500\}]]
Out[2863]= \$Failed
In[2864]:= \$TestArgsTypes
Out[2864]= \{\{2, "a+b"\}, \{3, "\{500\}" $\}\}$
In[2865]:= TestArgsTypes1[P, P[agn, "ArtKr", 90]]
Out[2865]= \{agn, "ArtKr", 90\}
In[2866]:= TestArgsTypes1[P, P[x, y, z, h]]
Out[2866] = \$Failed
In[2867]:= \$TestArgsTypes
Out[2867]= "Quantities of formal and factual arguments are different" In[2868]:=
TestArgsTypes $1[\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{P}[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}]]$
Out[2868]= \$Failed
In[2869]:= \$TestArgsTypes
Out[2869]= \{\{2, "y" $\}$, \{3, "z" $\}\}$
In[2870]:= TestArgsTypes1[VS, VS[9, 7.2, A, vz, \{0, \{1, 0, 1\}, \{2, 0, 1, 5, 6, 2\}\}]]
Out[2870]= \$Failed
In[2871]:= \$TestArgsTypes
Out[2871]= \{\{2, "7.2"\}, \{4, "vz"\}, \{5, "\{0, \{1, 0, 1\}, \{2, 0, 1, 5, 6, 2\}\}"\}\}
In[2920] := TestArgsTypes2[x_/; ModuleQ[x]||BlockQ[x]||QFunction[x], y__]:= Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ Quiet[ArgsTypes[x]], $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{M a p}[T o S t r i n g 1,\{y\}], c=\{\mathbf{y}\}, \mathbf{d}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}$, $\mathbf{p}\}, \mathrm{If}[$ Length $[\mathbf{c}]!=$ Length[a],
"Quantities of formal and factual arguments are different", For[k, k <= Length[c], k++, $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{a}[[k]]$;
AppendTo[d, If[p[[2]] === "Arbitrary", True, If[SymbolQ[p[[2]]],
ToString[Head[c[[k]]]] === p[[2]],
ToExpression[StringReplace[p[[2]], \{"[" <> p[[1]] <> "]"-> "[" <> b[[k]] <> "]", " " <> p[[1]] <> " "-> " " <> b[[k]] <> " ", " " <> p[[1]] <> "]" -> " " <> b[[k]] <> "]"\}]IIII];

If[MemberQ[d, False], Partition[Riffle[\{y\}, d], 2], \{True, x[y]\}]]]
In[2921] := TestArgsTypes2[VS, 90, 50]
Out[2921]= "Quantities of formal and factual arguments are different" In[2922]:= F[x_,

Module[ \{\}, x*z + StringLength[y]*Length[t]] In[2923]:= TestArgsTypes2[F, 90, 500, 72, a + b]
Out[2923]= \{\{90, True $\}$, \{500, False $\}$, \{72, True $\},\{a+b$, False $\}\}$
In[2924]:= TestArgsTypes2[F, 50, "Agn", 500, \{r, a, n, s\}]
Out[2924] $=$ \{True, 25012 $\}$
In[2925]:= TestArgsTypes2[P, x, y, z]
Out[2925]= $\{\{x$, True $\},\{y$, False $\},\{z$, False $\}\}$
In[2932]:= TrueCallQ[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x], y__]:=
Quiet[Check[If[UnevaluatedQ[x, y], False, x[y]; True], False]]
In[2933] := TrueCallQ[VS, 9, 7.2, A, vz, $\{\mathbf{0},\{\mathbf{1 , 0}, \mathbf{1}\},\{\mathbf{2 , 0} \mathbf{1 , 5 , 6 , 2 \}}\}]$ Out[2933]= False
In[2934]:= TrueCallQ[P, x, y, z, h]
Out[2934]= False
In[2935]:= TrueCallQ[VS, 9, 7.2, A, "vz", \{0, \{1, 0, 1\}, \{1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1\}\}]
Out[2935]= False
In[2936]:= TrueCallQ[P, agn, "ArtKr", 90]
Out[2936]= True
Call of the above procedure TestArgsTypes[x, $\boldsymbol{x}[. .$.$] processes a procedure \boldsymbol{x}$ call in way that returns result of a procedure callx[...] in case of absence of inadmissible actual arguments and equal number of the factual and formal arguments in a point of procedure callx; otherwise\$Failed is returned. At that through the global variable\$TestArgsTypes the nested list is returned, whose two-element sublists define the set of inadmissible actual arguments, namely: thefirst element of a sublist defines number of inadmissible actual argument while thesecond element- its value. At discrepancy of number of formal arguments to number of actual arguments through\$TestArgsTypes the appropriate diagnostic message is returned, namely:"Quantities of formal and factual arguments are different".
Meanwhile, for simplification of the testing algorithm realized by the above procedure it is supposed that formal arguments of a certain procedurex are typified by the pattern"_" or by construction"Argument_/;Test". Moreover, it is supposed that theunevaluated procedure callx is caused by discrepancy of types of the actual arguments to the formal arguments or by discrepancy of their quantities only. So, the question of testing of the actual arguments is considered at the level of the heading of a block/function/module only for a case when their number is fixed. If a procedure/function allows optional arguments, their typifying assumes correct usage of any expressions as the actual values, i.e. the type of the format " $x$ _" is supposed. In this regard at necessity, their testing should be made in the body of a procedure/function as it is illustrated by useful enough examples in [32]. So, at difficult enough algorithms of check of the received actual arguments onto admissibility it is recommended to program them in thebody of blocks/modules what is more appropriate as a whole.
Meanwhile, as an expansion of theTestArgsTypes procedure the possibility of testing of theactual arguments ontoadmissibility on condition of existence in headings of formal
arguments of types $\{$ "x__", "x__"\} can be considered. The receptions, used in theTestArgsTypes1 procedure which is one useful modification of the aboveTestArgsTypes procedure is a rather perspective prerequisite for further expansion of functionality of these means. A result of callTestArgsTypes $1[x, \boldsymbol{x}[\ldots]]$ is similar to the callTestArgsTypes[ $x, \boldsymbol{x}[\ldots$...]] only with difference that values of inadmissible actual arguments are given in string format. At that without reference to smaller reactivity of thesecond procedure, the algorithm used at its programming is rather interesting for a number of applications, first of all, of system character; its analysis can be a rather useful to the interested reader who wishes to learnMath-language more deeply. This remark concerns some other means of the present book.

Meanwhile, it must be kept in mind that use by procedures TestArgsTypes
andTestArgsTypes1 of the global variable\$TestArgsTypes through which information on the inadmissible actual arguments received by a testedblock/ procedure at its calls is returned, should be defined in the user's package that contains definitions of these procedures, i.e. to be predetermined, otherwise diagnostic information isn't returned thru it. It can be done, for example, by means of inclusion in theAVZ_Package package of the following block:

## Begin["‘\$TestArgsTypes`"] <br> \$TestArgsTypes = 50090 <br> End[]

with obligatory providing a reference(usage) for this variable, for example, of the kind: \$TestArgsTypes::usage = "The global variable \$TestArgsType defined by the proceduresTestArgsTypes andTestArgsTypes1."

This remark should be considered at programming of the procedures which use the global\$-variables for additional return of results, i.e. such variables should be initiated, in particular, in a package containing the definitions of means with their usages.

In some cases the TestArgsTypes2 procedure which is a modification of the previous proceduresTestArgsTypes andTestArgsTypes1 is a rather useful means; the callTestArgsTypes $2[P, y]$, where $\boldsymbol{P}$ - a block, function with the heading, or module, and $\boldsymbol{y}$ a nonempty sequence of the actual arguments passed to the $\boldsymbol{P}$, returns the list of the format $\{\boldsymbol{T r u e}, \boldsymbol{P}[y]\}$ if all argumentsy are admissible; the call returns the nested list whose elements are the call returns the nested list whose elements areelement sublists whose first element defines an actual argument whereas the second element defines its admissibility \{True,False\}; at last, in case of discrepancy of quantities of formal and actual arguments the next message is returned: "Quantities of formal and factual arguments are different". The above fragment contains source code of theTestArgsTypes2 procedure with examples.
At last, in contrast to the above proceduresTestArgsTypes TestArgsTypes2 that provide the differentiated testing of the actual arguments received by a tested object for their admissibility, the simple functionTrueCallQ provides testing of correctness of the call of an object of type \{Block,Function,Module\} as a whole; the callTrueCallQ[x, arg] returnsTrue if the call $x[\arg ]$ is correct, andFalse otherwise. At that, the lack of the fact of the unevaluated call, and lack of the special or erroneous situations distinguished
byMathematica is understood as a correctness of the call. The source code of the function with typical examples of its use completes the previous fragment. It is necessary to note the interesting possibilities of further development of the procedures
TestArgsTypes-TestArgsTypes2 in a number of the important directions, in particular, in case of variable number of the actual arguments, which we leave to the interested reader. To the procedures TestArgsTypes - TestArgsTypes2 and the TrueCallQ function in a certain degree theTestArgsCall procedure adjoins whose call allows to allocate definitions of a block/function or a module on which the call with the given actual arguments is quite correct. The following fragment represents source code of theTestArgsCall procedure with typical examples of its application.
In[2889]:= TestArgsCall[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x], y__] := Module[\{d, p, h = \{\}, k = 1, a = Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}], b = Flatten[\{HeadPF[x]\}], c = "\$\$\$", $\mathbf{n}=$ ToString[x]\},

While[k <= Length[b], d = c <> n; ToExpression[c <> b[[k]] <> ":=90"]; p = Symbol[d][y]; ToExpression["Clear[" <> d <> "]"]; If[p === 90, AppendTo[h, a[[k]]I]; k++]; If[Length[h] == 1, h[[1]], h]]
In[2890] := G[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]] := x + y;
$\mathrm{G}\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{I}}\right.$ Integer, $\left.\mathrm{y}_{\ldots}\right]:=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}$; $\mathrm{G}\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{\prime}}, \mathrm{y} \_\right]:=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}$;
G[x_, y_/; IntegerQ[y], z_]:= x+y+z; G[x_/; IntegerQ[x]]:= x; G[x_/; x == \{42, 47, 67\}, y_/; IntegerQ[y]] := Length[x] + y;
In[2891] := TestArgsCall[G, 19.42, 90]
Out[2891]= "G[x_, y__]:= x+y"
In[2892]:= V[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]] := x + y;
TestArgsCall[V, 19.42, 90] Out[2892]= \{\}
In[2893]:= TestArgsCall[Avz, 19.42, 90]
Out[2893]= TestArgsCall[Avz, 19.42, 90]
The procedure call TestArgsCall $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ returns a definition or the definitions list of a block/function/modulex on which the call with the tuple of actual argumentsy is correct, i.e. their types correspond to admissible types of the formal arguments. Otherwise, the procedure call returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}; on inadmissible argumentx, different from a block/function module, the call is returned unevaluated.

Whereas the procedure call TestFactArgs $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ returns the list fromTrue and False that defines who of the actual arguments determined by a sequencey will be admissible in the callx[y], wherex - an object name with a heading (block,function,module). The procedure assumes equal number of the formal and actual arguments defined by a sequencey, along with existence for an objectx of the fixed number of arguments; otherwise, the callTestFactArgs returns\$Failed. The next fragment represents source code of the procedure TestFactArgs along with typical examples of its usage.

In[2535]:= TestFactArgs[x_/; ProcQ[x] || QFunction[x], y__] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{p}=\{\mathbf{y}\}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{a}=$ Flatten[\{HeadPF[x] $\}][[1]]\}, \mathbf{b}=$ StrToList["\{" <> StringTake[a, \{StringLength[ToString[x]] + 2,-2\}] <> "\}"]; b = Map[StringSplit[\#, "_"] \&, b]; If[Length[b] == Length[p] \&\& StringFreeQ[a, "_,"],

While[k <= Length[b], $\mathbf{d}=\mathbf{b}[[k]]$; If[Length[d] == 1, AppendTo[c, True],
If[Length[d] == 2 \& \& SymbolQ[d[[2]]], AppendTo[c, Head[p[[k]]] ===
Symbol[d[[2]]l],
If[SuffPref[d[[2]], "/; ", 1],
AppendTo[c, ToExpression[StringReplace3[ StringTake[d[[2]], \{5,-1\}], d[[1]], ToString[p[[k]]II]III]; k++]; c, \$Failed]]
In[2536] := VGS[x_, y_Integer, z_/; ListQ[z]] := Flatten[\{x, y, z\}] In[2537]:= TestFactArgs[VGS, avz, 72, \{g, s, a, k\}]
Out[2537]= \{True, True, True $\}$
In[2538]:= TestFactArgs[VGS, 42, ag, a + b]
Out[2538]= \{True, False, False\}
In[2539]:= TestFactArgs[VGS, 42, ag, a + b, 500]
Out[2539]= \$Failed
The TestFactArgs procedure is a generalization of theCheckArgs procedure of theMaple system in case of determination of admissibility of the factual arguments for the blocks/functions/modules. The presented tools of testing of the factual arguments at calls of procedures can be useful enough at the organization of robust program systems of a rather large size. In particular, these means allow rather effectively beforehand to test the correctness of the procedures calls on those or other tuples of factual arguments.

### 6.6. Local variables of modules and blocks; the means of manipulation by them in theMathematicasoftware

Having considered in the previous two sections the means of manipulation with definitions of the blocks/functions/modules along with their headings, we move on to consideration of means whose circle of interests includes the problems linked with manipulation with the following major component of definitions of blocks and modulesthelocal variables. So, this component defines the first leading variable in a block/module definition, as a function from two variables- the list of local variables, and its body. Local variables take place only for procedural objects(ModuleandBlock) ofMathlanguage whereas for functions such concept is absent. Thelocal variables have only a module body as an area of their action, without crossing with the variables of the same name outside of its environment. Meanwhile, namely between objects of types of \{BlockandModule\} there is very essential distinction that is based on mechanisms of local variables which are used by both types of objects and which are considered in [30-33] enough in detail. In view of the importance of the given component for which theMathematica has no tools of manipulation it is very desirable to have similar means. Meanwhile, pre has the meaning to consider the given component of modules and blocks in more detail.
First of all, as for admissibility of thelocal variables for traditional functions. The statement about their inadmissibility isn't absolutely right, namely. The local variables shouldn't have crossing with the variables of the same name, outside of the body of an object in which they are defined. Using the given postulate, on the basis of an artificial reception it is possible to solve also this problem. Basic principle of this reception is presented on a simple example.

In[2617]:= PrevNextVar[x_/; SymbolQ[x], t_/; IntegerQ[t], y__]:= Module[\{a = ToString[x], b, c = \{\}, d, k, n\}, b = Characters[a]; $\mathbf{n}=$ Length[b];
$\operatorname{For}[\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}>=\mathbf{1 , k}$-, If[IntegerQ[ToExpression[b[[k]]]], AppendTo[c, b[[k]]], d = StringJoin[b[[1 ;; k]]]; Break[]]]; k = ToExpression[c = StringJoin[Reverse[c]l]; If[SameQ[k, Null] || $\{\mathbf{y}\}==\{ \} \& \& \mathbf{k}-\mathbf{t}<=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{I f}[\mathbf{c}==$ "", $\mathbf{x}$, ToExpression[d <> ToString[k + If[\{y\}!= \{\}, t,-t]]II]] In[2618]:= PrevNextVar[avz1942, 2, 5]
Out[2618]= avz1944
In[2619]:= PrevNextVar[avz1942, 2]
Out[2619]= avz1940
In[2620]:= PrevNextVar[ab90xyz, 5]
Out[2620]= ab90xyz
In[2621]:= G[x_, y_] := \{ListAssignP[\{Unique["a"]\}, 1, 72],
ListAssignP[ \{Unique["b"]\}, 1, 67], PrevNextVar[Unique["a"], 2]*x +
PrevNextVar[Unique["b"], 2]*y\}[[-1]] In[2622]:= G[90, 500]
Out[2622]= 39980
Above all, we will need the PrevNextVar procedure for a special processing of the symbols of the format<symbol><integer> which end with an integer. The procedure callPrevNextVar $[x, t]$ on a symbolx of the mentioned format <symbol><integer> returns the symbol of the format<symbol><integer - t> while the callPrevNextVar[x,t, h] whereh - an arbitrary expression returns symbol of the format<symbol><integer $\boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{t}$. At condition integer $-\boldsymbol{t}<=\mathbf{0}$ ` or in case of the formatx different from the mentioned the source symbol $x$ is returned. The previous fragment represents source code of the procedure with examples of its usage. The given procedure represents as independent interest, and is essentially used for solution of the problem of local variables in case of definition of the user traditional functions.

For solution of the problem of use of local variables for functions along with the previousPrevNextVar procedure ourListAssignP procedure providing assignment of a value to a list element with the given number, and system Unique function generating the symbols new for the current session every time at its call are used. The general format of definition of a function with local variables can be presented as follows.
F[ $\left.x_{-}, y_{-}, \ldots\right]:=\{$ ListAssignP[\{Unique["a1"]\},1, b1],
ListAssignP[\{Unique["a2"]\},1, b2],
============================
ListAssignP[\{Unique["at"]\},1, bt],
BODY[x, $y, \ldots$, PrevNextVar[Unique["a1"],j], ...,
PrevNextVar[Unique["at"],j]\}[[-1]]; $\boldsymbol{j}=\boldsymbol{k t}$ ( $\mathbf{k = 1 . . n}$ )
According to the above format, a function is defined in the form of the list, whose firstt elements define the local variables with initial values ascribed to them whereas the body of this function is a certain function from formal arguments and local variables whose each encoding has the following view PrevNextVar[Unique["ap"],j],j=kt(k=1..n), wheren - number of usages of a local variable "ap" in the function body. At that, the last element of such list determines result of the call of a function given in similar format as very visually illustrates example of the $\boldsymbol{G}$ function of the previous fragment. So, ehe
described artificial reception allows to use local variables in functions, however their opportunities are rather limited. At that, each call of function of this kind generates the mass of variables which aren't crossed with the previous variables of the current session, but they can enough significantly litter the area of variables of the current session; in this the reader can rather simply make sure by the means of callNames["**"]. Therefore, the artificial possibility of use of local variables by functions, and the expedience of this is not entirely the same. Meanwhile, the presented reception can be a rather useful at programming of certain problems, first of all, of system character. Blocks and modules in theMathematica function as follows. At each call of a module for its local variables the new symbols determining their names, unique in the current session are generated. Each local variable of a module is identified by a symbol of the formName\$num whereName the name of alocal variable determined in a module, andnum - its current number in the current session. At that, the number is defined by variable\$ModuleNumber as it illustrates the following rather simple fragment, namely:
 Symbol["a" <> "\$" <> ToString[\$ModuleNumber-1]]\}]
In[2573]:= \{\$ModuleNumber, G[72, 67, 47], \$ModuleNumber $\}$
Out[2573]= \{4477, $\{72 \mathrm{a} \$ 4477+67 \mathrm{~b} \$ 4477+47 \mathrm{c} \$ 4477, \mathrm{a} \$ 4477, \mathrm{~b} \$ 4477, \mathrm{a} \$ 4477\}$, 4478\}
In[2574]:= \{\$ModuleNumber, G[72, 67, 47], \$ModuleNumber\}
Out[2574]= \{4479, \{72 a\$4479+67 b\$4479+47 c\$4479, a\$4479, b\$4479, a\$4479\}, $4480\}$
In[2575]:= G[x_, y, z_] := Block[\{a, b, c\}, h = a*x + b*y + c*z; \{h, a, b, Symbol["a" <> "\$" <> ToString[\$ModuleNumber-1] $\}$ ]
In[2576]:= \{\$ModuleNumber, G[72, 67, 47], \$ModuleNumber\}
Out[2576] $=\{2386,\{72 \mathrm{a}+67 \mathrm{~b}+47 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a} \$ 4480\}, 4480\}$
In[2577]:= n = 1; While[n <= 3, Print[\$ModuleNumber]; n++] 4489
4489
4489
In[2578]:= \{\$ModuleNumber, \$ModuleNumber\}
Out[2578] $=\{4490,4490\}$
Of the given example the principle of assignment of current numbers to the local variables quite accurately is traced at each new reference to a module containing them. Also from the fragment follows that increase of the current numbers for local variable blocks at their calls isn't done in view of different mechanisms of processing of modules and blocks. At that, on condition of knowledge of the current numbering for local variables of a module there is an opportunity to dynamically receive their values outside of the module after each its call as illustrates the following rather simple and very evident fragment, namely:
In[2555]:= S[x_, y_]:= Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\$$ ModuleNumber-1,
$\mathrm{b}=$ \$ModuleNumber-1, $\mathrm{c}=$ \$ModuleNumber-1 $\}, \mathrm{h}:=\mathrm{a}$ *x + b*y + c;

[^3]Out[2556] $=\{347420,2396,2396,2396,5740816,2396\}$
In[2557]:=g := \{a\$2397, b\$2397, c\$2397\}
In[2558]:= S[72, 67]
Out[2558]= \{338520, 2418, 2418, 2418, 5846724, 2418\}
$\operatorname{In}[2559]:=\mathbf{d}:=\{\mathbf{g},\{\mathbf{a} \$ 2419, \mathbf{b} \$ 2419, \mathbf{c} \$ 2419\}\}$
In[2560]:= S[72, 67]
Out[2561]= \{339500, 2425, 2425, 2425, 5880625, 2425\}
$\operatorname{In}[2562]:=\{\mathbf{d},\{\mathbf{a} \$ 2426, \mathbf{b} \mathbf{2 4 2 6}, \mathbf{c} \mathbf{\$ 2 4 2 6}\}\}$
Out[2562]= \{\{\{2396, 2396, 2396\}, \{2418, 2418, 2418\}\}, \{2425, 2425, 2425\}\}
Thus, the user has opportunity to work with the local variables and outside of a module containing them, i.e. as a matter of fact at the level of the global variables what in certain cases can be used quite effectively at programming of the different problems and, first of all, of problems of system character.
$\operatorname{In}[2587]:=\operatorname{Kr}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ := Module[\{a, $\left.\mathbf{b}\}, \mathbf{h}:=\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{y} ;\{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{h}\}, \mathbf{h}\}\right]$
In[2588] := Kr[18, 25]
Out[2588]= \{\{a\$2436, b\$2436, $18 \mathrm{a} \$ 2436+25 \mathrm{~b} \$ 2436\}, 18 \mathrm{a} \$ 2436+25 \mathrm{~b} \$ 2436\}$
In[2589]:= \%[[1]][[1]]^2 + Take[\%[[1]], \{2, 2\}]^2
Out[2589]= \{a\$2436^2+b\$2436^2\}
In[2590]:= Kr[x_, y_] := Module[\{a, b\}, a = 96; $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{8 9} ; \mathbf{h}:=\mathbf{a *} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{y}$; Print[\{"a\$" <> ToString[\$ModuleNumber-1], "b\$" <> ToString[\$ModuleNumber-1]\}]; \{Symbol["a\$" <> ToString[\$ModuleNumber-1]], Symbol["b\$" <> ToString[\$ModuleNumber-1] $\}$ ] In[2591]:= $\mathbf{K r}[18,25]$
\{a \$2446, b\$2446\}
Out[2591]= $\{96,89\}$
In[2592]:= \%[[1]]^2 $+\%[[-1]]^{\wedge 2}$
Out[2592]= 17137
The previous simple fragment rather visually illustrates the aforesaid. As a rule, the user shouldn’t operate with values of local variables outside of the module; meanwhile, in case of work with a module in the dialogue mode or at using for monitoring of a module performance of a function, for example, Trace these local variables are visualized. Moreover, such opportunity can be used for non-standard calculations, only the effect from it is completely defined by experience and skills of the user, his knowledge of the system. In theMaple system the similar explicit mechanism of operating with the local variables outside of procedures is absent though the similar mechanism can be realized by some special receptions, in particular, on the basis of so-called method of "disk transits" [22]. However, such approach does variables of a procedure asreally local variables with the scope limited by the procedure. In this case local variables are inaccessible outside of the procedure, what in certain respects it is possible to consider as some prerequisite for definition of a"black box" and quite natural transition to the paradigm of the modular organization in programming.
In some cases it is necessary to generate object names that are unique to the current session. For this purpose, the afore-mentionedUnique function is designed, that generates the names without the attributes ascribed to them. At that, for ensuring of uniqueness of
the generated symbols each call of the Unique function provides an increment for a value of the system variable \$ModuleNumber. The mechanism of functioning of theUnique is similar to the mechanism of generating of names for local variables of a module. The simple example illustrates one of approaches to software realization of the Unique by means of theUn procedure, whose source code with examples are given below, while useful procedureUnique2 completes the fragment; the callUnique2[x,y] returns an unique name in string format that depends on thesecond argument or its absence, at the same time ascribing to the name an arbitrary valuex.
In[2555]:= Un[x__] := Module[\{a\},
If[ $\{x\}==$ \{ $\}$, Symbol["\$" <> ToString[\$ModuleNumber]], a[y_] := If[StringQ[y], Symbol[y <> ToString[\$ModuleNumber]], If[Head[y] == Symbol,
Symbol[ToString[y] <> "\$" <> ToString[\$ModuleNumber]], y]l; If[ListQ[x], Map[a, Flatten[x]], a[x]I]]

In[2556]:= \{Un[], Un[S], Un["G"], Un[\{x, y, z\}], Un[V]\}
Out[2556]= \{\$1063, S\$1064, G1065, $\{x \$ 1066, y \$ 1066, ~ z \$ 1066\}, ~ V \$ 1067\}$
In[2570] := Unique1[x_, y__] := Module[\{a = Unique[y], b\}, b = ToString[a];
ToExpression[ToString[a] <> "=" <> ToString1[x]]; b]
In[2571]:= \{Unique1[90, agn], Unique1[500]\}
Out[2571]= \{"agn\$1086", "\$27"\}
In[2572]:= ToExpression[\{"agn\$1086", "\$27"\}]
Out[2572] $=\{90,500\}$
By the standard call?Name it is possible to obtaininformation on all symbols with the givenName that have been generated inmodules or by theUnique function as illustrates the following very simple fragment, namely:
In[2699]:= n=1; Clear[x, y, z]; While[n < 5, Unique[\{x, y, z\}]; n++] In[2700]:= ?x*

- Global ${ }^{`}$
x $\$ 4602$
- AladjevProcedures`
$\mathrm{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x} \$ 6012 \mathrm{x} \$ 6013 \mathrm{x} \$ 6014 \mathrm{x} \$ 6015$ Thus, the names generated by the module behave in the same way, as other names concerning calculations. However, these names have the temporary character which defines, that they have to be completely removed from the system in the absence of need for them. Therefore, the majority of thenames generated in modules will be removed after performance of these modules. Only names returned by modules explicitly remain. Moreover, outside of modules their local variables remain undefinite even if in the modules they received initial values. Meanwhile, it must be kept in mind that usage of the names of the formname\$nnn is the agreement of theMathematica for the local variables generated by modules. Thus, in order to avoid any conflict situations with names of the specified form the user isn't recommended to use names of such format in own programs. It must be kept in mind that the variables generated by modules are unique only during the current session. The mechanism of use oflocal variables at the call of a module is considered rather in details in [30]. The local variables of modules allow assignment to them of initial values in the form of any expressions, including expressions, whose values can depend on the actual arguments
received at a module call or from external variables, for example:
In[2943]:= G[x_, y_] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{I f}[P r i m e Q[x]$, NextPrime[y], If[PrimeQ[y], NextPrime[x], z]] $\left.\}, a^{*}(x+y)\right] \operatorname{In}[2944]:=\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{9 0} ;\{G[7,500], G[6,18], G[72,67]\}$ Out[2944]= \{255021, 2 160, 10 147\}
Meanwhile, at the level of the local variables not exists of any opportunity immediate (without execution of offers of the body of a procedure) of an exit from the procedure, for example, in case of calculation of the initial expressions ascribed to the local variables as it illustrates simple enough fragment, the exception is the use of the callAbort[] that initiates return by the procedure the value\$Aborted:
In[2487]:= G[x_, y_] := Module[\{a = If[PrimeQ[x], NextPrime[y], Return[x]]\}, a*(x + y)]

In[2488]:= G[90, 500]
Out[2488]= 53100
In[2489]:= G[x_, y_]:= Module[\{a = If[PrimeQ[x], NextPrime[y], Defer[G[x]]]\}, a*(x +y ]
$\operatorname{In}[2490]:=\mathbf{G}[\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{5 0 0}]$
Out[2490]= 590 G[90]
$\operatorname{In}[2491]:=\mathbf{G}\left[\mathbf{x}_{-}, \mathbf{y}_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\left\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{I f}\left[P r i m e Q[x]\right.\right.\right.$, NextPrime[y], Abort[]]\}, $\mathbf{a}^{*}(\mathbf{x}+$ y)]

In[2492]:= G[90, 500]
Out[2492]= \$Aborted
The concept of modules in the context of the mechanism of local variables quite closely is adjoined the objects ofblock type, whose organization has the following kind, namely:
Block[ \{a, b, c,...\},Body]- Body is evaluated, using local values for variables $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}$, ...\};
Block[\{a=a0, b=b0, $\boldsymbol{c}=\boldsymbol{c} \mathbf{0}, \ldots\}, B o d y]-$ Body at initial values for variables $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \ldots\}$ localized in the block is evaluated.

In modular structure local variables are such by definition whereas in block structure the variables, defined as local, operates only within the block. At that, if to them in the block aren't ascribed values, they accept values of the variables of the same name that are external with respect to the block, while in case of assignment of values by it in the block, values of the variables of the same name outside of the block remain without change. Therefore, by this circumstance the mechanisms of local variables of modules and blocks enough significantly differ.
For this reason, speaking about procedures of types \{"Module", "Block"\}, we have to analyze block objects regarding character of their local variables, namely: lack of local variables or existence for each local variable of initial value says that this object can be considered as the procedure that receives at a call an information only from the actual arguments. On such principle our tests considered here and in our books [30-32] for check of a block to be as an actual procedure are built. Thus, the general rule for a block structure is defined by the principle- the variables located outside of a block, until the block and after the block, save the values, acting in relation to the block asglobal variables whereas in the block the variables of the same name can arbitrarily change the values according to the demanded algorithm. As it was noted above, the local variableb in a
structureModule $\{\boldsymbol{b}\}, B \operatorname{Bod} \boldsymbol{y}]$ correlates with a unique symbol that is modified every time when the given module is used; the given symbol differs from the global nameb. Whereas the variableb in a structureBlock[\{b\},Body] is global variable outside of the block, it in the course of performance of the block can accept any values but by exit from the block restores a value that it had on entrance to the block. If in case of aModule-construction thelocal variables in such procedure body are especially temporary, in
aBlock-construction they aren't considered as such. Without going into detail, we only will note that for providing of the robustness of procedures it is recommended to program them, generally, on the basis ofModuleconstructions, or on the basis of Blockconstructions for which there are no local variables or all local variables have initial values.
Meanwhile, it should be noted, within of modular structure a mechanism of localization of global variables can be quite realized similarly to mechanism used in a block structure; two variants have been offered in [32]. Moreover, also other variants of realization of the mechanism of localization of global variables that are used by blocks, and on the basis of other approaches one of which is considered in [30] are possible. In this regard quite appropriate to note, that there is quite simple and universal mechanism of work with global variables in the body of procedures keeping their values at the time of an entrance to procedure and of an exit from it. Formally its essence can be presented visually on the basis of the following rather simple scheme:
$h=x ; G\left[x_{-}, y_{-}, \ldots\right]:=$ Module $[\{b=h, \ldots\}, B o d y<h, \ldots,\{h=b, \operatorname{Res}\}[[-1]]>]$
Suppose, outside of the body of a procedure $\boldsymbol{G}$ theglobal variableh, used in the procedure as alocal variable, received a valuex. The local variableb of the procedure $\boldsymbol{G}$ receives $\boldsymbol{x}$ as an initial value, keeping it upto each potential exit from the procedure. In the future, the algorithm realized by procedure body can use the variableh arbitrarily, and only each possible exit from the procedure $G$ along with return of a result(Res) has to provide assignment to variableh of its initial valuex upto exit from the procedure. A quite simple example rather visually illustrates the described mechanism of use of global variables in a procedure body at the local level, namely:
$\operatorname{In}[2517]:=\mathbf{h}=\mathbf{9 0} ; \mathbf{P}[\mathbf{x}]]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{R}\}, \mathbf{h}=\mathbf{6 ;} \mathbf{R}=\mathbf{h}^{*} \mathbf{x} ;\{\mathbf{h}=\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{R}\}[[-1]]\right]$ In[2518]: $=\{\mathbf{P}[\mathbf{5 0 0}], \mathbf{h}\}$
Out[2518]= \{3000, 90 $\}$
Thus, the block constructions allow to determine effectively"environment" in which it is temporarily possible to change values of global variables; global variables are used by a block body as local variables, and only on exit from the block they restore own values upto entrance to the block. In the general understanding, the block structures serve as certain "fields" of the current session in which the values changes of the variables located outside of these areas without change of their values outside of such areas is admissible, i.e. some kind oflocalization of global variables of the current session in certain fields of computing space in general is provided. The given possibility was used rather effectively in a number of means composing ourAVZ_Package package [48]. At that, the block structure is implicitly used in realization of a number of theMathematica functions, e.g.Do, Table, Product, Sum, etc., mainly, of iterative type for localization of variables of indexing as visually illustrates a very simple example, namely:
$\operatorname{In}[2520]:=\mathbf{n}:=\mathbf{9 0} ;\{\{\operatorname{Sum}[\mathbf{n} \wedge 2,\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{1 8}\}], \mathbf{n}\},\{\operatorname{Product}[\mathbf{n},\{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{2 5}\}], \mathbf{n}\}\}$ Out[2520]=
\{\{2109, 90\}, \{15511210 043330985984000000,90$\}\}$ As a rule, the system considers any variable, determined by the user in the current session if the opposite isn't specified as a global variable. However, in certain cases is required to localize a global variable for some period, that the block structure quite successfully allows to make. At the same time it should be noted once again, the variables localized in a block only then are ноу local variables if in the block the values are ascribed to them; otherwise their values in the block willcoincide with values of the variables of the same name that are external relative to the block. Thus, if for a localized variable in a block an initial value wasn't defined, real localization for such variable isn't made. Thus, in certain cases it makes sense to create procedural objects on a basis of both the modular, and the block organization. Therefore, in a general sense underprocedural objects in theMathematica system it is quite possible to consider the objects, created as on the basis of the modular, and block organizations on condition of fulfillment by the block organization of the mentioned conditions- absence for it of the local variables or existence for each local variable of an initial value. We will represent some means of manipulation with local variables of modules and blocks playing essential enough part in various problems of procedural programming. First of all, it is very desirable to have the means for determination of local variables of blocks and modules which are absent among standard means of theMathematica system. As the similar means, it is possible to consider Locals procedure whose source code with examples of usage the following fragment represents. This procedure is intended for evaluation of the local variables of the user blocks and modules.
In[2538]:= M[x_, y_]:= Module[\{ $\left.\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{5 0 0}\},(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})^{*}(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})\right] ; \mathbf{M}\left[\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{\prime}}\right]:=\mathbf{x}$; M[x_/; IntegerQ[x]] := Block[\{\}, ${ }^{\wedge}{ }^{\wedge}$ ]; M[x_Integer, $y_{-} /$; ListQ[y]] := Block[\{a, b = 90\}, $\mathrm{x}^{\wedge 2] ; ~}$
P[x__]:= Module[\{a=h, R\}, h=90; R=h*x; $\{\mathbf{h}=\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{R}\}[[-1]]]$
In[2539] := Locals[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x], R__]:= Module[\{c, d=\{\}, p, t, a = Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}], $\mathbf{b}=$ Flatten[\{HeadPF[x]\}], $k=1, \operatorname{Sg}\}$, Sg[y_String] := Module[ $\{\mathrm{h}=1, \mathrm{v}=\{ \}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{s}=$ "", $\mathrm{z}=$ StringLength[y]-1\}, Label[avz]; For[j = h, j <= z, j++, s = s <> StringTake[y, \{j, j\}]; If[! SameQ[Quiet[ToExpression[s]], \$Failed] \&\& StringTake[y, $\{\mathrm{j}+1, \mathrm{j}+1\}$ ] == ",", AppendTo[v, s]; h = j + 3; s = ""; Goto[avz]l]; AppendTo[v, s <> StringTake[y, $\{-1,-1\}]$ ]; Map[If[Quiet[StringTake[\#, $\{1,2\}]]===$ ", ", StringTake[\#, \{3,-1 \}], \#] \&, v]]; c = Flatten[Map[Map3[StringJoin, \#, \{" := ", " = "\}] \&, b]]; c = Map[StringReplace[\#, Map[Rule[\#, ""] \&, c]] \&, a]; For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, p = c[[k]]; If[SuffPref[p, "Module[\{", 1], t = 8,
If[SuffPref[p, "Block[\{", 1], t = 7, t = 0; AppendTo[d, "Function"]I]; If[t != 0,
AppendTo[d, SubStrSymbolParity1[StringTake[p, \{t,-1\}], "\{"", "\}"][[1]I]];
Continue[]]; d = Map[StringReplace[\#, \{"\{"->"\{\$\$90\$\$", ", "->", \$\$90\$\$",
"= " -> "= \$\$90\$\$"\}] \&, d]; d = Map[If[MemberQ[\{"Function", "\{\}"\}, \#], \#, Sg[StringTake[\#, \{2,-2\}]]] \&, d]; d = Map[If[FreeQ[Quiet[ToExpression[\#]],
\$Failed], \#, StringJoin1[\#]] \&, d]; d = Map[If[\# === \{""\}, "\{\}", \#] \&,
Mapp[StringReplace, d, \{"\$\$90\$\$"-> "", "\"-> ""\}]]; Map[Remove, Names[" $\$ \$ 90 \$ \$ * "]$ ]; d = If[Length[d] == 1, Flatten[d], d]; If[\{R\}!= \{\}\&\& !
HowAct[R], If[d === \{"\{\}"\}, R = \{\}, \{b, $\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{R}\}=\{d, \mathbf{1},\{ \}\}$; While[k <= Length[b], $\mathbf{p}=$
b[[k]]; AppendTo[R, If[MemberQ[\{"\{\}",
"Function" \}, p], p, If[StringQ[p], If[StringFreeQ[p, " = "], \{p, "No"\}, StringSplit[p, " = "]l, Map[If[StringFreeQ[\#, " = "], \{\#, "No"\}, StringSplit[\#, " = "]] \&, p]II]; k++];
R= If[NestListQ[R] \&\& Length[R]==1, R[[1]], R]]]; If[d ===\{"\{\}"\}, \{\}, d]]
In[2540] := Locals[M]
Out[2540]= \{\{"a", "b= 90" $\}$, $\{" a=90 ", " b=500 "\}$, " $\} "$, "Function" $\} \operatorname{In[2541]:=}$

## Locals[P]

Out[2541]= \{"a= h", "R" $\}$
In[2542]:= Map[Locals, \{ModuleQ, Definition2, Locals\}]
Out[2542]= \{\{"a= PureDefinition[x]", "b"\}, \{"a", "b= Attributes[x]", "c"\},
\{"c", "d= \{\}", "p", "t", "a= Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}]", "b= Flatten[\{HeadPF[x]\}]", "k=1", "Sg"\}\}
In[2543]: = G[x_, y_] := Module[\{a = If[PrimeQ[x], NextPrime[y], If[PrimeQ[y],
NextPrime[x], z]]\}, a*(x + y)]; Locals[G]
Out[2543]= \{"a= If[PrimeQ[x], NextPrime[y], If[PrimeQ[y],
NextPrime[x], z]]"\}
In[2544]:= Locals[P, t]
Out[2544]= $\{$ "a= h", "R" $\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2545]:=\mathbf{t}$
Out[2545]= \{\{"a", "h"\}, \{"R", "No"\}\}
In[2546]:= Locals[M, t1]
Out[2546]= \{\{"a", "b= 90"\}, \{"a= 90", "b= 500"\}, "\{\}", "Function"\}
In[2547]:= t1
Out[2547]= \{ \{\{"a", "No"\},\{"b", "90"\}\}, \{\{"a", "90"\},\{"b", "500"\}\}, "\{\}",
"Function"\}

Out[2548]= \{"Function", "Function", "Function"\}
In[2549]:= t2
Out[2549]= \{"Function", "Function", "Function"\}
In[2550]:= Locals[G, t3]
Out[2550]= $\{$ "a= If[PrimeQ[x], NextPrime[y],
If[PrimeQ[y], NextPrime[x], z]]"\}
In[2551]:= t3
Out[2551]= \{"a", "If[PrimeQ[x], NextPrime[y],
If[PrimeQ[y], NextPrime[x], z]]"\}
In[2552]:= B[x_] := Module[\{a\}, x]; \{Locals[B, t4], t4\}
Out[2552]= \{\{"a"\}, \{"a", "No"\}\}
In[2553]:= V[x_] := Module[\{a, b, c, d\}, x]; Locals[V, t5]
Out[2553]= \{"a", "b", "c", "d" $\}$
In[2554]:= $\mathbf{t} 5$
Out[2554]= \{\{"a", "No"\}, \{"b", "No"\}, \{""", "No"\}, \{"d", "No"\}\}
In[2555]:= B1[x_] := Module[\{\}, x]; \{Locals[B1, t6], t6\}
Out[2555] $=\{\{ \},\{ \}\}$
The presented Locals procedure on functionality covers both the procedure of the same
name, and theLocals1 procedure that are considered in [30-32]. The procedure
callLocals[ $x$ ] returns the list whose elements in string format represent local variables of a block or a modulex together with their initial values. While the callLocals $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ with the second optional argumenty - an undefinite variable- provides in addition return throughy or of the simple or of the simple element list, or the list ofListListtype withtype withelement sublists whosefirst elements determine names of local variables of a block/modulex in string format, whereas the second element- the initial values, ascribed to them in string format; absence of initial values is defined by the " $N o$ " symbol. If an object $x$ has no local variables, the procedure callLocals $[x, y]$ returns empty list, i.e. $\}$, the same result is returned thru the second optional argumenty. Moreover, on typical function the call of the procedure returns"Function". The procedure is widely used in problems of manipulation bylocal variables.
In[2352]:= StringJoin1[x_/; ListQ[x] \&\&
DeleteDuplicates[Map[StringQ, x]] == \{True\}] := Module[\{a = x, b = Length[x], c =
"", $k=1\}$, While[k <= b-1, c = c <> a[[k]] <> ", "; k++]; c <> a[[-1]]]
In[2353] := StringJoin1[\{"Avz", "Agn", "Vsv", "Art", "Kr"\}]
Out[2353]= "Avz, Agn, Vsv, Art, Kr"
In[2354]:= StringJoin1[\{"Avz", 90, x + y, "Art", Sin \}]
Out[2354]= StringJoin1[\{"Avz", 90, x+ y, "Art", Sin\}]
The definition of theLocals procedure along with standard means uses and our means such asBlockFuncModQ, PureDefinition, SuffPref, HowAct, HeadPF, Mapp, Map3, NestListQ, SubStrSymbolParity1 considered in the present book and in [28-33]. At that, for realization of this procedure along with the mentioned means the expediency became clear to define a simple StringJoin1 procedure for the purpose of special processing of strings lists which is a modification of the standardStringJoin function. The procedure callStringJoin1[ $x$ ] returns result of consecutive concatenation of the string elements of a listx that are separated by commas as very visually illustrates a example of the previous fragment with source code of this procedure. The StringJoin1 procedure belongs to group of the means operating with string structures, however it is considered exactly here in the context of theLocals procedure; the given procedure has a rather wide range of appendices. Meanwhile, in certain cases it is required to define only the list of names of local variables irrespectively from the initial values ascribed to them. The Locals1 procedure replacing2 former proceduresLocals1 andLocals2 [30] can be used for this purpose. The callLocals1[x] returns the list of names in string format of local variables of a block or a modulex; in case of absence of local variables for an objectx the procedure call returns theempty list, i.e. \{\}. Furthermore, in case of an object of the same namex which contains the subobjects of the same name withdifferent headings a nested list is returned whose elements are bijective with subobjectsx, according to their order at application of thePureDefinition procedure to the objectx. The following fragment represents source code of the Locals1 procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.

In[2587] := Locals1[x_/;BlockFuncModQ[x]] := Module[\{a, b=\{\}, c, k=1, kr\}, kr[y_List] := Module[\{d = \{\}, v = Flatten[y], $\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1}\}$, While[j $<=$ Length[v]/2, AppendTo[d, v[[2*j-1]I]; j++]; d]; ClearAll[a]; Locals[x, a]; If[NestListQ1[a], For[k, $\mathbf{k}<=$ Length[a], k++, c = a[[k]]; AppendTo[b, If[MemberQ[\{"\{\}", "Function"\}, c], c,
kr[c] ]II;
If[StringQ[PureDefinition[x]], Flatten[b], b], kr[a]]] In[2588]:= Locals1[P]
Out[2588]= \{"a", "R"\}
In[2589] := Locals1[G]
Out[2589]= \{"a"\}
In[2590]:= Locals1[M]
Out[2590]= \{\{"a", "b"\}, \{"a", "b"\}, "\{\}", "Function"\}
For examples of this fragment the means, given in the fragment above, that represents theLocals procedure, have been used. Right there we will note that theLocals1 procedure represents one of the most effective means in the problems of processing of local variables of blocks and modules.

As one more useful means of this kind it is possible to note also the Locals2 procedure that should be preceded by the procedure, in many cases useful and intended for testing of the objects of the same name which have several definitions of various type. The procedure callQBlockMod[x] returnsTrue if definitions of an objectx have type
\{Module,Block\}, andFalse otherwise. This procedure assumes that in the presence among definitions of $\boldsymbol{x}$ of the definitions of other type, such object in general can't be considered by the object of type \{Module,Block $\}$. It allows to allocate from the objects of the same name the objects of type \{Module, Block\}. The fragment represents source code of theQBlockMod procedure with examples of its typical use.
In[2585] := M[x_, y_] := Module[\{ $\left.\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{5 0 0}\},(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})^{*}(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})\right] ; \mathbf{M [ x \_ ] : = \mathbf { x } ; \mathbf { A } [ \mathbf { m } _ { - } , ~}$ n_] := Module[\{a = 42.72, b = \{m, n=90\}\}, $\left.\mathbf{h}^{*}(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n + p}) /(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})\right] ;$ A[m_]:= Block[\{a = 42.72, $\left.\mathbf{b}=\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{9 0}\}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{t}\}, \mathbf{h}^{*}(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n + p}) /(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})\right]$

In[2586] := QBlockMod[x_] := Module[\{a = Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}], b, c = True, $\mathrm{k}=1$ 1\}, If[MemberQ[\{"System", \$Failed\}, a[[1]]], False, b = Flatten[\{HeadPF[x]\}]; While[k <= Length[a], If[! SuffPref[StringReplace[a[[k]], b[[k]] <> " := "-> "", 1], \{"Module[\{", "Block[\{"\}, 1], c = False; Break[]]; k++]; c]]

In[2587] := A[x_] := Block[\{\}, $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 3\right]$; QBlockMod[M]
Out[2587]= False
In[2588]:= Map[QBlockMod, \{ProcQ, A, ToString1, StrStr, 500, Sin\}] Out[2588]= \{True, True, True, False, False, False\}

In the context of the previous QBlockMod procedure the followingLocals2 procedure on objectsx of the type \{Module, Block\} returns the nested list of their local variables in string format without initial values assigned to them if the objectx contains several definitions, otherwise simple list is returned. The following fragment represents the procedure code along with examples of its usage; these examples are tooken from the previous fragment.
In[2710] := Locals2[x_/; QBlockMod[x]] := Module[\{c=\{\}, d, p, h=\{\}, $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{a}=$ Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}], b = Flatten[\{HeadPF[x]\}]\}, While[k <= Length[a], AppendTo[c, d = StringReplace[a[[k]], Mapp[Rule, Map[b[[k]] <> " := " <> \# \&, \{"Module[", "Block["\}], ""], 1]; Quiet[SubStrSymbolParity[d, "\{", "\}", 1][[-1]]I]; k++]; While[j <= Length[c], p = c[[j]]; p = Map[ToString,

ToExpression[StringReplace[p, \{", "-> "\$\$90\$\$, ", " = "-> "\$\$90\$\$-> "\}]I]; p = If[Length[p] == 1, p[[1]], p]; p = Map[If[StringFreeQ[\#, "-> "],
StringReplace[\#,"\$\$90\$\$"-> ""], StringReplace[StringTake[\#, \{1,
Flatten[StringPosition[\#, "-> "]][[1]]-1\}], ""\$\$90\$\$"-> ""]] \&, p]; AppendTo[h, p]; j++]; If[Length[h] == 1, h[[1]], h]] In[2711]:= Locals2[A]
Out[2711] = \{ \{"a", "b"\}, \{"a", "b"\}, \{"a", "b", "q", "t"\}\}
In[2712]:= Locals2[M]
Out[2712]= Locals2[M]
In a number of cases there is a need of dynamic extension of the list of local variables for a block/module that is activated in the current session, without change of the object code on the storage medium. TheExpLocals procedure presented by the following fragment solves the problem. So, the procedure callExpLocals $[x, y]$ returns the list of local variables in string format with the initial values ascribed to them on which local variables of an objectx are expanded. At that, generally speaking this list can be less than a listy given at the procedure call(or at all empty) because the variables that are available in the objectx as formal arguments or local variables are excluded from it.
In[2757]:= ExpLocals[P_/; ModuleQ[P] || BlockQ[P], L_/; ListQ[L] \&\&
DeleteDuplicates[Map[StringQ, L]] $==\{$ True $\}]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathbf{a}=$
Flatten[\{PureDefinition[P]\}][[1]], b = Locals1[P], c = $\operatorname{Args}[P, 90], ~ d, ~ p, ~ p 1, ~ h, ~ O p ~=~$ Options[P], Atr = Attributes[P]\},
Quiet[d = Map[If[StringFreeQ[\#, \{" = ", "="\}], \#, StringSplit[\#, \{" = ", "="\}][[1]]] \&, L]; p = Locals[P]; h = MinusList1[d, Flatten[\{b, c\}]]; If[h == \{\}, Return[\{\}]]; h = Flatten[Map[Position[d, \#] \&, h]]; d = Join[p, c = Map[L[[\#]] \&, h]]; ToExpression["ClearAllAttributes[" <> ToString[P] <> "]"]; ClearAll[P]; ToExpression[StringReplace[a, ToString[p]-> ToString[d], 1]]]; If[Op != \{\}, SetOptions[P, Opl]; SetAttributes[P, Atr]; c]
$\operatorname{In}[2758]:=\operatorname{Avz}\left[\mathbf{x} \_\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}, \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]$;
SetAttributes[Avz, Protected]; Agn[x_] := Module[\{\}, \{x\}];
Z[x_/; IntegerQ[x]] := Module[ \{a, b, c, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}[[x]]] In[2759]:=
ExpLocals[Agn, \{"x", "a = c + d", "b", "Art = 25", "Sv", "Kr = 18"\}] Out[2760]= \{"a= c+ d", "b", "Art= 25", "Sv", "Kr= 18" \}
In[2761]:= Definition[Agn]
Out[2761]= Agn[x_]:= Module[\{a= c+ d, b, Art= 25, Sv, Kr= 18\}, \{x\}] In[2762]:=
ExpLocals[Avz, \{"x", "a = c+d", "b", "Art = 25", "Sv", "Kr = 18"\}] Out[2762]=
\{"Art= 24", "Sv", "Kr= 16"\}
In[2763]:= Definition[Avz]
Out[2763]= Attributes[Avz]= \{Protected $\}$
Avz[x _]:= Module[\{a= 90, b, c, Art= 25, Sv, Kr= 18\}, a+ x^2] In[2764]:=
ExpLocals[Avz, \{"x", "a = c+d", "b", "Art = 25", "Sv", "Kr = 18"\}] Out[2764]= \{\}
In[2765]:= ExpLocals[Z, \{"m = 90", " $n=500 ", " p=72 "\}]$
Out[2765]= \{"m= 90", "n= 500", " $\mathrm{p}=72$ " $\}$
In[2766]:= Definition[Z]
Out[2766]= Z[x_/; IntegerQ[x]]:= Module[\{a, b, c, d, m=90, n=500, p=72\},
\{a, b, c, d\}[[x]]]
The above fragment contains source code of the ExpLocals procedure with examples of its application to very simple proceduresAgn, Avz andZ for the purpose of extension of their list of local variables the part of which has initial values; at that, in the second procedure the list of local variables is the empty whereas for the first procedure there is a nonempty crossing of the joint list of formal arguments and local variables with the list of variables on which it is necessary to expand the list of local variables of the procedure. If the joint list coincides with a listy, the procedure call returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}, without changing an initial objectx in the current session. It must be kept in mind that elements of the listy need to be coded in string format in order to avoid assignment of values to them of variables of the same name of the current session and/or calculations according to initial values ascribed to them. The result of a modification of an initial objectx preserves options and attributes of the initial objectr.

It is known that activation in the current session of a module or a block in the field of names of variables of the system adds all their local variables as illustrates a simple example, namely:

In[2582] := Mb[x_] := Block[\{Art = 25, Kr = 18, Sv = 47\}, x]; Mb[90]; In[2583]:=
B[x_] := Block[\{Art1 = 25, Kr1 = 18, Sv1 = 47\}, x]; B[500]; In[2584]:= Names["**"] Out[2584]= \{"Art", "Art1", "B", "Kr", "Kr1", "Mb", "Sv", "Sv1"\} Therefore, economical use of the local variables is quite important problem. Meanwhile, in the course of programming of blocks/modules quite really emersion of so-calledexcess local variables. TheRedundantLocals procedure which is based on theProcBMQ procedure, in a certain degree solves this problem. The following fragment represents source code of the procedure.
In[2571]:= RedundantLocals[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]] := Module[\{a, b, c, p, g, k= $\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{t}=\{ \}, \mathrm{z}=$ "" $\}$,
$\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}=\{$ PureDefinition[x], Locals1[x] $\}$; If[StringQ[a], If[b == \{\}, True, $\mathbf{p}=$ Map[\#[[1]] \&, StringPosition[a, $\{"\}=", ~ "\}:="\}]] ;$ p = Select[p, ! MemberQ[\{"\{"\}", " "\}"\}, StringTake[a, \{\#-2, \#\}]] \&];
c = Map[Map3[StringJoin, \#, \{" := ", " = "\}] \&, b]; g = Select[b, StringFreeQ[a, Map3[StringJoin, \#, \{" := ", " = "\}]] \&]; While[k <= Length[p], v = p[[k]]; $\operatorname{For}[\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{j}>=1, \mathrm{j}-, \mathrm{z}=$ StringTake[a, $\{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{j}\}]<>\mathrm{z}$;

If[! SameQ[Quiet[ToExpression[z]], \$Failed], AppendTo[t, z]l]; z = ""; k++]; t = MinusList[g, Flatten[Map[StrToList, t]]]; If[t ==\{\}, t, p = Select[Map[" "<> \# <>"[" \&, t], ! StringFreeQ[a, \#]\&]; g =\{\}; For[k = 1, k <= Length[p], k++, v = StringPosition[a, p[[k]]]; v = Map[\#[[2]] \&, v]; z = StringTake[p[[k]], \{2,-2\}]; c = 1; For[j = c, j <= Length[v], j++,
For[b = v[jj]], b <= StringLength[a], b++, z = z <> StringTake[a, \{b, b\}]; If[!
SameQ[Quiet[ToExpression[z]], \$Failed], AppendTo[g, z]; c = j + 1; z =
StringTake[p[[k]], \{2,-2\}]; Break[]]]]]; MinusList[t, Map[HeadName[\#] \&, Select[g, HeadingQ1[\#] \&]]l]], "Object <" <> ToString[x] <> "> has multiple definitions"]]
In[2572] := Map[RedundantLocals, \{ProcQ, Locals1, RedundantLocals\}] Out[2572]=
\{\{"h"\}, \{"a"\}, \{\}\}
In[2573]:= Vsv[x_, y_] := Module[\{a, b, c = 90, d, h\}, b=500;
$\mathbf{d}\left[\mathbf{z}_{-}\right]:=\mathbf{z}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{5 0 0}$; h[t_] := Module[ $\left.\}, \mathbf{t}] ; \mathbf{d}[\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{b}]+\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{h}\left[\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}\right]\right] \operatorname{In}[2574]:=$
RedundantLocals[Vsv]
Out[2574]= \{"a"\}
In[2575]:= Map[RedundantLocals, \{ProcQ, Globals, RedundantLocals,
Locals, Locals1\}]
Out[2575]= \{\{"h"\}, \{\}, \{\}, \{\}, \{"a"\}\}
In[3384]:= RedundantLocalsM[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]]:= Module[\{d, $\mathbf{b}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}=$ 1, c = ToString[Unique["g"]], a = Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}]\},
While[k <= Length[a], d = c <> ToString[x]; ToExpression[c <> a[[k]]]; AppendTo[b, If[QFunction[d], "Function", RedundantLocals[d]]]; ToExpression["ClearAll[" <> d <> "]"]; k++]; Remove[c]; If[Length[b] == 1, b[[1]], b]]
$\operatorname{In}[3385]:=\operatorname{Vsv}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{h}\}, \mathbf{b}=500 ; \mathbf{d}\left[\mathbf{z}_{-}\right]:=\mathbf{z}^{*} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{5 0 0} ; \mathbf{h}[\mathbf{t}]\right.$ := Module[\{\}, t]; d[c+b]+x+y+h[x*y]; Vsv[x_, y_, z_]:=Module[\{a, b, c=90, d, h\},
 In[3386]:= RedundantLocalsM[Vsv]
Out[3386] = \{\{"a"\}, \{"b"\}, "Function"\}
The procedure callRedundantLocals[ $x$ ] returns the list of local variables in string format of a block or a modulex which the procedure considers excess variables in the context, what both the initial values, and the values in body of the objectx weren't ascribed to them, or these variables aren't names of the internal functions or modules/blocks defined in body of the objectx. At that, the local variables, used as an argument at the call of one or the other function in the body of an objectx(in particular, it takes place for ourLocals1 procedure that uses the callLocals[x, a]in which thru the2nd optional argument a an undefinite variable-return of additional result is provided) also can get in such list. We will note, that the given procedure like the previousProcBMQ procedure is oriented on single objects, whose definitions are unique while on the objects of the same name the call prints the message"Object $<\boldsymbol{x}>$ has multiple definitions". Meanwhile, unlike the previousProcBMQ procedure, the RedundantLocals procedure quite successfully processes also objects containing in their bodies the definitions of typical functions(with headings), modules and blocks. However, the considering of this moment as a certain shortcoming of theProcBMQ procedure isn't quite competent, discussion of that can be found in our books [32,33].
The RedundantLocalsM procedure completes the previous fragment, this procedure expands theRedundantLocals onto the objects of the same name. The callRedundantLocalsM[x] on a single object $\{$ Block, Module $\}$ is similar to the callRedundantLocals[x] whereas on a traditional function"Function" is returned; on an objectx of the same name \{block,traditional function,module\} the list of results of application of theRedundantLocals to all subobjects of the objectx is returned.
Meanwhile, results of calls of the above procedures RedundantLocals andRedundantLocalsM suggest the additional analysis of an objectx concerning the excess local variables, i.e. these procedures can be considered as rather effective means for
the preliminary analysis of the blocks/modules regarding an exception of excess local variables. As shows our experience, in most important cases the results of use of the procedures RedundantLocals andRedundantLocalsM can be considered as ultimate, without demanding any additional researches of an analyzed object.
At that, in the Mathematica system the evaluation of definitions of modules and blocks containing the duplicated local variables is made quite correctly without initiation of any erroneous or special situations which arise only at the time of a call of a block and a module, initiating erroneous situation of Block::dup andModule::dup respectively, with return of the block/module call unevaluated. Meanwhile, the mechanism of identification of aduplicated local variableh isn't clear because in the list of local variables in definition of theProc procedureat first the variablesa andd are located as rather visually illustrates the following quite simple fragment. For the purpose of definition of the fact of duplication of local variables in definitions of objects like block or module that are activated in the current session, the procedure has been created, whose
callDuplicateLocalsQ[x] returnsTrue in case of existence in definition of a procedurex of duplication of local variables, otherwiseFalse is returned. At that, in case of return ofTrue thru the2nd optional argument $\boldsymbol{y}$-an undefinite variable- simple list or list ofListList-type is returned whose elements define names of the duplicated local variables with multiplicities of their entries into the list of local variables. The next fragment represents source code of theDuplicateLocalsQ procedure and examples of its usage.

In[2560] := Proc[x_] := Module[\{a, y, d=\{x\}, h, c, h, d, a=6, h=2, c=7, a\}, a*d] In[2561]:= Proc[90, 500]
Module::dup: Duplicate local variableh found in local variable specification
\{a, y, d= \{90, 500\}, h, c, h, d, a=6, h=2, c= 7, a\}>> Out[2561]= Module[\{a, y, d= \{90, $\left.500\}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{a}=6, \mathrm{~h}=2, \mathrm{c}=7, \mathrm{a}\}, \mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~d}\right] \operatorname{In}[2562]:=\operatorname{Blok}\left[\mathrm{x} \_\right]:=\operatorname{Block}[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{d}=\{\mathbf{x}\}, \mathbf{h}$, $\left.\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{h}=2, \mathbf{c}=7, \mathbf{a}\}, \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathrm{~d}\right] \operatorname{In}[2563]:=\operatorname{Blok}[90,500]$
Block::dup: Duplicate local variableh found in local variable specification
$\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{d}=\{90,500\}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{a}=6, \mathrm{~h}=2, \mathrm{c}=7, \mathrm{a}\} \gg \operatorname{Out}[2563]=\operatorname{Block}[\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{d}=\{90$, 500\}, h, c, h, d, a= 6, h= 2, c= 7, a\}, a*d] In[2564]:= DuplicateLocalsQ[P_/;
BlockModQ[P], y__]:= Module[\{a, b = Locals1[P]\},
If[b == \{\}, False, b = If[NestListQ[b], b[[1]], b]; a = Select[Gather2[b],\#[[2]] > 1 \&];
If[a == \{\}, False, If[\{y\}!= \{\}\&\& ! HowAct[y], y = a]; True]]] In[2565]:=
\{DuplicateLocalsQ[Proc, y], y\}
Out[2565] = \{True, \{\{"a", 3\}, \{"d", 2\}, \{"h", 3\}\}\}
$\operatorname{In}[2566]:=\mathbf{B}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{6}\}, \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}\right] ;$ \{DuplicateLocalsQ[B, $\left.\left.\mathbf{t}\right], \mathbf{t}\right\}$ Out[2566]= \{False, t $\}$

In[2590] := Proc[x_] := Module[\{a, y, d=\{x\}, h, c, h, d, a =6, h=2, c=7, a\}, a*d];
Proc1[x_] := Module[\{\}, \{x\}]; Proc2[x_] := Module[\{a, $\mathbf{a}=500\}$, $\left.\mathbf{a}^{*} x\right] ;$ Blok42[x_] := $\operatorname{Block}[\{a, y, d=\{x\}, h, c, h, d, a=6, h=2, c=7, a\}, a * d] ;$
In[2591] := DuplicateLocals[x_/; BlockModQ[x]] := Module[\{a = Locals1[x]\}, a = Select[Map[\{\#, Count[a, \#]\} \&, DeleteDuplicates[a]], \#[[2]] > 1 \&];
a=Sort[a, ToCharacterCode[\#1[[1]]][[1]] < ToCharacterCode[\#2[[1]]][[1]] \&];
If[Length[a] > 1 || $\mathbf{a}==\{ \}, a, a[[1]]]]$

In[2592]:= DuplicateLocals[Proc]
Out[2592]= \{\{""", 3\}, \{"c", 2\}, \{"d", 2\}, \{"h", 3\}\}
In[2593]:= DuplicateLocals[Proc1]
Out[2593]= \{ $\}$
In[2594]:= DuplicateLocals[Proc2]
Out[2594] = \{"a", 2\}
In[2595]:= DuplicateLocals[Blok42]
Out[2595]= \{\{"a", 3\}, \{""", 2\}, \{"d", 2\}, \{"h", 3\}\}
The DuplicateLocals procedure completes the previous fragment, its call
DuplicateLocals[x] returns the simple or the nested list the first element of a list or sublists of which defines a name in string format of a multiple local variable of a block/modulex while the second defines its multiplicity. In the absence of multiple local variables the empty list, i.e. \{\} is returned. In this regard a certain interest a procedure presents whose callDelDuplLocals[x] returns thename of a module/blockx, reducing itslocal variables of the same name to1 with activation of the updated definitionx in the current session. Whereas the callDelDuplLocals[ $x, y$ ] with the second optional argumenty - an undefinite variable- throughy returns the list of excess local variables. At that, first of all onlysimple local variables(without initial values) are reduced. This procedure well supplements the previousDuplicateLocals procedure. The next fragment represents source code of theDelDuplLocals procedure along with examples of its typical usage.
$\operatorname{In}[2657]:=\operatorname{Ag}\left[\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{\prime}}, \mathbf{y} \_\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b}=73, \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{5 0 0}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\},\left(\mathbf{a} \mathbf{*} \mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}^{*} \mathbf{d}\right) *(\mathbf{x}\right.$ $+y)] ; \operatorname{Av[x\_ ]:=\operatorname {Block}[\{ a=90,a=500\} ,a*x];~}$
In[2658]:= As[x_] := Module[\{a, b, c, a, c \}, x]
In[2659]:= SetAttributes[Ag, \{Protected, Listable\}]; Art[x_] := Module[\{\}, x]
In[2660]:= DelDuplLocals[x_/; BlockModQ[x], y__]:= Module[\{b=\{\}, d, c=\{\}, a $=$ Locals[x], p\}, If[a ==\{\}, x, d =Attributes[x]; ClearAttributes[x, d];
Map[If[StringFreeQ[\#, "="], AppendTo[b, \#], AppendTo[c, \#]] \&, a]; b =
DeleteDuplicates[b]; c = DeleteDuplicates[Map[StringSplit[\#, " =", 2]\&, c], \#1[[1]]== \#2[[1]]\&]; p = Map[\#[[1]] \&, c]; b = Select[b, ! MemberQ[p, \#] \&]; c =
Map[StringJoin[\#[[1]], " = ", \#[[2]]] \&, c]; b = StringRiffle[Join[b, c], ", "]; p = PureDefinition[x]; ToExpression[StringReplace[p, StringRiffle[a, ", "]-> b, 1]];
SetAttributes[x, d]; If[\{y\}!= \{\}\&\&! HowAct[y], y = MinusList[a, StrToList[b]], Null]; x]l
In[2661] := DelDuplLocals[Ag]
Out[2661] $=\mathrm{Ag}$
In[2662]:= Definition[Ag]
Out[2662] $=$ Attributes[Ag]= LListable, Protected $\}$
Ag[x , y_]:= Module[\{a, d, b=90, c= 500\}, (a b+c d) (x+y)] In[2663]:=
DelDuplLocals[Av]
Out[2663]= Av
In[2664]:= Definition[Av]
Out[2664]= Av[x_]:= Block[\{a= 90\}, a x]
In[2665]:= DelDuplLocals[As]
Out[2665]= As

In[2666]:= Definition[As]
Out[2666]= As[x_]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, x]
In[2667]:= DelDuplLocals[Ag, t]
Out[2667] = Ag
In[2668]:= t
Out[2668]= \{"b", "c", "b= 73", "c" $\}$
Procedure provides processing of the objects having single definitions, but it is easily generalized to the objects of the same name. The fragment below represents the procedure expanding the previous procedure on a case of the blocks and modules of the same name. The procedure callDelDuplLocalsM is completely analogous to a procedure callDelDuplLocals $[x, y]$.
$\operatorname{In}[2717]:=\operatorname{Ag}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{b}=73, \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{5 0 0}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\},\left(\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}^{*} \mathbf{d}\right)^{*}(\mathbf{x}\right.$ $+\mathrm{y})] ; \operatorname{Ag}\left[\mathrm{x} \_\right]:=\operatorname{Block}\left[\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b}=\mathbf{9 0}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{c}=500\}, \mathrm{a}^{*} \mathrm{~b}^{*} \mathrm{c}^{*} \mathrm{x}\right]$
$\operatorname{In}[2718]:=\operatorname{Ag}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}, z_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{a}=73, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{c}=500, \mathbf{c}\}, \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{y}+\right.$ c*z]; SetAttributes[Ag, \{Protected, Listable\}]
In[2719]:= Definition[Ag]
Out[2719]= Attributes[Ag]= \{Listable, Protected $\}$
Ag[x_, y_]:= Module[\{a, b, b=90, c, b=73, c=500, c, d\}, (a b+c d)(x+y)] Ag[x_]:= Block[\{a, b, b=90, c, c=500\}, a b c x]
Ag[x_ $\left.y_{\_}, z_{-}\right]:=$Module[\{a= 73, a, b, b= 90, c= 500, c\}, ax+by+c z]
In[2720] := DelDupILocalsM[x_/; BlockModQ[x], y__] := Module[\{b, d, p, a =
Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}], $\mathbf{h}=$ ToString[x], $\mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathrm{z}=\{ \}\}, \operatorname{If}[$ Length[a] $=\mathbf{= 1}$,
DelDuplLocals[x, y], If[\{y\}!= \{\}\&\& ! HowAct[y] || \{y\}=== \{\}, b = Attributes[x];
ClearAttributes[x, b], Return[Defer[DelDuplLocalsM[x, y]I]]; Map[\{AppendTo[c, d = ToString[Unique["vgs"]l], ToExpression[StringReplace[\#, h <> "["-> d <> "[", 1]]\}\&, a]; p = Map[PureDefinition[\#] \&, Map[\{DelDuplLocals[\#, y],
Quiet[AppendTo[z, y]l, Clear[y]\}[[1]] \&, c]]; ToExpression[Map[StringReplace[\#, GenRules[Map[\# <> "[" \&, c], h <> "["], 1] \&, p]l; SetAttributes[x, b];
Map[Remove[\#] \&, c]; If[\{y\}!= \{\}, y = z, Null]; x]]
In[2721] := DelDuplLocalsM[Ag, w]
Out[2721]= Ag
In[2722]:= Definition[Ag]
Out[2722] = Attributes[Ag]= \{Listable, Protected $\}$
Ag[x _ $\left.\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{Z}}\right]:=$ Module[\{a, b, b=90, c, b=73, c=500, c, d\}, (a b+ c d)(x+ y)] Ag[x_]:= Block[\{a, b, b=90, c, c=500\}, a b c x]
Ag[x_, y, $\left.z_{-}\right]:=$Module[\{a= 73, a, b, b=90, c= 500, c\}, ax+by+c z]
In[2623]:= w
Out[2623]= \{\{"b", "c", "b= 73", "c"\}, \{"b", "c"\}, \{"a", "b", "c"\}\}
The above tools play rather essential part at debugging modules and blocks of rather large size, allowing on the first stages to detect the duplicatedlocal variables of the same name and provide their reducing to one.

### 6.7. Global variables of modules and blocks; the means of manipulation

## by them in theMathematicasoftware

Concerning the Mapleprocedures theMathematicaprocedures have more limited opportunities both relative to the mechanism of the global variables, and on return of results of the performance. If in case of aMapleprocedure an arbitrary variable has been declared inglobal-section of the description, or which didn't receive values in the body of a procedure on the operator of assignment":=",or on the systemassign procedure(upto releaseMaple 11) is considered as a global variable, then in aMathematica-procedure all those variables which are manifestly not defined as local variables are considered as the global variables. The following example rather visually illustrates the aforesaid, namely:
$\operatorname{In}[2678]:=\operatorname{Sv}\left[\mathbf{x} \_\right]:=$Module[\{ $\left.\}, \mathbf{y}:=72 ; \mathbf{z}=\mathbf{6 7} ;\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}\right]$
$\operatorname{In}[2679]:=\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}=\{42,47\} ;\{\operatorname{Sv}[2015], \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}$
Out[2679]= \{\{72, 67\}, 72, 67\}
Therefore, any redefinition in aMathematicaprocedure(module or block) of aglobal variableautomatically redefines the variable of the same name outside of the procedure, what demands significantly bigger attentiveness for the purpose of prevention of possible special and undesirable situations than in a similar situation with theMapleprocedures. Thus, the level of providing the robustness of software in theMathematica at using of the procedures is represented to us a little lower of the mentioned level of theMaple system. It should be noted thatMathematica allows definition of global variables of the procedures by means of a quite simple reception of modification of the mechanism of testing of the actual arguments at the time of a procedure call as it quite visually illustrates the following very simple fragment, namely:
In[2543] := Art[x_/; If[! IntegerQ[x], h = 90; True, h = 500; True], y_] := Module[\{a $=2015\}, \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{h}+\mathrm{a}]$
$\operatorname{In}[2544]:=\{\operatorname{Art}[90,500], \operatorname{Art}[18.25,500]\}$
Out[2544] $=\{3105,2623.25\}$
In[2545]:= Kr[x_, y_] := Module[\{a = If[IntegerQ[x], 90, 500]\}, $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{a}]$
$\operatorname{In}[2546]:=\{\operatorname{Kr}[\mathbf{1 5 . 5}, \mathbf{5 0 0}], \mathbf{K r}[\mathbf{9 0}, 500]\}$
Out[2546] $=\{1015.5,680\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2547]:=\operatorname{Sv}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{a}=\operatorname{If}[I n t e g e r Q[x] \& \& P r i m e Q[y], 90,500]\}, x^{+} \mathbf{y}\right.$ + a]
In[2548]:= \{Sv[90, 500], $\mathbf{K r}[\mathbf{1 8}, \mathbf{5 5 5}]\}$
Out[2548]= \{1090, 663 $\}$
In[2549]:= H[x_: 90, $\left.\mathbf{y}_{-}, \mathbf{z}_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{ \}, \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}]$
In[2550]:= \{H[220, 250, 540], H[220, 250], H[540] $\}$
Out[2550]= \{1010, 560, H[540]\}
In a number of cases this mechanism is a rather useful while for the Maple the similar modification of the mechanism of testing of types of the factual arguments at the time of a procedures call is inadmissible. Naturally, the similar mechanism is allowed and forMaple when an algorithm defined in the form of a test(Boolean function) of arguments is coded not in the heading of a procedure, but it is defined by a separate type with its activation in the current session. In such case the standard formatx::test is used for a testing of axargument. By natural manner we can define also the initial values of local variables in a
point of a procedure call depending on received values of its actual arguments as illustrate two examples of the previous fragment. At last, if theMaple system doesn't allow assignment of valuesby default to intermediate arguments of a procedure, theMathematicasystem allows that rather significantly expands possibilities of programming of procedures;the last example of the previous fragment gives a certain illustration to the told.

Meanwhile, it must be kept in mind that at using of the mechanism ofreturn through global variables the increased attentiveness is required in order to any conflict situations with the global variables of the same name outside of procedures does not arise. Since the procedures as a rule will be repeatedly used in various sessions, the return through global variables is inexpedient. However, to some extent this problem is solvable at using, in particular, of the special names whose probability of emergence in the current session is extremely small, for example, on the basis of theUnique function. In case of creation of certain procedures of our packageAVZ_Package [48] the similar approach for return of results through global variables was used. In certain cases this approach is quite effective.

Analogously to the case of local variables the question of determination of existence in a procedure of global variables represents undoubted interest; in the first case the problem is solved by the proceduresLocals andLocals1 considered above, in the second- by means of two proceduresGlobals and Globals1. So, as a natural addition toLocals1 the procedure whose the call Globals[ $x$ ] returns the list ofglobal variables in string format of a procedure $\boldsymbol{x}$ acts. The next fragment represents source code of theGlobals procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2522]:= Globals[P_/; ProcBMQ[P]] := Module[\{c, $\mathbf{d}=\{ \}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{g}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1 , b}=$ ToString1[DefFunc[P]], a = If[P === ExprOfStr, \{\}, Sort[Locals1[P]I]]\},

If[a == \{\}, Return[\{\}], c = StringPosition[b, \{" := ", " = "\}][[2 ;;-1]]]; For[k, k <= Length[c], k++, p = c[[k]];
AppendTo[d, ExprOfStr[b, p[[1]],-1, \{" ", ",", """, "!", "\{"\}]l]; For[k = 1, k <= Length[d], k++, p = d[[k]]; If[p != "\$Failed" \&\& p != " ", AppendTo[g, If[StringFreeQ[p, \{"\{", "\}"\}], p, StringSplit[StringReplace[p, \{"\{"-> "", "\}"-> ""’\}]l, ","]l, Null]]; g = Flatten[g]; d = \{\}; For[k = 1, k <= Length[g], k++, p = g[[k]]; AppendTo[d, If[StringFreeQ[p, \{"[", "]"\}], p,
StringTake[p, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[p, "["]][[1]]-1\}]]l]; g = d; d = \{\}; For[k = 1, k <= Length[g], k++, p = g[[k]]; AppendTo[d, StringReplace[p, \{","-> "", " "->
"" $\}$ ] ]]; d = Sort[Map[StringTrim, DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[d]]I]; Select[d, !
MemberQ[If[ListListQ[a], a[[1]], a], \#] \&]]
In[2523]:= Sv[x_, y_] := Module[\{a, b=90, c = 500\}, $\mathbf{a}=\left(\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right) /(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}) ;\{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{h}\}=$ $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\} ; \mathbf{t}=\mathbf{z}+\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{t}] ; \mathbf{G S [ x \_ ]}:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}=\mathbf{9 0}, \mathrm{c}=\mathbf{4 2 \}}$,

h*Kr[18]-Cos[x + Kr[90]]; t]; Ar[x_] := Module[\{a, b = 90, c = 42, Kr, z\}, Kr[y_] :=
Module[\{\}, $\left.\mathbf{y}^{\wedge 2}+\operatorname{Sin}[y]\right] ; \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2} ;\{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{p}\}=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathrm{b}, 18\} ; \mathbf{t}=\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{h}^{*} \operatorname{Kr}[18]-\operatorname{Cos}[\mathrm{x}+$ $\mathbf{K r}[90]$ ]; t]
In[2524] := Map[Globals, \{Locals1, Locals, Globals, ProcQ, ExprOfStr, GS, DefFunc, Sv, Ar\}]

Out[2524]= \{\{"d", "j", "v"\},\{"h", "R", "s", "v", "z"\}, \{\},\{\}, \{\}, \{"h","Kr", "p", "t", "z"\}, \{\}, \{"h", "t", "z"\}, \{"h", "p", "t"\}\}
The definition of the Globals procedure along with standard tools uses and our means such asProcBMQ, DefFunc, ExprOfStr, Locals1, ToString1 and ListListQ considered in the present book and in [28-33]. It should be noted that the procedure callGlobals[P] the objects names of a procedure body to which assignments by operators $\{$ ":=", "="\} are made and which differ from local variables of a main procedure $\boldsymbol{P}$ understands as the global variables. Therefore, the situation when alocal variable of a subprocedure in a certain procedure $\boldsymbol{P}$ can be defined by the callGlobals $[\boldsymbol{P}]$ as a global variable as it visually illustrates an example of application of theGlobals procedure to our proceduresLocals andLocals1 containing the nested subprocedures $S \boldsymbol{g}$ and $\mathbf{K r}$ respectively is quite possible. In that case some additional research is required, or theGlobals can be expanded and to this case, interesting as a rather useful exercise. For the solution of the given problem, in particular, it is possible to use the proceduresLocals1 andGlobals in combination with theMinusList procedure [48].
One of simple enough variants of the generalization of the Globals(based on theGlobals) onto case of the nested procedures can be presented by a quite simple procedureGlobals1, whose callGlobals1[x] returns the list of global variables in string format of a procedurex; at that, actual argumentx can be as a procedure that isn't containing in the body of subprocedures of various level of nesting, and a procedure containing such subprocedures. Also some others interesting and useful in practical programming, the approaches for the solution of this problem are possible. The following fragment represents source code of theGlobals1 procedure along with examples of its usage.
In[3116] := Globals1[P_/; ProcQ[P]] := Module[\{a = SubProcs[P], b, c, d=\{\}\}, \{b, c\} $=$ Map[Flatten, $\{$ Map[Locals1, a[[2]]], Map[Globals, a[[2]]]\}];
MinusList[DeleteDuplicates[c], b]]

```
In[3117] := Map[Globals1, {Locals1, Locals, Globals, ProcQ, ExprOfStr, GS,
DefFunc, Sv, Ar}]
Out[3117]= {{},{"R"},{},{},{},{"h",Kr","p","t","z"},{},{"h","t","z"},{"h","p","t"}}
In[3118]:= P[x_, y_] := Module[{a, b, P1, P2}, P1[z_, h_] := Module[{m, n}, T=\mp@subsup{\mathbf{z}}{}{\wedge}2 +
h^2; T]; P2[z_] := Module[{P3}, P3[h_] := Module[{}, Q = h^4; Q]; P3[z]]; V =
x*P2[x] + P1[x, y] + P2[y]; V]; P1[x_] := Module[{}, {c, d}= {90, 500}; c*d + x];
Map[Globals1, {P, P1}]
```

Out[3118]= \{\{"Q", "T", "V"\}, \{"c", "d"\}\}
In[3119]: $=\operatorname{Sv}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}\right]:=$Module[\{a, $\left.\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{c}=500\right\}, \mathbf{a}=\left(\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2+\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right) /(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}) ;\{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{h}\}=$
\{a, b\}; t = z + h; gs = t^2]; Globals1[Sv] Out[3119]= \{"gs", "h", "t", "z"\}

In[3120] := LocalsGlobals[x_/; ProcQ[x]] := \{Locals[x], Globals1[x]\};
LocalsGlobals[Sv]
Out[3120]= \{ \{"a", "b= 90", "c= 500" $\}$, \{"gs", "h", "t", "z"\} \}
In particular, the first example is presented for comparison of results of calls of the proceduresGlobals andGlobals1 on the same tuple of arguments; so, if in the first case as the global variables of subprocedures were defined, in the second such variables as global don't act any more. The function call LocalsGlobals[x] returns the nested list, whose the
first element- the list of localvariables with initial values if such variables exist in string format while the second element defines the list of global variables of a procedure(block or module) $\boldsymbol{x}$. TheExtrNames procedure is presented as a quite useful means at working with procedures, its initial code with examples of application is represented by the following fragment, namely:
In[2720] := ExtrNames[x_/; ProcQ[x]] := Module[\{a=BlockToModule[x], b, c, d, f, p $=\{ \}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}\},\{\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a}\}=\{$ ToString[Locals[x]], Locals1[x]\}; $\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}=\{$ HeadPF[x], PureDefinition[x]\}; g = StringReplace[c, \{b <> " := Module["-> "", ToString[f] <> ", "-> ""\}]; d = Map[If[ListQ[\#], \#[[1]], \#] \&, StringPosition[g, \{" := ", " = "\}]l]; For[k, k <= Length[d], k++, AppendTo[p, ExtrName[g, d[[k]],-1]]]; p = Select[p, \# != ""\&]; \{a, Complement[a, pl, Complement[p, a]\}]
In[2721] := GS[x_] := Block[\{a=90, b, c $\}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{5 0 0} ; \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{6 ;} \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c} ; \mathbf{x}] \operatorname{In}[2722]:=$ ExtrNames[GS]
Out[2722]= \{\{"a", "b", "c"\}, \{"a"\}, \{"x"\}\}
In[2723]:= ExtrNames[ProcQ]
Out[2723]= \{ \{"a", "atr", "b", "c", "d", "h"\}, \{"atr", "h"\}, \{\}\}
In[2724]:= ExtrNames[ExtrNames]
Out[2724]= \{\{"a", "f", "b", "c", "d", "p", "g", "k"\}, \{"a", "b", "c", ""f", "k"\}, \{\}\}
In[2727]:= Globals2[x_/; ProcQ[x]||ModuleQ[x]||BlockQ[x]] :=

## ExtrNames[x][[3]]

In[2728]:= GS[h_]:= Module[\{a=90, b, c\}, b=500; c=6; $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c} ; \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{h}]$ In[2729]:= VG[h_] := Block[\{a=90, b, c\}, b=500; c=6; $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c} ; \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{h} \mathbf{h}^{\wedge}$ 2] In[2730]:= Map[Globals2, \{GS, VG, ProcQ, Tuples1, TestArgsTypes,

## LoadFile\}]

Out[2730]= \{\{"x"\},\{"x", "y"\},\{\},\{"Res"\},\{"\$TestArgsTypes"\},\{"\$Load\$Files\$"\}\}
The procedure call ExtrNames[x] returns the nested3-element list, whose first element defines the list of all local variables of a procedurex in string format, thesecond element defines the list of local variables of the procedure $\boldsymbol{x}$ in string format to which in the procedure bodyx are ascribed the values whereas thethird element defines the list of global variables to which in the procedure bodyx are ascribed the values by operators \{":=","="\}. A rather simpleGlobals2 function completes the fragment, the function is based on the previous procedure and in a certain degree expands possibilities of the considered proceduresGlobals andGlobals1 onto procedures of any type; the function callGlobals2[x] returns the list of names in string format of the global variables of a procedurex.
Nevertheless, the previous means which are correctly testing existence at a module/block of the global variables defined by assignments by operators \{":=", "="\}, aren’t effective in cases when definitions of the tested modules/ blocks use assignments of type $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \ldots\}$ $\{=\mid:=\}\{\boldsymbol{a} 1, \boldsymbol{b 1}, \ldots\}$ ora[ $[\boldsymbol{k}]]\{=\mid:=\} \boldsymbol{b}$, simply ignoring them. The given defect is eliminated by theLocalsGlobals1 procedure, whose callLocalsGlobals1[x] returns the nested3-element list whosefirst sublist contains names in string format of thelocal variables, the second sublist containslocal variables withinitial values in string format, and thethird sublist-global variables in string format of a block/modulex. On argumentx of
type different fromblock/module, a procedure call is returned unevaluated. The fragment below represents source code of the procedure LocalsGlobals1 procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2483] := LocalsGlobals1[x_/; QBlockMod[x]] := Module[\{c = "", d, j, h=\{\}, k=1, p, G, L, a = Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}][[1]]], b=Flatten[\{HeadPF[x]\}][[1]]\}, b= StringReplace[a, \{b <> " := Module["-> "", b <> ":= Block["-> ""\}, 1]; While[k <= StringLength[b], d = StringTake[b, \{k, k\}]; c = c <> d; If[StringCount[c, "\{"] == StringCount[c, "\}"], Break[]]; k++]; b = StringReplace[b, c <> ","-> "", 1]; L = If[c == "\{\}", \{\}, StrToList[StringTake[c, \{2,-2\}]]]; d = StringPosition[b, \{" := ", "="\}]; d = (\#1[[1]]-1 \&) /@ d; For[k = 1, k <= Length[d], k++, c = d[[k]]; p = ""; For[j = c, j $>=1, \mathrm{j}-, \mathrm{p}=$ StringTake[b, $\{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{j}\}]$ <> p; If[! Quiet[ToExpression[p]] === \$Failed \& \& StringTake[b, $\{\mathbf{j}-1, \mathbf{j}-1\}]==$ " ", AppendTo[h, p]; Break[]II]; G = Flatten[(If[StringFreeQ[\#1, "\{"], \#1, StrToList[StringTake[\#1, \{2,-2\}]]] \&)/@ (StringTake[\#1, \{1, Quiet[Check[Flatten[StringPosition[\#1, "["]][[1]], 0]]-1\}] \&) /@ h]; b = (If[StringFreeQ[\#1, " = "], \#1, StringTake[\#1, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[\#1, " = "]][[1]]-1\}]] \&)/@ L; d = DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[(StringSplit[\#1, ", "] \&) /@ MinusList[G, b]I]; d = Select[d, ! Quiet[SystemQ[\#1]] \&\& ! MemberQ[Flatten[\{"\", "\#", """, "", "+", "-", ToString /@ Range[0, 9]\}], StringTake[\#1, \{1, 1\}]] \&]; \{Select[b, ! MemberQ[ToString /@ Range[0, 9], StringTake[\#1, \{1, 1\}]] \&], L, MinusList[d, b]\}]

In[2484]:= M[x_, y_] := Module[\{a=90, b=500, c=\{v, r\}\}, $\mathbf{h}=\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{b} ; \mathbf{m}=\mathbf{7 2 ;} \mathbf{t}$ := (a+b); g[[6]] = 73; t[z_] := a; \{g, p\}=\{67, 72\};
$\{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}\}:=\{42,72\} ; \mathbf{x + y}] ;\{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{j}\}=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\} ;\{$ LocalsGlobals1[M], $\{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}\}\}$ Out[2484]= \{\{\{"a", "b", "c" $\},\{" \mathrm{a}=90 \times, " \mathrm{~b}=500 \times, " \mathrm{c}=\{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{r}\}$ " $\}$, \{"h", "m", "t", "g", "p", "k", "j"\}\}, \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7\}\}
In[2485] := Sv[x_, y_] := Module[\{a, b=90, c = \{n, m\}\}, $\mathbf{a}=\left(\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}\right) /(\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}) ;\{\mathbf{z}$, $\mathbf{h}\}=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\} ; \mathbf{t}=\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{h} ; \mathbf{g s}=\mathbf{t}$ ^2]; LocalsGlobals1[Sv]
Out[2485]= \{\{"a", "b", "c"\}, \{"a", "b= 90", "c= \{n, m\}"\}, \{"z", "h", "t", "gs"\}\} In[2486]:= Vt[x_, y_] := Module[\{a, b=90, c =\{n, m, \{42, 72\}\}\}, $\mathbf{a}=\left(\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} 2\right) /(b+$ c); $\{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{h}\}=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\} ; \mathbf{t}=\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{h} ; \mathbf{g s}=\mathbf{t} \wedge$ 2]; LocalsGlobals1[Vt]

Out[2486]= \{\{"a", "b", "c"\},\{"a", "b=90", "c=\{n,m,\{42,72\}\}"\},\{"z", "h", "t", "gs"\}\}
In[2495]:= LocalsGlobalsM[x_/; QBlockMod[x]] := Module[\{b = "\$\$90\$", c, d=\{\}, $\mathrm{k}=1, \mathrm{a}=$ Flatten $[\{$ PureDefinition[x] $\}]\}$,
While[k <= Length[a], c = b <> ToString[x]; ToExpression[b <> a[[k]]]; AppendTo[d, LocalsGlobals1[c]]; ToExpression["Clear[" <> c <> "]"]; k++]; If[Length[d] == 1, d[[1]], d]]
In[2496]:= M[x_, y_]:= Module[\{a=90, b=500, c $\}, \mathbf{h}=\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{b} ; \mathbf{m}=72 ; \mathbf{t}:=(\mathbf{a}+$ b); g[[6]] = 73; t[z_]:=a; \{g, p\}=\{67, 72\}; $x+y] ;$
$\mathbf{M}\left[\mathbf{x} \_\right]:=\operatorname{Block}[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}, \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}] ; \mathbf{M}\left[\mathbf{x} \_\right]:=\operatorname{Block}[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\},\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}:=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}] ;$ P1[x_]:= $\operatorname{Module}\left[\{a, b=\{90,500\}\},\{c, d\}=\{p, q\} ;\{a, b, h, g\}=\{42,47,67,78\} ; c^{*} d+x\right]$ In[2497]:= LocalsGlobalsM[M]
Out[2497] = \{ \{ ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ a", "b", "c"\}, \{"a=90", "b=500", "c"\}, \{"h", "m", "t", "g", "p", "k",
"j" $\}\}$, , \{ "a", "b"\}, \{"a", "b"\}, \{"y"\}\}, \{\{"a", "b"\}, \{"a", "b"\}, \{"y", "z"\}\}\}
In[2498]:= LocalsGlobalsM[P1]
Out[2498]= \{\{"a", "b"\}, \{"a", "b= \{90, 500\}"\}, \{"c", "d", "h", "g"\}\}
Meanwhile, the LocalsGlobals1 procedure correctly works only with the blocks/modules having unique definitions, i.e. with the objects other than objects of the same name.
Whereas theLocalsGlobalsM procedure expands theLocalsGlobals1 procedure onto case of the blocks/modules of the same name; the procedure callLocalsGlobalsM[x] returns the list of the nested returns the list of the nested element lists of the format similar to the format of results of return on the callsLocalsGlobals1[ $x$ ] whose elements are biunique with subobjects of $x$, according to their order at application to the objectx of thePureDefinition procedure. On arguments $\boldsymbol{x}$ of the type different from block/module, the procedure callLocalsGlobalsM[x] is returned unevaluated. Source code of theLocalsGlobalsM procedure along with examples of its use complete the previous fragment. Meanwhile, it must be kept in mind that the returned list of global variables doesn't contain multiple names though the identical names and can belong to objects of various type as very visually illustrates the first example to theLocalsGlobals1 procedure in the previous fragment in which the symbol" $t$ " acts as a global variable twice. Indeed, the simple example below very visually illustrates the aforesaid, namely:
$\operatorname{In}[2538]:=\mathbf{t}\left[z_{-}\right]:=\mathbf{z ;} \mathbf{t}:=(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b})$; Definition[t]
Out[2538]= $t:=(a+b)$
$\mathrm{t}[\mathrm{z}]$ : $:=\mathrm{z}$
Therefore carrying out the additional analysis regarding definition of types of the global variables used by the tested block/module in event of need is required. Definition of theLocalsGlobals1 procedure along with standard means uses and our means such asHeadPF, QBlockMod, PureDefinition, MinusList, StrToList, SystemQMinusList, StrToList, SystemQ 33]. The procedure has a number of applications at programming of various problems, first of all, of the system character.

Above we determined so -calledactive global variables as global variables to which in the objects of type \{Block,Module\} the assignments are done while we understand the global variables different from arguments as thepassive global variables, whose values are only used in objects of the specified type. In this regard means that allow to evaluate the passive global variables for the user blocks and modules are being represented as very interesting. One of similar means- theBlockFuncModVars procedure that solves even more general problem.The next fragment represents source code of the procedure
BlockFuncModVars along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2337]:= BlockFuncModVars[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]] := Module[\{d, t, c = Args[x, 90], a = If[QFunction[x], \{\}, LocalsGlobals1[x]], $s=\{" S y s t e m "\}, ~ u=$ \{"Users"\}, b = Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}][[1]], h = \{\}\},
d = ExtrVarsOfStr[b, 2]; If[a == \{\}, t = Map[If[Quiet[SystemQ[\#]], AppendTo[s, \#], If[BlockFuncModQ[\#], AppendTo[u, \#], AppendTo[h, \#]]] \&, d]; \{s, u = Select[u, \# != ToString[x] \&], c, MinusList[d, Join[s, u, c, \{ToString[x]\}]]\},
Map[If[Quiet[SystemQ[\#]],AppendTo[s, \#], If[BlockFuncModQ[\#], AppendTo[u, \#], AppendTo[h, \#]]] \&, d]; \{Select[s, ! MemberQ[\{"\$Failed", "True", "False"\}, \#] \&],

Select[u, \# != ToString[x] \&\& ! MemberQ[a[[1]], \#] \&], c, a[[1]], a[[3]], Select[h, ! MemberQ[Join[a[[1]], a[[3]], c, \{"System", "Users"\}], \#] \&]\}]] In[2338]:= A[m_, n_, $\mathbf{p}_{-} /$; IntegerQ[p], $\mathbf{h}_{-} /$; PrimeQ[h]] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=6\}, h^{*}(\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n + p}) / \mathbf{a}+$ StringLength[ToString1[z]]/(Cos[c] + Sin[d])]
In[2339]:= BlockFuncModVars[A]
Out[2339]= \{\{"System", "Cos", "IntegerQ", "Module", "PrimeQ", "Sin",
" StringLength"\}, \{"Users", "ToString1"\}, \{"m", "n", "p", "h"\}, \{"""\}, \{\}, \{"c", "d", "z"\}\}
In[2340]:= BlockFuncModVars[StringReplaceS]
Out[2340]= \{ \{"System", "Append", "Characters", "If", "Length", "MemberQ",
"Module", "Quiet", "StringLength", "StringPosition", "StringQ", "StringReplacePart", "StringTake", "While"\}, \{"Users"\},
\{ "S", "s1", "s2"\}, \{"a", "b", "c", "k", "p", "L", "R"\}, \{\}, \{\}\} In[2341]:=
BlockFuncModVars[BlockFuncModVars]
Out[2341]= \{\{"System", "AppendTo", "Flatten", "If", "Join", "MemberQ",
" Module", "Quiet", "Select", "ToString"\}, \{"Users", "Args", "BlockFuncModQ", "ExtrVarsOfStr", "LocalsGlobals1", "MinusList", "PureDefinition", "QFunction", "SystemQ"\}, \{"x"\},
\{ "d", "t", "c", "a", "s", "u", "b", "h"\}, \{\}, \{\}\}

## In[2342]:= BlockFuncModVars[LocalsGlobals1]

Out[2342]= \{ \{"System", "Append", "Block", "Break", "Check",
" DeleteDuplicates", "Flatten", "For", "If", "Length", "MemberQ", "Module", "Quiet", "Range", "Select", "StringCount", "StringFreeQ", "StringJoin", "StringLength", "StringPosition", "StringReplace", "StringSplit", "StringTake", "ToExpression", "ToString", "While"\}, \{"Users", "HeadPF", "MinusList", "PureDefinition", "QBlockMod", "StrToList", "SystemQ"\},\{"x"\},\{"c", "d", "j", "h", "k", "p", "G", "L", "a", "b"\},\{\},\{\}\}

## In[2343]:= BlockFuncModVars[StrStr] <br> Out[2343]= \{\{"System", "If", "StringJoin", "StringQ", "ToString"\}, \{"Users"\}, \{"x"\}, \{\}\}

The procedure call BlockFuncModVars[x] returns the nested returns the nestedelement list, whosefirst element- the list of thesystem functions used by a block/module $\boldsymbol{x}$, whose first element is"System" while other names are system functions in string format; the second element- the list of the user means used by the block/modulex, whose first element is"Users" whereas the others define names of means in string format; the third element defines the list of formal arguments in string format of the block/modulex; the fourth element- the list of local variables in string format of the block/modulex; the fifth element - the list of active global variables in string format of the block/modulex; at last, the sixth element determines the list ofpassive global variables in string format of the block/modulex. While on a user functionx the procedure call BlockFuncModVars[x] returns the nested nestedelement list, whose first element

- the list of the system functions used by a functionx, whose first element is "System"
while other names are system functions in string format; the2nd element- the list of the user means used by the functionx, whose the first element is "Users" whereas the others determine names of means in string format; the third element defines the list of formal arguments in the string format of the functionx; the fourth element- the list of global variables in string format of the functionx. The given procedure provides the structural analysis of the user blocks/functions/modules in the following contexts:(1) the used system functions, (2)the user means, active in the current session, (3)the formal arguments, (4)the local variables, (5)the active global variables, and(6)the passive local variables. The given means has a number of interesting enough appendices, first of all, of the system character.

The next procedure belongs to group of the means processing the strings, however, it is presented exactly here as it is very closely connected with the previous procedure; along with other our means it is the cornerstone of the algorithm of the BlockFuncModVars procedure. The following fragment represents source code of theBlockFuncModVars procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2478]:= ExtrVarsOfStr[S_/; StringQ[S], t_/; MemberQ[\{1, 2\}, t], x__]:= Module[ $\{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{d}=\{ \}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{a}=$ StringLength[S], $q=$ Map[ToString, Range[0, 9]], $\mathrm{h}=1, \mathbf{c}$ $=$ "", L = Characters["‘!@\#\%^\&*() $\}: " \mathrm{~V} \mid<>$ ?~-=+[];:'., 1234567890"], R = Characters["‘!@\#\%^\&*()\{\}:"V|<>?~=[l]:'’, "]\}, Label[G]; For[k = h, k <= a, k++, p $=$ StringTake[S, $\{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}\}]$; If[! MemberQ[L, p], c = c <> p; j = k + 1; While[j <= a, p = StringTake[S, \{j, j\}]; If[! MemberQ[R, p], c = c <> p, AppendTo[d, c]; h = j; c =""; Goto[G]]; j++]l]; AppendTo[d, c]; d = Select[d, ! MemberQ[q, \#] \&]; d = Select[Map[StringReplace[\#, \{"+"-> "", "-"-> "", "-"-> ""\}] \&, d], \# != "" \&]; d = Flatten[Select[d, ! StringFreeQ[S, \#] \&]]; d = Flatten[Map[StringSplit[\#, ", "] \&, d]];
If[t $==1$, Flatten, Sort][If[\{x\}!= \{\}, Flatten, DeleteDuplicates] [Select[d, !
MemberQ[\{"‘", "\#", ""\}, StringTake[\#, \{1, 1\}]] \&]ll]

```
In[2479] := A[m_, n_, p_/; IntegerQ[p], h_/; PrimeQ[h]] :=
Module[ \(\left.\{\mathbf{a}=42.78\}, \mathbf{h}^{*}(\mathrm{~m}+\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{p}) / \mathrm{a}\right]\)
In[2480]:= ExtrVarsOfStr[Flatten[\{PureDefinition[A]\}][[1]], 2]
```

Out[2480]= \{"a", "A", "h", "IntegerQ", "m", "Module", "n", "p", "PrimeQ" $\}$
In[2481]:= G[x_, y_/; IntegerQ[y]] := Module[\{a, b=Sin[c +d], h\}, z = x + y; V[m] + Z[n]]
In[2482]:= ExtrVarsOfStr[PureDefinition[G], 1, 90]
Out[2482]= \{"G", "x", "y", "IntegerQ", "y", "Module", "a", "b", "Sin", "c", "d", "h", "z", "x", "y", "V", "m", "Z", "n"\}
In[2483]:= V[x_, y_/; PrimeQ[y]] := Block[\{a, b=73/90, c = m*n\}, If[x > t + w, x*y, S[x, y]l]
In[2484]:= ExtrVarsOfStr[PureDefinition[V], 2]
Out[2484]= \{"a", "b", "Block", "c", "If", "m", "n", "PrimeQ", "S", "t", "V", "w", "x", "y"\}
$\operatorname{In}[2485]:=\mathbf{F}[\mathbf{x}]]:=\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{x}+\operatorname{Sin}\left[\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{x}\right]+$ StringLength[ToString1[x $\left.\left.+\mathbf{c}\right]\right] ;$

## BlockFuncModVars[F]

Out[2485]= \{\{"System", "Sin", "StringLength"\}, \{"Users", "ToString1"\}, \{"x"\}, \{"a", "b", "c"\}\}

In[2486]:= ExtrVarsOfStr["G[x_] := Module[\{Vg, H73\},
Vg[y_] := Module[\{\}, y^3]]", 1]
Out[2486]= \{"G", "x", "Module", "Vg", "H73", "y"\}
In[2487]:= ExtrVarsOfStr["(a + b)/(c + d) + Sin[c]*Cos[d + h]", 2]
Out[2487]= \{"a", "b", "c", "Cos", "d", "h", "Sin"\}
In[2488]:= ExtrVarsOfStr["(a + b)/(c + d) + Sin[c]*Cos[d + h]", 2, 90]
Out[2488]= \{"a", "b", "c", "c", "Cos", "d", "d", "h", "Sin"\}
The procedure call ExtrVarsOfStr[S, $\boldsymbol{t}]$ att=2 returns the sorted and att=1 unsorted list of variables in string format, which managed to extract from a stringS; in the absence of similar variables the empty list, i.e. $\}$ is returned. The procedure callExtrVarsOfStr[S,t, $x$ ] with the3rd optional argumentx - an arbitrary expression- returns the list of the variables included in a string $\boldsymbol{S}$ without reduction of their multiplicity to1. Along withstandard mechanism of local variables theMathematica system allows use of mechanism of the global variables of the current session in the body of procedures as the local variables. Experience of use of the procedure confirms its high reliability in an extraction of variables; the procedure is quite simply adjusted onto the special situations arising in the course of its work. For correct application of theExtrVarsOfStr procedure it is supposed that an expressionExp, defined in a stringS is in theInputForm-format, i.e.S $=$ ToString[InputForm[Exp]]. This procedure is effectively used at manipulations with definitions of the user blocks, functions, procedures. So, in the previousBlockFuncModVars procedure it is used very significantly.In general, procedure can be used for the analysis of algebraic expressions too.
A quite useful reception of ensuring use of the global variables which isn 't changing values of the variables of the same name outside of a procedure body was already given above. In addition to earlier described reception, we will present the procedure automating this process of converting at the time of performance of an arbitrary procedure of global variables to local variables of this procedure. The similar problem arises, in particular, in the case when it is required to execute a procedure having the global variables without changing their values outside of the procedure and without change of source code of the procedure in the current session. In other words, it is required to execute a procedure call with division of domains of definition of global variables of the current session of the system and global variables of the same name of the procedure. Whereas in other points of a procedure call such restrictions aren't imposed.
The GlobalToLocal procedure solves the task, whose callGlobalToLocal[x] provides converting of definition of a procedurex into definition of the $\$ \mathbf{\$} \boldsymbol{x}$ procedure in which allglobal variables of the initial procedurex are included into the tuple oflocal variables;the procedure call returns a procedure name activated in the current session which has no global variables. Whereas the callGlobalToLocal $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ with thesecond optional argumenty -an undefinite variable- in addition through it returns the nested list whosefirst element is sublist of local variables and thesecond element is sublist of global variables of a procedurex. The procedure in a number of cases solves the problem of protection of variables, external in relation to a procedurex. The following fragment represents source code of theGlobalToLocal procedure with the most typical examples of its usage.

In[2526]:= GlobalToLocal[x_/; QBlockMod[x], y__] := Module[\{b, c, a = LocalsGlobals1[x]\},
If[Intersection[a[[1]], a[[3]]] == $\mathbf{a}[[3]] \| \mathbf{a}[[3]]==\{ \}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}=\operatorname{Join}[\mathbf{a}[[2]]$, MinusList[a[[3]], a[[1]]I]; c = "\$\$\$" <> StringReplace[PureDefinition[x], ToString[a[[2]]]-> ToString[b], 1]; If[\{y\}!= \{\}\&\& ! HowAct[y], y = \{a[[1]], a[[3]]\}]; ToExpression[c]; Symbol["\$\$\$" <> ToString[x]]I]
In[2527]:= GS[x_] := Module[\{a, b=90, $\mathbf{c}=\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\}\}, \operatorname{Kr[y}]]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{ \}, \mathbf{y}^{\wedge 2+}\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{Sin}[y]] ; \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2} ;\{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{p}\}=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, 5\} ; \mathbf{t}=\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{h}^{*} \operatorname{Kr}[6]-\operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{x}+\operatorname{Kr}[9]] ; \mathbf{t}\right]$
In[2528] := GlobalToLocal[GS] Out[2528]= \$\$\$GS
In[2529]:= Definition[\$\$\$GS] Out[2529]= \$\$\$GS[x_]:= Module[\{a, b=90, $c=\{m, n\}$, Kr, z, h, p, t\},
 $\operatorname{Kr}[9]] ; \mathrm{t}] \operatorname{In}[2530]:=\{$ GlobalToLocal[GS, y], y $\}$
Out[2530]= \{\$\$\$GS, \{\{""", "b", "c"\}, \{"Kr", "z", "h", "p", "t"\}\}\}
In[2531]:= LocalsGlobals1[\$\$\$GS]
Out[2531]= \{\{"a", "b", "c", "Kr", $\mathrm{z} ", ~ " h ", ~ " p ", ~ " t "\}, ~\{" a ", ~ " b=~ 90 ", ~ " c=~\{m, ~ n\} ", ~$ "Kr", "z", "h","p", "t"\}, \{\}\}
The algorithm used by the GlobalToLocal procedure is rather simple and consists in the following. In case of absence for a procedurex of the global variables the namex is returned; otherwise, on the basis of definition of the $\boldsymbol{x}$ procedure, the definition of procedure with name $\mathbf{\$ \$} \boldsymbol{\$} \boldsymbol{x}$ which differs from the initial procedure only in that that the global variables of thex procedure are included into a tuple of local variables of the procedure $\$ \$ \$ x$ is formed. Whereat, this definition is activated in the current session with return of the name $\$ \mathbf{\$ x}$, allowing to carry out the $\$ \mathbf{\$} \mathbf{x}$ procedure in the current session without change of values of global variables of the current session.
At the same time the problem of converting of a block or a module $\boldsymbol{x}$ into an object of the same type in whichglobal variables are included in tuple of the local variables of the returned object $\boldsymbol{x}$ of the same name with both the same attributes, and options is of interest. TheGlobalToLocalM procedure solves this problem; the fragment below represents source code of the procedure GlobalToLocalM along with typical examples of its application.
In[2651] := GlobalToLocalM[x_/; QBlockMod[x]] := Module[\{d, h="\$\$\$, k=1, n, $\mathbf{p}=\{ \}, \mathbf{b}=$ Attributes[x], $\mathbf{c}=$ Options[x], $\mathbf{a}=$ Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}]\}, While[k <= Length[a], d = a[[k]]; n = h <> ToString[x]; ToExpression[h <> d];
GlobalToLocal[Symbol[n]]; AppendTo[p, PureDefinition["\$\$\$" <> n]];
ToExpression["ClearAll[" <> n <> "]"]; k++]; ClearAllAttributes[x]; ClearAll[x]; ToExpression[Map[StringReplace[\#,"\$\$\$\$\$\$"-> "", 1] \&, p]]; SetAttributes[x, b]; If[c != \{\}, SetOptions[x, c]]; ]
In[2652] := A[x_] := Block[\{\}, g = x; \{m, n\}=\{90, 6\}]; A[x_, y_] := Module[\{\}, h=x +y ; z = h*x]; SetAttributes[A, $\{$ Listable, Protected $\}$ ]; GlobalToLocalM[A] In[2653]:= Definition[A]
Out[2653] = Attributes[A]= \{Listable, Protected $\}$
$A\left[x_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Block}[\{g, m, n\}, g=x ;\{m, n\}=\{6,9\}]$
A[x_, y_]:= Module[\{h, z\}, h=x+y; z= h*x]
In[2654]:= GS[x_] := Module[\{a, $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{5 0 0}, \mathbf{c}=\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\}\}, \operatorname{Kr[y}] \mathbf{: =}$ Module[\{\}, $\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+$ $\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{y}]$ ]; $\left.\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2} ;\{\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{p}\}=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{1 8}\} ; \mathbf{t}=\mathbf{z}+\mathbf{h}^{*} \operatorname{Kr}[18]-\operatorname{Cos}[\mathrm{x}+\operatorname{Kr}[90]] ; \mathrm{t}\right] ;$
GS[x_, y_] := Block[ $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}=\{90,500\}, \mathbf{c}\}, \mathbf{z}=\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y} ; \mathbf{d}=$ Art]; SetAttributes[GS, \{Protected\}]; GlobalToLocalM[GS] In[2655]:= Definition[GS]
Out[2655]= Attributes[GS]= $\{$ Protected $\}$
GS[x _]:= Module[\{a, b=500, c= \{m, n\}, Kr, z, h, p, t\}, Kr[y_]:= Module[\{\}, y^2+ $\operatorname{Sin}[y]] ; \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{x} \wedge 2 ;\{\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{p}\}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 18\} ; \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{h} * \operatorname{Kr[18]-\operatorname {Cos}[\mathrm {x}+\operatorname {Kr}[90]];\mathrm {t}]}$
GS[x_, y_]:= Block[\{a, b=\{90,500\}, c, z, d\}, z=x+y; d=Art]
In[2656] := GSV[x_] := Module[\{a, b=500, c = \{m, n\}\}, Kr[y_] := Module[\{\}, y^2 +

GSV[x_, y_] := Block[\{a, b=\{90, 50\}, c\}, z = x +y; d=Art; t=Length[b]*z];
SetAttributes[GSV, \{Protected\}]; GlobalToLocalM[GSV] In[2657]:= Definition[GSV]
Out[2657] = GSV[x_]:= Module[\{a, b= 500, c= \{m, n\}, Kr, z, h, p, t, w\}, Kr[y_]:=
Module[\{\}, $\left.\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} 2+\operatorname{Sin}[\mathrm{y}]\right] ; \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{x} \wedge 2 ;\{\mathrm{z}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{p}\}=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, 18\} ; \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{h} \operatorname{Kr}[18]+\operatorname{Cos}[\mathrm{x}+\operatorname{Kr[500]];}$ $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{t} \wedge 2$ ]
GSV[x_, y_]:= Block[\{a, b= \{90, 500\}, c, z, d, t\}, z=x+ y; d=Art; t= Length[b]*z]
The procedure call GlobalToLocalM[x] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, herewith converting a block or a modulex into the objectx of the same type and with the same attributes and options in which theglobal variables(if they were) of the initial object receive thelocal status. In the case of the objects of the same namex the procedure call provides correct converting of all components of the object determined by various definitions. The fragment examples rather visually clarify the sense of similar converting.
It must be kept in mind, our package AVZ_Package [48] contains a number of other tools for the analysis of the procedures regarding existence in them of local and global variables, and also for manipulation witharguments, local andglobal variables of objects of the types $\{$ Block, Function, Module $\}$. Means for work withlocal andglobal variables which are presented here and in [48] are quite useful in procedural programming in theMathematica system.

Meantime, it must be kept in mind that a series of tools of theAVZ_Package package can depend on a version of theMathematica system, despite the a rather high level ofprolongation of the builtinMath-language of the system. Therefore in some cases a certain tuning of separate means of the package onto the current version of the system can be demanded, what in principle for the rather experienced user shouldn't cause special difficulties. At that, similar tuning can be demanded even in case of passing from one operation platform onto another, for example, withWindows XP ontoWindows 7.

### 6.8. Attributes, options and values by default for the arguments of the user blocks, functions and modules; additional means of processing of them inMathematica

The Mathematica system provides the possibility of assignment to variable, in particular, to names of blocks, functions or modules of the certain special attributes defining their different properties. So, theListable attribute for a function $W$ defines, that the function $W$ will be automatically applied to all elements of the list which acts as its argument. The current tenth version of theMathematica system has19 attributes of various purpose, the work with them is supported by 3 functions, namely: Attributes, ClearAttributes and SetAttributes whose formats are discussed, for example, in [30-33]. These3 functions provide such operations as:(1) return of the list of the attributes ascribed to an objectx;(2) deletion of all or separate attributes ascribed to an objectr; (3) a redefinition of the list of the attributes ascribed to an objectx. Meanwhile, in a number of cases of these means it isn't enough or they are not so effective. Therefore, we offered a number of means in this direction which expand the above standardMathematica means.
Above all,since eventuallynewMathematica versions quite can both change the standard set of attributes and to expand it, the problem of testing of an arbitrary symbol to be qua of an admissible attribute is quite natural. The AttributesQ procedure solves the given problem whose callAttributes $\mathbf{Q}[x]$ returnsTrue, if $\boldsymbol{x}$ - the list of admissible attributes of the current version of the system, andFalse otherwise. Moreover, the callAttributesQ[x, $y$ ] with the2nd optional argumenty -an undefinite variable- returns through it the list of elements of the listx which aren't attributes. The following fragment represents source code of the procedure with typical examples of its usage.

In[2550]:= AttributesQ[x_List, y__] := Module[\{a, $\mathbf{b}=\{ \}\}$,
Map[If[Quiet[Check[SetAttributes[a, \#], \$Failed]] === \$Failed, AppendTo[b, \#]] \&, x]; If[b != \{\}, If[\{y\}!= \{\}\&\& ! HowAct[y], y = b]; False, True]] In[2551]:=
\{AttributesQ[\{Listable, Agn, Protected, Kr, Art\}, h], h\} Out[2551]= \{False, \{Agn, Kr, Art $\}$ \}
In[2552]:= \{AttributesQ[\{Protected, Listable, HoldAll \}, gl, g\} Out[2552]= \{True, g\} The given means is quite useful in a number of system appendices, at that, expanding the testing means of theMathematica system.
Definitions of the user blocks, functions and modules in the Mathematica system allow qua of conditions and initial values for formal arguments, and initial values for local variables to use rather complex constructions as the following simple fragment illustrates, namely:
In[4173]:= G[x_Integer, $\mathbf{y}_{-} / ;$\{v[t_] := Module[\{\}, $\left.\mathbf{t}^{\wedge} 2\right]$, If[v[y] > 2015, True, False] $\}$ [[2]]] := Module[\{a = \{g[z_] := Module[\{\}, $\left.\mathbf{z}^{\wedge} 3\right]$, If[g[x] < 2015, 73, 90] $\left.][[2]]\right\}$,
Clear[v, g]; x* $^{*}$ + a]
$\operatorname{In}[4174]:=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}=\{500, \mathbf{9 0}\} ;\{\mathbf{G}[42,73], \mathrm{G}[42,500], \mathbf{G}[0,0]\}$
Out[4174] $=\{3156,21090, \mathrm{G}[0,0]\}$
In[4175]:= Map[PureDefinition, $\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{g}\}]$
Out[2565]= \{"v[t_]:= Module[\{\}, $\uparrow \wedge 2]$ ", \$Failed $\}$
In[4176]:= G[x_Integer, $\mathbf{y}_{-} /$; $\left\{\mathbf{v}\left[t \_\right]:=\right.$Module[\{\}, $\left.\mathbf{t}^{\wedge} 2\right]$, If[v[a] > 2015, True, False] $\}$ [[2]]] := Module[\{a = \{g[z_]:= Module[\{ $\left.\}, \mathbf{z}^{\wedge} 3\right]$,
If[g[b] < 2015, 71, 90] \}[[2]]\}, $\left.\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}+\mathrm{a}\right] \operatorname{In[4177]:=\{ a,~b\} =\{ 460,~71\} ;~\{ G[42,~71],~G[42,~}$ 460], G[0, 0]\}

Out[4177]= \{3072, 19410, 90\}
In[4178]:= Map[PureDefinition, $\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{g}\}]$
Out[4178]= \{"v[t_]:= Module[\{\}, t^2]", "g[z_]:= Module[\{\}, z^3]"\} For possibility of use of sequence of offers, including as well definitions of procedures, as a condition for a formal argumenty and initial value for the local variablea the reception that is based on the list has been used in the previous fragment. The sequences of offers were defined as elements of lists with value of their last element ascondition andinitial value respectively. At that, if in body of the main procedure $\boldsymbol{G}$ a cleaning of symbolsv and $\boldsymbol{g}$ from their definitions wasn't done, the proceduresv and $\boldsymbol{g}$ will be available in the
current session, otherwise not. The given question is solved depending on an objective, the previous fragment illustrates the told. The above reception can be applied rather effectively for programming of means of the different purpose what illustrates a number of the procedures represented in [28-33]. The mechanisms of typification of formal arguments of the user functions, blocks and modules enough in details are considered in [30-33]. Meanwhile, along with the mechanism of typification of formal arguments, the system Mathematica has definition mechanisms for formal arguments of values by default, i.e. values that receive the corresponding factual arguments at their absence at the calls. However, the system mechanism of setting of values by default assumes definition of such values before evaluation of definitions of blocks, functions and modules on the basis of the standardDefault function whose format supports installation of various values by default serially for separate formal arguments or of the same value for all arguments. The next fragment represents the procedureDefaults1[F, $\boldsymbol{y}]$ that provides the setting of expressions as values by default for the corresponding formal arguments of any subtuple of a tuple of formal arguments of the user block, function, module $\boldsymbol{F}$ that is defined by the2-element listy(the first element-number of position of an argument, the second element is an expression). For several values by default the listy hasListListtype whose sublists have the above format. The procedure successfully works with the user block, function or module $\boldsymbol{F}$ of the same name, processing only the first subobject from the list of the subobjects which are returned at the callDefinition $[F]$. The procedure call returns $\$ F$ Failed, or is returned unevaluated in special situations. The next fragment represents source code of theDefaults procedure with examples of its typical usage.

## In[2640]:= Defaults[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x], y_/; ListQ[y] \& \& Length[y] == 2 || ListListQ[y] \&\&

DeleteDuplicates[Map[IntegerQ[\#[[1]]] \&, y]] == \{True\}]:= Module[\{a = Flatten[\{Definition2[x]\}], atr $=$ Attributes[x], q, $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}=$ Flatten $[\{\operatorname{HeadPF}[\mathbf{x}]\}][[11]], \mathbf{c}$ $=\operatorname{Args}[x], \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{p}, \mathrm{h}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1 , g}=\mathbf{I f [ L i s t L i s t Q [ y ] , ~ y , ~ \{ y \} ] \} , \operatorname { I f } [ M a x [ M a p [ \# [ [ 1 ] ] \& , ~ y ] ]}$ <= Length[c]\&\& Min[Map[\#[[1]]\&, y]] >= 1, c = Map[ToString, If[NestListQ[c], c[[1]], c]]; q = Map[\#[[1]] \&, y]; d = StringReplace[a[[1]], b-> "", 1]; While[k <=
Length[q], $\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{c}[[q[[k]]]] ; \mathbf{t}=$ StringSplit[p, "-"]; If[MemberQ[q, q[[k]] $]$, u = If[Length $[\mathrm{t}]==2$, $\mathrm{t}[2]]$ = StringReplace[t[[2]], "/; "-> ""];
If[Quiet[ToExpression["\{" >> t[[1]] <> "=" <> ToString[y[[k]][[2]]] <> "," <> t[[2]] <> "\}"]][[2]] || Quiet[Head[y[[k]][[2]]] === Symbol[t[[2]]I], True, False], True]; If[u, $\mathbf{c}[[q[[\mathrm{k}]]]]=$ StringTake[p,
\{1, Flatten[StringPosition[p, "_"]][[2]]\}] <> "."]]; k++];

ClearAllAttributes[x]; ClearAll[x]; $\mathbf{k}=1$; While[k <= Length[q],
ToExpression["Default[" <> ToString[x] <> "," <> ToString[q[[k]]] <> "]" <> " = " <>

ToString1[y[[k]][[2]]]]; k++]; ToExpression[ToString[x] <> "[" <>
StringTake[ToString[c], \{2,-2\}] <> "]" <> d]; Map[ToExpression, MinusList[a, \{a[[1]]\}]]; SetAttributes[x, atr], \$Failed]]
$\operatorname{In}[2641]$ := G[x_, y_/; IntegerQ[y]] := x+y; G[x_, y_, z_] := x*y*z; G[x_, y_, z_, h_] := $\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathrm{y}^{*} \mathrm{z}^{*} \mathrm{~h}$
In[2642]:= SetAttributes[G, \{Listable, Protected, Flat \}]
In[2643]:= Defaults[G, $\{\{\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{5 0 0}\},\{\mathbf{1 , 9 0}\}\}]$
In[2644]:= Definition[G]
Out[2644]= Attributes[G]= \{Flat, Listable, Protected $\}$
G[x_., $\left.y_{-}\right]:=x+y$
$\mathrm{G}\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{\prime}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{z}_{-}\right]:=\mathrm{xyz}$
$\mathrm{G}\left[\mathrm{x}_{-}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{h} \_\right]:=\mathrm{x}$ y zh
G /: Default[G, 1]= 90
G /: Default[G, 2]= 500
In[2645]:= \{G[42, 47], G[73], G[]\}
Out[2645]= $\{89,573,590\}$
In[2646]:= ClearAllAttributes[G]; ClearAII[G];
G[x_, y_/; IntegerQ[y]]:=x+y;G[x_, y_, $\left.z_{-}\right]:=x^{*} y^{*} z ; G\left[x_{-}, y_{-}, z_{-}, h_{-}\right]:=x^{*} y^{*} z^{*} h$ In[2647]:= SetAttributes[G, \{Listable, Protected, Flat \}]
In[2648]:= Defaults[G, \{2, 90\}]
In[2649]:= Definition[G]
Out[2649]= Attributes[G]= \{Flat, Listable, Protected $\}$
G[x_, y_.]:=x+y
$\mathrm{G}\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{\prime}}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{z}_{-}\right]:=\mathrm{xyz}$
G[x_, y_, z_, h_]:= xyzh
G /: Default[G, 2]= 90
In[2650]:= Defaults[G, \{1, 500 $\}$ ]
In[2651]:= Definition[G]
Out[2651]= Attributes[G]= \{Flat, Listable, Protected $\}$
G[x_., y_.]:= x+y
G[x_, y_, z_]:=xyz
$\mathrm{G}\left[\mathrm{x}_{-}, \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{z}_{-}, \mathrm{h} \_\right]:=\mathrm{x}$ y zh
G /: Default[G, 1]= 500
G /: Default[G, 2]= 90
In[2652]:= \{G[], G[72, 67], G[500] $\}$
Out[2652]= $\{590,139,1000\}$
The successful call Defaults[G, $\boldsymbol{y}]$ returnsNull, i.e. nothing, carrying out all settingsy of values by default for formal arguments of a block, function or moduleG. It is necessary to emphasize once again that in case of an object $\boldsymbol{G}$ of the same name the callDefaults[G,y] processes only the first subobject from the list of subobjects which is returned on the callDefinition[G]. And this is a rather essential remark since the assignment mechanism to formal arguments of $\boldsymbol{G}$ of values by default for case of an object of the same name, using
theDefault function, is other than ascribing for objects of such type, in particular, of the attributes. In the latter case the attributes are ascribed to all subobjects of an object $\boldsymbol{G}$ of the same name whereas for values by default the mechanism is valid only concerning thefirst subobject from the list of the subobjects returned on the callDefinition[G].This mechanism is realized as by the standard reception with use of the callDefault [G,n] =default with template definition fornth formal argument of an object $G$ in the form".." and by the callDefaults[ $\mathbf{G},\{\boldsymbol{n}$, default $\}]$ as it rather visually illustrates the following fragment, namely:
In[2673] := Clear[V]; Default[V, 2] = 90; V[x_, y_.] := \{x, y\};
V[x_, y_., $\left.\mathbf{z}_{-}, h_{-}\right]:=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathrm{h}\}$
In[2674]:= Definition[V]
Out[2674] $=$ V[x_, y_.]: $=\{x, y\}$
V[x_, y_., z_, h_]:= \{x, y, z, h\}
V /: Default[V, 2]= 90
In[2675]:= \{V[500], V[42, 47, 67] $\}$
Out[2675] $=\{\{500,90\},\{42,90,47,67\}\}$
In[2676]:= Clear[V]; Default[V, 2] = 90; V[x_, y_.] := \{x, y\};
$\mathbf{V}\left[\mathbf{x}_{-}, \mathbf{y}_{\boldsymbol{\prime}}, \mathbf{z}_{-}, \mathbf{h}_{-}\right]:=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{h}\} \operatorname{In}[2677]:=$ Definition[V]
Out[2677]= V[x_ y_.]:= $\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\}$
V[x_, $\left.y_{-}, z_{-}, h_{-}\right]:=\{x, y, z, h\}$
V /: Default[V, 2]= 90
$\operatorname{In}[2678]:=\{V[500], \mathrm{V}[42,47,67]\}$
Out[2678]= \{\{500, 90\}, V[42, 47, 67]\}
In[2679]:= Clear[V]; V[x_, $\left.\left.\mathbf{y}_{-}\right]:=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\} ; \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{x}}, \mathbf{y}_{-}, \mathbf{z}_{-}, \mathbf{h}_{-}\right]:=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{h}\} ;$ Defaults[V, \{2, 90\}] In[2680]:= Definition[V]
Out[2680] $=$ V[x_, $\left.\mathrm{y}_{-}.\right]:=\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\}$
V[x_, y, $\left.\mathrm{z}_{-}, \mathrm{h} \_\right]:=\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{h}\}$
V /: Default[V, 2]= 90
$\operatorname{In}[2681]:=\{V[500], \mathrm{V}[42,47,67]\}$
Out[2681] $=\{\{500,90\}, \mathrm{V}[42,47,67]\}$
While the DefaultsM procedure expands the previousDefaults procedure onto case of the objects of the same name of type \{Block, Function, Module\}. The successful procedure callDefaultsM[G,y] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, at that, carrying out all settings of values by defaulty for formal arguments of a block, function or moduleG. At that, for an object of the same name $\boldsymbol{G}$ of the specified types the settings of values by defaulty for formal arguments of all subobjects of the object $G$ are carried out. The next fragment represents source code of theDefaultsM procedure with typical examples of its usage.

In[2556]:= DefaultsM[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x], y_/; ListQ[y] \&\& Length[y] == 2 || ListListQ[y] \&\&

DeleteDuplicates[Map[IntegerQ[\#[[1]]] \&, y]] == \{True\}]:= Module[\{ArtKr, atr = Attributes[x], q, k=1,
$\mathbf{a}=$ Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}], $\mathbf{g}=\operatorname{If}[$ ListListQ[y], $\mathbf{y},\{\mathbf{y}\}]\}$, ClearAllAttributes[x];
ClearAll[x]; q = Map[\#[[1]] \&, g]; While[k <= Length[g], ToExpression["Default[" <> ToString[x] <> ", " <> ToString[g[[k]][[1]]] <> "]" <> " = " <> ToString1[g[[k]]
[[2]]]]; k++]; ArtKr[s_String, def_List] := Module[\{n = Unique[AVZ], b, c, d, t, j=1, h\}, h = ToString[n] <> ToString[x]; ToExpression[ToString[n] <> s]; b = HeadPF[h]; d = StringReplace[PureDefinition[h], b-> ""]; c = Select[Map[ToString, Args[h]], \# != "\$Failed" \& ]; While[j <= Length[c], If[MemberQ[q, j], t = c[[j]]; c[[j]] = StringTake[t, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[t, "_"]][[2]]\}] <> "."]; j++]; ToExpression[ToString[x] <> "[" <> StringTake[ToString[c], \{2,-2\}] <> "]" <> d]; ClearAll[h, n]]; k=1; While[k <= Length[a], ArtKr[a[[k]], g]; k++]; SetAttributes[x, atr]] In[2557]:= G[x_, y_, z_Integer] := x + y + z; G[x_, y_] := $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}$; G[x_] :=
 Protected, Listable\}];
In[2558]:= DefaultsM[G, $\{\{\mathbf{2 , 9 0} \mathbf{9 0},\{\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5 0 0}\}\}]$
In[2559]:= Definition[G]
Out[2559]= Attributes[G]= \{Flat, Listable, Protected $\}$
G[x_]:= Block[\{\}, x]
G[x_, y_.]:= x+y
$\mathrm{G}\left[\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{\prime}}, \mathrm{y}_{-}, \mathrm{z}_{-}\right]:=\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{y}+\mathrm{z}$
G[x_, y_., $\left.z_{-}, h_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{ \}, x_{\text {y }}\right.$ h $]$
G /: Default[G, 2]= 90
G /: Default[G, 3]= 500
$\operatorname{In}[2550]:=\{G[56], G[42,47], G[47,18,25]\}$
Out[2550]= \{56, 89, 90\}
TheDefaultsM procedure provides a rather useful expansion of standard means of this type, supporting as the single objects of type \{Block, Function, Module\}, and the objects of the same name as evidently illustrate examples of the previous fragment.
It is necessary to focus attention on one rather important point once again. As it was already noted earlier, the procedures can be defined on the basis of constructions of the types \{Module, Block\}. However, proceeding from certain considerations, it is generally recommended to give preference to the constructions of theModule type because in a number of cases(this question has been considered slightly above and in [30-33]in details) the constructions of theBlock type are carried out incorrectly, without output of any diagnostic messages. As an illustration we will give an example of realization of the Default1 procedure which concerns the theme of values by default, on the basis of two types of constructions- on the basis ofModule andBlock. The procedure callDefault1[x, $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \mathbf{]}$ returnsNull, i.e. nothing, providing settings of the values by default determined by a listz for arguments of an objectx, whose positions are given by a listy ofPosIntListтипа for ablock/function/ modulex. The next fragment from the standpoint of formalization represents almost identical realizations of definition of theDefault1 procedure on the basis of constructions andModule, andBlock. And if the first realization is carried out quite correctly regardless of names of local variables, then the correctness of the second, generally speaking, depends on crossing of a list of names of local variables with a list of values by default for arguments, in particular, of a function as quite visually illustrates the following fragment in case when the local variablea exists in addition and in the list of values by default for simple function $G$. The following fragment represents source codes along with corresponding typical examples.
In[3792]:= Default1[x_Symbol, y_/; PosIntListQ[y], z_List] := Module[\{k = 1, a = $\operatorname{Min}[\operatorname{Map}[L e n g t h,\{y, z\}]]\}$, While $[k<=a$, Default $[x, y[[k]]]=\mathrm{z}[[k]] ; k++] ;]$

In[3793]:= Default1[G, \{1, 2\}, \{a, b\}]; G[x_., y_.] := \{x, y\};
Clear[Default1]; DefaultValues[G]
Out[3793]= \{HoldPattern[Default[G, 1]]:>a, HoldPattern[Default[G, 2]]:> b\} In[3794]:=
Default1[x_Symbol, y_/; PosIntListQ[y], z_List] := Block[\{k = 1, a =
$\operatorname{Min}[\operatorname{Map}[L e n g t h,\{y, z\}]]\}$, While[k $<=a$, $\operatorname{Default}[x, y[[k]]]=\mathrm{z}[[k]] ; k++] ;]$
In[3795]:= ClearAll[G]; Default1[G, \{1, 2\}, \{a, b\}]; G[x_., y_.] := \{x, y\};

## DefaultValues[G]

Out[3795]= \{HoldPattern[Default[G, 1]]:>2, HoldPattern[Default[G, 2]]:> b\} In[3796]:=
Default1[x_Symbol, y_/; PosIntListQ[y], z_List] := Module[\{k = 1, h =
$\operatorname{Min}[\operatorname{Map}[L e n g t h,\{\mathbf{y}, \mathrm{z}\}]]\}$, While[k $<=\mathbf{h}$, Default[x, y[[k]]]=z[[k]]; k++]; ]
In[3797]:= Default1[G, \{1, 2\}, \{a, b\}]; G[x_., y..] := \{x, y\};
Clear[Default1]; DefaultValues[G]
Out[3797] = \{HoldPattern[Default[G, 1]]:>a, HoldPattern[Default[G, 2]]:> b\} In[3798]:=
Default1[x_Symbol, y_/; PosIntListQ[y], z_List] := Block[\{k = 1, h =
$\operatorname{Min}[M a p[L e n g t h,\{y, z\}]]\}$, While[k $<=\mathbf{h}$, Default[x, y[[k]]] = z[[k]]; k++]; ]
In[3799]:= ClearAll[G]; Default1[G, \{1, 2\}, \{a, b\}]; G[x_., y_.] := \{x, y\};

## DefaultValues[G]

Out[3799]= \{HoldPattern[Default[G, 1]]:>a, HoldPattern[Default[G, 2]]:> b \}
Thus, the mechanisms of local variables used by procedures on the basis of Module andBlock, generally, aren't identical. Consequently, in general it is necessary to give preference to definition of the procedures on the basis of a Module construction, however, taking into account the aforesaid there are very many cases when both types of the organization of the procedures are equivalent, demanding the preliminary analysis concerning the existence of such equivalence. The given question rather in details is considered in [33]. In general, for definition of the procedures we recommend to use structures on the basis ofModule in order to avoid need of carrying out the additional analysis on procedurality and universality in all cases of appendices.
For determination of values by default for formal arguments of a function/ block/module it is possible to use both the meansDefaults, DefaultsM and Default, and directly in their headings on the basis of constructions of the format"x_:expression", or by combining both specified methods. However, the systemDefaultValues function returns the settings of values by default, executed only by means of the standardDefault function, for example:

```
In[2269] := Default[G5, 2] = 90; G5[x_, y_: 500, z_: 42] := {x, y, z};
DefaultValues[G5]
```

Out[2269]= \{HoldPattern[Default[G5, 2]]:> 90\}

In[2270]:= G5[Agn]
Out[2270]= \{Agn, 500, 42 $\}$
In[2271]:= Default[S4, 2] = 90; S4[x_, y_., z_: 42] := \{x, y, z $\}$;

## DefaultValues[S4]

Out[2271]= \{HoldPattern[Default[S4, 2]]:> 90\}
In[2272]:= S4[Avz]
Out[2272]= \{Avz, 90, 42\}

At that, if for argument a value by default has been defined and viaDefault, and directly in heading by a construction"_:", then the second way has the maximum priority as it very visually illustrates the previous example with the $G 5$ function. Meanwhile, the standardDefaultValues function possesses serious enough shortcomings. First of all, the given function doesn't reflect the values by default defined in a block/function/module heading, and only set through theDefault function. However generally it is incorrect because for arguments the assignment of values by default as through theDefault function, and directly in headings is admissible; at that, the priority belongs exactly to the second method what often can contradict result of a call of the DefaultValues function as it is illustrated with the previous examples.
For elimination of similar shortcomings the DefaultValues1 procedure has been programmed, whose the callDefaultValues1[x] returns the list of the format $\{\{N 1\}:>V 1$, ..., $\{\boldsymbol{N} \boldsymbol{p}\}:>\boldsymbol{V} \boldsymbol{p}\}$, where $\mathbf{N j}$ and $\mathbf{V j}(\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1 . .} \mathbf{p})$ define numbers of positions of formal arguments in the heading of a block/function/module, and values by default ascribed to them respectively, regardless of method of their definition, taking into account the priority(the setting of values by default in headings of blocks/functions/modules has the highest priority). The following fragment represents source code of theDefaultValues1 procedure with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[3079] := DefaultValues1[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]]:= Module[\{d =\{\}, h, k, a = \{SetAttributes[String, Listable]\}, b = Map[ToString, Args[x]], c = Map[ToString, DefaultValues[x]]\}, ClearAttributes[ToString, Listable]; If[b != \{\}, For[a = 1, a <= Length[b], a++, h = b[[a]]; If[! StringFreeQ[h, "_:"],
AppendTo[d, ToExpression[" \{" <> ToString[a] <> "\}:> " <> StringTake[h, \{Flatten[StringPosition[h, "_:"]][[2]] + 1,-1\}]]I]I]]; If[c != \{\}, If[c != \{\}, c = ToExpression[Mapp[StringReplace, Mapp[StringReplace, c, \{"HoldPattern[Default[" <> ToString[x]-> "\{", "]]"-> "\}"\}], \{"\{, "-> "\{", "\{\}"-> "\{2015\}"\}] ]]; h = c[[1]][[1]]; If[Op[h] == \{2015\}, a = \{\}; For[k = 1, k <= Length[b], k++, AppendTo[a, ToExpression[ToString[\{k\}] <> " :> " <> ToString[c[[1]][[2]]III]; c = a]; If[PosIntListQ[h] \& \& Length[h] > 1, a = \{\}; b = h; For[k = 1, k <= Length[b], k++, AppendTo[a, ToExpression[ToString[\{k\}] <> " :> " <> ToString[c[[1]]][[2]]I]I]; c = a]]; If[d == \{\}\&\& c== \{\}, Return[\{\}],
c = Sort[Join[d, cl, Op[\#1][[1]][[1]] <= Op[\#2][[1]][[[1]] \&]]; \{k, h\}=\{1, \{\}\}; While[k <= Length[c]-1, AppendTo[h, If[Op[c[[k]]]I[[1]] == Op[c[[k + 1]]][[1]]], k + 1]]; k++]; Select[ReplacePart[c, Mapp[Rule, Select[h, \# != "Null" \&], Null]], ! SameQ[\#, Null] \&]

```
In[3080] := Default[G] = 500; G[x_, y_., z_: 90] := {x, y, z};
DefaultValues1[G]
Out[3080]= {{1}:> 500, {2}:> 500, {3}:> 90}
In[3081]:= Default[S2, 2, 3] = 90; S2[x_, y_., z_] := {x, y, z};
DefaultValues1[S2]
Out[3081]= {{1}:> 90, {2}:> 90}
In[3082]:= Default1[S3, {1, 2, 3}, {42, 47, 25}]; S3[x_: 500, y_., z_.]:= {x, y, z};
DefaultValues1[S3]
Out[3082]= {{1}:> 500, {2}:> 47, {3}:> 25}
```

In[3083]:= Default[S4, 2] = 2015; S4[x_: 500, $\left.\mathrm{y}_{-}: 47, \mathrm{z}_{-}: 42\right]:=\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}\}$;

## DefaultValues1[S4]

Out[3083]= \{\{1\}:> 500, \{2\}:> 47, \{3\}:> 42\}
In[3084]:= Default[S5, 2] = 90; S5[x_, y_: 500, z_: 42] := \{x, y, z\}; DefaultValues1[S5]
Out[3084]= \{\{2\}:>500, \{3\}:> 42\}
In[3085]:= Default1[V3, \{1,2,3,4\}, \{a, b, c, d\}]; V3[x_., y_., z_., t_.] := \{x,y,z,t\};
DefaultValues1[V3]
Out[3085]= \{\{1\}:> a, \{2\}:> b, \{3\}:> c, \{4\}:> d\}
In[3086]:= Default1[V4, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \{a, b, c, d\}]; V4[x_., $\left.\mathbf{y}_{-}: 90, \mathbf{z}_{-}, t_{-}: 500\right]:=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$,
z, t\}; DefaultValues1[V4]
Out[3086]= \{\{1\}:> a, \{2\}:> 90, \{3\}:> c, \{4\}:> 500\}
Definition of theDefaultValues1 procedure along with the standard means uses our means such asArgs, BlockFuncModQ, Mapp, PosIntListQ andOp that are considered in the present book and in [28-33]. Thus, our procedure DefaultValues1 rather significantly expands the possibilities of the standard DefaultValues function, and quite it replaces on condition of existence of the packageAVZ_Package [48] uploaded into the currentMathematica session.

Considering existence of $\mathbf{2}$ admissible mechanisms of assignment of values by default to formal arguments of the blocks, functions and modules, the problem of definition of this kind of values for objects of the specified type represents quite certain interest. In this connexion theDefaults $\mathbf{Q}$ procedure solves the given problem whose callDefaults $\mathbf{Q}[x]$ returnsTrue if definitions of blocks, functions or modulesx contain values by default for their formal arguments, andFalse otherwise. Whereas the procedure callDefaults $\mathrm{Q}[\mathrm{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ where the second argumenty -an undefinite variable- in addition throughy returns the list of the used types of values by default \{"_.", "_:"\}. The next fragment represents source code of theDefaults $\mathbf{Q}$ procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2776]:= DefaultsQ[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x], y__]:= Module[\{c = \{\}, d, a = $\operatorname{Args}[x]$, b = \{"..", "_:" $\}, \mathbf{k}=1\}, \mathbf{a}=\operatorname{Map}[T o S t r i n g, ~ I f[N e s t L i s t Q[a], ~ a[[1]], ~ a]] ;$
While[k <= Length[a], d = a[[k]]; If[! StringFreeQ[d, b[[1]]], AppendTo[c, b[[1]]l], If[! StringFreeQ[d, b[[2]]], AppendTo[c, b[[2]]I]]; k++]; If[c == \{\}, False, If[\{y\}!= \{\}\&\&! HowAct[y], y = DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[c]]]; True]] In[2777]:= PureDefinition[G]
Out[2777] = \{"G[x_., $\left.y_{-}\right]:=x+y ", ~ " G\left[x_{-}, y_{\_}, z_{-}\right]:=x^{*} y^{*} z^{\prime}$,
"G[x_, y_, z_, h_]:= x*y*z*h"\}
In[2778]:= \{DefaultsQ[G, t], t \}
Out[2778]= \{True, \{".." $\}\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2779]:=\operatorname{Default}[S, 1]=90 ;$ S[x_., $\left.y_{-}: 500, z_{-}\right]:=\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}$;
$\operatorname{Kr}\left[\mathbf{x}_{\_}, \mathbf{y}_{-}, \mathbf{z} \_\right]:=\operatorname{Block}\left[\{ \}, \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{z}\right]$
In[2780]:= \{Map9[DefaultsQ, \{S, Kr\}, \{v1, v2\}], \{v1, v2\}\}
Out[2780]= \{\{True, False\}, \{\{"_.", "_:"\}, v2\}\}
Along with attributes and values by default for formal arguments of a block, function or module, these objects can use the mechanism ofoptions. First of all, the mechanism ofoptions is rather widely used by the system means. So, for a number of functions in theMathematica system(in particular, thePlot function), the options available both for
installation, and for redefinition are ascribed. The system supports the general mechanisms for work with such options. The call Options[ $[\boldsymbol{G}]$ returns the list of the current settings in the format $\{\boldsymbol{a}->\boldsymbol{a} \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{b}->\boldsymbol{b} 1, \ldots\}$ for all options of a block, function or module $\boldsymbol{G}$ while the callOptions $[\mathbf{G}, \boldsymbol{h}]$ returns the current setting for an optionh. In turn, the
callSetOptions $[\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{a}->\boldsymbol{a} 2, \boldsymbol{b}->\boldsymbol{b} 2, \ldots]$ provides a reinstalling of values for options $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}$, ...\} of a block/function/module $G$ which remains active up to the next reinstalling in the current session. While the function callSystemOptions[] returns a list of the current settings for all preinstalled internaloptions andsuboptions of the system. The given settings are defined as the used platform, and in certain cases also by the current session of the Mathematica. Thus, for receiving quantity of all system options and their quantities in the context as the groups of options, and the separate options, the following
procedureCountOptions whose source code along with the most typical examples of its usage are given below is used, namely:
In[3252] := CountOptions[h__] := Module[\{a = SystemOptions[], b=\{\}, d, c=1, k\}, While[c <= Length[a], d = a[[c]]; AppendTo[b, If[ListQ[Part[d, 2]], \{Part[d, 1], Length[Part[d, 2]]\}, d]]; c++]; b = Flatten[Gather[b, Head[\#1] == Head[\#2] \&], 1]; If $\{\{\mathbf{h}\}==\{ \}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{I f}[H o w A c t[h]$, Defer[CountOptions[h]], d=0; Do[If[ListQ[b[[k]]], d = $\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{b}[[\mathbf{k}]][[2]], \mathbf{d}=\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{1}],\{\mathbf{k}$, Length[b] $\}]] ;\{\mathbf{h}\}=\{\mathbf{d}\} ; \mathbf{b}]] \operatorname{In}[3253]:=$ CountOptions[]
Out[3253] = \{\{"AlgebraicsOptions",8\},\{"AlgebraicThreadThroughHeads",16\}, ..., "ZeroTestMaxPrecision"-> 5000., "ZeroTestNumericalPrecision"-> 80.\} In[3254]:= CountOptions[g]; g
Out[3254]= 417
The call CountOptions[] returns the nested list whose elements are the lists and separate options. The list as the first element contains a name of group of options, whereas the second element- number of options in this group. While the callCountOptions $[\boldsymbol{p}]$ in addition thru argument $\boldsymbol{p}$-an undefinite variable- returns total of the preset systemoptions/suboptions. Furthermore, settings for a concrete system option $\boldsymbol{p}$ can be redefined by the function call SetSystemOptions[ $\boldsymbol{p}$->value], however except for separate cases, it is not desirable in order to avoid the possibleconflicts with the system settings. At that, theMathematica system doesn't support operations of removal of the options, therefore in the following fragment we present theDeleteOptsAttr procedure, decisive the given problem.
The procedure call DeleteOptsAttr[ $x$ ] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, canceling for a symbol $\boldsymbol{x}$ the options ascribed to it. While the callDeleteOptsAttr[ $x, y]$, returningNull, i.e. nothing, cancels both the options, and the attributes that are ascribed to a symbolx, wherey - an arbitrary expression. The following fragment represents source code of theDeleteOptsAttr procedure with the most typical examples of its usage.

```
In[2576] := G[x_, y_] := x^2 + y^2; Options[G] = {Art-> 25, Kr-> 18} Out[2576]=
{Art-> 25, Kr-> 18}
In[2577]:= SetOptions[G, Art-> 25, Kr-> 18]
Out[2577]= {Art-> 25, Kr-> 18}
In[2578]:= SetAttributes[G, {Protected, Listable}]
In[2579]:= Definition2[G]
Out[2579]= {"G[x_, y_]:= x^2+ y^2", "Options[G]:= {Art-> 25, Kr-> 18}",
```

\{Listable, Protected\}
In[2580]:= DeleteOptsAttr[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x], y__] := Module[\{b, a = Definition2[x], c = "Options[" <> ToString[x] <> "]"\}, b=a[[-1]];
ClearAllAttributes[x]; ClearAll[x]; ToExpression[Select[a, StringFreeQ[ToString[\#], c] \&]]; If[\{y\}== \{\}, If[b != \{\}, SetAttributes[x, b]l]]
In[2581] := DeleteOptsAttr[G]
In[2582]:= Definition2[G]
Out[2582]= \{"G[x_, y_]:= x^2+ y^2", \{Listable, Protected\}\}
In[2583]:= Vs[x_, y_] := $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge 2+\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} 2 \text {; Options[Vs] }=\{v->~ 72, ~ g->~ 67\} ; ~ I n[2584]:=~}$
SetOptions[Vs, \{v-> 72, g-> 67\}]; SetAttributes[Vs, Protected] In[2585]:=
Definition2[Vs]
Out[2585]= \{"Vs[x_, y_]:= x^2+ y^2", "Options[Vs]:= \{v-> 71, g-> 66\}",
\{Protected\}\}
In[2586]:= DeleteOptsAttr[Vs, 590]
In[2587]:= Definition2[Vs]
Out[2587]= \{"Vsv[x_, y_]:=x+y", \{\}\}
At that, it must be kept in mind that the given procedure isn ' t applicable to the standard system functions, returning on them the unevaluated call as it well illustrates the following example, namely:
In[2618] : $=$ \{DeleteOptsAttr[Sin, 90], DeleteOptsAttr[Sin]\}
Out[2618] $=$ \{DeleteOptsAttr[Sin, 78], DeleteOptsAttr[Sin]\}
For certain built-inMathematica functions, for examplePlot, are ascribed the options whose values can be redetermined. At that, if at a function call the values for its admissible options aren't defined, then for them values by default are used. The function callOptions[F,op] allows to obtain values by default for an optionop of a functionF, for example:

## In[2620] := Options[Plot, \{PlotLabel, FrameStyle, PlotStyle, PlotRange, ColorOutput\}]

Out[2620]= \{PlotLabel-> None, FrameStyle-> \{\}, PlotStyle-> Automatic, PlotRange-> \{Full, Automatic\}, ColorOutput-> Automatic\}
The mechanism of options which is supported by the Mathematica can be successfully used in development of both the applications of various type, and a separate software. The interested reader can familiarize oneself with this mechanism more in details in rather well-developed help-base of the Mathematica system, or in books [31-33,52,61,59].
In conclusion of the present section we in brief will stop on application of transformations rules to the procedures. The mechanism of transformations rules supported by the system remains in force not only for symbols but for algebraic expressions too. In principle, this mechanism can be adapted onto an arbitrary expression. Moreover, as an essential enough property of this mechanism it is possible to note the circumstance that allows to use and the patterns, and the symbolic constructions, for example:
In[2837]: $=\operatorname{Sin}\left[\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]^{\wedge 2+\operatorname{Cos}[y+h] \wedge 2 / .\left\{\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2->x, y+h->x\right\}}$
Out[2837] $=\operatorname{Cos}[x] \wedge 2+\operatorname{Sin}[x] \wedge 2$
$\operatorname{In}[2838]:=\operatorname{Sin}\left[\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{c}\right]^{*}\left(\operatorname{Sin}\left[\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]+\operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{h}]\right) / .\left\{\operatorname{Sin}\left[\_\right]->\mathbf{x}, \operatorname{Cos}\left[\_\right]->\mathbf{y}\right\}$ Out[2838]= $x(x+y)$
Thus, between purely symbolic transformations rules and rules that include the patterns, in particular,"," there is one fundamental difference which is considered in details in the books [31-33]. The more detailed description of mechanisms of programming of the patterns for transformations rules of an arbitrary expression can be found in the reference on theMathematica. At the same time the system doesn't dispose the mechanism of application of transformations rules to procedures and for this purpose the procedure can be offered, whose callReplaceProc[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{t}]$ returns the definition in string format of the procedure- result of application to a procedurex of rules of transformationst(one rule or their list); at that, those rules are excluded from rulest, whose left parts coincide with formal arguments of the procedurex. The next fragment represents source code of the procedure with examples of its use along with the simple testing function whose callRuleQ[x] returns True, if $x$ - a transformation rule, andFalse otherwise. In theReplaceProc procedure definition the given function is used in its heading.

In[2859] := RuleQ[x_] := If[MemberQ[\{Rule, RuleDelayed\}, Head[x]], True, False] In[2860]:= Map[RuleQ, $\{\mathbf{a}->\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}->\mathbf{d + h}$, Sin, $\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}$, ProcQ, a :> b, c :> d+h\}] Out[2860]= \{True, True, False, False, False, True, True $\}$

In[2861] := ReplaceProc[x_/; ProcQ[x],
$\mathbf{r}_{-} /$; DeleteDuplicates[Map[RuleQ, Flatten[\{r\}]]] $==\{$True $\left.\}\right]:=$Module $[\{\mathbf{a}=$ Definition2[x], b = HeadPF[x], c, d = Flatten[\{r\}]\},
c = ToExpression["Hold[" <> StringTrim[a[[1]], b <> " := "] <> "]"]; d = Select[d, ! MemberQ[Args1[x], ToString[Part[\#, 1]]] \&]; c = ToString1[ReplaceAll[c, d]]; b <> " := " <> StringTake[c, \{6,-2\}]]
In[2862] := ArtKr[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; StringQ[y]] :=
Module[\{a = StringLength[y], $\left.\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{a b}=500\},(a+x)^{*}(b+y)+a b\right]$
In[2863]:= ReplaceProc[ArtKr, \{a-> Art, b-> Kr, y-> 42, x-> 500\}]
Out[2863]= "ArtKr[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; StringQ[y]]:= Module[\{Art= StringLength[y], $\mathrm{Kr}=90, \mathrm{ab}=500\}$, $\left.(\operatorname{Art}+500)^{*}(\mathrm{Kr}+42)+\mathrm{ab}\right]$ " It makes sense to stop on one moment useful for programming. Supra, a number of procedures which return additional result through argument- an undefinite variable- were considered. However such mechanism requires or of choice of some undefinite variable in the current session, demanding generally of its cleaning in the absence of need for it, or saving of value of a certain variable with subsequent its cleaning in a procedure and restoration of an initial value before any exit from the procedure. Meanwhile, for this purpose the similar mechanism which is based on theUniqueV procedure can be used, whose callUniqueV[x,y] returns a name in string format " $x n$ " of an unique variable of the current session to which valuey was ascribed, wherex - a symbol, $\boldsymbol{n}$ - an integer and $\boldsymbol{y}$ - an arbitrary expression. Further theUniqueV procedure is used for ensuring of return of additional result by simple procedureA6 through an unique variable. The fragment is rather transparent and of any special additional explanations doesn't demand.
In[2571]:= UniqueV[x_/; SymbolQ[x], y_] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ToString[Unique[ToString[x] $]$ ] , ToExpression[a <> " = " <> ToString1[y]l; a]

In[2572] := UniqueV["agn", 50090]
Out[2572]= "agn20"
In[2573]:= agn20
Out[2573]= 50090
In[2579]:= A6[x_, y__]:= Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{5 0 0}\}$,
If[ $\{\mathbf{y}\}==\{ \}, \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{x}$, \{a*x, UniqueV["ag", $\left.\left.\left.\left.\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{x}\right]\right\}\right]\right] \operatorname{In}[2580]:=\{\mathbf{A 6 [ 7 3 ] , ~ A 6 [ 4 2 , ~ 6 ] \}}$
Out[2580]= \{6570, \{3780, "ag68" \}\}
In[2581]:= ag68
Out[2581] $=21000$
Below, a number of tools providing higher level of procedural programming in theMathematica system will be represented; which in a certain degree were wafted by similar means of theMaple system and by other systems of procedural programming. It should be noted that the values by default for system attributes and options given in the present section, and in the book as a whole concern theMathematica system of version10. In the following Mathematica versions there can quite be certain differences.

### 6.9. Some additional facilities for operating with blocks, functions and modules in theMathematicasoftware

If the previous sections of the head represent main means of work with an object of the type \{Block, Function, Module\}, the present section represents additional, but quite important means of work in a number of appendices with objects of this type. Meanwhile, having the basic purpose, these means can be functionally crossed with means represented in the previous sections of this head. It should not cause any particular surprise because the similar situation takes place pretty often among means, practically, of any software system. And still the means of the present section have a little more specific character and aren't so sought after as means of the previous sections. At the same time, ascribing them to this section in a certain degree has conditional character and is caused by our experience of their usage.

First of all, again we will return to the question of syntactic correctness of a block and module. Examples of two types of syntactic mistakes at definition of the procedures of types \{Module, Block\} are presented below, that aren’t distinguished by the system at evaluation of their definitions, and in some cases even at a call of such procedures. At that, repeated calls of procedures of theModuletype as very much demonstrate the fragment examples, yield formally correct results. For testing of procedures of both types regarding theirsyntactic correctness in the above context theSyntCorProcQ procedure has been offered, whose source code along with typical examples of its use the following fragment represents, namely:
In[5081] := Art[x_, y_] := Module[\{a, b\}, ]; Art1[x_, y_] := Module[\{a, b\}] In[5082]:= $\operatorname{Kr}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Block}[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}$, ]; Kr1[x_, y_] := Block[\{a, b\}] In[5083]:= \{Art[90, 500], Art1[90, 500]\}
Module::argr: Module called with 1 argument; 2 arguments are expected. >> Out[5083]= \{Null, Module\{a, b\}]\}
In[5084]:= \{Art[90, 500], $\operatorname{Art1}[\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{5 0 0}]\}$

Out[5084]= \{Null, Module[\{a, b\}]\}
In[5085]:= \{Kr[90, 500], Kr1[90, 500]\}
Block::argr: Block called with 1 argument; 2 arguments are expected. >> Out[5085]= \{Null, Block[\{a, b\}]\}
In[5086]:= SyntCorProcQ[x_/; BlockModQ[x]] := Module[\{d, h, c = \$Kr\$, b = PureDefinition[x], a = HeadPF[x]\},
ClearAll[\$Kr\$]; \$Kr\$ = ProcFuncTypeQ[ToString[x]][[2]][[1]]; $\mathbf{h}=$
Quiet[Check[Locals2[x], Locals1[x]]]; h = If[h === \{\}, "\{\}", ToString[h]]; d = a <> " := " <> \$Kr\$ <> "[" <> h; d = StringReplace[b, d-> "", 1]; \$Kr\$ = c; !
MemberQ[\{"]", ", Null]"\}, d]]
In[5087] := Map[SyntCorProcQ, \{ProcQ, Kr, Kr1, Art, Art1\}]
Out[5087]= \{True, False, False, False, False $\}$
In[5088]:= KrArt[x_, y_ z_] := Module[\{a, b, c $\}, \mathbf{9 0}+\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}] \operatorname{In}[5089]:=$
Map[SyntCorProcQ, \{Locals, Mapp, BlockToModule, KrArt \}] Out[5089]= \{True, True, True, True\}
In[5090]:= Map[SyntCorProcQ, \{Art2, Do, If, 500\}]
Out[5090]= \{SyntCorProcQ[Art2], SyntCorProcQ[Do], SyntCorProcQ[If],
SyntCorProcQ[500]\}
The procedure call SyntCorProcQ[x] returnsTrue if the definition of a block or modulex activated in the current session is syntactic correct in the above context, otherwiseFalse is returned. If $\boldsymbol{x}$ - not a block or module, the call is returned unevaluated. The definition of theSyntCorProcQ procedure along with the standard means uses our means such asProcFuncTypeQ, Locals2, BlockModQ,PureDefinition andHeadPF that are considered in the present book and in [28-33]. In a number of problems of procedural programming, first of all, of system character, the procedure is useful enough. In a number of applications of system character it is desirable for the user block, function or module to have information regarding use by it of means in the context \{system means,user means\}. TheSysUserSoft procedure solves this problem, whose the callSysUserSoft[ $x$ ] generally returns the nested2- element list, whose first element contains2-element sublists, whose the first element- the name in string format of a system function, and the second elementits multiplicity, while the second element of the list also contains 2-element sublists, whose first element- the name in string format of the user means(block,function,module), and the second element- its multiplicity. In the absence for an objectx means of the specified types the procedure call SysUserSoft[x] returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. At that, if the type of the actual argumentx is different from(Block, Function, Module), then the procedure callSysUserSoft[x] is returned unevaluated. The next fragment represents source code of the procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2580] := SysUserSoft[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]] := Module[\{b, s=\{\}, u =\{\}, h = Args[x, 6], c, a = Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}][[1]], d = If[QFunction[x], \{\}, LocalsGlobals1[x]]\}, b = ExtrVarsOfStr[a, 2, 90]; c = Select[b, ! MemberQ[Flatten[\{ToString[x], h, "True", "False", "\$Failed", Quiet[d[[1]]], Quiet[d[[3]]]\}], \#] \&]; Map[If[Quiet[SystemQ[\#]], AppendTo[s, \#],
If[BlockFuncModQ[\#], AppendTo[u, \#]]] \&, c]; c = Map[Gather, \{s, u\}]; c =
\{Map[Flatten[\#] \&, Map[ $\{\#$, Length[\#]\} \&, c[[1]]]], Map[Flatten[\#] \&, Map[\{\#, Length[\#]\} \&, c[[2]]I] \}; c = \{Map[DeleteDuplicates[\#] \&, c[[1]]l], Map[DeleteDuplicates[\#] \&, c[[2]I]\}; If[Flatten[c] == \{\}, \{\}, c]]
In[2581] := A[m_, n_, p_/; IntegerQ[p], h_/; PrimeQ[h]] := Module[\{a = 73\}, h*(m + n + p)/a + StringLength[ToString1[z]]/(Cos[c] + Sin[d])]
In[2582]:= SysUserSoft[A]
Out[2582]= \{\{\{"Cos", 1\}, \{"IntegerQ", 1\}, \{"Module", 1\}, \{"PrimeQ", 1\}, \{"Sin", 1\}, \{"StringLength", 1\}\}, \{\{"ToString1", 1\}\}\}
In[2583]:= SysUserSoft[SysUserSoft]
Out[2583]= \{\{\{"AppendTo", 2\}, \{"DeleteDuplicates", 2\}, \{"Flatten", 5\}, \{"Gather", 1\}, \{"If", 4\}, \{"Length", 2\}, \{"MemberQ", 1\}, \{"Module", 1\}, \{"Quiet", 3\}, \{"Select", 1\},
\{"ToString", 1\}\}, \{\{"Args", 1\}, \{"BlockFuncModQ", 2\}, \{"ExtrVarsOfStr", 1\},
\{"LocalsGlobals1", 1\}, \{"PureDefinition", 1\}, \{"QFunction", 1\}, \{"SystemQ", 1\}\}\}
In[2584]:= G[x_] := $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge 2+90 * x+500 ; ~ S y s U s e r S o f t[G] ~}$
Out[2584] $=\{ \}$
$\operatorname{In}[2585]:=\mathbf{F}\left[\mathbf{x} \_\right]:=\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{x}+\operatorname{Sin}\left[\mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{x}\right]+$ StringLength[ToString1[x + c]]; SysUserSoft[F]
Out[2585] = \{\{\{"Sin", 1\}, \{"StringLength", 1\}\}, \{\{"ToString1", 1\}\}\} In[2586]:=
SysUserSoft[QFunction]
Out[2586]= \{\{\{"Block", 1\}, \{"CompiledFunction", 1\}, \{"If", 5\}, \{"MemberQ", 1\},
\{"Module", 2\},\{"Quiet",2\},\{"StringJoin",1\},\{"StringReplace",2\}\}, \{\{"Definition2", 1\}, \{"HeadPF", 2\}, \{"Map3", 1\}, \{"SingleDefQ", 1\},
\{"SuffPref", 4\}, \{"ToString1", 1\}, \{"ToString3", 1\}\}\}
As showed our expirience, theSysUserSoft procedure is rather useful in the structural analysis of the user software of types \{Block, Function, Module\}.
In some cases the RenBlockFuncMod procedure is a rather interesting tool of manipulation by the blocks, functions or modules of the same name. The procedure callRenBlockFuncMod $[x, y]$ returns a new name of a function/ block/modulex in string format determined by the formatUnique[y]<>H, where $\boldsymbol{y}$ - a symbol, whereas $\boldsymbol{H}$ - one of symbols $\{$ " $\boldsymbol{B}$ ", " $\boldsymbol{F}$ ", " $\boldsymbol{M}$ " $\}$ depending on type of an objectx or of type of its subobject composing it in case of the objectx of the same name. At that, an objectx is removed from the current session whereas the result of such renaming keeps options and attributes of the source objectx. The fragment represents source code of the procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2526]:= $\operatorname{Pr}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}\right.$String, $z_{-} / ;$If[z === 90, True, False] $]:=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\} ; \operatorname{Pr}\left[x_{-}, y_{-} / ;\right.$
StringQ[y], z_/; If[z === 90, True, False]] :=
Module[ $\left\},\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}\right.$ ]; SetAttributes[Pr, Protected]; Pr1[x_, y_String, $\mathbf{z}_{-} / ;$If[z === 90, True, False]] := \{x, y, z\}; SetAttributes[Pr1, \{Protected, Listable\}]
In[2527]:= RenBlockFuncMod[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x], y_Symbol] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{t}=\{ \}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{O p t i o n s [ x ] , ~ b}=\operatorname{Attributes[x],k=1,n,c=}$
Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}], d = Flatten[\{HeadPF[x]\}]\}, For[k, k <= Length[c], k++, h = StringReplace[c[[k]], StringJoin[d[[k]], ":="]-> ""]; h = If[SuffPref[h, "Module[\{", 1], "M", If[SuffPref[h, "Block[\{", 1], "B", "F"]]; n =

ToString[Unique[y]] <> h; AppendTo[t, n]; ToExpression[StringReplace[c[[k]], ToString[x] <> "["-> n <> "[", 1]]; If[a != \{\}, ToExpression["SetOptions[" <> n <> ", " <> ToString[a] <> "]"]]; If[b !=\{\}, ToExpression["SetAttributes[" <> n <> ", " <> ToString[b] <>"]"]ll; ClearAllAttributes[x]; ClearAll[x]; If[Length[t] == 1, t[[1]], t]]
In[2528]: $=$ RenBlockFuncMod[Pr1, Sv]
Out[2528]= "Sv\$66130F"
In[2529]:= Definition["Sv\$66130F"]
Out[2529]= Attributes[Sv\$66130F]= \{Listable, Protected $\}$
Sv \$66130F[x_, y_String, z_/; If[z=== 90, True, False]]:= \{x,y,z\} In[2530]:=
RenBlockFuncMod[Pr, Sv]
Out[2530]= \{"Sv\$66731F", "Sv\$66733M"\}
In[2531]:= Definition["Sv\$66731F"]
Out[2531]= Attributes[Sv\$66731F]= \{Protected $\}$
Sv \$66731F[x_, y_String, z_/; If[z=== 90, True, False]]:= \{x,y,z\} In[2532]:=
Definition[Sv\$66733M]
Out[2532]= Attributes[Sv\$66733M]= \{Protected $\}$
Sv \$66733M[x_, y_String, z_/; If[z=== 90, True, False]]:= Module[\{\}, \{x, y, z\}]
In[2533]:= Map[Definition, \{Pr, Pr1\}]
Out[2533]= \{Null, Null\}
The RenBlockFuncMod procedure is most of all convenient in case of need of differentiating of an object $x$ of the same name onto the single subobjects composing it. In certain cases at the procedures calls which are in the user's package(files of the types $\{$ "cdf"," $\boldsymbol{m " ,}$ " $\boldsymbol{m x " \}}$ ) that is uploaded into the current session, their local variables, including local variables of thenested procedures, in the field of theMathematica variables are associated with the context ascribed to the given package. This mechanism the more in details here isn't considered. It also concerns the symbolical results returned by a procedure of this package through such local variables. In this case the symbolical result accepts the following standard format, namely:

## <Context ascribed to a package>`>Procedure name>`Result

For the purpose ofelimination of the similar situation and receiving so-called reduced result (that contains no formsa `b`) that is significantly better adapted for the subsequent processing, to a result returned by a procedure of the user package, can be applied theReductRes function whose callReductRes[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{a}$ ] returns the reduced resulta returned by a procedurex of the user package that has been loaded into the current session. The next fragment represents both variants of theHead1 procedure without usage and with usage of such mechanism with an illustration of results of the call of both procedures. The received results rather visually illustrate a basic distinction arising from the mechanism of reduction of results on the basis of the presentedReductRes function. The following fragment represents source code of the function.
In[3282]:= ReductRes[x_/; SymbolQ[x], y_] := ToExpression[
StringReplace[ToString[y], Context[x] <> ToString[x] <> """-> ""]l]
In[3283] := ReductRes[Head1, AladjevProcedures`Head1`System] Out[3283]= System

In[3284]:= Map[Head, \{ProcQ, Sin, 90, a+b, Function[\{x, y\}, x+y], G[x], J[6],
Head1 \}]
Out[3284]= \{Symbol, Symbol, Integer, Plus, Function, G, J, Symbol\} In[3285]:= Map[Head1, Map[ToString, \{ProcQ, Sin, 90, a + b,
Function[\{x, y \}, x + y], G[x], J[6], Head1\}]] Out[3285]= \{"Module", "System", "Integer", "Plus", "PureFunction", "G", "J",
" Module"\}
In[3286]:= Head1[a := b]
Out[3286]= AladjevProcedures`Head1`System
The following useful Avg procedure is internal, i.e. the procedure callAvg[] makes sense only in the body of other procedure, returning a list of nesting $\{\mathbf{1} \mid \mathbf{2}\}$ whose elements define the2-element lists whose first elements define local variables in string format of a procedure, external in relation to theAvg whereas the second- their initial values in string format; at that, lack of the initial value is coded by the symbol "None". In case of more than one local variable theListList-list is returned, whose sublists have the above format. At absence for external procedure of local variables the procedure callAvg[] returns the empty list- $\}$. The callAvg[] outside of other procedure doesn't make special sense, returning the list of the above format for2local variables $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}\}$ of theAvg procedure as visually illustrates the following fragment.

In[2723]:= Avg[] := Module[\{b,
a = ToString[ToExpression[ToString[InputForm[Stack[_][[1]]]]]]]\}, a = If[!
SuffPref[a, \{"Module[", "Block["\}, 1], "Module[\{\}," <> a <> "]", a];
a = StringReplace[a, "\$" -> ""]; a = StringReplace[a, If[SuffPref[a, "Block[", 1], "Block[", "Module["]-> "", 1]; a = SubStrSymbolParity1[a, "\{", "\}"][[1]]; If[a == " $\}$ ", $\}, \mathbf{b}=$ StrToList[StringTake[a, $\{2,-2\}]] ;$ b = Map[StringSplit[\#, " = "] \&, b];
Map[If[Length[\#] == 1, \{\#[[1]], "None"\}, \#] \&, b]l]
In[2724] := Z[m_ $\mathbf{n}_{-}, \mathbf{p}_{-} /$; IntegerQ[p] $:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{y}=\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}\}, \mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{p}$;
h = Avg[]; h]
In[2725]:= Z[73, 90, 500]
Out[2725]= \{\{"h", "None"\}, \{"x", "90"\}, \{"y", "\{a, b\}"\}\}
In[2726]:= G[m_, n_, p_/; IntegerQ[p]] := Module[\{a, b = 73, c, d = 90\}, d = Avg[]; m $+\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{p}$; d]
In[2727]:= G[t, p, 500]
Out[2727]= \{ \{"a", "None"\}, \{"b", "73"\}, \{"c", "None"\}, \{"d", "90"\}\}
In[2728]:= A[m_, n_, p_/; IntegerQ[p], h_/; PrimeQ[h]]:= Module[\{a = 500.90, b, c, $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{d}=73, \mathrm{z}=\mathbf{4 7}\}, \mathrm{b}=\operatorname{Avg}[1 ; \mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{h} ; \mathbf{m} * \mathbf{n} ; \mathbf{b}]$
In[2729]:= A[x, y, 42, 47]
Out[2729]= \{\{"a", "460.78"\}, \{"b", "None"\}, \{"c", "None"\}, \{"t", "None"\}, \{"q", "None"\}, \{"d", "73"\}, \{"z", "47"\}\}
In[2730]:= B[m_, n_, $\mathbf{p}_{-}, \mathbf{h}_{-} / ;$PrimeQ[h]] := Module[\{a=500.90, b, c = \{h, p\}, t, q, d $\left.=73, \mathbf{z}=\mathbf{p}^{*} \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}\right\}, \mathbf{b}=\operatorname{Avg}[1 ; \mathbf{m}+\mathbf{n + p}+\mathbf{h} ; \mathbf{m *} \mathbf{n} ; \mathbf{b}]$
In[2731]:= B[x, y, 42, 47]
Out[2731]= \{\{"a", "500.90"\}, \{"b", "None"\}, \{"c", "\{47, 42\}"\}, \{"t", "None"\}, \{"q",
"None"\}, \{"d", "73"\}, \{"z", "42 t"\}, \{""", "None"\}\}
In[2732]:= T[m_, n_, p_, h_/; PrimeQ[h]] := Module[\{\}, m*n*p*h; Avg[]]; T[25, 18, 42, 47]
Out[2732]= \{\}
In[2733]:= Avg[]
Out[2733]= \{\{"b", "None"\},
\{"a", "ToString[ToExpression[ToString[Stack[_][[1]]]]]"\}\}
The previous fragment represents source code of the Avg procedure with examples of its usage for receiving in the body of a procedure of the list of its local variables. It should be noted that a number of system means of our packageAVZ_Package [48] use theAvg procedure.
Here once again quite pertinently to note the important circumstance, that the blocks, functions, modules differ by their headings as it was repeatedly illustrated above. At that, at the call of an object of this type the first of the complete list of the subobjects of the same name determined by the standard Definition function is choosen on which the tuple of the actual arguments is admissible. This circumstance should be considered at programming and it has been considered by us at programming of a number of means of our packageAVZ_Package [48]. Moreover, as objects of the same name can be as objects of type \{Block, Function, Module\}, and in combination with objects of other types, in a number of cases at calculations with such objects, causing special or erroneous situations. For elimination from the objects of the same name of subobjects of types different from \{Block,Function, Module\} a quite simple procedure serves whose callProcCalls[w] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, deleting from the list of the object of the same namew(win string format) of subobjects of types, different from \{Block, Function, Module\}. The following fragment represents source code of theProcCalls procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2780] := A[x_] := Module[\{a = 50\}, $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{a}$ ]; A[x_, y_] := Module[\{a = 90\}, $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+$ a]; A[x_, y_List] := Block[\{\}, \{x, y\}]; A[x_Integer] := Module[\{a = 42\}, x + a]; A := \{a, b, c, d, h\}; SetAttributes[A, \{Flat, Listable, Protected\}] In[2781]:= Definition[A]
Out[2781] = Attributes[A]= \{Flat, Listable, Protected \}
A: = \{a, b, c, d, h $\}$
A[x_Integer]:= Module[\{a= 42\}, x+ a]
A[x_]:= Module[\{a=50\}, $x+a]$
A[x_, y_List]:= Block[\{\}, \{x, y\}]
A[x_, y_]:= Module[\{a=90\}, x+y+a]
In[2782]:= ProcCalls[x_/; StringQ[x]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ Select[StringSplit[ToString[InputForm[Definition[x] $]$, " $\mathrm{n} "]$,
\# != " " \&\& \#!= x \&\& ! SuffPref[\#, x <> " := ", 1] \&]\}, If[SuffPref[a[[1]], "Attributes[", 1], AppendTo[a[[2 ;;-1]], a[[1]]I]; ClearAttributes[x, Protected]; Clear[x]; Map[ToExpression, a]; ]
In[2783] := ProcCalls["A"]
In[2784]:= Definition[A]
Out[2784]= Attributes[A]= \{Flat, Listable, Protected $\}$

A[x _Integer]:= Module[\{a=42\}, $\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{a}$ ]
A[x_]:= Module[\{a=50\}, $x+a]$
A[x_, y_List]:= Block[\{\}, \{x, y\}]
A[x_, y_]:= Module[ $\{a=90\}, x+y+a]$
In[2785]:= ScanLikeProcs[x_: \{\}]:= Module[\{b=\{\}, c=\{\}, d, h, k=1, a=
Select[Names["*"], StringFreeQ[\#, "\$"] \&\&
Quiet[Check[BlockFuncModQ[\#], False]] \&]\}, Off[Definition::ssle]; If[a == \{\}, Return[\{\}], For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, d = Definition2[a[[k]]][[1 ;;-2]]; If[Length[d] > 1, AppendTo[b, Map[StringTake[\#, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[\#, " := "]][[1]]-1\}] \& d] ];
AppendTo[c, a[[k]]I]I]; On[Definition::ssle]; If[! HowAct[x], x = b, Null]; c]
$\operatorname{In}[2786]:=\mathrm{G}\left[\mathbf{x}_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{a}=500\}, \mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{a}\right] ; \mathrm{G}\left[\mathbf{x}_{-} /\right.$; PrimeQ[x] $]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathbf{a}=$ 90\}, x + a]; G[x_, y_] := Module[\{\}, $\mathbf{x}+\mathrm{y}] ;$ G[x_, y_/; ListQ[y], $\left.\mathrm{z}_{-}\right]:=$Module[\{\}, $\mathrm{x}+$ Length[y] + z]
In[2787] := V[x_] := Module[\{\}, x]; V[x_/; ListQ[x]] := Module[\{\}, Length[x]] In[2788]:= \{ScanLikeProcs[], ScanLikeProcs[Sv], Sv\}
Out[2788]= \{\{"A", "G", "V"\}, \{"A", "G", "V"\}, \{\{"A[x_Integer]", "A[x_, y_List]", "A[x_, y_]", "A[x_]"\}, \{"G[x_/; PrimeQ[x]]", "G[x_]", "G[x_, y_]", "G[x_, y_/; ListQ[y], z_]"\}, \{"V[x_/; ListQ[x]]", "V[x_]"\}\}\}
In addition to the previous procedure for the purpose of determination of the blocks, functions, modules of the same name of the current session of the system a quite simple procedure is intended whose the callScanLikeProcs[] returns the list of the blocks/functions/modules of the same name that are activated in the current session while as a result of the callScanLikeProcs[b] in addition thru an undefinite variableb the list of headings in string format of objects of the specified type is returned. The previous fragment represents source code of theScanLikeProcs procedure along with examples of its use. In certain appendices these means are rather useful, above all, at elaboration of the system means for manipulations with procedures.
In a number of cases thestructural analysis of objects of type \{Block, Module, Function\} represents the undoubted interest. In connection with this the next StructProcFunc procedure providing a certain structural analysis of objects of this type was created. The fragment below represents theStructProcFunc procedure whose callStructProcFunc[x] returns simple or nested list whose elements depending on type \{"Block", "Module", "Function"\} of an actual argumentx have format \{Type,Heading,Locals,Body\} for \{"Block", "Module"\} and \{Type,Heading,Body\} for"Function"; furthermore, qua of the function is understood an objectx such asBlockFuncModQ[x]=True. This fragment represents source code of the procedure along with examples of its usage off which the format of the result returned by the procedure is highly obvious.
In[3223] := StructProcFunc[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]] := Module[\{c, d, h=\{\}, p, k= 1, $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{b}=$ Flatten $[\{H e a d P F[x]\}], a=$ Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}]\}, $\mathbf{c}=$ Map9[StringReplace, a, Map[StringJoin[\#, " := "]-> "" \&, b]]; While[k <= Length[b], d = c[[k]]; If[SuffPref[d, "Module[\{", 1], t= "Module",

If[SuffPref[d, "Block[\{", 1], t = "Block", t = ""]]; If[t != "", AppendTo[h, \{t, b[[k]], p = SubStrSymbolParity1[d, "\{", "\}"][[1]];
StrToList[p], StringReplace[StringTake[d, \{1,-2\}], t <> "[" <> p <> ", "-> ""]\}], AppendTo[h, \{"Function", b[[k]], StringReplace[d, b[[k]] <> " := "-> ""]\}]]; k++]; If[Length[h] == 1, h[[1]], h]]
$\operatorname{In}[3224]:=\operatorname{Agn}\left[x_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Block}\left[\{\mathbf{a}=90, \mathbf{b}=500\}, \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2^{*} \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{b}\right] ; \operatorname{Agn}\left[\mathrm{x}_{-}, \mathbf{y}\right]$ ]:= x+y
In[3225]:= Agn[x_, y_, $\left.\mathbf{z}_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{9 0}\}, \mathbf{a}^{*}(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z})\right]$
In[3226]:= StructProcFunc[Agn]
Out[3226]= \{\{"Block", "Agn[x_]", \{"a= 90", "b=500"\}, "x^2*a*b"\},
\{ "Function", "Agn[x_, y_]", "x+ y"\},
\{"Module", "Agn[x_, y_, z_]", \{"a= 90" \}, "a*(x+y+z)"\}\} In[3227]:= Avz[x__]:=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{6 , ~ b}=$ Stack[_]\}, a+x; b; \$InBlockMod] In[3228]:= StructProcFunc[Avz] Out[3228]= \{"Module", "Avz[x__]", \{"a = 6", "b= Stack[_]"\}, "a+ x; b; \$InBlockMod"\}
For the purpose of elimination of ambiguity of the modules, functions and blocks of the same name it is recommended to apply standard means to the cleaning of the current session off concrete definitions, using the cancellation of theProtectedattribute for them if it is necessary. For cleaning of symbols off the ascribed values theMathematica has three functionsClear, ClearAll andRemove that are considered, for example, in [32]. However, the given functions demand the concrete designation of the symbols that are subject to the cleaning off the ascribed expressions. Whereas the following fragment represents source code of theClearCS procedure with examples of its usage whose callClearCS[ClearAII] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, clearing all symbols and off the ascribed values received by them in the current session, and off attributes, messages and values by default, associated with such symbols; while the callClearCS[Remove] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, deleting from the field of names of the system all symbols that received values in the current session of theMathematica system.
In[2640]:= ClearCS[x_/; MemberQ[\{ClearAll, Remove\}, x] := Module[\{ a = Join[Names["Global*"], \{"a", "b", "c", "d", "h", "k", "p", "s", "x", "y"\}]\}, Quiet[Mapp[ClearAttributes, a, Protected]]; Quiet[Map[x, a]]; ] In[2641]:= \{x, y, z, $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}\}=\{42,73,47,68,2015\} ;$ ClearCS[Remove]; $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{g}, \mathrm{h}\}$ Out[2641] $=\{\operatorname{Removed}[\mathrm{x}]$, Removed[y], Removed[z], Removed[g], Removed[h]\}
In[2642]:= $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}\}=\{42,73,47,68,2015\} ;$ ClearCS[ClearAII];
$\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}\}$
Out[2642] $=\{x, y, z, g, h\}$
In[2643]:= G[x_] := Module[\{a = 90\}, $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\wedge 2 ~+~ a] ; ~ V\left[x \_\right] ~:=~ M o d u l e[\{ \}, ~} \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right] ;$
G[x_/; PrimeQ[x]] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=500\}, \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{a}$ ];
V[x_/; ListQ[x]] := Module[\{\}, Length[x]]
In[2644]:= ClearCS[ClearAII]; Map[Definition, \{G, V\}]
Out[2644]= \{Null, Null $\}$
In certain appendices the ClearCS procedure appears as an useful enough means, in many respects providing recovery of initial status of the current session. The procedure is used by some means of package $A V Z_{-}$Package, carrying out the function of preliminary
cleaning of the current session. In the problems of formal processing of functional expressions theExpArgs procedure represents a quite certain interest whose callExpArgs $[\mathbf{G},\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \ldots\}]$ provides extension of the list of formal arguments of a module, function or block $\boldsymbol{G}$ onto the list of arguments $\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \ldots\}$ to the right concerning a tuple of formal arguments of the object $\boldsymbol{G}$ with return ofNull value, i.e. nothing, and with activation in the current session of the updated definition of the object $\boldsymbol{G}$. The expansion of a tuple of formal arguments is made for object $G$ only onto variables from the list $\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$, ...\} which aren't its formal arguments or local variables; otherwise expansion isn't made. List elements $\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}, \ldots\}$ onto updating can be symbols in string format along with names of formal arguments with tests foradmissibility of the corresponding actual arguments ascribed to them. At that, the procedure callExpArgs $[G, x]$ on inadmissible object $G$, in particular, on a system function or on theempty listx is returned unevaluated. The following fragment represents source code of theExpArgs procedure along with some most typical examples of its usage.
In[2547] := A[x_] := Module[\{a = 6\}, $\mathbf{x}^{*}$ a]; A[x_, y_] := Module[\{a = 7\}, $\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{a}$ ]; A[x_, y_List] := Block[\{\}, \{x, y\}]; A[x_Integer] := Module[\{a = 5\}, $\mathbf{x}^{*}$ a];
SetAttributes[A, \{Flat, Listable, Protected\}]; Art[x_, y_/; PrimeQ[y]] := Module[\{a $=2, b=6\}$, Length $\left.[\operatorname{Join}[x, y]]^{*} a^{*} b\right]$
In[2548]:= ExpArgs[f_/; BlockFuncModQ[f], x_/; ListQ[x] \&\&
DeleteDuplicates[Map[! StringFreeQ[ToString[\#], "_"] ||
StringQ[\#] \&, x] $==\{$ True $\}]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}=1\}, \mathbf{a}=$
Flatten[\{Definition4[ToString[f]]\}]; b = Args[f, 90]; b = If[NestListQ[b], b[[1]], b]; d = Locals1[f]; d = If[NestListQ[d], d[[1]], d];
c = Flatten[ $\{$ HeadPF[f] $\}][[1]] ;$ t = Map[ToString, $\mathbf{x}] ; \mathbf{h}=$ Map[\#[[1]] \&,
Map[StringSplit[\#, "_"] \&, t]]; b = Join[b, d]; While[k <= Length[h], If[!
MemberQ[b, h[[k]]l, d = t[[k]]; AppendTo[g, If[StringFreeQ[d, "_"], d <> "_", d]]]; k++]; If[g == \{\}, Return[], g = ToString[g]; d = StringTake[c, \{1,-2\}] <> ", " <> StringTake[g, \{2,-2\}] <> "]"; ClearAllAttributes[f]; ClearAll[f]; a[[1]] = StringReplace[a[[1]], c-> d, 1]; Map[ToExpression, a]]; ] In[2549]:= ExpArgs[Art, \{"x", "z_", "h", p_/; String[p], c_String, h_/; ListQ[h] \& \& Length[h] >= 90\}] In[2550]:= Definition[Art]

Out[2550] = Art[x_, y_/; PrimeQ[y], z_, h_, p_/; String[p],h_/; ListQ[h]\&\&
Length[h]>= 90]:= Module[\{a=2, b=6\}, Length[Join[x, y]] a b]
In[2551]:= ExpArgs[Art, \{"x", "z_", "h", p_/; String[p], c_Integer, h_/; ListQ[h]
\&\& Length[h] >= 90\}]
In[2552]:= Definition[Art]
Out[2552]= Art[x_, y_/; PrimeQ[y], z_, h_, p_/; String[p], c_String, h_/; ListQ[h]\&\& Length[h]>= 90]:= Module[\{a= 2, b= 6\}, Length[Join[x, y]] a b]
In[2553]:= ExpArgs[A, \{"x", "z_", "h", $\mathbf{p}_{-} /$; String[p], c_Integer, h_/; ListQ[h] \&\&
Length[h] >= 90\}]
In[2554]:= Definition[A]
Out[2554]= Attributes[A]= \{Flat, Listable, Protected $\}$
A[x_Integer, z_, h_, p_/; String[p], c_Integer, h_/; ListQ[h]\&\& Length[h]>= 90]:= Module[\{a=5\}, x a] A[x_]:= Module[\{a=6\}, x a]

A[x_, y_List]:= Block[\{\}, $\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\}]$
A[x_ $\left.\mathrm{y}_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathrm{a}=7\}, \mathrm{x}$ y a]
In[2555]:= ExpArgs[A, \{"x", "z_", "h", p_/; String[p], c_Integer, h_/; ListQ[h] \&\&
Length[h] >= 90\}]
In[2556]:= Definition[A]
Out[2556]= Attributes[A]= \{Flat, Listable, Protected $\}$
A[x_Integer, $z_{-}, h_{\sim}, p_{-} /$; String[p], c_Integer, $h \_/$; ListQ[h] \& \& Length[h]>= 90]:=
Module[\{a= 5\}, $x$ a] A[x_]:= Module[\{a=6\}, $x a]$
A[x_, y_List]:= Block[\{\}, \{x, y\}]
A[x_ $\left.\mathrm{y}_{-}\right]:=$Module[ $\{\mathrm{a}=7\}$, x y a]
Definition of the ExpArgs procedure along with the standard means uses a series of our means such asArgs, BlockModQ, ClearAllAttributes, HeadPF, Definition4, Locals1, NestListQ that are considered in the present book and in [33]. TheExpArgs procedure has a series of rather interesting appendices, first of all, applications of the system character.
The next fragment represents the useful procedural variable \$ProcType that has been implemented by a simple function on the basis of the systemStack function and making sense only in the body of a block or module, returning type \{Block, Module\} in string format of an object containing it. Outside of objects of the specified type the variable accepts the"ToString" value which doesn't have especial meaning. The next fragment represents source code of the\$ProcType variable along with some typical examples of its usage. The \$ProcType variable has a number of rather useful appendices of the applied and the system character.
In[2562]:= \$ProcType := ToString[Stack[][[1]]]
In[2563] := Agn[x_, y_] := Block[\{a = 90, b = 500, c = \$ProcType\}, a + b + c;
\{\$ProcType, c\}]
In[2564]:= Agn[42, 47]
Out[2564]= \{"Block", "Block"\}
In[2565]:= Agn[x_, y_] := Module[\{a = 90, b = 500, c = \$ProcType\}, a + b + c;
\{\$ProcType, c\}]
In[2566]:= Agn[42, 47]
Out[2566]= \{"Module", "Module" $\}$
In[2567]:= Agn[x_, y_] := Module[\{c = \$ProcType, $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{5 0 0}\}, \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}$;
\{\$ProcType, c\}]
In[2568]:= Agn[42, 47]
Out[2568]= \{"Module", "Module" $\}$
In[2569]:= \$ProcType
Out[2569]= "ToString"
To the previous procedural variable another procedural variable \$TypeProc directly adjoins which is also used only in the body of a block or module of any type. The variable\$TypeProc receives value of type in string format of an object $G$ which contains it, in the context \{"Block", "DynamicModule", "Module"\}; outside of a block or module the variable receives $\$$ Failed value as clearly illustrates the fragment representing source code of the procedural variable\$TypeProc along with examples of its most typical usage.

In[2572] := \$TypeProc := CheckAbort[If[\$a25k18\$ =Select[\{Stack[Module],
Stack[Block], Stack[DynamicModule]\}, \# != \{\}\&]; If[\$a25k18\$ == \{\},
Clear[\$Art24\$Kr17\$]; Abort[], \$a25k18\$ = ToString[\$a25k18\$[[1]][[1]]]]; SuffPref[\$a25k18\$, "Block[\{", 1], Clear[\$a25k18\$]; "Block", If[SuffPref[\$a25k18\$, "Module[ \{", 1] \&\& ! StringFreeQ[\$a25k18\$, "DynamicModule"], Clear[\$a25k18\$]; "DynamicModule", Clear[\$a25k18\$]; "Module"]l, \$Failed]
In[2573] := M[x_] := Module[\{a = 90, $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{5 0 0}, \mathbf{c}=\$$ TypeProc $\}, \mathbf{c}] ;$ M[73] Out[2573]= "Module"
In[2574]:= G[x_] := Module[\{a = 6, b =7, c \}, c =a*b*x; c^2; \$TypeProc]; G[73] Out[2574]= "Module"
In[2575]:= B[x_] := Block[\{a = 90, $\mathbf{b}=$ 500, c = \$TypeProc \}, c]; B[68] Out[2575]= "Block"
In[2576]:= DM[x_] := DynamicModule[\{a, c = \$TypeProc \}, x; c]; DM[68] Out[2576]= "DynamicModule"
In[2577]:= \$TypeProc
Out[2577]= \$Failed
In[2578]:= F[x_/; ListQ[x]] := Append[Select[x, OddQ[\#] \&], \$TypeProc];
F[\{68, 73, 47, 18\}]
Out[2578]= \{73, 47, \$Failed $\}$
In certain cases of procedural programming the\$TypeProc variable along with the\$ProcType variable are useful enough facilities.
To the previous procedural variables the $\$$ CallProc variable directly adjoins whose call returnscontents in string format of the body of a block or module which contains it at the time of a call. At that, for a module the body with local variables with"\$" symbols ascribed to them while for a block its body in the standard format are returned. The call of the given variable outside of a block or module returns"StringTake[ToString1[Stack[_] [[1]]],\{10, 2\}]". The next fragment represents source code of the procedural variable\$CallProc along with the typical examples of its usage.
In[2584]:= \$CallProc := StringTake[ToString1[Stack[_][[1]]], \{10,-2\}]
In[2585] := M[x_, y_/; StringQ[y]] := Module[\{a = \$CallProc, b, c \},
$\mathrm{x}^{*}$ StringLength[y]; a]
In[2586]:= M[6, "vak"]
Out[2586]= "Module[\{a\$ = \$CallProc, b\$, c\$\}, 6*StringLength["vak"]; a\$]"
In[2587]:= B[x_, y_/; PrimeQ[y]] := Block[\{a = \$CallProc, b\}, $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}$; a] In[2588]:= B[500, 17]
Out[2588]= "Block[\{a= \$CallProc, b\}, 500+ 17; a]"
In[2589]:= \$CallProc
Out[2589]= "StringTake[ToString1[Stack[_][[1]]], \{10,-2\}]"
The procedural variable $\$$ CallProc provides possibility of processing of the body of a block or a module, containing it, within the confines of the given object, presenting a certain interest for a number of applications, first of all, of the system character.
Use of means of preservation of definitions in the ASCII format files allows to program quite effective and useful means of the analysis of the structural organization of the user
blocks, functions and modules. The next fragment represents source code of theCompActPF procedure along with the typical examples of its application, whose callCompActPF[x] returns the nested2- element list whose the first element defines the list of all blocks,functions or modules that enter in the definition of a block/function/modulex, including $\boldsymbol{x}$ whereas the second element defines the list of headings in string format of these means. At that, the lists include only the user means whose definitions were activated in the current session of theMathematica system; moreover, for the calls which enter into an objectx, are added respectively and all their calls onto the full depth of nesting.
In[5134]:= G[x_] := Module[\{\}, a*x + b]; G1[x_] := a*x + b + V[x, 90]; S[y_] :=


In[5135] := CompActPF[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]] := Module[\{b=\{\}, c="", d, a= ToDefOptPF[x], f = ToString[x] <> ".txt", h = ""\}, Put[FullDefinition[x], f]; Quiet[While[! SameQ[h, EndOfFile], h = Read[f, String]; If[h != " ", c = c <> h; If[HeadingQ[d =StringTake[c, $\{1$, Flatten[StringPosition[c, ":= "]][[1]]-1 $\}]]$, AppendTo[b, d]; c = ""]; Continue[]]I]; DeleteFile[Close[f]]; \{Map[HeadName, b], b $\}$ ]

## In[5136]:= CompActPF[V1]

Out[5136] = \{\{"V1", "G1", "V", "G", "S", "S1"\}, \{"V1[x_, y_]", "G1[x_]", "V[x_, y_]", "G[x_]", "S[y_]", "S1[y_]"\}\}
In[5137]:= CompActPF[V]
Out[5137]= \{\{"V", "G", "S"\}, \{"V[x_, y_]", "G[x_]", "S[y_]"\}\}
In[5138] := CompActPF1[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]] := Module[\{d = \{\}, $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1 , b}=$ $\operatorname{Args}[x, 90], \mathrm{a}=$ Flatten [\{PureDefinition[x] $\}][[1]], \mathbf{c}=\operatorname{Locals1[x],~p\} ,~}\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}=$ \{If[NestListQ[b], b[[1]], b], If[NestListQ[c], c[[1]], c]\}; a = Select[ExtrVarsOfStr[a, 2], ! MemberQ[Flatten[\{ToString[x], Join[b, c, \{"Block", "Module"\}]\}], \#] \&];
While[k <= Length[a], p = a[[k]]; AppendTo[d, If[BlockFuncModQ[p], \{p, HeadPF[p]\}, If[SystemQ[p], \{p, "System"\}, \{p, "Undefined"\}]]]; k++]; a = Map[Flatten, Gather[d, ! StringFreeQ[\#1[[2]], "_"] \&\& ! StringFreeQ[\#2[[2]], "_"] \&]]; b = Map[Flatten, Gather[a, \#1[[2]] =="System" \& \& \#2[[2]]== "System" \&]]; d = Map[Flatten, Gather[b, \#1[[2]] == "Undefined" \&\& \#2[[2]] == "Undefined" \&]];
Map[If[\#[[-1]] == "System",
Prepend[MinusList[\#, \{"System"\}], "System"], If[\#[[-1]] == "Undefined", Prepend[MinusList[\#, \{"Undefined"\}], "Undefined"], \#]] \&, d]] In[5139]:= CompActPF1[V1]
Out[5139]= \{\{"System", "Cos", "Sin"\}, \{"G1", "G1[x_]", "S1", "S1[y_]"\},
\{ "Undefined", "h", "v"\}\}
In[5140]:= CompActPF1[V]
Out[5140] $=\{ \}$
In[5141]:= Z[x_/; StringQ[x], z_/; ! HowAct[x]] := Block[\{a = Sin[x]\},
Cos[a] + StringLength[x]] In[5142]:= CompActPF1[Z]
Out[5142]= \{\{"System", "Cos", "Sin", "StringLength"\},

## \{"HowAct", "HowAct[x_]"\}\}

We will note, that for effective processing of the saved complete definitions of functions, blocks and modules in definition of theCompActPF procedure the procedure has been used, whose the callToDefOptPF[x] optimizes the definition of the user block, function or modulex in the current session. The truth, for optimization of definitions there are also other means considered in the book above. A quite useful modification of theCompActPF procedure completes the previous fragment whose the callCompActPF1[x] returns the nested list whose elements represent sublists of the following format:

- sublist with the first element"System" defines calls of system functions in definition of a block, function or modulex;
- sublist with the first element"Undefined" defines names of objects which aren’t included into the list of arguments and local variables of a function, block or modulex; - sublist of a format different from above-mentioned contains the user pairs \{block/function/module, its heading\}, whose calls are available in definition of an objectx.

The CompActPF1 procedure is an useful means in a number of applications, first of all, of the system character, providing the structural analysis of the user means of the types
\{Block, Function, Module\}.
As it is well known [25], the Maple system has a number of the procedural variables(where under procedural variables are understood the variables making sense only in the body of a block or module and receiving values about components of the object containing them) which provide, in particular, the possibility to receive the list of formal arguments of the block or module in its body at a call. Whereas in theMathematica system similar means are absent though in many cases represent quite certain interest. Some means of this kind for Mathematica are given above. It is simple to notice that means of theMaple in this respect are more developed, than similar means of theMathematica, that in some cases rather significantly simplifies procedural programming.

A block or module provide four main mechanisms of return of results of its call:(1) through thelast offer of the body, (2) on the basis ofReturn function, (3) through global variables, and(4) through formal arguments. The given question was considered enough in detail in our books [25-33]. The following fragment on the example of rather simple procedure $\boldsymbol{P}$ very visually illustrates a mechanism of return of any number of results through argumentz - the tuple of undefinite variables. At that, for simplification of assignment of the returned results to elements of a listz a simple and at the same time useful functionAssignL is used.

In[2550]:= P[x_, y_, z__/; DeleteDuplicates[Map[! HowAct[\#] \&, \{z\}]] == \{True\}] $:=$ Module[\{a = 90, b = 500, c = 72\},
If[x* $\mathbf{y}$ > 500, AssignL[ \{z\}[[1]], a]; AssignL[\{z\}[[2]], b]; AssignL[\{z\}[[3]], c]]; (x + y) $\left.{ }^{*}(\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c})\right] \operatorname{In}[2551]:=\mathbf{P}[42,47, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}]$

Out[2551]= 58918
$\operatorname{In}[2552]:=\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}\}$
Out[2552]= $\{90,500,72\}$
In[2553]:= First[\{x, y, z\}]=90

Set ::write: Tag First in First[\{x, y, z\}] is Protected. >> Out[2553]= 90
In[2554]: = \{x, y, z\}
$\operatorname{Out}[2554]=\{x, y, z\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2555]:=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}[[2]]=\mathbf{9 0}$
Set ::setps: $\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}\}$ in the part assignment is not a symbol. >> Out[2555]= 90
$\operatorname{In}[2556]:=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}$
Out[2556]= $\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2557]:=$ AssignL[x_, $\left.\left.\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{\prime}}, \mathbf{z} \_\right]\right]:=\operatorname{Quiet}[\operatorname{If}[\{\mathbf{z}\}!=\{ \}, \mathbf{x}:=\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{y}]]$
In[2558] := AssignL[\{x, y, z\}[[2]], 90]
Out[2558]= 90
$\operatorname{In}[2559]:=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}$
$\operatorname{Out}[2559]=\{\mathrm{x}, 90, \mathrm{z}\}$
In[2560]:= AssignL[\{a1, a2, a3, a4, a5, a6\}[[3 ;; 5]], \{72, 47, 67\}]
Out[2560]= $\{72,47,67\}$
In[2561]:= \{a1, a2, a3, a4, a5, a6\}
Out[2561]= \{a1, a2, 72, 47, 67, a6\}
In[2562]:= AssignL[\{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}[[1, 2]], 90, Delayed]
$\operatorname{In}[2563]:=\{\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\},\{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}\}\}$
Out[2563]= \{\{a, 90\}, \{c, d\}\}
In[2564]:= AssignL[\{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}[[1, 2]], 90, Delayed]
Ot[2564]= \$Failed
The function callAssignL[ $x, y$ ] provides correct assignment to elements(to all or the given elements) of an arbitrary expression or expressions from the listy, modeling assignments on the basis of constructions of the format $\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}, \ldots\}[[\boldsymbol{n}]]=\boldsymbol{E x p r}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \ldots\}[[\boldsymbol{n} ;$; $\boldsymbol{p}] \mathrm{I}=\left\{\boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}}, \boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{n}+1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{E} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{p}}\right\}$ and to them similar which the system doesn't support while the function callAssignL $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{j}]$ where $\boldsymbol{j}$ - an expression- provides the correct delayed assignments of the above-stated kind, as visually illustrates the previous fragment. At that, the function call on inadmissible appointments returns\$Failed. As it was already noted earlier and it was used in some procedures, in the Mathematica along with the simple procedures which aren't containing in the body of definitions of other procedures the use of the so-callednested procedures, i.e. of such procedures whose definitions are in body of other procedures is allowed. The nesting level of such procedures is defined by only a size of working field of the system. In this regard rather interesting problem of definition of the list of subprocedures whose definitions are in the body of an arbitrary procedure of the type \{Block, Module\} arises. The SubProcs procedure successfully solves the problem whose callSubProcs[x] returns the nested2-element list of ListList-type whosefirst element defines the sublist of headings of blocks and modules composing a main procedure $\boldsymbol{x}$ whereas thesecond element defines the sublist of the generated names of blocks and modules composing a main procedurex including procedurex itself, and that are activated in the current session ofMathematica system. The following fragment represents source code of theSubProcs procedure along with the most typical examples of its application.
In[2525] := SubProcs[P_/; BlockModQ[P]]:= Module[\{b, $\mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{p}=\{ \}$, $\mathbf{g}=\{ \}, \mathbf{a}=$ Flatten[\{PureDefinition[P]\}][[1]]\}, b = StringPosition[a, \{"] := Block[\{", "] := Module[\{"\}]; For[k, k <= Length[b], k++, d = b[[k]]; AppendTo[p,

ExprOfStr[a, d[[1]],-1, \{" ", ",", ";"\}]]; AppendTo[c, h = ExprOfStr[a, d[[1]],-1, \{" ", ",", ";"\}] <> " := " <> ExprOfStr[a, d[[1]] + 5, 1, \{" ", ",", ";"\}]; t = Flatten[StringPosition[h, "["]]; h = Quiet[StringReplacePart[h, ToString[ Unique[ToExpression[StringTake[h, \{1, t[[1]]-1\}]]l], \{1, t[[1]]-1\}]]; AppendTo[g, StringTake[h, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[h, "["]][[1]]-1\}]]; h]]; Map[ToExpression, c]; $\{\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{M a p}[$ ToExpression, g$]\}]$
In[2526]:= P[x_, y_] := Module[\{a, b, B, P1, P2\}, P1[z_, h_] := Module[\{m, n\}, z+h]; B[h_] := Block[\{\}, h]; P2[z_] := Module[\{P3\},
P3[h_] := Module[ \{\}, h]; P3[z]]; x*P2[x] + P1[x, y] + P2[y]] In[2527]:= P[90, 500] Out[2527]= 9190
In[2528]:= SubProcs[P]
Out[2528]= \{ \{"P[x_, y_]", "P1[z_, h_]", "B[h_]", "P2[z_]", "P3[h_]"\},
\{P \$60501, P1\$60506, B\$60510, P2\$60515, P3\$60519\}\} In[2529]:=
DefFunc[P2\$60515]
Out[2529]= P2\$1247[z_]:= Module[\{P3\}, P3[h_]:= Module[\{\}, h]; P3[z]]
Thus, between elements of sublists of the returned nested list the one-to-one correspondence takes place. The definition of theSubProcs procedure along with the standard means uses a number of our means such asBlockModQ, ExprOfStr, PureDefinition which are considered in the present book and in [28-33]. The procedure allows a number of interesting enough expansions.
The quite useful SubProc1 procedure provides testing of a block/modulex regarding existence in its definition of the blocks/modules. The procedure callSubProcs1[x] depending on existence of an objectx of the same name with various headings or with one heading returns the nested or simple list; at that, thefirst elements of the list or sublists define headings of an objectx while thesecond define number of blocks/modules that enter into definition of the objectx with the corresponding headings. If the objectx not a block, function, module, the procedure callSubProcs1[ $x]$ is returned unevaluated. The fragment represents source code of the procedure with the most typical examples of its use.
TheSubProcs1 procedure can be quite simply expanded onto extraction of all subprocedures of a procedurex.
In[2532] := SubProcs1[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]] := Module[\{b =\{\}, c, d, k=1, a = Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}]\}, For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, c = a[[k]]; d = StringPosition[c, \{"] := Module[\{", "] := Block[\{"\}]; If[d == \{\}, Continue[]]; AppendTo[b, \{StringTake[c, \{1, d[[1]][[1]]\}], Length[d]-1\}]]; If[Length[b] == 1, Flatten[b], b]]
In[2533] := G[x_, y_ $\left.\mathbf{z}_{-}\right]:=\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z} ; \mathbf{G}\left[\mathbf{x}_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{H}\}, \mathbf{V}\left[\mathbf{y}_{-}\right]:=\right.$Module[\{\}, $\mathbf{y}^{\wedge}$ 3]; H[z_] := Module[\{\}, $\left.\mathbf{z}^{\wedge} 4\right] ; \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{V}[\mathbf{x}]+\mathbf{H}[\mathrm{x}]$ ]; G[x_, z_] := Module[\{V, H, P\}, V[t_] := Module[\{\}, $\left.\left.\mathbf{t}^{\wedge} 3+\mathbf{t}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+500\right] ; \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{t}]\right]:=$ Module[\{\}, $\left.\mathbf{t}^{\wedge} 4\right] ; \mathbf{P}\left[\mathrm{h} \_\right]:=$Module[\{a $=$ 90\}, $\left.\mathbf{a}^{\wedge} 2+h^{\wedge} 2\right] ;$
$\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{V}[\mathrm{x}]+\mathbf{H}[\mathrm{z}] * \mathbf{P}[\mathrm{x}]]$;
H[t_] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{P}\}, \mathbf{P}\left[\mathbf{h} \_\right]$:= Module[\{a = 90\}, $\left.\left.\mathbf{a}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{h} \wedge 2\right] ; \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{P}[\mathbf{x}]\right] \operatorname{In}[2534]:=$
SetAttributes[G, \{Protected, Listable\}]; \{G[2015], G[2015, 73]\} Out[2534]= \{16 493

608406 015, 115541459232 440\}
In[2535]:= SubProcs1[G]
Out[2535]= \{\{"G[x_]", 2\}, \{"G[x_, z_]", 3\}\}
In[2536]:= SubProcs1[H]
Out[2536]= $\{$ " $\mathrm{H}[\mathrm{t}$ _]", 1$\}$
In[2537]:= SubProcs1[90]
Out[2537]= SubProcs1[90]
In[2538]:= P[x_/; \{j[b_] := Module[\{\}, b^2], If[EvenQ[x], True, False]\}[[2]]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\{\mathbf{c}[\mathbf{d}]$ ] := Module[\{\}, d]\}\}, \{j[x], c[x]\}] In[2539]:= P[2014]
Out[2539] $=\{4056196,2014\}$
In[2540]:= Map[Definition1, $\{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{c}\}$ ]
Out[2540]= \{"j[b_]:= Module[\{\}, b^2]", "c[d_]:= Module[\{\}, d]"\}
Very simple example illustrating some admissible mechanisms of definition of heading and local variables of a block/module that are enough useful for procedural programming completes this fragment. These mechanisms are used also by a number of the means composing our packageAVZ_Package [48] while theSubProcs2 procedure represents a quite essential expansion of theSubProcs1 procedure. The following fragment represents source code of theSubProcs2 procedure along with examples of its typical usage.
In[2386] := G[x_] := Module[\{V, H\}, Vg[y_] := Module[\{\}, y^3]; H72[z_] :=
 Module[\{\}, t^3+t^2 + 500]; H[t_]:= Module[\{\}, t^4]; P[h_]:= Module[\{a=90\}, $\left.\left.\mathbf{a}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}^{+} \mathbf{h}^{\wedge} 2\right] ; \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{Vt}[\mathrm{x}]+\mathrm{H}[\mathrm{z}] * \mathrm{P}[\mathrm{x}]\right]$;
H[t_, z_] := Module[\{P\}, P[h_]:= Module[\{a = 90\}, a^2 +h*z]; t +P[t]]; F[x_, y]:= x + y; SetAttributes[G, \{Protected, Listable\}]; \{G[2015], G[2015, 73]\} Out[2386]= \{16 493608406 015, 115541459232440$\}$
In[2387]:= SubProcs2[y_, z__] := Module[\{n = \{\}, m=1, SB, $\mathbf{v}=$ Flatten[\{PureDefinition[y]\}]\},
If[BlockFuncModQ[y], SB[x_String] := Module[\{b = "Module[", c, d, h, g="", t, k, $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{a}=$ Map[\#[[1]] \&, StringPosition[x, "Module[\{"]]\}, If[a == \{\}, Return[]]; If[Length[a] == 1, Return[\$Failed], $\mathbf{d}=$ Map[\#-5 \& , a]]; c = \{StringTake[x, \{1,
 Infinity, $\mathbf{j}++, \mathbf{h}=\mathbf{h}<>$ StringTake[x, $\{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}\}$ ]; If[SameQ[Quiet[Check[ToExpression[h], "Error"]l], "Error"], Continue[], For[j = d[[k]], j> 1, j-, g = StringTake[x, \{j, j\}] <> g; If[SameQ[Quiet[Check[ToExpression[g], "Error"]], "Error"], Continue[], Break[I]]; While[j > 1, p = StringTake[x, \{j, j\}]; If[! SameQ[p," "], t = p <> t, Break[]]; j-l; p = StringPosition[x," " <> t <> "["][[1]]; s =
Flatten[SubStrSymbolParity1[StringTake[x, \{p[[1]],-1\}], "[", "]"]]; w = 1; While[w <= Length[s]-1, q = s[[w]]; If[! StringFreeQ[q, "_"], s = t <> q <> " := Module" <> s[[w + 1]]; Break[]]; w++]; AppendTo[c, s]; Break[]]]]; c]; For[m, m <= Length[v], m++, AppendTo[n, SB[v[[m]]I]]; n =Select[n, ! SameQ[\#, Null] \&]; If[n ==\{\}, \$Failed, $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{I f}[L e n g t h[\mathbf{n}]==1, \mathbf{n}[[1]], \mathbf{n}] ; \operatorname{If}[\{\mathbf{z}\}!=\{ \}$, ToExpression[n]]; n], \$Failed]]

In[2388]:= SubProcs2[G, 90]
Out[2388]= \{\{"G[x_]", "H72[z_]:= Module[\{\}, z^4]", "Vg[y_]:= Module[\{\}, y^3]"\},
\{"G[x_, z_]", "P[h_]:= Module[\{a= 90\}, a^2+ h^2]", "H[t_]:= Module[\{\}, $\mathrm{t} \wedge 4] "$, "Vt[t_]:= Module[\{\}, t^3+ t^2+500]"\}\}

In[2389] := \{H72[90], Vg[500], P[26], H[18], Vt[67]\}
Out[2389]= $\{65610000,125000000,8$ 776, 104976,305752$\}$
In[2390]:= SubProcs2[H]
Out[2390]= \{\{"H[t_, z_]", "P[h_]:= Module[\{a= 90\}, $\left.\left.\mathrm{a}^{\wedge} 2+\mathrm{h}^{*} \mathrm{z}\right] "\right\}$, \$Failed $\} \operatorname{In}[2391]:=$
Map[SubProcs2, \{F, 500\}]
Out[2391]= \{\$Failed, \$Failed $\}$
The call SubProcs2[y] depending on an unique procedurey or of the same name with various headings, returns simple or nested list. For the returned list or sublists the first element is the procedurey heading, while the others

- definitions in string format of subprocedures of theModule type that enter into they definition. In absence fory of subprocedures of the specified type or in the case of type of argumenty, different fromModule, the procedure callSubProcs2[y] returns\$Failed. In case of the second optional argument $\mathbf{z}$-an arbitrary expression- the callSubProcs3[y, z] returns the similar result with simultaneous activation of these subprocedures in the current session. TheSubProcs3 procedure is further expansion of theSubProcs2 procedure; its callSubProcs3[y] differs from a callSubProcs2[y] by the following two moments, namely:(1) the user block, function or module can act as a factual argumenty, and(2) the returned list as the first element contains heading of objecty whereas other elements of the list represent definitions of functions, blocks and modules in string format entering into definitiony. In case of an objecty of the same name, the returned list will be the nested list, sublists of which have the above-mentioned format. At that, the callSubProcs $3[y, z]$ with the second optional argumentz - an arbitrary expression- returns the above list and at the same time activates in the current session all objects of the above type, that enter intoy. The fragment represents source code of the procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2630]:= G[x_] := Module[\{Vg, H72\},Vg[y_] := Module[\{\},y^3]; H72[z_] := Module[\{\}, $\left.\mathbf{z}^{\wedge 4] ;} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{V g}[\mathbf{x}]+\mathbf{H 7 2 [ x ]}\right] ; \mathbf{G}\left[\mathbf{x}_{-}, \mathbf{z}_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathbf{V t}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P}\}$,
Vt[t_] := Module[ \{\}, t^3 + t^2 + 500]; H[t_] := Module[\{\}, t^4]; P[h_]:= Module[\{a $\left.\left.\left.=90\}, \mathbf{a}^{\wedge 2}+\operatorname{Cos}\left[h^{\wedge} 2\right]\right] ; \operatorname{Sin}[x]+\mathbf{V t}[x]+\mathbf{H}[z] * P[x]\right] ; \mathbf{H}[t]\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{P}\}, \mathbf{P}\left[h \_\right]:=\right.$ Module[\{a = 90\}, $\left.\mathbf{a}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}^{*} \mathbf{h}^{\wedge} 2\right]$; $\left.\operatorname{Cos}[t] * \mathbf{P}[t]\right] ;$ F[x_, y_] := $\operatorname{Sin}[x+y]+\operatorname{Cos}[x-y] ;$ V[x_] := Block[\{a, b, c $\}, \mathbf{a}\left[\mathbf{m} \_\right]:=\mathbf{m}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2} ; \mathbf{b}\left[\mathbf{n} \_\right]:=\mathbf{n}+\operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{n}] ; \mathbf{c}\left[\mathrm{p} \_\right]:=$Module[\{\}, $\left.\mathbf{p}\right] ;$ $\mathbf{a}[\mathbf{x}]^{*} \mathbf{b}[\mathbf{x}]^{*} \mathbf{c}[\mathrm{x}]$ ]; SetAttributes[G, $\{$ Protected, Listable $\}$ ]
In[2631]:= SubProcs3[y_, z__]:=Module[\{u=\{\}, m=1,Sv,
$\mathbf{v}=$ Flatten[\{PureDefinition[y]\}]\}, If[BlockFuncModQ[y],
Sv[S_String] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ExtrVarsOfStr[S, 1], b, $\mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{t}=\mathbf{2 , k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{c c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{n}$, p, j, h = \{StringTake[S, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[S, ":="]][[1]]-1\}]\}\}, a = Select[a, ! SystemQ[Symbol[\#]] \& \& ! MemberQ[\{ToString[G]\}, \#] \&]; b = StringPosition[S, Map[" " <> \# <> "[" \&, a]]; p = Select[a, ! StringFreeQ[S, " " <> \# <> "["] \&]; b = Flatten[Map[SubStrSymbolParity1[StringTake[S, \{\#[[1]],-1\}], "[", "]"] \&, b]]; For[j $=1, j<=$ Length $[p], j++, ~ n=p[[j]] ;$ For[k = 1, $k<=$ Length[b]-1, $k++, d=b[[k]] ;$ If[! StringFreeQ[d, "_"] \&\& StringTake[b[[k + 1]], \{1, 1\}] == "[", AppendTo[c, Map[n
<> d <> " := " <> \# <> b[[k+1]] \&, \{"Block", "Module"\}] $]$ ]l]; c = DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[c]]; For[k = 1, k <= Length[c], k++, d = c[[k]]; If[! StringFreeQ[S, d], AppendTo[h, d], AppendTo[cc, StringTake[d, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[d, ":= "]][[1]]-1\}]]I]; \{h, cc\}= Map[DeleteDuplicates, $\{\mathbf{h}$, cc\}]; p = Map[StringTake[\#, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[\#, "["]][[1]]\}] \&, h]; cc = Select[Select[cc, ! SuffPref[\#, p, 1] \&], ! StringFreeQ[S, \#] \&]; If[cc == \{\}, h, For[k = 1, $\mathbf{k}<=$ Length $[\mathbf{c c}], \mathbf{k + +}, \mathbf{p}=\mathbf{c c}[[\mathrm{k}]] ; \mathbf{p}=$ StringCases[S, $\mathbf{p}<>$ " := " ~~_~~"; "]; AppendTo[h, StringTake[p, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[p, ";"]][[1]]-1\}]]I];
Flatten[h]]; For[m, m <= Length[v], m++, AppendTo[u, Sv[v[[m]]]I]; u = Select[u, ! SameQ[\#, Null] \&]; u = If[Length[u] == 1, u[[1]], u]; If[\{z\}!= \{\}, ToExpression[u]]; u, \$Failed]] In[2632]:= SubProcs3[G]
Out[2632]= \{\{"G[x_]", "Vg[y_]:= Module[\{\}, y^3]", "H72[z_]:= Module[\{\},
z ^4]" $\}$, \{"G[x_, z_]", "Vt[t_]:= Module[\{\}, t^3+ t^2+ 500]", "H[t_]:= Module[\{\}, t^4]", "P[h_]:= Module[\{a=90\}, a^2+ Cos[h^2]]"\}\} In[2633]:= SubProcs3[H]
Out[2633]= \{"H[t_]", "P[h_]:= Module[\{a= 90\}, $\left.\left.\mathrm{a}^{\wedge} 2^{*} h^{\wedge} 2\right] "\right\}$
In[2634]:= SubProcs3[F]
Out[2634]= $\{$ "F[x_, y_]" $\}$
In[2635]:= SubProcs3[V]
Out[2635]= \{\{"V[x_/; ListQ[x]]"\}, \{"V[x_]", "c[p_]:= Module[\{\}, p]",
"a[m_]:= m^2", "b[n_]:= n+ Sin[n]"\}, \{"V[x_, y_]"\}\} In[2636]:= SubProcs3[V, 500] Out[2636]= \{\{"V[x_/; ListQ[x]]"\}, \{"V[x_]", "c[p_]:= Module[\{\}, p]",
"a[m_]:= m^2", "b[n_]:= n+ Sin[n]" \}, \{"V[x_, y_]"\}\} In[2637]:= \{V[90], a[42], b[47], c[67]\}
Out[2637]= \{729000 (90+ Sin[90]), 1764, 47+ Sin[47], 67\}
If a function with heading acts as an object $\boldsymbol{y}$, only its heading is returned; the similar result takes place and in case of an objecty that doesn't contain subobjects of the above type whereas on an objecty different from the user block, function or module, the call of theSubProcs3 returns\$Failed.
In some cases there is a necessity of definition for a block and module of the subobjects of the type $\{$ Block,Function, Module $\}$. The callSubsProcQ $[x, y]$ returnsTrue ify is a global active subobject of an objectx of the above type, andFalse otherwise. But as theMath-objects of the given type differ not by names as that is accepted in the majority of programming systems, but by headings then through the3rd optional argument the procedure call returns the nested list whose sublists asfirst element contain headings with a name $\boldsymbol{x}$ while thesecond element contain the headings of subobjects corresponding to them with a namey. On the first2 arguments $\{x, y\}$ of the types, different from specified in a procedure heading, the procedure callSubsProcQ $[x, y]$ returnsFalse. The next fragment represents source code of theSubsProcQ.
In[2650]:= SubsProcQ[x_, y_ z__] := Module[\{a, b, k=1, $\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1}, \operatorname{Res}=\{ \}\}$,
If[BlockModQ[x] \&\& BlockFuncModQ[y], \{a, b\}= Map[Flatten,
\{\{Definition4[ToString[x]]\}, \{Definition4[ToString[y]l]\}\}];
For[k, k <= Length[b], k++, For[j, j <= Length[a], j++, If[! StringFreeQ[a[jj]],
b[[k]]l, AppendTo[Res, \{StringTake[a[[j]], \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[a[[j]], ":= "]]
[[1]]-1\}], StringTake[b[[k]], \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[b[[k]], ":= "]][[1]]-1\}]\}], Continue[l]l]; If[Res != \{\}, If[\{z\}!= \{\}\&\&! HowAct[z], z = If[Length[Res] == 1, Res[[1]], Res]; True], False], False]]
In[2651]:= V[x_] := Block[\{a, b, c\}, a[m_] := m^2; b[n_] := n + Sin[n]; c[p_] := Module[\{\}, p]; a[x]*b[x]*c[x]]; c[p_]:= Module[\{\}, p];
V[x_, y_]:= Module[ \{a, b, c\}, a[m_]:= m^2; b[n_]:= n + Sin[n]; c[p_]:= Module[\{\}, p]; a[x]*b[x]*c[x]]; c[p_] := Module[\{\}, p]; p[x_] := x; SetAttributes[V, Protected]
In[2652]:= \{SubsProcQ[V, c, g67], g67\}
Out[2652]= \{True, \{\{"V[x_]", "c[p_]"\}, \{"V[x_, y_]", "c[p_]"\}\}\}
In[2653]:= SubsProcQ[V, Avz]
Out[2653]= False
In[2654]:= SubsProcQ[Sin, h]
Out[2654]= False
In[2655]:= SubsProcQ[p, c]
Out[2655]= False
In principle, on the basis of the above five means $\{$ SubProcs $\div$ SubProcs 3 , SubsProcQ $\}$ it is possible to program a number of useful enough means of operating with expressions of the types \{Block, Module\}.

In a certain regard the procedural variable $\$$ ProcName which is used only in the body of a procedure activated in the current session is of interest; the variable returns the list whose first element determines a name whereas the second element- the heading in string format of the procedure containing it. Moreover, for providing of the given possibility in a list of local variables of a procedure containing\$ProcName variable it is necessary to encode the expression of the type\$\$NameProc\$\$ ="Procedure_Name", otherwise the procedure call as a value of variable\$ProcName returns"UndefinedName". The following fragment represents source code of the procedural variable \$ProcName along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2530]:= \$ProcName := Module[\{d="\$\$ArtKr\$\$", a, b, c, t="", k\}, a= ToString1[Stack[_]]; d = Flatten[StringPosition[a, d]][[1]]; b = Flatten[StringPosition[a, "\$\$NameProc\$\$"]][[1]];
If[b > d || ToString[b] == "", Return["UndefinedName"], k=b]; For[k = b, k <= d, k++, c = StringTake[a, \{k, k\}]; If[MemberQ[\{",", "\}"\}, c], Break[], t = t <> c;
Continue[]I]; $\mathbf{b}=$ ToExpression[ToExpression[StringSplit[t, "="][[2]]]],
HeadPF[b]\}]
In[2531] := Avz[x_, y_, z_] := Module[\{\$\$NameProc\$\$ = "Avz", b\}, b = \$ProcName; x+y+z; b]
In[2532]:= Agn[x_, y_, z_]:= Module[\{b, \$\$NameProc\$\$ = "Agn"\}, $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z} ; \mathbf{b}=$ \$ProcName; b]
In[2533]:= Ian[x_, y_ z_] := Module[\{b, c, h\}, x+y+z; b = \$ProcName; b]
In[2534]:= Agn[47, 67, 72]
Out[2534]= \{Agn, "Agn[x_, y_, z_]"\}
In[2535]:= Avz[47, 67, 72]

Out[2535]= \{Avz, "Avz[x_, y, z_]"\}
In[2536]:= Ian[47, 67, 72]
Out[2536]= "UndefinedName"
This variable in a certain degree was wafted by the procedural "procname" variable of theMaple system which plays quite essential part, first of all, in procedural programming of various problems of the system character.

The BFMSubsQ procedure represents a quite certain interest; the procedure callBFMSubsQ[x] returns the list of format $\{$ True, Heading $\}$ if definition of the user block or modulex contains definitions of blocks, functions and/or modules, otherwise the list \{False, Heading\} is returned. In case of an object of the same namex of the above type the call returns the nested list whose sublists have the specified format. On an objectx of a type, different from \{Block, Module\}, the procedure call returnsFalse. At that, the procedure call BFMSubsQ[x, $\boldsymbol{y}]$ with the2nd optional argumenty -an undefinite variablethroughy returns the list of format $\{$ Heading, $N\}$ where $N$ defines number of blocks, functions and modules that enter into a subobject with the heading Heading of an object of the same namex. The following fragment represents source code of theBFMSubsQ procedure along with a number of the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2545]:= G[x_] := Module[\{Vg,H7\}, Vg[y_] := Module[\{\}, y^3]; H7[z_] := Module[\{\}, $\mathbf{z}^{\wedge 4] ;} \mathbf{x + V g [ x ] + H 7 [ x ] ] ; ~}$
G[x_, z_] := Module[ $\left.\{\mathbf{V t}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{P}\}, \mathbf{V t [ t \_ ] : =} \operatorname{Module}\left[\{ \}, \mathbf{t}^{\wedge} 3+\mathbf{t} \wedge 2\right] ; \mathbf{H}[\mathbf{t}]\right]:=$
Module[\{\}, t^4]; P[h_]:= Module[\{a=6\}, a^2 + Cos[h^2]];
 $T\left[x_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Block}\left[\{a\}, a\left[y \_\right]:=y^{\wedge} 2 ; x+a[500]\right] ; T\left[x_{-}, y_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{a=6\}, x^{*} y+a^{*}\right.$ Cos[t] + P[t]]; F[x_, y_] := Sin[x/y] + Cos[x*y]; SetAttributes[G, \{Protected, Listable\}]

In[2546] := BFMSubsQ[x_, y__] := Module[\{a, b, c, d=\{\}, $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{g}=\{ \}\}$, If[! BlockModQ[x], False, \{a, b\}= Map[Flatten, $\{$ PPureDefinition[x]\}, \{HeadPF[x] $\}\}]$;
For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, p = a[[k]]; p = StringReplace[p, b[[k]] <> " := "-> "", 1]; c = Select[ExtrVarsOfStr[p, 1], ! SystemQ[\#] \&]; h = Flatten[Map[StrSymbParity[p, " " <> \#, "[", "]"] \&, c]]; h = Select[h, SuffPref[\#, Map[StringJoin[" " <>\# <> "["] \&, c], 1] \&\& ! StringFreeQ[\#, "_"] \&]; AppendTo[g, \{b[[k]], Length[h]\}]; AppendTo[d, $\{\mathbf{I f}[\mathrm{h}!=\{ \}$, True, False], b[[k]]\}]]; If[\{y\}!= \{\}\&\& ! HowAct[y], y = g]; If[Length[d] $==1, d[[1]], d]]]$
In[2547] := BFMSubsQ[H]
Out[2547]= \{True, "H[t_]" $\}$
In[2548]:= BFMSubsQ[G]
Out[2548]= \{\{True, "G[x_]"\}, \{True, "G[x_, z_]"\}\}
In[2549]:= BFMSubsQ[T]
Out[2549]= \{\{True, "T[x_]"\}, \{False, "T[x_, y_]"\}\}
In[2550]:= Map[BFMSubsQ, \{F, 90, Agn, Sin\}]
Out[2550]= \{False, False, False, False \}
In[2551]:= BFMSubsQ[G, g]

Out[2551]= \{\{True, "G[x_]"\}, \{True, "G[x_, z_]"\}\}
In[2552]:= g
Out[2552]= \{\{"G[x_]", 2\}, \{"G[x_, z_]", 3\}\}
The definition of the $\mathbf{B F M S u b s} \mathbf{Q}$ procedure along with the standard means uses a number of our means such asBlockModQ, PureDefinition, HeadPF, HowAct, ExtrVarsOfStr,
StrSymbParity, SuffPref andSystemQ which are considered in the present book and in [30,33]. The procedure generalizes and expands the above proceduresSubProcsQ $\div$ SubProcsQ3 andSubsProcQ; theBFMSubsQ procedure is useful enough in a number of the appendices connected with processing of procedures of type \{Module, Block\} and, first of all, of the system character.

On the basis of the proceduresBlockModQ, HeadPF, Mapp, PureDefinition andSubStrSymbolParity1 that are considered in the present book, also the usefulProcBody procedure has been programmed whose callProcBody[ $x$ ] returns the body in string format of the user block, module and function $\boldsymbol{x}$ with heading. The procedure successfully processes also the objects of the same namex, returning the list of bodies of subobjects composing objectr. The following fragment represents source code of theProcBody procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2093] := ProcBody[x_/; BlockFuncModQ [x]] := Module[\{c, p, d=\{\}, k=1, a = Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}], b = Flatten[\{HeadPF[x]\}]\}, While[k <= Length[a], p = a[[k]]; c =Mapp[Rule, Map[b[[k]]<>" :=" <> \#\&, \{"Block[", "Module[", ""\}], ""]; c = StringReplace[p, c, 1]; AppendTo[d, If[BlockModQ[x],
StringTake[StringReplace[c, SubStrSymbolParity1[c, "\{", "\}"][[1]] <> ", "-> "", 1], $\{1,-2\}], \mathrm{c}]] ; \mathrm{k}++$ ]; If[Length[d] $==1, \mathrm{~d}[[1]], \mathrm{d}]]$
$\operatorname{In}[2094]:=\operatorname{Art}\left[\mathbf{x}_{-}, \mathbf{y}_{-}, \mathbf{z}_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{c}=\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{9 0}\}, \mathbf{a}{ }^{\wedge} \mathbf{2 + a} \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}\right]$ In[2095]:= ProcBody[Art]
Out[2095]= "a^2+ a+b"
$\operatorname{In}[2096]:=\mathbf{T}\left[\mathbf{x} \_\right]:=\operatorname{Block}\left[\{\mathbf{a}\}, \mathbf{a}\left[\mathrm{y} \_\right]:=\mathbf{y} \wedge \mathbf{2 ;} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{a}[90]\right] ;$
T[x_, y_] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=500\}, \mathrm{x}^{*} \mathrm{y}$ + a$]$
In[2097]:= ProcBody[T]
Out[2097]= \{"a[y_]:= y^2; x+ a[90]", "x*y+ a" $\}$
In[2098]:= F[x_, y_]:=x+y+x*y; F[x]:= Sin[x] + $x^{*} \operatorname{Cos}[x] ;$ ProcBody[F] Out[2098]= \{"Sin[x]+ $x^{*} \operatorname{Cos}[x]$ ", " $\left.x+y+x^{*} y "\right\}$
TheProcBody procedure plays a rather essential part in a number of tasks of the procedural programming dealing with various manipulations with definitions of functions and procedures of type \{Block, Module\} along with components composing them. In a number of the tasks caused by a processing of string representation of definitions of the user procedures and blocks the questions of partition of this representation onto2 main components- the procedure body and its frame with the final procedural bracket"]" a certain interest can represent. In this context and thePartProc procedure can be quite useful. Procedure callPartProc[x] returns the two-element list, whose first element in string format represents a procedure frame with the final procedural bracket"]"; the place of the body of a procedure is taken by the substring "Procedure Body" whereas the second element of the list in string format represents a procedure bodyx. Furthermore, as a procedure frame the construction of the format"Heading := Module[\{locals\}, ...]" is
understood. In the case of erroneous situations the procedure call is returned unevaluated or returns \$Failed.The next fragment represents source code of thePartProc procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2049]:= PartProc[P_/; BlockModQ[P]] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ProcBody[P] $\}$, \{StringReplace[PureDefinition[P], a-> "Procedure Body", 1], a\}]
$\operatorname{In}[2050]:=\operatorname{Kr}\left[\mathbf{x}_{-}, \mathbf{y}_{-}, \mathbf{z}_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{9 0}\}, \mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{a}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{b}\right]$; PartProc[Kr]
Out[2050]= $\{$ "Kr[x_, y_, z_]:= Module[\{a= x+y+z, b=90\},
Procedure Body]", "b*a+ a^2+ b"\}
In[2054]:= ReplaceProcBody[x_/; BlockModQ[x], y_/; StringQ[y]] := ToExpression[StringReplace[PureDefinition[x], ProcBody[x]-> y]] In[2055]:= ReplaceProcBody[Kr, "b*(x+y+z)"]; Definition[Kr] Out[2055]= Kr[x_, y_ z_]:= Module[ $\{a=x+y+z, b=90\}, b *(x+y+z)]$
A quite simpleReplaceProcBody function completes the previous fragment; the callReplaceProcBody[x, $\boldsymbol{y}$ ] returnsNull, providing replacement of the body of a block or modulex by a new bodyy that is given in string format. Furthermore, the updated objectx is activated in the current session. Both thePartProc procedure, and theReplaceProcBody function are based on the aboveProcBody procedure. Exactly the given circumstance provides a quite simple algorithm of these means.

Except the means considered in [28,30-33] a number of means for operating with subprocedures is presented, here we will represent a useful procedure that analyzes the blocks/modules regarding presence in their definitions of subobjects of type \{Block,Module\}. The procedure callSubsProcs[x] returns generally the nested list of definitions in string format of allsubobjects of the type \{Block, Module\} whose definitions are in the body of an objectx of type \{Block, Module $\}$. At that, the first sublist defines subobjects ofModule-type, the second sublist defines subobjects ofBlock-type. In the presence of only one sublist the simple list is returned while in the presence of the1-element simple list its element is returned. At lack ofsubobjects of the above type the callSubsProcs $[x]$ returns the empty list, i.e. $\}$ while on an objectx, different from a block or module, the callSubsProcs[ $x$ ] is returned unevaluated. The following fragment represents source code of theSubsProcs procedure with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2580]:= SubsProcs[x_/; BlockModQ[x]] := Module[\{d, s=\{\}, g, k=1, p, h="", v $=1, \mathbf{R}=\{ \}$, Res = \{\}, $\mathbf{a}=$ PureDefinition[ $\mathbf{x}], \mathbf{j}, \mathrm{m}=\mathbf{1 , n}=\mathbf{0}, \mathrm{b}=\{$ ":= Module[\{", " := Block[\{"\}, c = ProcBody[x]\},
For[v, v <= 2, v++, If[StringFreeQ[c, b[[v]]], Break[], d = StringPosition[c, b[[v]]l]; For[k, k <= Length[d], k++, j = d[[k]][[2]]; While[m != n, p = StringTake[c, \{j, j\}]; If[p == "[", m++; h = h <> p, If[p == "]", n++; h = h <> p, h = h <> p]l; j++]; AppendTo[Res, h]; m = 1; n=0; h = ""]; Res = Map10[StringJoin, If[v== 1, ":= Module[", " := Block["], Res]; g = Res; \{Res, m, n, h\}= \{\{\}, 1, 0, "]"\}; For[k = 1, k <= Length[d], k++, j = d[[k]][[1]]-2; While[m != n, p = StringTake[c, \{j, j\}]; If[p == "]", m++; h = p <> h, If[p == "[", n++; h = p <> h, h = p <> h]]; j-]; AppendTo[Res, h]; s = Append[s, j]; m = 1; n = 0; h = "]"]; Res = Map9[StringJoin, Res, g]; \{g, h\}=
$\{$ Res, "" $\} ;$ Res $=\{ \} ; \operatorname{For}[k=1, k<=$ Length[s], $k++, \operatorname{For}[j=s[[k]], j>=1, j-, p=$ StringTake[c, \{j, j\}]; If[p == " ", Break[l, h = p <> h]]; AppendTo[Res, h]; h = ""]; AppendTo[R, Map9[StringJoin, Res, gl]; \{Res, m, n, k, h, s\}= \{\{\}, 1, 0, 1, "", \{\}\}]; R $=\operatorname{If}[$ Length $[R]==2, R$, Flatten[R]]; If[Length[R] == 1, R[[1]], R]]
In[2581] := P[x_, y_] := Module[\{Art, Kr, Gs, Vg, a\}, Art[c_, d_] := Module[\{b\}, c + d]; Vg[h_] := Block[\{p=90\}, h^3 + p]; Kr[n_] := Module[\{\}, n^2]; Gs[z_] := Module[\{\}, $\left.\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 3\right]$; $\left.\mathbf{a}=\operatorname{Art}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]+\mathbf{K r}\left[\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}\right]^{*} \mathbf{G s}[\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}]+\mathbf{V g}\left[\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}\right]\right]$
In[2582] := P[90, 500]
Out[2582]= 1567350000000668
In[2583]:= SubsProcs[P]
Out[2583]= \{\{"Art[c_, d_]:= Module[\{b\}, c+ d]", "Kr[n_]:= Module[\{\}, n^2]",
" Gs[z_]:= Module[\{\}, x^3]"\}, \{"Vg[h_]:= Block[\{p=90\}, h^3+ p]"\}\} In[2584]:= H[t_]
:= Module[\{P\}, P[h_] := Module[\{a=90\}, a*h]; Cos[t]+ P[t]] In[2585]:=
SubsProcs[H]
Out[2585]= "P[h_]:= Module[\{a= 90\}, a*h]"
The SubsProcs procedure can be rather simply expanded, in particular, for determination of nesting levels of subprocedures, and also onto unnamed subprocedures. TheSubsProcs procedure significantly uses also our means BlockModQ, Map10, Map9, ProcBody, PureDefinition considered above.

Moreover, in connection with the problem of nesting of blocks and modules essential enough distinction between definitions of the nested procedures in the systemsMathematica andMaple takes place. So, in theMaple system the definitions of subprocedures allow use of lists of the formal arguments identical with the main procedure containing them, whereas in the system Mathematica similar combination is inadmissible, causing in the course of evaluation of definition of the main procedure erroneous situations [30-33]. Generally speaking, the given circumstance causes certain inconveniences, demanding special attentiveness in process of programming of the nested procedures. In a certain measure the similar situation arises and in the case of crossing of lists of formal arguments of the main procedure and the local variables of its subprocedures whereas that is quite admissible in theMaple system [10-22,25-27]. In this context theSubsProcs procedure can be applied quite successfully and to the procedures containing subprocedures of type \{Block, Module\}, on condition of nonempty crossing of the list of the formal arguments of the main procedure along with the list of local variables of its subprocedures.

The following procedure provides return of the list of all blocks, functions and modules of the user packages uploaded into the current session, along with other active objects of the specified types. The next fragment represents source code of theProcsAct procedure along with examples of its usage.

In[2526] := ProcsAct[] := Module[\{a = Names["*"], b = Names["System*"], c, d = $\}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{j}, \mathrm{h}, \mathbf{t}, \mathrm{g}=\{\{$ "Module" $\},\{" B l o c k "\},\{" D y n a m i c M o d u l e "\},\{" F u n c t i o n "\}$, \{"Others"\}\}\},
c = Select[a, ! MemberQ[b, \#] \&]; c = Select[c, ToString[Definition[\#]] != "Null" \&\& ToString[Definition[\#]] != "Attributes[" <> ToString[\#] <> "] = \{Temporary\}" \&\& !

MemberQ[\{ToString[\#] <> " = \{Temporary\}", ToString[\#] <> " = \{Temporary\}"\}, ToString[Definition[\#]]] \&]; For[k, k <= Length[c], k++, h = c[[k]]; ClearAll[t]; Quiet[ProcQ1[Symbol[h], t]]; If[t === "Module", AppendTo[g[[1]], h],
If[t === "Block", AppendTo[g[[2]], h],
If[t === "DynamicModule", AppendTo[g[[3]], h],
If[QFunction[h], AppendTo[g[[4]], h], AppendTo[g[[5]], h]]II]]; g]

```
In[2527] := ProcsAct[]
Out[2527]= \{ \{"Module", "ActBFMuserQ", "ActCsProcFunc", "ActiveProcess", "ActRemObj", "Adrive", "Adrive1", "Affiliate", "Aobj", "Args", "ArgsBFM", "ArgsTypes", ...\},
\{"Block"\},
\{"DynamicModule"\},
\{"Function","AssignL", "Attributes1", "AttributesH", "BinaryListQ", "BlockQ1", "ComplexQ", "ContextActQ", "ContextFromFile", "ContextQ", "CopyDir", ...\}, \{"Others", "AcNb", "ActUcontexts", "ClearOut", "CloseAll", "CsProcsFuncs", ...\}\}
```

The procedure call ProcsAct[] returns the nested five-element list, sublists of which define by thefirst element the types of objects in the context \{"Block",
"Module","DynamicModule","Function","Others"\} that are activated in the current session while other elements define names of objects corresponding to thefirst element of type. Meanwhile, it should be noted theperformance of theProcsAct procedure quite significantly depends on quantity of both the user means and the system means activated in the current session. Again it should be noted that in theMathematicaprocedureslocal variables initially aren't considered as undefinite; however, is possible to give them the status undefinite in the body of a procedure what visually illustrates the following rather transparent example, namely:
In[2547] := A[x__] := Module[\{a, b, c $\}, \mathbf{b}=\{$ Attributes[a], Definition[a] $\} ;$
ClearAll[a]; c = \{Attributes[a], Definition[a]\}; \{b, c\}]
In[2548]:= A[]
Out[2548]= \{\{\{Temporary\}, Null $\}$, \{ \{\}, Null] $\}\}$
Such reception is used and in the ProcsAct procedure, providing return of the type of an objecth through thesecond argumentt -an undefinite variable- at the callProcQ1[ $\boldsymbol{h}$, $\boldsymbol{t}$. In general, theProcsAct procedure represents quite certain interest for certain appendices above all in procedural programming of problems of the system character.
The next fragment represents rather useful function NamesProc, whose call NamesProc[] returns the sorted list of names of the user modules, functions and blocks activated in the current session. In certain cases theNamesProc function can appear as a rather useful means. The next fragment represents source code of theNamesProc function with typical examples of its usage.
In[3617] := NamesProc[] := Select[Sort[Names["*"]],
Quiet[BlockFuncModQ[\#]]\&\& ToString[Definition[\#]] != "Null" \& \&
ToString[Definition[\#]] != "Attributes[" <> ToString[\#] <> "] = \{Temporary\}" \&\& !
MemberQ[\{ToString[\#] <>" = \{Temporary\}",
ToString[\#] <> " = \{Temporary\}"\}, ToString[Definition[\#]]]\&] In[3618]:=

NamesProc[]
Out[3618]= \{A, Art, Df, F, G, H, Kr, NamesProc, ProcQ, Spos, Subs, Uprocs \}
As one more example we will present the Uprocs procedure which is quite useful in the practical relation and also illustrates an approach to a certain expansion of the standardMathematica means. The procedure callUprocs[] returns simple or the nested list. In the first case in the current session the user procedures of any of2 types
\{Block,Module\} have been not activated, while in the second case the list elements returned by theUprocs procedure areareelement sublists whose first elements define names of the user blocks/ modules activated in the current session, the second define their headings in string format, the third elements define type of procedures \{Block|Module\}. The following fragment represents source code of theUprocs procedure and the most typical example of its usage.
In[2448] := Gs[x_] := Block[\{a, b, c $\}$, Evaluate[ $\left.\left.\left(\mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{b}\right) / \mathbf{c}\right]\right]$
In[2449]:= S[x_] := Block[\{y = a, h = b $\left.\}, \mathrm{G}\left[\mathbf{P i} / 2, \mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{x}\right]\right]$
In[2450]:= S[x_] := Module[\{y = a, h = b\}, G[Pi/2, $\left.\left.\mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{x}\right]\right]$
$\operatorname{In}[2451]:=\mathbf{S}\left[x_{-}, y_{d}\right]:=\operatorname{Block}\left[\{\mathbf{z}=\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{h}=\mathbf{b}\}, \mathbf{G}\left[P i / 2,\left(\mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{x}\right) / \mathrm{z}\right]\right]$
In[2452]:= BI[y_] := Block[\{h = z\}, G[Pi/2, y]]
In[2453]:= MM[x_, y_] := Module[\{\}, $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}]$
In[2454]:= Uprocs[] := Module[\{a, b, c, d, h, g, k, t1, t2\},
a := "_\$Art25_Kr18\$_.txt"; \{c, g\}= \{\{\}, \{\}\}; Save[a, "*"]; b := Map[ToString,
Flatten[DeleteDuplicates[ReadList[a, String]II];
For[k=1,k <= Length[b], If[StringCount[First[b[[ \{k\}]]], ":= Module[\{"] != 0 \&\& StringTake[First[b[[\{k\}]]l, \{1\}] != " " || StringCount[First[b[[\{k\}]]], ":= Block[\{"] != 0 \&\& StringTake[First[b[[\{k\}]]], \{1\}] != " ", AppendTo[c, First[b[[\{k\}]]l], Null]; k=k+1]; For[k = 1, k <= Length[c], d = Quiet[First[c[[\{k\}]]I]; h = Quiet[Symbol[StringTake[d, First[First[StringPosition[d, "["]]]-1]]]; t1 = If[StringCount[d, " := Module[\{"] != 0, Module, Block];
t2 = Quiet[StringTake[d, Last[First[StringPosition[d, "]"]]]l]; If[BlockModQ[h], AppendTo[g, \{h, t2, t1\}], Null]; k = k + 1]; DeleteFile[a]; g] In[2455]:= Uprocs[] Out[2455]= \{\{Bl, "Bl[y_]", Block \}, \{Gs, "Gs[x_]", Block\}, \{H, "H[t_]", Module\}, \{P, "P[x_, y_]", Module \}, \{MM, "MM[x_, y_]", Module\}\}
The procedure call ExtrCall $[z, y]$ returnsTrue if the user block, function or moduley contains the calls of a block/function/modulez, otherwiseFalse is returned. If the call as an argumentz defines the list of names of blocks/ functions/modules, the sublist of names fromz of blocks/functions/modules whose calls enter into an objecty is returned. In the case if the first optional argumentz is absent, then the callExtrCall $[y]$ returns the list of the system means whose calls enter into definition of the user function, block, module $\boldsymbol{y}$. The following fragment represents source code of theExtrCall procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2547]:= ExtrCall[z__, y_/; BlockFuncModQ[y]] := Module[\{b, p, g, x, a = Join[CharacterRange["A", "Z"], CharacterRange["a", "z"]l\},
If[ $\{\mathbf{z}\}==\{ \}, \mathbf{p}=$ PureDefinition[y]; If[ListQ[p], Return[\$Failed]]; $\mathbf{g}=$

ExtrVarsOfStr[p, 2];
g = Select[g = Map[" " <> \# <> "[" \&, g], ! StringFreeQ[p, \#] \&];
$\mathbf{g}=$ Select[Map[If[SystemQ[p =StringTake[\#, \{2,-2\}]], p]\&, g], ! SameQ[\#, Null] \&]; If[Length[g] == 1, g[[1]], g], b[x_] := Module[\{c = DefFunc3[ToString[y]], d, h, k=1, t = \{\}\}, h = StringPosition[c, ToString[x] <> "["]; If[h == \{\}, Return[False], d = Map[First, h]; For[k, k <= Length[d], k++, AppendTo[t, If[! MemberQ[a, StringTake[c, \{d[[k]]-1, d[[k]]-1\}]], True, False]]l]; t[[1]]]; If[! ListQ[z], b[z], Select[z, b[\#] \&]II]

In[2548] := Map3[ExtrCall, Run, \{Attrib, SearchDir, SearchFile, Df, Uprocs\}]
Out[2548]= \{True, True, True, False, False \}
In[2549]:= ExtrCall[\{Run, Write, Read, If, Return\}, Attrib]
Out[2549]= \{Run, Read, If, Return \}
In[2550]:= Map[ExtrCall, \{BlockFuncModQ, ExtrCall\}]
Out[2550]= \{\{"Flatten", "FromCharacterCode", "If", "Module", "StringTake", "StringReplace"\}, \{"Append", "CharacterRange", "For", "If", "Join", "Length", "Module", "Return", "Select", "StringJoin", "StringPosition", "StringTake"\}\} The definition of theExtrCall procedure along with thestandard means uses a number of our tools such asBlockFuncModQ, PureDefinition, SystemQ, DefFunc3 andExtrVarsOfStr which are considered in the present book and in [30,33]. TheExtrCall procedure has a series of useful enough appendices, first of all, in the problems of system character. Meanwhile, it must be kept in mind that theExtrCall procedure correctly processes only unique objects, but not objects of the same name by returning on them\$Failed.
In addition to earlier presentedTestArgsTypes procedure providing the call of a specified block, function, module in such manner that returns result of this procedure call in the absence of inadmissible actual arguments or the list consisting off values \{True,False\} whose order corresponds to an order of the actual arguments at a call of the tested object of the specified type the TestProcCalls procedure is of a certain interest. The callTestProcCalls $[x, y]$ returns the nested list whose elements have format $\{\boldsymbol{j}$, " $\boldsymbol{n}$ ",True|False $\}$ where $\boldsymbol{j}$ - the ordinal number of a formal argument, " $\boldsymbol{n}$ " - a formal argument in the string format, $\{$ True $\mid$ False $\}$ - value which determines admissibility(True) or inadmissibility(False) of an actual value determined by a listy and received by a formal argument $\{\boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{n}\}$ in a point of the call of an objectr. Furthermore, it is supposed that an objectx defines thefixed number of formal arguments andlengths of lists defining formal arguments and $y$ are identical, otherwise the procedure call returns $\$$ Failed.
In[5057]:= TestProcCalls[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x], y_/; ListQ[y]] :=
 If[NestListQ[a], a[[1]], a]]; If[Length[a] != Length[y] || MemberQ[Map[! StringFreeQ[\#, "_"] \&, a], True], \$Failed, v = If[NestListQ[v = Args[x, 90]], v[[1]], v]; For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, p = a[[k]]; AppendTo[b, If[StringTake[p, \{-1,-1\}] == "_", True, If[! StringFreeQ[p, c], d = StringSplit[p, c]; r = ToExpression[d[[1]]];
\{ToExpression[\{d[[1]] <> "=" <> ToString1[y[[k]]], d[[2]]\}][[2]], ToExpression[d[[1]] <> "=" <> ToString[r]]\}[[1]], d = StringSplit[p,"_"]; ToString[Head[y[[k]]]] == d[[2]]]I]]; \{k, d\}= \{1, Partition[Riffle[v, b], 2]\}; While[k <= Length[d],
PrependTo[d[[k]], k]; k++]; d]] In[5058]:= TestProcCalls[SuffPref,
\{"IAN_RANS_RAC_90_73", "90_73", 2\}] Out[5058]= \{ \{1, "S", True \}, \{2, "s", True \}, \{3, "n", True \} \}
In[5059] := TestProcCalls[SuffPref, \{"IAN_RANS_RAC_90_73", 50.90, 7.3\}]
Out[5059]= \{ \{1, "S", True \}, \{2, "s", False\}, \{3, "n", False $\}$ \}
In[5060]:= F[x_String, y_/; IntegerQ[y]]:= \{x, y\}; TestProcCalls[F, \{6, "avz"\}] Out[5060]= \{\{1, "x", False \}, \{2, "y", False \} \}
The previous fragment presents source code of the TestProcCalls procedure with examples of its usage. The procedure callTestProcCalls[ $x$ ] successfully processes the unique objects and the objects of the same name, at that in the second case thefirst subobject from the list returned by the callDefinition[x] is processed. At checking of values on admissibility in the case of a formal argument of format"arg_/;Test(arg)" is required previously to calculatearg and only then to check a logical valueTest(arg). However this operation in body of theTestProcCalls procedure updatesarg outside of the procedure what in general is inadmissible. Therefore for elimination of this situation a quite simple reception(that can be easily seen from the presented procedure code) without redefinition of global variables of the current session that have the same name with formal arguments of the tested objectx of the type stated above has been used. This approach to the organization of algorithm of the procedure quite answers the concept of the robust programming. At that, theTestProcCalls procedure allows a series of modifications useful enough for procedural programming in theMathematica system.
In contrast to the previous procedures the next ProcActCallsQ procedure tests existence in the user block, function or module $x$ the existence of calls of the user means active in the current session that are provided by usages. The procedure callProcActCallsQ[x] returnsTrue if definition of a module, block, functionx contains the calls of tools of the similar type, otherwiseFalse is returned. Moreover, thru the second optional argumenty -an undefinite variable- the procedure callProcActCallsQ[x,y] returns the list of the user software whose calls are in definition of a block, function or a modulex.

In[5070] := ProcActCallsQ[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x], y__] := Module[\{a, b, c = \{\}, d, k=1, h = "::usage = "\}, Save[b = "Art26\$Kr18", x]; For[k, k < Infinity, k++, d = Read[b, String]; If[SameQ[d, EndOfFile], Break[], If[! StringFreeQ[d, h], AppendTo[c, StringSplit[StringTake[d, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[d, h]][[1]]-1\}], "/: "][[1]]II]]; DeleteFile[Close[b]]; c = Select[c, SymbolQ[\#] \&]; b = If[MemberQ[c, ToString[x]], Drop[c, 1], c]; If[\{y\}!= \{\}\&\&! HowAct[\{y\}[[1]]], $\{\mathbf{y}\}=\{\mathbf{b}\}] ; \operatorname{If[b}==\{ \}$, False, True]]
In[5071]:= \{ProcActCallsQ[ProcQ, h], h\}
Out[5071]= \{True, \{"SymbolQ", "SystemQ", "UnevaluatedQ", "ToString1", "StrDelEnds", "SuffPref", "ListStrToStr", "Definition2", "HowAct", "Mapp", "SysFuncQ", "Sequences", "Contexts1", "ClearAllAttributes", "SubsDel", "HeadPF", "BlockFuncModQ", "PureDefinition", "Map3", "MinusList"\}\}
In[5072]:= \{ProcActCallsQ[ToString1, s], s\}
Out[5072]= \{True, \{"StrDelEnds", "SuffPref"\}\}
In[5073]:= G[x_String, y_/; ! HowAct[y]] := If[StringLength[x] == 90, $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}<>$ "500"]; \{ProcActCallsQ[G, Gs], Gs\}
Out[5073]= \{True, \{"HowAct"\}\}

In[5074]:= \{ProcActCallsQ[StrStr, Sv], Sv \}
Out[5074]= \{False, \{\}\}
In[5075]:= \{ProcActCallsQ[ProcActCallsQ, Gsv], Gsv\}
Out[5075]= \{True, \{"BlockFuncModQ", "PureDefinition", "UnevaluatedQ", "SymbolQ", "ToString1", "StrDelEnds", "SuffPref", "ListStrToStr", "Definition2", "HowAct", "SystemQ", "Mapp", "ProcQ", "ClearAllAttributes", "SubsDel", "Sequences", "HeadPF", "SysFuncQ", "Contexts1", "Map3", "MinusList"\}\}
In[5076]:= F[x_] := If[NestListQ[x], x, ToString1[x]]
In[5077]: $=\{$ ProcActCallsQ[F, v], v $\}$
Out[5077]= \{True, \{"NestListQ", "ToString1", "StrDelEnds", "SuffPref" \}\}
The previous fragment gives source code of the ProcActCallsQ procedure along with some typical examples of its usage. The procedure is of interest at the structural analysis of the user blocks/functions/modules; furthermore, the exhaustive analysis belongs only to the user means active in the current session of the system and provided by the standard usages.
In certain cases the question of definition of all tools used by the user block, function, module that are activated in the current session including means for which the usages are missing represents a certain interest. This problem is solved by theProcContent procedure which provides the analysis of an activated objectx of the above type with a correct heading, concerning the existence in its definition of the user means both internal, and external, that are supplied with an usage or without it. The procedure callProcContent[x] returns the nested3-element list whose first element defines the name of a block/function/modulex, the second element defines the list of names of all external blocks, functions or modules used by the object $x$ whereas the third element defines the list of names of the internal blocks, functions or modules defined in the body ofx. The following fragment represents source code of theProcContent procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
$\left.\operatorname{In}[5080]:=\operatorname{Kr}\left[x_{1}, y_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Plus}[\mathbf{x}, \mathrm{y}] ; \operatorname{Art}[\mathrm{x}]\right]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{5 0 0}, \mathbf{c}=$ ToString1[x], d, g\}, c = Kr[a, b]; d[y_] := Module[\{\}, y]; g[z_] := Block[\{\}, z + 90]; c]; V[x_] := Module[\{c = StrStr[x], d, g\}, G[a, b]; d[y_] := Module[\{\}, y]; g[z_] := Block[\{\}, z]; c]
In[5081]:= ProcContent[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]] := Module[\{a, f, b = SubProcs[x] [[1]]\}, f[y_] := Module[\{a1 = "\$Art2618Kr\$", b1 = "", c = \{y\}, d, h="", p\},
Save[a1, y]; While[! SameQ[b1, EndOfFile], b1 = Read[a1, String]; If[! MemberQ[\{" ", "EndOfFile"), ToString[b1]], h =h <> ToString[b1]; Continue[], d = Flatten[StringPosition[h, ":= ", 1] ]]; If[d == \{\}, h = ""; Continue[], p =
StringTake[h, \{1, d[[1]]-1\}]; If[! SameQ[Quiet[ToExpression[p]], \$Failed],
AppendTo[c, StringTake[p, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[p, "[", 1]][[1]]-1\}]]; h = "", Null]]]; a1 = Map[ToExpression, \{DeleteFile[Close[a1]], c\}[[2]]];
DeleteDuplicates[a1]]; a = f[x]; \{x, If[Length[a] > 1, a[[2 ;;-1]], \{\}], If[Length[b] > 1, Map[ToExpression, Map[HeadName, b[[2 ;;-1]]]], \{\}]\}]
In[5082]:= ProcContent[V]
Out[5082]= \{V, \{StrStr, G, HowAct $\},$ \{d, g\}\}
In[5083]:= ProcContent[Art]

Out[5083]= \{Art, \{ToString1, StrDelEnds, SuffPref, Kr\}, \{d, g\} \} In[5084]:=
ProcContent[ToString1]
Out[5084] $=$ \{ToString1, $\{$ StrDelEnds, SuffPref $\}$, \{ $\}\}$
In[5085]:= V[x_] := Module[\{a = 5, b = 6, c, d, g, Gt $\}, \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{G t [ a , ~ b ] ; ~ d [ y \_ ] ~ : = ~}$
Module[\{\}, y]; g[z_] := Block[\{a=6, b=9\}, z/73]; ToString1[x] <> StrStr[x]]
In[5086]:= ProcContent[V]
Out[5086]= \{V, \{ToString1, StrDelEnds, SuffPref, StrStr\}, \{d, g\} \}
At that, the ProcContent procedure along with standard functions enough essentially uses the proceduresBlockFuncModQ, SubProcs together with a simple function, whose callHeadName[ $x$ ] returns the name of a heading $x$ in string format. These means were considered in the present book above.
The function call ProcFuncCS[] returns the nested three-element list whose sublists define names in string format according of the user blocks,modules and functions, whose definitions were evaluated in the current session. The next fragment represents source code of theProcFuncCS function together with a typical example of its usage.
In[2532]:= ProcFuncCS[] := Quiet[Map3[Select,Names["**"], \{BlockQ[\#] \&, FunctionQ[\#] \&, ModuleQ[Symbol[\#]] \&\}]]
In[2533] := G[x_String, y_/; ! HowAct[y]]:= If[StringLength[x] == 90, y = x, y=x <> "500"]; GS[x_] := Block[\{a = 90, b = 500\}, x]; F[x_]:= If[NestListQ[x], x, ToString1[x]]; GG[y_] := Module[\{a=90, b=500, c=2015, d=\{42, 47, 67\}\}, y]; ProcFuncCS[] Out[2533]= \{\{"GS"\}, \{"F", "G"\}, \{"GG"\}\}
The operator HeadCompose[ $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}$ ] which was in the previous releases of the system(now the operator isn't documented) returns the composition of the identifiers in the form given below, namely:

```
In[2545] := HeadCompose[G, x, y, z]
Out[2545]= G[x][y][z]
```

Such form, for example, can be useful in various functional transformations. The given operator can be useful enough also at the organization of the user functions, allowing to transfer in quality of theactual values for their formal arguments the headings of functions along with their formal arguments. At the same time, this tool in general doesn't represent an especial interest what induced its bringing outside the system. On the other hand, it is possible to represent a certain analog of this tool which has significantly larger applied interest, namely theFunCompose procedure whose callFunCompose $[\boldsymbol{L}, \boldsymbol{x}]$ allows to create the nested functions from the list $L$ of functions, modules or blocks from an expression given by its second argumentx. The following a quite simple fragment rather visually illustrates the aforesaid.
In[2551]:= FunCompose[t_/; ListQ[t], x_] := Module[\{a, k=2\}, a = t[[1]]@x; For[k, $\mathrm{k}<=$ Length $[\mathrm{t}], \mathrm{k}++, \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{t}[[\mathrm{k}]] @ \mathrm{a}]$; $\mathbf{a}]$
In[2552] := FunCompose[\{F, G, H, T, W, Q, V, U\}, Sin[z]]
Out[2552]= F[G[H[T[W[Q[V[U[Sin[z]]]]]]]]]
In[2553]:= \{FunCompose[\{Sin, Cos, Log\}, 9.42], FunCompose[\{Sin, Cos,
Tan, Sqrt\}, 500.90]\}

Out[2553] $=\{-0.0000114144,0.786906\}$
For organization of transfer of identifiers of functions as the actual values it is possible to use constructions, for example, of the following rather simple formats, namely:
$\operatorname{In}[2555]:=$ F[x_] := x^3; SV[z_] := F@z + $\mathbf{z}^{\wedge} 3$; VSV[Id_, z_] :=
Module[ \{\}, Id@(z^2 + 6)]; \{VSV[F, h], SV[45]\}
Out[2555]= $\{(6+h \wedge 2) \wedge 3,182250\}$
along with a number of similar useful enough constructions.
For temporary removal from the current session of theMathematica system of the user blocks, functions or modules quite usefulDelRestPF procedure serves whose source code along with typical examples of usage represents the following fragment.

In[2579]:= F[x_] := x^3; SV[z_] := F@z + z^3; VSV[Id_, z_] := Module[\{\}, Id@(z^2 + 6)]; F[x_, y_]:=x+y; SetAttributes[F, \{Protected, Listable\}]; SetAttributes[SV, Listable]

In[2580] := DelRestPF[r_/; MemberQ[\{"d", "r"\}, r], x__] := Module[\{b, c, p, f= "\$Art26Kr18\$.mx", a = Quiet[Select[\{x\}, BlockFuncModQ[\#] \&]], k=1\}, If[r == "d", b = Map[Definition2, a]; Save[f, b]; Map[ClearAllAttributes, a]; Map[Remove, a];, c = Get[f]; DeleteFile[f]; For[k, k <= Length[c], k++, p = c[[k]];
ToExpression[p[[1 ;;-2]]]; ToExpression["SetAttributes[" <> StringTake[p[[1]], \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[p[[1]], "["]][[1]]-1\}] <> "," <> ToString[p[[-1]]] <> "]"]I]] In[2581]:= DelRestPF["d", F, SV, VSV]
In[2582]:= Map[Definition2, \{F, SV, VSV\}]
Out[2582] = \{Definition2[F], Definition2[SV], Definition2[VSV]\} In[2583]:=
DelRestPF["r"]
In[2584]:= Map[Definition2, \{F, SV, VSV\}]
Out[2584]= \{\{"F[x_]:= x^3", "F[x_, y_]:= x+ y", \{Listable, Protected\}\},
\{"SV[z_]:= F[z]+ z^3", \{Listable\}\},
\{"VSV[Id_, z_]:= Module[\{\}, Id[z^2+ 6]]", \{\}\}\}
In[2585] := DelRestPF1[r_/; MemberQ[\{"d", "r"\}, r], f_/; StringQ[f], x__] :=
Module[\{a =Quiet[Select[\{x\}, BlockFuncModQ[\#] \&]], b, c, p, k=1\}, If[r == "d", b = Map[Definition2, a]; Save[f, b]; Map[ClearAllAttributes, a]; Map[Remove, a];, c = Get[f]; DeleteFile[f]; For[k, k <= Length[c], k++, p = c[[k]]; ToExpression[p[[1 ;;2]I]; ToExpression["SetAttributes[" <> StringTake[p[[1]], \{1,
Flatten[StringPosition[p[[1]], "["]][[1]]-1\}] <> "," <> ToString[p[[-1]]] <> "]"]l]]
In[2586] := DelRestPF1["d", "C:\Temp\Tallinn", F, SV, VSV] In[2587]:=
Map[Definition2, \{F, SV, VSV\}]
Out[2587]= \{Definition2[F], Definition2[SV], Definition2[VSV]\} In[2588]:=
DelRestPF1["r", "C:\Temp\Tallinn"]
In[2589]: = Map[Definition2, \{F, SV, VSV\}]
Out[2589]= \{\{"F[x_]:= x^3", "F[x_, y_]:= x+ y", \{Listable, Protected\}\},
\{"SV[z_]:= F[z]+ z^3", \{Listable\}\},
\{"VSV[Id_, z_]:= Module[\{\}, Id[z^2+ 6]]", \{\}\}\}
The procedure call DelRestPF["d", $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \ldots$. ] returnsNull, i.e.nothing, deleting from the
current session the user blocks, functions and/or modules $\{x, y, \ldots\}$ while the subsequent callDelRestPF[ " $r$ "] returnsNull, i.e.nothing, restoring their availability in the current session or in other session with preservation of the options and attributes ascribed to them. The procedure is quite useful in a number of applications, first of all, of system character. Moreover, the procedure is oriented onto work only with one list of objects, creating only a fixed file with the saved objects. Meanwhile, a very simple modification of the procedure provides its expansion onto any number of lists of the user blocks, functions and modules, allowing temporarily to delete them at any moments from the current session with the subsequent their restoration in the current session or other session of the system. So, the previous fragment is completed by one of similar useful modifications which has a number of useful appendices of the system character.
The procedure callDelRestPF1["d",w, $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}, \ldots$ ] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, deleting from the current session the user blocks, functions and/or modules $\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}, \ldots\}$ with saving of them in a datafilew, whereas the subsequent call DelRestPF1[" $r$ ", w] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, restoring their availability in the current session or in other session from the datafilew with preservation of the options and attributes ascribed to them.

The built -inMath-language for programming of the branching algorithms along with the"If" offer allows use of unconditional transitions on the basis of theGoto function which is encoded in the formGoto[ $\boldsymbol{h}]$, unconditionally passing control into a point defined by the constructionLabel[h]. As a rule, theGoto function is used in procedural constructions, however unlike the built-ingoto-function of theMaple system it can be used also in the input constructions of theMathematica system. Moreover, as aLabel any correct expression is allowed, including also sequence of expressions whose the last expression defines actually label; at that, theLabel concerning a module can be both the global variable, and the local variable. Meanwhile, in order to avoid possible misunderstandings, theLabel is recommended to be defined as a local variable because the globalLabel calculated outside of a module is always acceptable for the module, however calculated in the module body quite can distort calculations outside of the module. At that, multiplicity of occurrences of identicalGoto-functions into a procedure is quite naturally and is defined by the realized algorithm while with the corresponding tags Label the similar situation, generally speaking, is inadmissible; at that, it is not recognized at evaluation of a procedure definition and even at a stage of its performance, often substantially distorting the planned task algorithm. In this case only point of a module body which is marked by the first such Label receives the control. Moreover, it must be kept in mind that lack of a Label[a] for the corresponding callGoto[a] in a block or module at a stage of evaluation of its definitions isn't recognized, however only at the time of performance with the real appeal to suchGoto[a]. The interesting examples illustrating the told can be found in our books [28-33].

In this connection the GotoLabel procedure can represent a certain interest whose callGotoLabel[ $\mathbf{P}]$ allows to analyse a procedure $\boldsymbol{P}$ on the subject of formal correctness of use ofGoto-functions andLabel tags corresponding to them. The procedure callGotoLabel[ $\boldsymbol{P}$ ] returns the nested3-element list whose first element defines the list of allGoto-functions used by a module $\boldsymbol{P}$, the second element defines the list of all tags(without their multiplicity), the third element defines the list, whose sublists defineGoto-functions with the tags corresponding to them(at that,as the first elements of these sublists the calls
of theGoto-functions appear, whereas multiplicities of functions and tags remain). The following fragment represents source code of theGotoLabel procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2540] := GotoLabel[x_/; BlockModQ[x]] := Module[\{b, c = \{ $\},\{ \},\{ \}\}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{a}=$ Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}][[[1]], $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{v}=\{ \}, \mathbf{t}\}, \mathbf{b}=$ ExtrVarsOfStr[a, 1]; $\mathbf{b}=$ DeleteDuplicates[Select[b, MemberQ[\{"Label", "Goto"\},\#] \&]]; If[b== \{\}, c, d= StringPosition[a, Map[" " <> \# <> "[" \&, \{"Label", "Goto"\}]]; t = StringLength[a]; For[k, $k$ <= Length[d], k++, p = d[[k]]; h = ""; j = p[[2]]; While[j <= t, h = h <> StringTake[a, $\{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{j}\}]$; If[StringCount $[\mathrm{h}$, "["] == StringCount[h, "]"], AppendTo[v, StringTake[a, \{p[[1]] + 1, p[[2]]-1\}] <> h]; Break[]]; j++]]; h = DeleteDuplicates[v]; \{Select[h, SuffPref[\#, "Goto", 1] \&], Select[h, SuffPref[\#, "Label", 1] \&], Gather[Sort[v], \#1 == StringReplace[\#2, "Label["-> "Goto[", 1] \&]\}]]
In[2541]:= ArtKr[x_/; IntegerQ[x]] := Module[\{prime, agn\}, If[PrimeQ[x], Goto[9; prime], If[OddQ[x], Goto[agn], Goto[Sin]]l; Label[9; prime]; Print[x^2]; Goto[Sin]; Print[NextPrime[x]]; Goto[Sin]; Label[9; prime]; Null] In[2542]:= Kr[x_/; IntegerQ[x]] := Module[\{prime, agn, $y$ \}, If[PrimeQ[x], Goto[prime], If[OddQ[x], Goto[agn], Goto[agn]]];
Label[9; prime]; y = x^2; Goto[agn]; Label[agn]; y = NextPrime[x]; Label[agn]; y] In[2543]:= GotoLabel[ArtKr]
Out[2543]= \{ \{"Goto[9;prime]", "Goto[agn]", "Goto[Sin]"\},\{"Label[9;prime]"\},
\{ \{ "Goto[9; prime]", "Label[9; prime]","Label[9; prime]"\}, \{"Goto[agn]"\},
\{"Goto[Sin]", "Goto[Sin]", "Goto[Sin]"\}\}\} In[2544]:= GotoLabel[Kr]
Out[2544]= \{\{"Goto[prime]", "Goto[agn]"\}, \{"Label[9; prime]", "Label[agn]"\},
\{\{ "Goto[agn]", "Goto[agn]", "Goto[agn]", "Label[agn]", "Label[agn]"\},
\{"Goto[prime]"\}, \{"Label[9; prime]"\}\}\} In[2545]:= Map[GotoLabel, \{GotoLabel,
TestArgsTypes, \}]
Out[2545]= $\{\{\},\{ \},\{ \}\},\{\{ \},\{ \},\{ \}\}\}$
In[2546]:= Map[GotoLabel, \{SearchDir, StrDelEnds, OP, BootDrive\}] Out[2546]= \{\{\{\}, $\},\{ \}\},\{\{ \},\{ \},\{ \}\},\{\{"$ Goto[ArtKr]"\}, \{"Label[ArtKr]"\},
\{\{"Goto[ArtKr]", "Label[ArtKr]"\}\}\}, \{\{"Goto[avz]"\}, \{"Label[avz]"\}, \{ \{"Goto[avz]", "Goto[avz]", "Goto[avz]", "Label[avz]"\}\}\}
We will note that existence of a nested list with the third sublist containing Goto-functions without tags corresponding to them, in the result returned by a callGotoLabel $[P]$ not necessarily speaks about existence of the function callsGoto[x] for which not exists a tagLabel[ $[x]$. It can be, for example, in the case of generation of a value depending on some condition.
In[2550] := Av[x_Integer, y_Integer, p_/; MemberQ[\{1, 2, 3\}, pl] := Module[\{\}, Goto[p]; Label[1]; Return[x + y];
Label[2]; Return[ $\mathrm{N}[\mathrm{x} / \mathrm{y}]$ ];
Label[3]; Return[x*y]]
In[2551] := Map[Av[500, 90, \#] \&, \{1, 2, 3, 4\}]
Out[2551] $=\{590,5.55556,45000, \operatorname{Av}[500,90,4]\}$

In[2552]:= GotoLabel[Av]
Out[2552]= \{\{"Goto[p]"\}, \{"Label[1]", "Label[2]", "Label[3]"\}, \{ \{"Goto[p]"\},
\{"Label[1]"\}, \{"Label[2]"\}, \{"Label[3]"\}\}\}
For example, according to simple example of the previous fragment the call
GotoLabel[Av] contains \{"Goto[p]"\} in thethird sublist what, at first sight, it would be possible to consider as a certain impropriety of the corresponding call ofGoto-function. However, all the matter is that a value of the actualpargument in the call $A v[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{p}]$ and defines a tag, really existing in definition of this procedure, i.e. aLabel $[p]$. Thus, theGotoLabel procedure only at the formal level analyzes existence ofGotofunctions,"incorrect" from its point of view along with"excess" tags. Whereas refinement of the results received on the basis of a callGotoLabel[P] lies on the user, first of all, by means of analysis of accordance of source code of a $\boldsymbol{P}$ procedure to the correctness of the required algorithm.
The structured paradigm of programming doesn 't assume use in programs of thegotoconstructions allowing to transfer control from bottom to top. At the same time, in a number of cases the use ofGoto-function is effective, in particular, at needing of embedding into theMathematica environment of a program which uses unconditional transitions on the basis of thegoto-offer. For example,Fortran-programs can be adduced as a quite typical example that are very widespread in the scientific appendices. From our experience follows, that the use ofGoto-function allowed significantly to simplify the embedding into theMathematica environment of a number of rather large
Fortran-programs relating to engineering and physical applications which very widely use thegoto-constructions. Right there it should be noted that from our standpoint theGoto-function of theMathematica system is more preferable, thangoto-function of theMaple system in respect of efficiency in the light of application inprocedural programming of various appendices, including appendices of the system character.
As it was already noted, the Mathematica allows existence of the objects of the same name with various headings which identify objects, but not their names. The standardDefinition function and our proceduresDefinition2, PureDefinition, and others by name of an object allow to receive definitions of all active subobjects in the current session with identical names, but with various headings. Therefore there is quite specific problem of removal from the currentMathematica session not of all objects with a concrete name, but only subobjects with concrete headings.
TheRemovePF procedure solves this problem; its callRemovePF[x] returns Null, i.e. nothing, providing removal from the current session of the objects with headings $x$ which are determined by the factual argumentx(a heading in string format or their list). In the case of the incorrect headings determined by an argumentx, the callRemovePF[x] is returned unevaluated. The given procedure is quite useful in procedural programming. The fragment below represents source code of theRemovePF procedure along with examples of its typical usage for removal of subobjects at the objects of the same name.
In[2620]:= RemovePF[x_/; HeadingQ1[x] || ListQ[x] \&\&
DeleteDuplicates[Map[HeadingQ1, x]] == \{True\}] :=

## Module[ $\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{a}=$ DeleteDuplicates[Map[HeadName,

Flatten[\{x\}]]]\}, b=Map[If[UnevaluatedQ[Definition2, \#], \{"90", $\}\}$, Definition2[\#]]\&, a]; For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, p = b[[k]]; AppendTo[c, Select[Flatten[\{p[[1; ;-2]], "SetAttributes[" <> a[[k]] <> ", " <> ToString[p[[-1]]] <> "]"\}], ! SuffPref[\#, x, 1] \&]]l; Map[ClearAllAttributes, a]; Map[Remove, a]; Map[ToExpression, c]; a = Definition2[b = HeadName[x]]; If[a[[1]] === "Undefined", ToExpression["ClearAttributes[" <> b <> "," <> ToString[a[[2]]] <> "]"], Null] ]]
In[2621] := M[x_/; SameQ[x, "avz"], y_] := Module[\{a, b, c $\}, \mathbf{y}] ;$ F[x_, y_Integer] :=
 b, c\}, $\mathbf{x}] ; \mathbf{M}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}, z_{-}\right]:=x+y+z ;$
M[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_String]:= Module[ \{a, b, c\}, x]; M[x_, y_] := Module[\{a, b, c\}, "agn"; $x+y] ;$ M[x_String] := x; M[x_/; ListQ[x], y_] := Block[\{a, b, c \}, "agn"; Length[x] + y];
SetAttributes[M, Protected]; SetAttributes[F, Listable] In[2622]:= Definition[M] Out[2622]= Attributes[M]= \{Protected $\}$
" M[x_/; x=== "avz", y_]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, y]" "M[x_/; x== "avz"]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, x]"
"M[x_, $\left.y_{-}, z_{-}\right]:=x+y+z "$
"M[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_String]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, x]" "M[x_/; ListQ[x], y_]:=
Block[\{a, b, c\}, "agn"; Length[x]+ y]" "M[x_, y_]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, "agn"; x+ y]" "M[x_String]:= x"
In[2623]:= Definition[F]
Out[2623] = Attributes[F]= \{Listable $\}$
"F[x_, y_Integer]:= x+y"
"F[x_, y_]:= $x+y$ "
"F[x_, y_ z_]:= x+ y+ z"
In[2624]:= RemovePF[\{"M[x_, $\left.\mathbf{y}_{]}\right] ", ~ " F\left[x_{-}, y_{-}, z_{-}\right] ", ~ " M\left[x_{-} S t r i n g\right] ", ~ " M\left[x_{\sim}, y_{-}\right.$, z_]"", "F[x_, y_Integer]", "v[t_]"\}]
In[2625]:= Definition[M]
Out[2625]= Attributes[M]= \{Protected $\}$
"M[x_/; $x===$ "avz", $\left.y_{-}\right]:=$Module[\{a, b, c\}, $\left.y\right] "$ "M[x_/; $x==$ "avz"]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, x]"
"M[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_String]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, x]" "M[x_/; ListQ[x], y_]:=
Block[\{a, b, c\}, "agn"; Length[x]+ y]"
In[2626]:= Definition[F]
Out[2626]= Attributes[F]= \{Listable $\}$
"F[x_, y_]:= x+y"
In[2627]:= Definition[F]
Out[2627]= Null
For ensuring of correct uploading of the user block/function/modulex in the current session on condition of possible need of additional reloading in the current session also of non-standard blocks/functions/modules whose calls are used in such objectx, theCallsInProc procedure can be useful enough, whose callCallsInProc[ $x$ ] returns the list
of all standard functions, external and internal blocks/functions/modules, whose calls are used by an object $\boldsymbol{x}$ of the specified type. The following fragment represents source code of the CallsInProc procedure along with the typical examples of its usage.
In[2660] := CallsInProc[P_/; BlockFuncModQ[P]]:= Module[\{b, c=\{\}, k=1, a= ToString[FullDefinition[P]], TN\}, TN[S_/; StringQ[S], L_/; ListQ[L] \&\&
Length[Select[L, IntegerQ[\#] \&]] ==Length[L] \&\& L != \{\}] := Module[\{a1 = "", c1, b1 = \{ $\}, \mathbf{k 1}, \mathbf{p}=1\}$, For[p, p <= Length[L], p++, For[k1 = L[[p]]-1, k1 != 0, k1—, c1 = StringTake[S, \{k1, k1\}]; a1 = c1 <> a1; If[c1 === "", a1 = StringTake[a1, \{2,-1\}]; If[Quiet[Check[Symbol[a1], False]] === False, a1 = ""; Break[], AppendTo[b1, a1]; a1 = ""; Break[]]I]]; b1]; b = TN[a, b = DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[StringPosition[a, "["]]]][[2 ;;-1]]; b = Sort[DeleteDuplicates[Select[b, StringFreeQ[\#, ""] \&\&! MemberQ[\{"Block", ToString[P], "Module"\}, \#] \&\& ToString[Definition[\#]] != "Null" \&]ll]; k = Select[b, SystemQ[\#] \&]; c = MinusList[b, Flatten[\{k, ToString[P]\}]]; \{k, c, DeleteDuplicates[Map[Context, cl] $\}$ ]

In[2661]:= CallsInProc[StringDependQ]
Out[2661]= \{ \{"Attributes", "Flatten", "If", "Length", "ListQ", "Select",
" StringFreeQ", "StringQ"\}, \{"HowAct", "ListStrQ"\}, \{"AladjevProcedures""\}\}
In[2662]:= G[x_] := ToString1[x]; CallsInProc[G]
Out[2662] = \{ \{"Close", "DeleteDuplicates", "Flatten", "For", "If", "MemberQ", "Read", "Return", "StringLength", "StringQ", "StringTake", "StringTrim", "While", "Write"\}, \{"StrDelEnds", "SuffPref", "ToString1"\}, \{"AladjevProcedures""\}\}
The procedure call CallsInProc[x] returns the nested3-element list whose the first element defines the list of standard functions, the second element defines the list of external and internal functions, blocks and modules of the user, whose calls uses an objectx whereas the third element defines the list of contexts which correspond to the user means and which are used by the objectx. TheCallsInProc procedure represents essential interest for analysis of the user means regarding existence of calls in them of both the user tools, and the system software.
For operating with procedures and functions, whose definitions have been evaluated in the current session, a simpleCsProcsFuncs function is a rather useful means whose callCsProcsFuncs[] returns the list of blocks, functions and modules, whose definitions were evaluated in the current session. The fragment represents source code of the function with an example of its use.
In[2719] := CsProcsFuncs[] := Select[CNames["Global"], ProcQ[\#] || FunctionQ[\#] \&]
In[2720]:= CsProcsFuncs[]
Out[2720]= \{"A", "ArtKr", "Av", "B", "H72", "Kr", "V", "Vg", "W"\} Naturally, the given list doesn’t include the procedures and functions from the packages uploaded into the current session, of both system means, and user means for the reason that similar means are associated with contexts of the corresponding packages. Moreover, due to the necessity of analysis of a quite large number of means of the current session the performance of this function can demand noticeable temporary expenses.
TheCsProcsFuncs1 procedure is a rather useful modification of the previous function
whose callCsProcsFuncs1[] returns the nested list whose elements define lists whosefirst elements define means similarly to theCsProcsFuncs function while thesecond elementsmultiplicities of their definitions. The following fragment represents source code of theCsProcsFuncs1 procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2532]:= CsProcsFuncs1[] := Module[\{a = CsProcsFuncs[], b, c \}, b =
Map[Definition2, ToExpression[a]]; c = Quiet[Mapp[Select, b, StringFreeQ[\#1, ToString[\#1] <> "Options[" <> ToString[\#1] <> "] := "] \&]]; Select[Map9[List, a, Map[Length, c]], ! MemberQ[\#, "CsProcsFuncs1"] \&]] In[2533]:= CsProcsFuncs1[] Out[2533]= \{\{"LocalVars", 1\}, \{"V", 4\}, \{"W", 2\}, \{"Z", 2\}, \{"Art", 6\}, \{"Kr", 4\}\}
Analogously to the CsProcsFuncs function, the callCsProcsFuncs1[] of the previous procedure because of necessity of analysis of a quite large number of the means which are activated in the current session can demand enough noticeable temporary expenses. The next procedureActCsProcFunc is a means rather useful in the practical relation, its callActCsProcFunc[] returns the nested two-element list whose elements are sublists of variable length. The first element of thefirst sublist- "Procedure" while others define the2-element lists containing names of the procedures with their headings activated in the current session. Whereas the first element of thesecond sublist- "Function" whereas others determine the 2 -element lists containing names of the functions with their headings which were activated in the current session. At that, the procedures can contain in own composition both the blocks, and the modules. The following fragment represents source code of theActCsProcFunc procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2742] := ActCsProcFunc[] := Module[\{a = Names["Global"*], $\mathbf{h}=\{ \}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{b}=$ \{"Procedure"\}, c = \{"Function"\}, k=1, v\}, Map[If[TemporaryQ[\#] || HeadPF[\#] === \#, Null, AppendTo[h, ToString[t = Unique["g"]]]; v = BlockFuncModQ[\#, t]; If[v \&\& MemberQ[\{"Block", "Module"\}, t], AppendTo[b, \{\#, HeadPF[\#]\}], If[v \&\& t === "Function", AppendTo[c, \{\#, HeadPF[\#]\}]II] \&, a]; Map[Remove, h]; \{b, c\}]
In[2743] := TemporaryQ[x_] := If[SymbolQ[x], MemberQ[\{"Attributes[" <> ToString[x] <> "] = \{Temporary\}", "Null"\}, ToString[Definition[x]]], False] In[2744]:= Map[TemporaryQ, \{gs47, gs, a + b \}]
Out[2744] = \{True, True, False $\}$
In[2745]:= g[x_] := Module[\{\}, $\left.\mathbf{x}] ; \mathbf{s [ x \_ , ~ y \_ ] : = ~ B l o c k [ \{ \} , ~} \mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}\right] ; \mathbf{v}[\mathbf{x}]$ ]:= $\mathbf{x} ; \mathbf{n}\left[\mathbf{x} \_\right]:=\mathbf{x} ;$ vs[x_, y_]:=x+y; gs[x_]:=x^2; hg[x__]:=Length[\{x\}];h[x_,y]:=x^2+y^2; nm[x_, y_] := Module[\{\}, $\left.x^{*} y\right] ;$ ts[x_Integer] := Block[\{a = 72\}, $\left.x+a\right] ; \mathbf{w [ x \_ ] ~ : = ~ x ; ~}$ $\mathbf{w}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}\right]:=x^{*} \mathbf{y}$;
In[2746]:= ActCsProcFunc[]
Out[2746]= \{\{"Procedure", \{"g", "g[x_]"\},\{"nm", "nm[x_y_]"\}, \{"s", "s[x_,y_]"\}, \{"ts", "ts[x_Integer]"\}\},
\{"Function", \{"gs", "gs[x_]"\},\{"hg", "hg[x__]"\},\{"hh", "hh[x_y_]"\}, \{"n", "n[x_]"\}, \{"TemporaryQ", \{"TemporaryQ[x_/;SymbolQ[x]]", "TemporaryQ[x_]"\}\}, \{"v", "v[x_]"\}, \{"vs", "vs[x_, y_]"\}, \{"w", \{"w[x_]", "w[x_, y_]"\}\}\}\}
In[2747]:= A[__] := Module[\{a, b = 590\}, Map[TemporaryQ, \{a, b\}]]; A[]
Out[2747]= \{True, False $\}$
The given procedure materially uses the TemporaryQ function, whose call

Temporary $\mathrm{Q}[x]$ returnsTrue if a symbol $x$ defines the temporary variable, andFalse otherwise. In particular, for a local variablex without initial value the callTemporary $\mathbf{Q}[x]$ returnsTrue. TheTemporaryQ function is useful in many appendices, above all of the system character. The previous fragment represents source code of the function with typical examples of its usage. Analogously to the meansCsProcsFuncs andCsProcsFuncs1 the procedure callActCsProcFunc because of necessity of analysis of a quite large number of the means which are activated in the current session can demand enough noticeable temporary expenses. Concerning theActCsProcFunc procedure it should be noted that it provides return only of blocks, functions, modules whosedefinitions have been evaluated in theInput-paragraph mode without allowing to receive objects of this type which were loaded into the current session in theInput-paragraph mode, in particular, as a result of uploading of the user package by means of theLoadMyPackage procedure as visually illustrate the last examples of the previous fragment. The reason for this is that this objects are associated with thecontext of a package containing them but not with the context"Global".

As it was noted above, the strict differentiation of objects in environment of theMathematica is carried out not by theirnames, but by theirheadings. For this reason in a number of cases of procedural programming the problem of organization of mechanisms of the differentiated processing of such objects on the basis of their headings arises. Certain such means is presented in the present book, here we will definetwo procedures ensuring the differentiated operating with attributes of such objects. Unlike theRename procedure, the RenameH procedure provides in a certain degree selective renaming of the blocks, functions, modules of the same name on the basis of their headings. The successful callRenameH[x,y] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, renaming an object with a headingx onto a namey with saving of attributes; at that, the initial object with heading $x$ is removed from the current session.

In[2563]:= RenameH[x_/; HeadingQ1[x], y_/; ! HowAct[y], z__] :=
Module[ \{c, a = HeadName[x], d = StandHead[x], b = ToExpression["Attributes[" <> HeadName[x] <> "]"]\}, c = Flatten[\{PureDefinition[a]\}]; If[c == \{\$Failed\}, \$Failed, If[c == \{\}, Return[\$Failed], ToExpression["ClearAllAttributes[" <> a <> "]"]]; ToExpression[ToString[y] <> DelSuffPref[Select[c, SuffPref[\#, d <> " := ", 1] \&][[1]], a, 1]]; If[\{z\}== \{\}, RemProcOnHead[d]]; If[! SameQ[PureDefinition[a], \$Failed], ToExpression["SetAttributes["<> ToString[a] <> "," <> ToString[b] <> "]"]]; ToExpression["SetAttributes[" <> ToString[y] <> "," <> ToString[b] <> "]"]; ]] In[2564]:= M[x_/; SameQ[x, "avz"], y_]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, y]; M[x_, y_]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, "agn"; x + y]; M[x_String] := x;
M[x_/; ListQ[x], y_] := Block[ \{a, b, c\}, "agn"; Length[x] + y]; SetAttributes[M, Protected] In[2565]:= RenameH["M[x_,y_]", V]
In[2566]:= Definition[V]
Out[2566]= Attributes[V]= \{Protected $\}$
V[x _, y_]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, "agn"; x+ y]
In[2567]:= Definition[M]
Out[2567]= Attributes[M]= \{Protected $\}$

M[x _/; x=== "avz", $\left.y_{-}\right]:=$Module[\{a, b, c\}, y]
M[x_/; ListQ[x], y_]:= Block[\{a, b, c\}, "agn"; Length[x]+ y] M[x_String]:= x
In[2568] := RenameH["M[x_String]", S, 90]
In[2569]:= Definition[S]
Out[2569]= Attributes[S]= \{Protected $\}$
S[x_String]:= x
In[2570]:= Definition[M]
Out[2570]= Attributes[M]= \{Protected $\}$
M[x_/; x=== "avz", y_]:= Module[\{a, b, c\}, y]
M[x_/; ListQ[x], y_]:= Block[\{a, b, c\}, "agn"; Length[x]+ y] M[x_String]:= x
At that, the procedure call RenameH[x, $\boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}$ ] with the3rd optional argument $\mathbf{z}$-an arbitrary expression- renames an object with heading $x$ onto a name $y$ with saving of the attributes; meanwhile, the object with heading $x$ remains active in the current session. On an inadmissible tuple of factual arguments the procedure call returns $\$$ Failed or returned unevaluated. The previous fragment represents source code of theRenameH procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In a number of the procedures intended for processing of definitions or calls of other procedures/functions, the problem ofidentification of the call format, i.e. format of type $\boldsymbol{F}[\operatorname{args}]$ where $\boldsymbol{F}$ - the name of a procedure/function and args - the tuple of formal or factual arguments is a rather topical. The next fragment represents source code of theCallQ procedure along with typical enough examples of its usage.
In[2540] := CallQ[x_] := Module[\{b, c, a=ToString[If[Quiet[Part[x, 1]] ===-1, Part[x, 1]*x, x] ]\}, b = Flatten[StringPosition[a, "["]]; If[b == \{\}, False, c = b[[1]];
If[SymbolQ[StringTake[a, \{1, c-1\}]] \&\& StringTake[a, $\{\mathrm{c}+1, \mathrm{c}+1\}]$ != "[" \&\&
StringTake[a,-1] == "]", True, False]]] In[2541]:= CallQ[A[x, y, z]]
Out[2541] = True
In[2542]:= Map[CallQ, $\{\mathbf{S i n}[-90], \operatorname{Sin}[9.0]\}]$
Out[2542]= \{True, False \}
In[2543] := FormalArgs[x_] := Module[\{a, b = Quiet[Part[x, 1]]\}, If[CallQ[x], a = ToString[If[b ===-1, Part[x, 1]*x, x]]; ToExpression[" $\{$ " <> StringTake[a, \{Flatten[StringPosition[a, "["]][[1]] + 1,-2\}] <> "\}"], \$Failed]]
In[2544] := Map[FormalArgs, $\{\operatorname{Agn}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}], \operatorname{Sin}[-\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}]$,
Agn[x_/; StringQ[x], y_Integer, z_l\}]
Out[2544] $=\left\{\{x, y, x\},\{a-b\},\left\{x_{-} / ;\right.\right.$StringQ[x], y_Integer, z_\} $\}$
In[2545]: $=$ Map[FormalArgs, $\{\operatorname{Agn}[1, \mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{9 0},\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}\}]$
Out[2545]= \{ $\{$, \$Failed, \$Failed, \$Failed $\}$
The procedure call CallQ[x] up to a sign returnsTrue ifx is an expression of the format $\boldsymbol{F}[\boldsymbol{a r g s}$ ] where $\boldsymbol{F}$ - name of a procedure/function andargs - tuple of the actual arguments, andFalse otherwise. The aboveCallQ procedure is of interest as a testing means for checking of the actual arguments of objects for their admissibility. While the procedure callFormalArgs $[x]$ returns the list of formal arguments of a heading $x$ irrespectively off definition ascribed to it; on aninadmissible headingx\$Failed is returned.

The previous fragment represents source code of the procedure along with an example of its usage.
In the Maple system in problems of procedural programming theprocedural "procname" variable is rather useful, whose use in the body of a procedure allows to receive the heading of procedure in a point of its call. The variable is useful enough at realization of some special mechanisms of processing in procedures what was rather widely used by us for programming of system means expanding the software of theMaple system [10-22,2527,47]. Similar means in theMathematica system are absent, meanwhile, means of similar character are useful enough at realization of the procedural paradigm of the system. As one useful means of this type it is quite possible to consider the \$InBlockMod variable whose call in the body of a block or module in string format returns source code of an object containing it without a heading in a point of its call. The next fragment adduces source code of the\$InBlockMod variable along with examples of its typical usage.
In[2550]:= StringReplace3[S_/; StringQ[S], x_] := Module[\{b = S, c, j=1, a = Map[ToString, $\{\mathbf{x}\}]\}$, c = Length[a]; If[OddQ[c], S, While[j <= c/2, b = StringReplace2[b, a[[2*j-1]], a[[2*j]l]; j++]; b]]

(a\$+b\$+c\$)*(x+y); aa\$]", "a\$", "a", "b\$", "b", "c\$", "c"]
Out[2551]= "Module[ $\{a=78, ~ b=90, ~ c=72\}, ~ x b \$ ; ~ a * b * 6 ; ~(a+b+c) *(x+y) ; ~ a a \$] " ~$
In[2552] := \$InBlockMod := Quiet[Check[StringTake[If[Stack[Block] != \{\},
ToString[InputForm[Stack[Block][[1]]]], If[Stack[Module] != \{\},
StringReplace3[ToString[InputForm[Stack[Module][[1]]l]],
Sequences[Riffle[Select[StringReplace[StringSplit[
StringTake[SubStrSymbolParity1[ToString[InputForm[ Stack[Module][[1]]]], "\{", "\}"][[1]], \{2,-2\}], " "], ","-> ""], StringTake[\#,-1] == "\$" \&], Mapp[StringTake,
Select[StringReplace[StringSplit[StringTake[
SubStrSymbolParity1[ToString[InputForm[Stack[ Module][[1]]]], "\{", "\}"][[1]], \{2,-2\}], " "], ","-> ""], StringTake[\#,-1] == "\$" \&], \{1,-2\}] ]ll], \$Failed], \{10,-2\}], Null]
In[2553]:= Avz[x_] := Block[\{a = 6, b =50, c =\$InBlockMod\}, Print[c]; a*b*x] In[2554]:= Avz[42]
" Block[\{a=6, b= 50, c= \$InBlockMod\}, Print[c]; a*b*42]" Out[2554]= 12600
In[2555]:= Agn[x_] := Module[\{a=6, b=50, c=\$InBlockMod\}, Print[c]; a*b*x] In[2556]:= Agn[47]
"Module[\{a= 6, b= 50, c= \$InBlockMod\}, Print[c]; a*b*47]" Out[2556]= 14100 In[2557]:= Avs[x_] := Module[\{a = \$InBlockMod, b=50, c = 500\}, Print[a]; b $^{*} \mathbf{c}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{\wedge}$ 2]: Avs[500]
" Block[\{a= \$InBlockMod, b=50, c= 500\}, Print[a]; b*c*500^2] Out[2557]= 6250000 000
In[2558]:= Av[x_] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ \$InBlockMod, $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{5 0}, \mathbf{c}=500\}$, Print[a];
b*c*x^2]: Av[660] "Module[\{a= \$InBlockMod, b= 50, c= 500\}, Print[a]; b*c*660^2]" Out[2558]= 10890000000

In[2559]:= \$InBlockMod
In[2560]:= G[x_, y_] := \{x + y, \$InBlockMod $\}: G[42,47]$
Out[2560] $=$ \{89, Null $\}$
At that, for realization of algorithm of the above variable theStringReplace3 procedure which is an expansion of theStringReplace2 procedure is rather significantly used. Its source code with examples of application is presented in the beginning of the previous fragment. The callStringReplace3[ $W, \boldsymbol{x}, \mathbf{x 1}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{y 1}, \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z 1}, \ldots$.$] returns the result of$ substitution into a string $W$ of substrings $\{x \mathbf{1}, \boldsymbol{y} \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{z 1}, \ldots\}$ instead of all occurrences of substrings $\{x, y, z, \ldots\}$ accordingly; in the absence of such occurrences the call returns an initial string $W$. This procedure appears as a very useful tool of processing of string constructions which contain expressions, expanding possibilities of the standard means. At using of the procedural variable\$InBlockMod it must be kept in mind that it makes sense only in the body of a procedure of type \{Block, Module\}, returning nothing, i.e.Null, in other expressions or in anInput-paragraph as visually illustrate examples of application of the variable\$InBlockMod in the previous fragment. At that it must be kept in mind in order to avoid of misunderstanding the call of the variable\$InBlockMod is recommended to do at the beginning of procedures, for example, in area of local variables.
The next procedure is useful for operating with blocks/functions/modules. The procedure callFullUserTools[x] returns the list of names, that enter in definition of the active user block/function/modulex; in addition, the first element of the list is a context of these tools. Whereas in a case of tools with various contexts a call returns the nested list of sublists of the above format. In turn, a procedure callFullUserTools $[x, y]$ thru the optional argumenty - an undefinite variable- returns2-element list whose thefirst element defines list of tools withoutusages, and thesecond element definesunidentified tools. The next fragment represents source code of the procedure with examples.

In[2718]:= FullUserTools[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x], y__] := Module[\{a, b, c, d, p = \{\}, $\mathbf{n}=\{ \}\}$, Save[Set[a, ToString[x] <> ".txt"], x]; b = ReadString[a]; DeleteFile[a]; c = StringSplit[b, "|r\n $\backslash \mathbf{r} \backslash \mathbf{n " ]}$;
b = Select[c, ! StringFreeQ[\#, "::usage = ""] \&]; d = MinusList[c, b]; c = Map[StringSplit[\#, "/: ", 2][[1]] \&, b]; d = Map[StringSplit[\#, " := "][[1]] \&, d]; Quiet[Map[If[HeadingQ[\#], AppendTo[p, HeadName[\#]], AppendTo[n, \#]] \&, d]]; $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{p}\}=\{\mathbf{J o i n}[\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{p}]$, MinusList[c, pl\}; b = Map[MinusList[\#, $\{$ ToString[x] $\}]$ \&, $\{\mathbf{a}$, p\}][[1]]; b = DeleteDuplicates[Map[\{\#, Context[\#]\} \&, b]]; b = Gather[b, \#1[[2]] == \#2[[2]] \&]; b = Map[Sort[DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[\#]]] \&, b]; d = Map[Sort[\#, ContextQ[\#1] \&] \&, b]; d = Map[Flatten[\{\#[[1]], Sort[\#[[2 ;;-1]]]\}] \&, d]; d = If[Length[d] == 1, d[[1]], d]; If[\{y\}!= \{\}\&\& ! HowAct[y], $\mathbf{y}=\{p, n\} ; d, d]]$
In[2719]:= FullUserTools[UnevaluatedQ]
Out[2719]= \{"AladjevProcedures"", "ListStrToStr", "StrDelEnds", "SuffPref", "SymbolQ", "ToString1"\}
In[2720]:= F[x_, y_]:= Module[\{a = 90, b = 500, c $\}, \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{b}^{*} \mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}$; $\mathbf{c}=\operatorname{ToString} 1[\mathbf{c}]$;
Sv[x_, y_] := x*y; G[x_, y_] := Module[ \{\}, \{ToString1[x*y], F[x] + Sv[x, y]\}] In[2721]:= FullUserTools[G]
Out[2721]= \{\{"AladjevProcedures", "StrDelEnds", "SuffPref", "ToString1"\},
\{"Global", "F", "Sv"\}\}
Unlike theFullUserTools procedure theFullToolsCalls procedure provides the analysis of the user block, function or module regarding existence in its definition of calls of both the user and the system means. The procedure call FullToolsCalls[ $x]$ returns the list of names, whose calls are in definition of the active user block/function/modulex; in addition, the first element of the list is a context of these tools. While in case of means with different contexts the procedure call returns the nested list of sublists of the above format. In case of absence in ax definition of the user or system calls the procedure call FullToolsCalls[x] returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}.
In[2920] := FullToolsCalls[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]] := Module[\{b, c = \{\}, d, a = Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}][[1]], $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1 , g}=\{ \}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{n}\}, \mathbf{b}=$ Gather[Map[\#[[1]] \&, StringPosition[a, "["]l, Abs[\#1-\#2] == 1 \&]; b = Flatten[Select[b, Length[\#] == 1 \&]]; For [k, $\mathbf{k}<=$ Length[b], k++, n = ""; For[j = b[[k]]-1, j >= 0, j-, If[SymbolQ[p = Quiet[StringTake[a, \{j\}]I] || IntegerQ[Quiet[ToExpression[p]]], n = p <> n, AppendTo[c, n]; Break[]]I]; c = MinusList[c, Join[Locals[x], Args[x, 90], \{"Block", "Module"\}]]; c = Map[\{\#, Quiet[Context[\#]]\}\&, MinusList[c, \{ToString[x]\}]];
b = Map[Sort[DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[\#]]] \&, c]; d = Map[Flatten,
Gather[Map[Sort[\#, Quiet[ContextQ[\#1]] \&] \&, b], \#1[[1]] == \#2[[1]] \&]]; d = Map[Flatten[\{\#[[1]], Sort[\#[[2 ;;-1]]l\}] \&, Map[DeleteDuplicates, d]]; d = If[Length[d] == 1, d[[1]], d]; Select[d, ! Quiet[SameQ[\#[[1]], Context[""]]] \&]]
$\operatorname{In}[2921]:=\mathbf{A H}\left[\mathrm{x} \_\right]$:= (Sv[x] + GSV[x, 90, 500])*Sin[x] + Z[[a]] + Art[x]/Kr[x] In[2922]: = FullToolsCalls[AH]
Out[2922]= \{\{"Tallinn", "Sv"\}, \{"RansIan", "GSV"\}, \{"System"", "Sin"\},
\{ "Global`", "Art", "Kr"\}\}
In[2923]:= FullToolsCalls[UnevaluatedQ]
Out[2923]= \{\{"AladjevProcedures"", "ListStrToStr", "SymbolQ"\},
\{"System"", "Check", "If", "Module", "Quiet", "StringJoin", "ToString"\}\} Unlike the previous procedure theFullToolsCallsM procedure provides the above analysis of the user block, function or module of the same name.
In[2954] := FullToolsCallsM[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x] $]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{a}=$ Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}], $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{n}=\operatorname{ToString}[\mathrm{x}]\}$, If[Length[a] $==\mathbf{1}$, FullToolsCalls[x], For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, b = ToString[Unique["sv"]]; ToExpression[StringReplace[a[[k]], n <> "["-> b <> "[", 1]]; AppendTo[c, FullToolsCalls[b]]; Quiet[Remove[b]l]; c = Map[If[NestListQ[\#] \& \& Length[\#] == 1, \#[[1]], \#] \&, c]; Map[If[! NestListQ[\#] \& \& Length[\#] == 1, \{\}, If[! NestListQ[\#] \& \& Length[\#] >1, \#, Select[\#, Length[\#]> 1 \&]l] \&, cl]]
$\operatorname{In}[2955]:=\operatorname{Ah}\left[\mathbf{x} \_\right]:=(\mathbf{S v}[\mathbf{x}]+\mathbf{G S V}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{5 0 0}) * \operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}]+\mathbf{Z}[[\mathbf{a}]]+\operatorname{Art}[\mathbf{x}] / \mathbf{K r}[\mathbf{x}] ;$ $\operatorname{Ah}\left[x \_I n t e g e r\right]:=\operatorname{Block}[\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{9 0}\}$, ToString1[a*Cos[x] $]$; Ah[x_String] := Module[\{a ="6"\}, ToString1[x <>a]], FullToolsCallsM[Ah] Out[2956]= \{\{"System", "Cos"\}, \{"System"", "StringJoin"\}, \{\{"Tallinn", "Sv"\},
\{ "RansIan", "GSV"\}, \{"System"", "Sin"\}, \{"Global", "Art", "Kr"\}\}\} In[2957]:= G[x_, y_, z_] := Module[\{\}, $\left.\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{z}\right] ;$ G[x_] := Module[\{a = 6\}, x/a] In[2958]:=

FullToolsCallsM[G]
Out[2958]= \{\{\}, \{\}\}
$\left.\operatorname{In}[2958]:=\operatorname{ProcQ} Q x_{-}, y_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Block}\left[\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{1}\}, \operatorname{ToString} 1\left[\mathbf{a}^{*} \operatorname{Sin}[\mathbf{x}]+\mathbf{b}^{*} \operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{y}]\right]\right]$
In[2959]:= FullToolsCallsM[ToString1]
Out[2959]= \{ \{"System"", "Close", "DeleteFile", "For", "If", "Read", "Return",
" StringJoin", "Write"\}, \{"AladjevProcedures'", "StrDelEnds"\}\} In[2960]:= Avz[x_] :=
Module[\{a = 90\}, StrStr[x] <> ToString[a]] In[2961]:= Map[\#[Avz] \&,
\{FullToolsCalls, FullToolsCallsM\}] Out[2961]= \{\{\{"System"", "StringJoin", "ToString"\}, \{"AladjevProcedures`", "StrStr"\}\}, \{\{"System`", "StringJoin", "ToString"\}, \{"AladjevProcedures’", "StrStr"\}\}\}
The procedure call FullToolsCallsM[x] returns the nested list of results of application of theFullToolsCalls procedure to subobjects(blocks,functions, modules) that compose an object of the same namex. The order of elements in the returned list corresponds to an order of definitions of the subobjects returned by the callDefinition[x]. Whereas, the procedure callAllCalls $[x]$ returns the nested list of sublists containing the full form of calls entering in definitions ofsubobjects that compose an object of the same name or asimple objectx. The order of elements in the returned list corresponds to an order of definitions of the subobjects returned by the callDefinition[x].
In[2840] := AllCalls[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]] := Module[\{a1, ArtKr, k1, b1, c1 = \{\}, d1, m = ToString[x]\}, a1 = Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}]; $\operatorname{ArtKr[y\_ ]:=~Module[\{ a=~}$ Flatten[\{PureDefinition[y]\}][[1]], b, $\mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{g}=\{ \}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{n}\}, \mathbf{b}=$ Gather[Map[\# [[1]] \&, StringPosition[a, "["]], Abs[\#1-\#2] == 1 \& ]; b = Flatten[Select[b, Length[\#] $==1 \&]] ;$ For $[k=1, k<=$ Length[b], k++, n = ""; For[j = b[[k]]-1, j >= 0, j-, If[SymbolQ[p = Quiet[StringTake[a, \{j\}]]] || IntegerQ[Quiet[ToExpression[p]]], n = p <> n, AppendTo[c, n]; Break[]I]]; For[k = 1, k <= Length[b], k++, For[j = b[[k]], j <= StringLength[a], j++, SubStrSymbolParity1[StringTake[a, \{j, StringLength[a]\}], "[", "]"][[1]]; AppendTo[g, SubStrSymbolParity1[StringTake[a, \{j, StringLength[a]\}], "[", "]"][[1]]]; Break[]l]; n = Select[Map[StringJoin[\#] \&, Partition[Riffle[c, g], 2]], \# ! = HeadPF[y] \&]; If[FunctionQ[y], n, n[[2 ;;-1]]l]; If[Length[a1] == 1, ArtKr[x], For[k1 = 1, k1 <= Length[a1], k1++, b1 = ToString[Unique["v"]];
ToExpression[StringReplace[a1[[k1]], m <> "["-> b1 <> "[", 1]]; AppendTo[c1, ArtKr[b1]]; Quiet[Remove[b1]]]; c1]]
$\operatorname{In}[2841]:=\mathbf{A H}[\mathbf{x}]]:=(\mathbf{S v}[\mathbf{x}]+\mathbf{G S V}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{9 0}, \mathbf{5 0 0}]) * \operatorname{Sin}[\mathrm{x}]+\mathrm{Z}[[\mathrm{a}]]+\operatorname{Art}[\mathbf{x}] / \mathrm{Kr}[\mathrm{x}] ;$
AH[x_Integer] := Block[\{a = 90\}, ToString1[a*Cos[x]]]; AH[x_String] :=
Module[\{a="500"\}, ToString1[x <>a]]; AH1[x_] := (Sv[x]+GSV[x,90,500])* Sin[x] + Z[[a]] + Art[x]/Kr[x]; F[x_, y_] := x + y
In[2842]:= AllCalls[AH]
Out[2842]= \{\{"ToString1[a*Cos[x]]", "Cos[x]"\}, \{"ToString1[StringJoin[x, a]]",
" StringJoin[x, a]"\}, \{"Sv[x]", "GSV[x, 90, 500]", "Sin[x]", "Art[x]", "Kr[x]"\}\}
In[2843]:= AllCalls[F]
Out[2843]= \{ $\}$
In[2844]:= AllCalls[AH1]
Out[2844]= \{"Sv[x]", "GSV[x, 90, 500]", "Sin[x]", "Art[x]", "Kr[x]"\}

On that the presentation of tools, serving for processing of the user objects, is completed; at that, some tools accompanying them are considered below or were already considered above. Classification of our tools has in a certain measure a subjective character that is caused by their basic use or frequency of usage at programming of the means represented in the given book and in a number of important applications of the applied and the system character. These means are mainly used at programming of the system tools.

## Chapter 7. Means of input-output of theMathematica

The Mathematica language being the built-in programming language that first of all is oriented onto symbolical calculations and processing has rather limited facilities for data processing which first of all are located in external memory of the computer. In this regard the language significantly concedes to the traditional programming languagesC++, Basic, Fortran, Cobol, PL/1, ADA, Pascal, etc. At the same time, being oriented, first of all, onto solution of tasks in symbolic view, theMathematica language provides a set of tools for access to datafiles that can quite satisfy a rather wide range of the users of mathematical applications of theMathematica. In this chapter the means of access to datafiles are considered rather superficially owing to the limited volume, extensiveness of this theme and purpose of the present book. The reader who is interested in means of access to datafiles of theMathematica system quite can appeal to documentation delivered with the system. At the same time, for the purpose of development of methods of access to file system of the computer we created a number of rather effective means that are represented in theAVZ_Package package [48]. Whereas in the present chapter the attention is oriented on the means expanding standard means of the Mathematica system for ensuring work with files of the computer. Some of them are rather useful to practical application in the environment of theMathematica system.

### 7.1. Means of theMathematicafor work with internal files

Means of Mathlanguage provide access of the user to files of several types which can be conditionally divided into two large groups, namely:internal andexternal files. During the routine work the system deals with3 various types of internal files from which we will note the files having extensions $\{$ " $\boldsymbol{n d " ,} \mathbf{~} \boldsymbol{m}$ ", " $m \boldsymbol{x}$ "\}, their structure is distinguished by the standard system means and which are important enough already on the first stages of work with system. Before further consideration we will note that the concept of thefile qualifier (FQ) defining the full path to the required file in file system of the computer or to its subdirectory,practically, completely coincides with similar concept for already mentionedMaple system excepting that if in the Maple forFQ the format of type \{string, symbol $\}$ is allowed whereas forFQ in theMathematica system thestring-type format is admissible only.

The call Directory[] of the system function returns an active subdirectory of the current session of the system whereas the callSetDirectory[x] returns a directoryx, doing it active in the current session; at that, as anactive (current) directory is understood the directory whose files are processed by means of access if only their names, but not full paths to them are specified. At that, defining at the callSetDirectory[x] the
system\$UserDocumentsDirectory variable as a factualx-argument, it is possible to redefine the user current subdirectory by default. Meanwhile, theSetDirectory function allows only real-life subdirectories as the argument, causing on nonexistent directories the erroneous situation with returning\$Failed. On the other hand, a rather simpleSetDir procedure provides possibility to determine also nonexistent subdirectories as the current subdirectories. The procedure callSetDir[x] on an existing subdirectoryx does it current while a nonexistent subdirectory is previously created and then it is defined by the current subdirectory. At that, if the factualxargument at the callSetDir $[x]$ is determined by a chain without name of the IO device, for example,"aa\...lbb", then a chain of the
subdirectoriesDirectory[] <> "aa\... bbb " is created that determines a full path to the created current subdirectory. The next fragment represents source code of theSetDir procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.

In[2531] := Directory[]
Out[2531]= "C:\Users\Aladjev\Documents"
In[2532]:= SetDirectory["E:\AVZ_Package"]
SetDirectory ::cdir: Cannot set current directory to E:\AVZ_Package. >> Out[2532]= \$Failed
In[2533]:= SetDirectory[\$UserDocumentsDirectory]
Out[2533]= "C:\Users\Aladjev\Documents"
In[2534]:= SetDirectory[]
Out[2534]= "C:\Users\Aladjev"
In[2535] := SetDir[x_/; StringQ[x]] := Module[\{a\}, If[StringLength[x] == 1 ||
StringLength[x] >= 2 \& \& StringTake[x, \{2, 2\}] != ":",
Return[Quiet[SetDirectory[Quiet[CreateDirectory[
StringReplace[Directory[] <> """ <> x, "\>" -> "\"]IIII], Null]; a =
Quiet[CreateDirectory[StringTake[x, 1] <> ":>"]]; If[a === \$Failed, Return[\$Failed],
NuII]; Quiet[Check[If[DirectoryQ[x], SetDirectory[x],
SetDirectory[CreateDirectory[x]l], Null]]; Directory[l]
In[2536] := SetDir["C:\Temp\111\222\333\444\555\666\777"] Out[2536]=
"C:\Temp\111\222\333\444\555\666\777" In[2537]:=
SetDir["H:\111\222\333\444\555\666\777\888"] Out[2537]= \$Failed
In[2538]:= Directory[]
Out[2538]= "C:\Temp\111\222\333\444\555\666\777" In[2539]:= SetDir["kr\6"]
Out[2539]= "C:\Temp\111\222\333\444\555\666\777\kr\6" In[2540]:=
SetDir["E:\AVZ_Package"]
Out[2540]= "E:\AVZ_Package"
In[2541] := Adrive[] := Module[\{a, b, c, d, k=1\},
\{a, b\}= \{CharacterRange["A", "Z"], \{\}\}; For[k, k <= 26, k++, c = a[[k]] <> ":’";
d = Quiet[CreateDirectory[c]]; If[d === \$Failed, Null, AppendTo[b, StringTake[d,
1]II]; Sort[b]] In[2542]:= Adrive[]
Out[2542]= \{"C", "D", "E", "F", "G"\}
Meanwhile, in attempt of definition of a nonexistent directory as the current directory the emergence of a situation is quite real when as aIO device has been specified a device
which at the moment isn't existing in the system or inaccessible. Therefore rather actually to have the means allowing to verify availability ofIO devices in the system. In this regard theAdrive procedure solves this problem, whose callAdrive[] returns the list of logical names in string format ofIO devices, available at the moment. The given procedure is an analog of the procedure of the same name for theMaple system [47], the last part of the previous fragment represents source code of theAdrive procedure with an example of its usage. Both procedures of the previous fragment are useful enough at programming in theMathematica system of various means of access to the datafiles.
The followingAdrive1 procedure expands the aboveAdrive procedure and returns thereturns theelement nested list whose first element represents the list with names in string format of all active direct access devices whereas the second element represents the list with names in string format of all inactive direct access devices of the computer. The next fragment represents source code of theAdrive1 procedure along with a typical example of its usage.

In[2560] := Adrive1[] := Module[\{a = CharacterRange["A", "Z"], b = \{\}, c = 1, d, p = \{\}, h, t = "\$Art26\$Kr18\$"\}, For[c, c <= 26, c++, d = a[[c]] <> ":|"; If[DirQ[d],
AppendTo[b, a[[c]]]; h = Quiet[CreateDirectory[d <> t]]; If[h === \$Failed, Continue[], DeleteDirectory[d <> t]; AppendTo[p, a[[c]]]; Continue[]I]]; \{p, MinusList[b, p]\}]
In[2561]:= Adrive1[]
Out[2561]= \{\{"C", "D", "G"\}, \{"A", "E"\}\}
In[2562]:= SetDir1[x_/; StringQ[x]] := Module[\{a = SetDir[x], b, c, k\},
If[! SameQ[a, \$Failed], a, k=1; b = Adrive[]; c = Map[FreeSpaceVol, b]; While[k <=
Length[b], PrependTo[c[[k]], b[[k]]]; k++]; c = SortNL1[c, 2, Greater];
SetDir[StringJoin[c[[1]][[1]], StringTake[x, \{2,-1\}]]I]]
In[2563]:= SetDir1["G:\Galina/Svetla\ArtKr/Tampere\Tallinn"] Out[2563]= "C:\Galina/Svetla\ArtKr/Tampere\Tallinn"

At last, theSetDir1 procedure presented at the end of the previous fragment expands theSetDir procedure onto the case when attempt to create a chain of directories meets the especial situation caused by lack of the demanded device on which creation of this chain of subdirectories was planned. In the absence of such device of direct access the procedure callSetDir1[x] returns the created chain of subdirectories on a device having the greatest possible volume of available memory among all active devices of direct access in the current session of theMathematica system.
Files with documents which in one of $\mathbf{1 1}$ formats by the chain of command "File -> \{Save As|Save\}" of theGUI(the most used formats" $\boldsymbol{n b}$ ", " $\boldsymbol{m}$ ") are saved, the files with theMathematica-objects saved by theSave function (input format), and datafiles withMathematica packages(format" $\boldsymbol{m}$ ", " $m x$ ") belong to the internal files. These files represent quite certain interest at the solution of many problems demanding both the standard methods, and the advanced methods of programming. For standard support of operating with them theMathematica system has a number of means whereas for ensuring expanded work with similar datafiles a set of means can be created, some of which are considered in the present book and also have been included to our AVZ_Package package
[48]. At that, files of any of thespecified formats with the definitions of objects saved in them by theSave function as a result of uploading of these files by theGet function into the subsequent sessions of the system provide availability of these objects.

It is rather simple to be convinced that the datafiles created by means of the Save function contain definitions of objects inInput Mathematica-format irrespective of extension of a datafile name. It provides possibility of rather simple organization of processing of such datafiles for various appendices. In particular, on the basis of structure of such datafiles it is possible without their uploading into the current session to obtain lists of names of the objects which are in them. For this purpose theNobj procedure can be used, whose callNobj[x,y] returns the list of names of the objects in string format which have been earlier saved in a datafilex by means of theSave function while through thesecond actual argumenty the list of headings in string format of these objects is returned. Such decision is rather essential since in a datafile can be objects of the same name with various headings, exactly that identify uniqueness of an object.

At that, can arise a need not to upload by means of the Get function into the current session completely a file which has been earlier created by means of theSave function with activation of all objects containing in it, but to upload the objects containing in the file selectively, i.e. to create a kind of libraries of the user means. Concerning the packages created by means of a chain "File $\rightarrow$ Save As $^{\rightarrow}$ Mathematica Package (*.m)" of theGUI commands, the given problem can be solved by means of theAobj procedure, whose callAobj[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ makes active in the current session all objects with a namey fromm-file $\boldsymbol{x}$ which has been earlier created by the above chain of theGUI commands. The fragment below represents source codes of the above proceduresAobj andNobj along with the most typical examples of their usage.
In[2626]:= Art1 := \#^2 \&; Art2 = \#^3 \&; Art3 := \#^4 \&; Art4 = \#^5 \&; Art := 26; Kr $=18 ; \operatorname{Agn}\left[y_{-}\right]:=67$; Avz[x_] := 90*x + 500;
SetAttributes[Avz, \{Listable, Protected\}] In[2627]:= Save["C:/Temp/Obj.m", \{Adrive, SetDir, Art1, Art2, Art3, Art4, Art, Nobj, Kr, Agn, Avz\}]
In[2628] := Nobj[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& StringTake[x,-2] == ".m", y_/; !
HowAct[y]] := Module[\{a, b, c, d, p, h, t, k=1\}, If[FileExistsQ[x] \&\&
MemberQ[\{"Table", "Package"\},
Quiet[FileFormat[x]]], \{a, b, d, h\}= \{OpenRead[x], \{\}, "90", \{\}\}; While[! SameQ[d,
"EndOfFile"], d = ToString[Read[a, Stringl]; If[! SuffPref[d, " ", 1], If[!
StringFreeQ[d, "::usage = ""], AppendTo[b, StringSplit[StringTake[d, $\{1$,
Flatten[StringPosition[d, "::usage"]][[1]]-1\}], "/: "][[1]]l, p =
Quiet[Check[StringTake[d, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[d, $\{":=", "="\}]][[1]]-1\}]$, \$Failed]]; If[! SameQ[p, \$Failed], If[SymbolQ[p]\&\& StringFreeQ[p, \{" ", "\{", "’"\}]|| StringFreeQ[p, $\{$ " ", "\{", "" $\}]$ \& \& HeadingQ1[p] === True, AppendTo[b, p]I]l]; k++]; Close[a]; b = Sort[DeleteDuplicates[b]]; h = Select[b, ! SymbolQ[\#] \&]; t = Map[If[SymbolQ[\#],\#, HeadName[\#]] \&, h]; b = MinusList[b, h]; b = Sort[DeleteDuplicates[Join[b, t]]]; y = MinusList[Sort[DeleteDuplicates[Join[h, Select[Map[If[! UnevaluatedQ[HeadPF, \#], HeadPF[\#]] \&, b], ! SameQ[\#, Null] \&]II], b]; b, \$Failed]]

In[2629] := Clear[ArtKr]; Nobj["C:\Temp\Obj.m", ArtKr] Out[2629]= \{"Adrive", "Agn", "Art", "Art1", "Art2", "Art3", "Art4", "Avz", "BlockFuncModQ", "ClearAllAttributes", "Contexts1", "Definition2", "HeadingQ", "HeadingQ1", "HeadName", "HeadPF", "HowAct", "Kr", "ListStrToStr", "Map3", "Mapp", "MinusList", "Nobj", "ProcQ", "PureDefinition", "RedSymbStr", "Sequences", "SetDir", "StrDelEnds", "StringMultiple", "StringSplit1", "SubsDel", "SuffPref", "SymbolQ", "SymbolQ1", "SysFuncQ", "SystemQ", "ToString1", "UnevaluatedQ"\} In[2630]:= ArtKr
Out[2630]= \{"Adrive[]", "Agn[y_]", "Avz[x_]", "BlockFuncModQ[x_, y__]]", "ClearAllAttributes[x__]", "Contexts1[]", "Definition2[x_/; SymbolQ[x]=== HowAct[x]]", "HeadingQ1[x_/; StringQ[x]]", "HeadingQ[x_/; StringQ[x]]", "HeadName[x_/; HeadingQ[x]|| HeadingQ1[x]]", "HowAct[x_]",
"ToString1[x_]", "UnevaluatedQ[F_/; SymbolQ[F], x__]"\}
In[2650]:= Aobj[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& StringTake[x,-2] == ".m", y_/; SymbolQ[y] || ListQ[y] \&\&
DeleteDuplicates[Map[SymbolQ[\#] \&, y]] == \{True\}] := Module[\{a, b = "(*", c = "*)", d = \$AobjNobj, p = \{Read[x, Stringl, Close[x] $\}[[1]], h=$ Mapp[StringJoin, Map[ToString, Flatten[\{y\}]], "["], k, j, g, s, t = \{\}, v = \{\}\}, If[p != "(* ::Package:: *)", \$Failed, a = ReadFullFile[x]; If[StringFreeQ[a, d], \$Failed, a = StringSplit[a, d][[2 ;;-1]]; a = Map[StringReplace[\#, \{b-> "", c-> ""\}] \&, a]; a = Select[a, SuffPref[\#, h, 1] \&]; $\operatorname{For}[k=1, k<=$ Length[h], k++, g = h[[k]]; For[j = 1, j <= Length[a], j++, s = a[[j]];
c = StrSymbParity[s, g, "[", "]"]; c = If[c == \{\}, False,
HeadingQ1[Quiet[ToString[ToExpression[c[[1]]]I]] || HeadingQ[c[[1]]]];
If[SuffPref[s, g, 1] \&\& c, AppendTo[t, s]; AppendTo[v, StringTake[g, \{1,-2\}]l]I];
Map[ToExpression, t]; If[v !=\{\}, Print["Software for " <> ToString[v] <> " is downloaded"], Print["Software for" <> ToString[Flatten[\{y\}]] <> " was not found"][I]I]

In[2651] := Art1[] := \#^2 \&
In[2652]:= Art2[] = \#^3 \&;
In[2653]:= Art3[] := \#^4 \&
In[2654]:= Art4] = \#^5 \&;
In[2655]:= Art[] := 26
In[2656]:= Kr[] = 18;
In[2657]:= Agn[y_] := 67
In[2658]:= Avz[x_] := 90*x + $\mathbf{5 0 0}$
In[2659]:=Aobj["c:/tmp/Obj.m", \{Art1, Art2, Art3, Art4, Art, Kr, Agn, Avz\}]
Software for $\{$ Nobj , Avz, Agn, ArtKr, Sv\} is downloaded
In[2659]:=Aobj["C:/Tmp/Obj.m", \{Nobj90, Avz500, Agn67, Vsv47\}]
Software for $\{N o b j 90$, Avz500, Agn67, Vsv47\} was not found In[2660]:=
Map[PureDefinition, \{Art1, Art2, Art3, Art4, Art, Kr, Agn, Avz\}] Out[2660]=
\{"Art1[]:= \#1^2\& ", "Art2[]= \#1^3\& ", "Art3[]:= \#1^4\& ", "Art4[]= \#1^5\& ",
"Art[]:= 26", "Kr[]= 18", "Agn[y_]:= 67", "Avz[x_]:= 90*x+ 500"\}

The top part of the previous fragment represents a saving in a $\boldsymbol{m}$-file of the
Mathematica-objects from this fragment and the objects given a little above in the same section. Further the source code of theNobj procedure and an example of its application is represented. Right there it should be noted that performance of theNobj procedure will demand certain temporary expenses. At that, if the main result of the procedure call $\operatorname{Nobj}[x, y]$ contains the list of names in string format of the means contained in a filex, thru the secondy argument the headings of the means possessing them are returned.

Whereas the second part of the fragment represents source code of the Aobj procedure with an example of its use for activization in the current session of the objects \{Art1, Art2, Art3, Art4, Art, Kr, Agn, Avz\} which are in am-file which is earlier created by means of chain"File $\rightarrow$ Save As $\rightarrow$ Mathematica Package (*.m)" of theGUI commands. Verification confirms availability of the specified objects in the current session. Moreover, as the2nd argumenty at the procedure callAobj the separate symbol or their list can be. Besides that is supposed, before saving in am-datafilex all definitions of objects in the current document should have headings and be evaluated in separate Input-paragraphs. The successful callAobj[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ returnsNull, i.e. nothing with output of the message concerning those means which were uploaded from am-datafilex or which are absent in the datafile. The proceduresNobj andAobj process the main erroneous situations with returning on them the value\$Failed. Both procedures can be extended by means of replenishment their by new useful enough functions.
The followingAobj1 procedure is a rather useful extension of the previous Aobj procedure. Like theAobj procedure theAobj1 procedure also is used for activation in the current session of the objects which are in am-datafile which is earlier created by means of chain"File $\rightarrow$ Save As $\rightarrow$ Mathematica Package(*.m)" of theGUI commands. The successful callAobj1[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ returns Null, i.e. nothing with output of the messages concerning those means that were uploaded from amfilex and that are absent in the datafile. Moreover, as thesecond argumenty at the procedure callAobj1 the separate symbol or their list can be. Besides that is supposed, before saving in am-datafilex all definitions of objects in the saved document should be evaluated inseparate
Input-paragraphs on the basis of delayed assignments however existence of headings not required. Right there it should be noted that for ability of correct processing of them-files created in the specified manner the predetermined $\mathbf{\$ A o b j N o b j}$ variable is used, that provides correct processing of the datafiles containing the procedures, in particular,Aobj andAobj1. The next fragment represents source code of theAobj1 procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2672]:= Aobj1[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& StringTake[x, -2] == ".m", y_/; SymbolQ[y] || ListQ[y] \& \&
DeleteDuplicates[Map[SymbolQ[\#] \&, y]] == \{True\}]:= Module[\{a, c = "*)(*", d = \$AobjNobj, k, $\mathbf{t}=\{ \}, \mathbf{g}=\{ \}, \mathbf{h}=\operatorname{Map}[T o S t r i n g, ~ F l a t t e n[\{y\}]], \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{v}\}, \mathbf{a}=$ StringSplit[ReadFullFile[x], d][[2 ;;-1]]; a = Map[StringTake[\#, \{3,-3\}] \&, a]; For[j, j <= Length[h], j++, p = h[[j]];
For $[k=1, k<=$ Length[a], $k++$,
If[SuffPref[a[[k]], Map[StringJoin[p, \#] \&, \{"[", "=", ":"\}], 1], AppendTo[t,
StringReplace[a[[k]], c-> ""]]; AppendTo[g, p], Null]]l; v = \{t, MinusList[h, g]\};

If[v[[1]] != \{\}, ToExpression[v[[1]]];
Print["Software for " <> ToString[g] <> " is downloaded"], Null];
If[v[[2]] != \{\}, Print["Software for " <>
ToString[v[[2]]] <> " was not found"], Null]] In[2673]:= Aobj1["Obj42.m", \{Nobj90, Avz500, Agn67, Vsv47\}]
Software for $\{$ Nobj90, Avz500, Agn67, Vsv47\} was not found In[2674]:=
Aobj1["Obj42.m", \{Art1, Art2, Art3, Art4, Art, Agn, Avz, Rans,
IAN, Rae, Nobj \}]
Software for \{Art1,Art2,Art3,Art4,Art,Agn,Avz,Nobj\} is downloaded Software for \{Rans, IAN, Rae\} was not found

There is a number of other rather interesting procedures for ensuring work with files of theMathematicaInput-format whose names have extensions \{ "nb","m", "txt"\}, etc. All such means are based on the basis of analysis of structure of the contents of files returned by access functions, in particular, ReadFullFile. Some of them gives a possibility to create the rather effective user libraries containing definitions of theMathematica-objects. These and some other means have been implemented as a part of the special package supporting the releases $\mathbf{8} \div \mathbf{1 0}$ of theMathematica system [48]. The part of these means will be considered in the present book slightly below. Certain remarks should be made concerning theSave function which saves the objects in a given file in theAppendmode; at that, undefinite symbols in the datafile are not saved without output of any messages, i.e. theSave call returnsNull, i.e. nothing. Meanwhile, at saving of a procedure or a function with a nameAvz in a datafile by means of theSave function in the datafile all active objects of the same nameAvz in the current session with different headings-the identifiers of their originality- are saved too. For elimination of this situation a generalization of theSave function concerning possibility of saving of objects with concrete headings is offered. So, theSave1 procedure solves the given problem whose source code along with typical examples of its usage are represented by the following fragment.
$\operatorname{In}[2742]:=\mathbf{A}\left[x_{-}\right]:=x^{\wedge} \mathbf{2} ; \mathbf{A}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}\right]:=\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y} ; \mathbf{A}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}, z_{-}\right]:=\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y}+\mathbf{z} ; \mathbf{A}\left[x_{\_}\right]:=\{\mathbf{x}\} ;$ DefFunc3[A]
 \{x\}"\}
In[2743]:= Save1[x_/; StringQ[x],
y_/; DeleteDuplicates[Map[StringQ, Flatten[\{y\}]]][[1]]]:= Module[\{Rs, $\mathbf{t}=$ Flatten $\{\{\mathbf{y}\}], \mathrm{k}=1\}$,
Rs[n_, m_] := Module[ \{b, c = ToString[Unique[b]], $\mathbf{a}=\operatorname{If}[S y m b o l Q[m]$, Save[n, m], If[StringFreeQ[m, "["], \$Failed,
StringTake[m, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[m, "["]][[1]]-1\}]]l]\}, If[a === Null, Return[], If[a === \$Failed, Return[\$Failed], If[SymbolQ[a], b = DefFunc3[a], Return[\$Failed]]I]; If[Length[b] == 1, Save[n, a], b = Select[b, SuffPref[\#, m, 1] \&]]; If[b != \{\}, b = c <> b[[1]], Return[\$Failed]]; ToExpression[b]; a = c <> a;
ToExpression["Save[" <> ToString1[n] <> "," <> ToString1[a] <> "]"];
BinaryWrite[n, StringReplace[ToString[StringJoin[Map[ FromCharacterCode,

BinaryReadList[n]]l], c-> ""]]; Close[n]; ]; For[k, k <= Length[t], k++, Rs[x, t[[k]]]]]
In[2744] := Save1["rans_ian.m", \{"A[x_, y_, z_]", "A[x_l]"\}]
In[2745]:= Clear[A]; DefFunc3[A]
Out[2745]= DefFunc3[A]
In[2746]:= << "rans_ian.m"
In[2747]:= B[x_] := $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}$; DefFunc3[A]
Out[2747]= \{"A[x_, y_, z_]:= x+ y+ z", "A[x__]:= \{x\}"\}
In[2748]:= Agn = 67; Save1["Avz.m", \{"A[x_, y_, z_]", "B", "A[x__]", "Agn"\}]
In[2749]:= Clear[A, B, Agn]; Map[DefFunc3, \{A, B, Agn\}]
Out[2749]= \{DefFunc3[A], DefFunc3[B], Agn \}
In[2750]:= << "Avz.m"
Out[2750]= 67
In[2751]:= DefFunc3[A]
Out[2751]= \{"A[x_, y_, z_]:= x+y+z", "A[x__]:= \{x\}"\}
In[2752]:= \{DefFunc3["B"], Agn\}
Out[2752]= $\left\{\left\{\right.\right.$ "B[x_]:= $\left.\left.x^{\wedge} 2 "\right\}, 67\right\}$
The procedure call Save1 $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ saves in a datafile defined by the first factual argumentx, the definitions of the objects determined by the second factual argumenty-the name of an active object in the current session or its heading in string format, or their combinations in the list form. So, theSave1 procedure can be used as the standardSave function, and solving a saving problem of the chosen objects activated in the current session in the datafiledifferentially on the basis of their headings. Thus, the successful procedure call returnsNull, carrying out the demanded savings; otherwise\$Failed or unevaluated call are returned. The previous fragment represents results of application of the Save1 procedure for a selective saving in datafiles of the objects which have been activated in theMathematica current session. In a number of cases the procedureSave1 represents undoubted interest.
In a number of cases there is an urgent need of saving in a datafile of a state of the current session with possibility of its subsequent restoration by means of uploading of the datafile into current session different from the previous session. In this context,
theSaveCurrentSession andRestoreCS procedures are rather useful for saving and restoration of a state of the current session respectively.So, the procedure
callSaveCurrentSession[] saves a state of the Mathematica current session in them-file"SaveCS.m" with returning of the name of a target datafile. While the callSaveCurrentSession[ $x$ ] saves a state of theMathematica current session in am-filex with returning of the name of the target datafilex; at that, if a datafilex has not extension" $\boldsymbol{m}$ " then this extension is added to thex-string. The procedure callRectoreCS[] restores theMathematica current session that has been previously stored by means of theSaveCurrentSession procedure in datafile"SaveCS.m" with returning theNull, i.e. nothing. While the callRectoreCS[x] restores theMathematica current session that has been previously stored by means of the procedure SaveCurrentSession in am-datafilex with returning theNull, i.e. nothing. In absence of the above datafile the procedure call returns\$Failed. The next fragment represents source codes of the above procedures along with typical examples of their usage.
In[2742]:= SaveCurrentSession[x__String] := Module[\{a = Names["*"], b =

If $[\{x\}==\{ \}$, "SaveCS.m", If[SuffPref[x, ".m", 2], x, x <> ".m"]l\},
Save1[b, a]; b] In[2743]:= SaveCurrentSession["Tallinn"]
Out[2743]= "Tallinn.m"
In[2744]:= RestoreCS[x__String] := Module[\{a=If[\{x\}==\{\},"SaveCS.m", If[FileExtension[x] == "m", x, \$Failed]]\}, If[a === \$Failed, \$Failed, On[General]; Quiet[Get[a]]; Off[General]]]
In[2745] := RestoreCS["Tallinn.m"]
In[2746]:= RestoreCS["AvzAgnVsv.m"]
Out[2746]= \$Failed
So, the presented tools are rather useful in a case when is required to create copies of current sessions at certain moments of work with the system. TheDumpSave function serves as other tool for saving of definitions of the objects in datafiles, creating datafiles of binary format that is optimized for input into theMathematica system. Names of datafiles of this format have extension " $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$ ", and analogously to the previous format they can be loaded into the current session by theGet function. Unlike theSave function, the call of theDumpSave function returns the list of names and/or definitions of the objects saved in amx-file. Meanwhile, it must be kept in mind a very essential circumstance that the datafiles created by means of theDumpSave function not only are most optimum for input intoMathematica, but also can't be loaded on a computing platform different from the platform on that they were created. Many interesting examples of application of the function DumpSave can be found in [30-33], some from them will be presented and a little below. Thus, it is necessary to work with datafiles of binary format only in the case when their usage in rather broad aspect isn't planned, i.e. in the sense this format has obviously internal character, without providing of the portability of the created means.
In a number of cases there is a necessity of loading into the current session of datafiles of types $\{\boldsymbol{n b}, \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{m x}, \boldsymbol{t x t}\}$ or datafiles of theASCII format without name extension which are located in one of directories of file system of the computer; moreover, having a full name of datafile we may not have certain information concerning its location in file system of the computer. In this context theLoadFile procedure solves this problem, whose source code and typical examples of its application the following fragment represents.
In[2575]:= LoadFile[F_/; StringQ[F]] := Module[\{a, b, c\},
If[! MemberQ[\{"nb", "m", "mx", "txt", ""\}, FileExtension[F]],
Return["File <" <> F <>"> has an inadmissible type"], a = Flatten[\{FindFile[F]\}]; a $=$ If[a === \{\$Failed\}, SearchFile[F], a]; \$Load\$Files\$ = a]; If[a == \{\}, Return["File <" <> F <> "> has not been found"], Quiet[Check[Get[\$Load\$Files\$[[1]]], c = \{\$Failed\}, \{Syntax::sntxc, Syntax::sntxi\}]]; If[c === \{\$Failed\},
"File <" <> \$Load\$Files\$[[1]] <> "> has inadmissible syntax", "File <" <>
\$Load\$Files\$[[1]] <> "> has been loaded; \n\$Load\$Files\$ defines the list with full paths to the found files."], Return["File <" <> F <> "> has not been found"]l] In[2576]:= LoadFile["Obj42.m"]
Out[2576]= "File<C:\aladjev\mathematica\Obj42.m> has been loaded;
\$ Load\$Files\$ defines the list with full paths to the found files." In[2577]:= \$Load\$Files\$ Out[2577]= \{"C:\users\aladjev\mathematica\Obj42.m"\} In[2578]:=

## LoadFile["AvzAgn.m"]

Out[2578]= "File<C:\Mathematica\AvzAgn.m> has been loaded;
\$ Load\$Files\$ defines the list with full paths to the found files." In[2579]:= \$Load\$Files\$ Out[2579]= \{"C:\Mathematica\AvzAgn.m"\}
In[2580]:= LoadFile["Obj47.m"]
Out[2580]= "File<Obj47.m> has not been found"
The procedure call LoadFile[w] uploads into the current session a data file given by its namew and with an extension $\{\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n b}, \boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{t x t}\}$ or at all without extension. Moreover, at finding of the list of datafiles with an identical name $w$ uploading of the first of the list with return of the corresponding message is made, while thru the global\$Load\$Files\$ variable the procedure returns the list of allw datafiles found in search process. The procedure processes the main erroneous and especial situations, including syntax of the found datafile, unacceptable for theGet function. In the case of lack of $w$ datafiles through the\$Load\$Files\$ variable the empty list, i.e. \{\} is returned. A rather simple and in certain cases the usefulMathematicaDF procedure completes this section, its callMathematicaDF[] returns the list ofListList- type, whose two-element members by thefirst elements contain type of the elements ofMathematica file system whereas by thesecond elements contain quantity of elements of this type. At that, "NoExtension" defines datafiles without extension,"Dir" defines directories while the others defines type of extension of a datafile. The following fragment represents source code of the
MathematicaDF procedure along with a typical example of its application concerning the systemMathematica10.
In[2982] := MathematicaDF[] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ "Art26\$Kr18\$", $\mathbf{b}=\{ \}, \mathbf{c}=\cdots ", \mathbf{d}\}$, Run["Dir" <> "/A/B/S " <> StrStr[\$InstallationDirectory] <> " >" <> a]; While[! SameQ[c, EndOfFile], c = Read[a, String]; Quiet[If[DirectoryQ[c], AppendTo[b, "Dir"], If[FileExistsQ[c], d = FileExtension[c]; AppendTo[b, If[d === "", "NoExtension", d]l, AppendTo[b, "NoFile"]I]I]; DeleteFile[Close[a]]; Map[\{\#[[1]], Length[\#]\} \&, Gather[b, \#1 === \#2 \& ]l] In[2983]:= MathematicaDF[]

Out[2983] = \{\{"CDCode", 1\}, \{"CreationID", 3\}, \{"PatchLevel", 1\}, \{"VersionID", 1\}, \{"Dir", 2076\}, \{"exe", 169\}, \{"m", 3477\}, \{"nb", 13355\}, \{"gen", 46\},
\{"NoExtension", 993\}, \{"cfs", 42\}, \{"jar", 168\}, \{"cmd", 2\}, \{"vbs", 2\}, \{"sh", 6\},
 \{"sln", 1\}, \{"cache", 3 \}, \{"Cache", 1\}, \{"resources", 1\}, \{"vb", 1\}, \{"cl", 31\}, \{"py", 2\}, \{"so", 4\}, \{"jnilib", 2\}, \{"poly", 2\}, \{"node", 1\}, \{"cmap", 1\}, \{"cset", 1\}, \{"rws", 1\}, \{"kbd", 1\}, \{"ini", 1\}, \{"msg", 1\}\}
In[2984]:= Plus[Sequences[Map[\#[[2]] \&, \%]]]
Out[2984]= 27931
At last, the procedure call $\mathbf{O p S y s}[]$ returns the type of operational platform. The procedure is useful in certain appendices above all of system character. The fragment represents source code of the procedure with an example.
In[5334]:= OpSys[] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ToString[Unique["s"]], b\}, Run["SystemInfo >" <> a]; b = StringTrim[StringTake[ReadList[a,
String][[2]], \{9,-1 \}]]; DeleteFile[a]; b] In[5335]:= OpSys[]

On that the representation of access means to the system files is completed, and means of operating with external datafiles will be presented in the next section. Meanwhile, the represented means of processing of system datafiles in a number of cases represent a quite certain interest, first of all, for various applications of the system character. So, certain means of theAVZ_Package package use the above means [48].

### 7.2. Means of theMathematicasystem for operating with external datafiles

According to such quite important indicator as means of access to datafiles
theMathematica system, in our opinion, possesses a number of advantages in comparison with theMaple system. First of all,Mathematica carries out automatic processing of hundreds of formats of data and their subformats on the basis of the unified usage of symbolical expressions. For each specific format the correspondence between internal and external representation of a format is determined, using the general mechanism of data elements of the Mathematica system. For todayMathematica10 as a whole supports many various formats of datafiles for different purposes, their list can be received by means of the predetermined variables\$ImportFormats(the imported files) and $\mathbf{\$ E x p o r t F o r m a t s ( t h e ~ e x p o r t e d ~ f i l e s ) ~ i n ~ q u a n t i t i e s ~} 172$ and144 respectively. While the basic formats of datafiles are considered rather in details in [33].

By the function call FileFormat $[x]$ an attempt to define an input format for a datafile given by a namex in string format is made. In the case of existence for a datafilex of name extension theFileFormat function is, almost, similar to theFileExtension function, returning the available extension, except for the case of packages( $\boldsymbol{m}$-datafiles) when instead of extension the datafile type "Package" is returned. Meanwhile, in some cases the format identification is carried out incorrectly, in particular, the attempt to test adoc-file without an extension returns "XLS", ascribing it to the datafiles created byExcel 95/ 97/2000/XP/2003 that is generally incorrect.
In[2557] := Map[FileFormat, \{"AVZ_Package_1.nb", "AVZ_Package_1.m"\}]
Out[2557]= \{"NB", "Package"\}
In[2558]:= FileFormat["D:\AVZ_Package\Art1"]
Out[2558]= "Text"
In[2559]:= FileExtension["D:\AVZ_Package\Art1"]
Out[2559]= ""
In[2560]:= FileFormat["Art1"]
FileFormat ::nffil: File not found during FileFormat[Art1]. >> Out[2560]= \$Failed In[2561]:= FileFormat["D:\AVZ_Package\AVZ_Package_1"]
FileFormat ::nffil: File not found during
FileFormat[D:\AVZ_Package\AVZ_Package_1]. >> Out[2561]= \$Failed
In[2562]:= Map[FileFormat, \{"C:/AVZ_P", "C:/AVZ_P1", "C:/Temp/Der"\}]
Out[2562]= \{"NB", "Package", "XLS"\}
In[2563]:= FileFormat["C:\Temp\Der.doc"]
Out[2563]= "DOC"

In[2564]:= FileFormat1[x_/; StringQ[x]] := Module[\{a\}, If[FileExistsQ[x], \{x, FileFormat[x]\}, a = SearchFile[x]; If[a == \{\}, \{\}, a = Map[\{\#, FileFormat[\#]\} \&, a]; If[Length[a] == 1, a[[1]], a]]l]
In[2565] := FileFormat1["AVZ_Package.m"]
Out[2565]= \{\{"C:IUserslMathematica\AVZ_Package.m", "Package" ,
\{"C:\Temp\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m", "Package"\},
\{"C:\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m", "Package"\},
\{"D:\Temp\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m", "Package"\}\}
In[2566]:= FileFormat1["Z123456789"]
Out[2566] $=\{ \}$
In[2567]:= FileFormat1["C:\Users\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m"]
Out[2567]= \{"C:\Users\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m", "Package"\}
In[2610]:= Map[FileFormat, \{"C:/", "C:|"\}]
General::cdir: Cannot set current directory to\$RL69B50. >> General::cdir: Cannot set current directory to\$RRMBM4A.>>

General::stop: Further output of General::dirdep will be suppressed during this calculation. >>
Out[2610]= \{"KML", "KML" $\}$
In[2611]:= FileFormat2[x_/; StringQ[x]] := Module[\{a, b=\{\}, c, k=1\}, If[StringLength $[\mathrm{x}]==3$,

If[MemberQ[ \{":/", ":’"\}, StringTake[x,-2]] \&\& MemberQ[Adrive[], ToUpperCase[StringTake[x, 1]]l, Return["Directory"], Null], If[DirectoryQ[x], Return["Directory"], a = SearchFile[x]];
If[a == \{\}, Return[\{\}], For[k, $k<=$ Length[a], $k++, ~ c=a[[k]] ;$ AppendTo[b, $\{\mathbf{c}$, FileFormat[c]\}]]I]; If[Length[b] == 1, b[[1]], b]]
In[2612] := Map[FileFormat2, \{"C:/", "C:\", "C:/Temp", "C:\Temp"\}] Out[2612]= \{"Directory", "Directory", "Directory", "Directory"\} In[2613]:=
FileFormat2["Obj47.m"]
Out[2613]= \{\{"C:\Users\Aladjev\Mathematica\Obj47.m", "Package"\},
\{"D:\AVZ_PackagelObj47.m", "Package"\}\}
Moreover, by the function callFileFormat $[x]$ an attempt to define the format of a datafilex is made, that is located only in the subdirectories determined by\$Path variable otherwise returning $\$$ Failed with print of the appropriate message as illustrates an example of the previous fragment. For elimination of similar situation the simple enoughFileFormat1 procedure is offered that expands the possibilities of the standardFileFormat function, and uses the SearchFile procedure which will be presented a little below. The procedure callFileFormat1[ $x]$ returns the simple or nested list,first element of a simple list defines the full path to a datafile $x$ while thesecond element- its format that is recognized by theFileFormat function; at that, the required datafile can be located in any directory of file system of the computer; absence of a datafilex initiates the return of the empty list, i.e. \{\}. Moreover, at finding several datafiles with an identical name the nested list whose sublists have the specified format is returned. The previous fragment represents source
code of theFileFormat1 procedure along with typical examples of its usage. In some cases theFileFormat1 procedure is more preferable than standard FileFormat function.
As it is noted above, the call FileFormat[F] tries to define anImportformat for import of a datafile or $U R L$ corresponding to argument $F$; meanwhile, on main directories of external memory(disk,flash memory,etc.) the call causes erroneous situation; at that, the function recognizes only the datafiles which are in the directories determined by\$Path variable; for elimination of the last situation theFileFormat1 procedure above has been offered whereas the procedure can be quite simply extended for the purpose of elimination and thefirst situation. TheFileFormat2 procedure was programmed on the basis of theFileFormat1 procedure, this procedure correctly processes the main directories, inaccessible or nonexistent devices of the external memory, and also datafiles from directories of file system of the computer. The previous fragment represents source code of the procedure with typical examples of its usage. Thus, earlier presentedFileFormat1 procedure provides check of format of the datafiles which are located in directories of file system of the computer irrespectively from their presence in the\$Path variable. While the FileFormat2 procedure in addition correctly processes also main directories of external memory, bearing in mind the important circumstance that they are the key elements of file system of the computer. Indeed, examples of the previous fragment visually illustrate that the function callFileFormat[ $x$ ] on main directory of a volumex returns "KML" format that is theGIS standard format which serves for storage of cartographical information instead of the Directory format. The callFileFormat2[x] eliminates this defect with return on similar objects"Directory" while in other situations a callFileFormat2[ $x$ ] is equivalent to a callFileFormat1[x].
At last, a version of the standard FileFormat function attempts to identify a datafile type without extension, being based on information of the creator of the datafile that is contained in the contents of the datafile. TheFileFormat3 procedure rather accurately identifies datafiles of the following often used types \{DOC, PDF, ODT, TXT, HTML\}. At that, concerning the TXT type the verification of a datafile is made in the latter case, believing that the datafile of this type has to consist only of symbols with the following decimal codes:
$0 \div 127$ - ASCIIsymbols
$1 \div 31$-the controlASCIIsymbols
$32 \div 126$-the printedASCIIsymbols
$97 \div 122$-letters of the Latin alphabet in the lower register
$129 \div 255$ - Latin-1symbols ofISO
$192 \div 255$-letters of the European languages
The procedure call FileFormat3[ $x$ ] returns the type of a datafile given by a name or a classifiers; at that, if the datafile has an extension, it relies as the extension of the datafile. Whereas the callFileFormat3[x,y] with the second optional argument-an arbitrary expressiony - in the case of datafile without extension returns its full name with the extension defined for it, at the same time renaming the datafilex, taking into account the calculated format. The fragment below represents source code of theFileFormat3 procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2554]:= FileFormat3[x_/; FileExistsQ[x], t__] := Module[\{b, c, a =

FileExtension[x]\}, If[a != "", ToUpperCase[a], c = If[Quiet[StringTake[Read[x, String], \{1, 5\}]] === "\%PDF-",
\{ Close[x], "PDF"\}[[-1]], Close[x]; b = ReadFullFile[x]; If[! StringFreeQ[b, "MSWordDoc"], "DOC",
If[! StringFreeQ[b, ".opendocument.textPK"], "ODT", If[! StringFreeQ[b, \{"!DOCTYPE HTML ", "text/html"\}], "HTML", If[MemberQ3[Range[0, 255], DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[Map[
ToCharacterCode[\#] \&, DeleteDuplicates[Characters[b]l]I]], "TXT", Undefined]]I]]; If[\{t \}!= \{\}, Quiet[Close[x]]; RenameFile[x, x <> "." <> c], c]l]
In[2555] := Map[FileFormat3, \{"C:\Temp.Burthday", "C:\Temp.cinema", "C:/Temp/ransian", "C:/Temp/Book_Grodno", "C:/Temp/Math_Trials"\}] Out[2555]= \{"DOC", "TXT", "HTML", "PDF", "DOC" $\}$
In[2556]:= FileFormat3["C:/Temp/Math_Trials", 500]
Out[2556]= "C:\Temp\Math_Trials.DOC"
Using the algorithm implemented by the FileFormat3 procedure it is rather simple to modify it for testing of other types of datafiles whose full names have no extension. That can be rather useful in the processing problems of the datafiles. In a certain relation theFormat3 procedure complements the standardFormat function along with the proceduresFormat1 andFormat2.

The Mathematica provides effective enough system-independent access to all aspects of datafiles of any size. For ensuring operations of opening and closing of datafiles the following basic functions of access are used, namely: OpenRead, OpenWrite, OpenAppend, Close. Moreover, the name or full path to a datafile in string format acts as the only formal argument of the first three functions; at that, the function callOpenWrite[] without factual arguments is allowed, opening a new datafile located in the subdirectory intended for temporary files for writting. Whereas theClose function closes a datafile given by its name, full path or aStream-object. In attempt to close the closed or nonexistent file the system causes an erroneous situation. For elimination of such situation, undesirable in many cases, it is possible to use very simpleCloses function providing the closing of any datafile including a closed or nonexistent datafile, without output of any erroneous messages with returningNull, i.e. nothing, but, perhaps, the name or full path to the closed datafile, for example:
In[2351] := Close["D:/Math_myLib/test72.txt"]
General::openx: D:/Math_myLib/test72.txt is not open. >>
Out[2351]= Close["D:/Math_myLib/test72.txt"]
In[2352] := Closes[x_] := Quiet[Check[Close[x], Null]]
In[2353]:= Closes["D:/Math_myLib/test72.txt"]
An object of the following rather simple format is understood as theStream- object of functions of access ofOpenRead, OpenWrite andOpenAppend:
\{OutputStream|InputStream \}[<Datafile>, <Logical IO channel>]
By the function call Streams[] the list ofStreamobjects of datafiles opened in the current session including system files is returned. For obtaining the list ofStream-objects of
datafiles,different from the system files it is possible to use the function callStreamsU[].
In[2642] := Streams[]
Out[2642]= \{OutputStream["stdout", 1], OutputStream["stderr", 2]\} In[2643]:= S1 =
OpenRead["C:/Temp/Math_Trials.doc"]
Out[2643]= InputStream["C:/Temp/Math_Trials.doc", 163]
In[2644]:= S2 = OpenWrite["C:TTemp/Book_Grodno.pdf"] Out[2644]=
OutputStream["C:ITemp/Book_Grodno.pdf", 164] In[2645]:= Streams[]
Out[2645]= \{OutputStream["stdout", 1], OutputStream["stderr", 2],
InputStream[ "C:/Temp/Math_Trials.doc", 163],
OutputStream["C:\Temp/Book_Grodno.pdf", 164]\} In[2646]:= OpenWrite[]
Out[2646]= OutputStream["C:\Users\Aladjev\AppData\Local\
Temp\m-e88a7f8c-339f-42e2-8da9-b6bd16e6cd50", 165]
In[2647] := StreamsU[] := Select[Streams[], ! MemberQ[\{"[stdout", "[stderr"\},
StringTake[ToString[\#1], \{13, 19\}]] \&] In[2648]:= StreamsU[]
Out[2648]= \{InputStream["C:/Temp/Math_Trials.doc", 163],
OutputStream[ "C:\Temp/Book_Grodno.pdf", 164],
OutputStream["C:\Users\Aladjev\AppData\Local\ Temp\m-e88a7f8c-339f-42e2-8da9-
b6bd16e6cd50", 165]\}
In[2649] := Close["C:\Temp/Book_Grodno.pdf"]
Out[2649]= "C:\Temp/Book_Grodno.pdf"
In[2650]:= StreamsU[]
Out[2650]= \{InputStream["C:/Temp/Math_Trials.doc", 163],
OutputStream["C:\Users\Aladjev\AppData\Local Temp\m-e88a7f8c-339f-42e2-8da9b6bd16e6cd50", 165]\} In[2658]:= CloseAll[] := Map[Close, StreamsU[I]
In[2659]:= CloseAll[]
Out[2659]= \{"C:/Temp/Math_Trials.doc", "C:\Users\Aladjev\
AppData \Local\Temp\m-e88a7f8c-339f-42e2-8da9-b6bd16e6cd50"\} In[2660]:=
Streams[]
Out[2660]= \{OutputStream["stdout", 1], OutputStream["stderr", 2]\}
It must be kept in mind that after the termination of work with an opened datafile, it remains opened up to its obvious closing by theClose function. For closing of all channels and datafiles opened in the current session of the system, excepting system files, it is possible to apply quite simpleCloseAll function, whose callCloseAll[] closes all mentioned open both channels and datafiles with return of the list of datafiles.

Similar to the Maple system theMathematica system also has opportunity to open the same datafile on different streams and in various modes, using different coding of its name or path using alternative registers for letters or/ and replacement of separators of subdirectories"\" on"/", and vice versa at opening of datafiles. The simple fragment below illustrates application of this approach for opening of the same datafile on two different channels on reading with the subsequent alternating reading of records from it.
In[2534]:= F = "C:\Mathematica\AvzAgn"; \{S, S1 \}= \{OpenRead[F], OpenRead[If[UpperCaseQ[StringTake[F, 1]], ToLowerCase[F], ToUpperCase[F]II]\}

Out[2534] = InputStream["C:\Mathematica\AvzAgn.m", 118],
InputStream["c:\mathematicalavzagn.m", 119]\}
$\operatorname{In}[2535]:=\mathbf{t}=\{ \} ; \operatorname{For}[\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{k}<=\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{k}++$, AppendTo[t, \{Read[S], Read[S1] $\}]]$ Out[2535]= \{"RANS1", "RANS1", "RANS2", "RANS2", "RANS3", "RANS3"\}
Meanwhile, it must be kept in mind that the special attention at opening of the same datafile ondifferent channels is necessary and, above all, at various modes of access to the datafile in order to avoid of the possible especial and erroneous situations, including distortion of data in the datafile. Whereas in certain cases this approach at operating with large enough datafiles can give quite notable temporal effect along with simplification of certain algorithms of data processing which are in datafiles. The interesting enough examples of usage of the given approach can be found in our books [30-33].

Similar to the Maple system theMathematica system has very useful means for work with the pointer defining the current position of scanning of a file. The following functions provide such work, namely: StreamPosition, Skip, SetStreamPosition, Find. The functionsStreamPosition, SetStreamPosition allow to make monitoring of the current position of the pointer of an open datafile and to establish for it a new position respectively. Moreover, on the closed or nonexistent datafiles the calls of these functions cause erroneous situations. Reaction to the status of a datafile of theSkip function is similar, while the function callFind opens a stream on reading from a datafile. The sense of the presented functions is rather transparent and in more detail it is possible to familiarize with them, for instance, in [30,33]. In connection with the told arises the question of definition of the status of a datafile- opened, closed or doesn't exist. In this regard theFileOpenQ procedure can be quite useful, whose source code with examples of application represents the next fragment together with an example of usage of the standardSkip function.
In[2542] := FileOpenQ[F_/; StringQ[F]] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{a}=$ FileType[F], b, d, $\mathbf{x}=$ inputstream, $\mathbf{y}=$ outputstream, $\mathbf{c}=$ Map[ToString1, StreamsU[]], $\mathbf{f}=$ ToLowerCase[StringReplace[F, "‘"-> "/"]]]\}, A[x_] := Module[\{a1 = ToString1[x], b1 = StringLength[ToString[Head[x]]]\}, ToExpression["\{" <> StrStr[Head[x]] <> "," <>

StringTake[a1, $\{\mathbf{b 1}+2,-2\}]<>$ "\} "] ]; If[MemberQ[\{Directory, None\}, a], Return[\$Failed], Clear[inputstream, outputstream]; d = ToExpression[ToLowerCase[StringReplace[ToString1[Map[A, StreamsU[]]], "<br>"-> "/"]]]; $\mathbf{a}=\operatorname{Select}[\mathbf{d}, \#[[2]]===\mathbf{f} \&] ;$ If[a == \{\}, \{inputstream, outputstream $\}=\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}$; False, $a=\{$ ReplaceAll[a, \{inputstream-> "read", outputstream $->$ "write" $\}$ ], $\{$ inputstream, outputstream $\}=\{x, y\}\}[[1]]]]$; If[Length[a] == 1, $a[[1]], a]]$

In[2543] := OpenRead["C:\Temp\cinema.txt"]; Write["rans.ian"];
Write["C:\Temp/Summ.doc"]; Write["C:\Temp/Grin.pdf"]
In[2544]:= Map[FileOpenQ, \{ "rans.ian", "C:\Temp\Grin.pdf",
"C:\Temp/Summ.doc", "C:\Temp/cinema.txt"\}]
Out[2544]= \{\{"write", "rans.ian", 85\}, \{"write", "c:/temp/grin.pdf", 87\}, \{"write", "c:/temp/summ.doc", 86\}, \{"read", "c:/temp/cinema.txt", 84\}\}
In[2545]:= Map[FileOpenQ, \{"C:\Temp\Books.doc", "C:\Books.doc"\}]

Out[2545]= \{ \{\}, \$Failed $\}$
The procedure call FileOpenQ[F] returns the nested list $\{\{\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{F}$, Channel $\}, \ldots$.$\} if a$ datafile $\boldsymbol{F}$ is open on reading/writing( $\boldsymbol{R}=\{$ "read"|"write" $\}$ ), $\boldsymbol{F}$ defines actually the datafileF in the stylized format(LowerCase+all"|"are replaced on"/") whileChannel defines the logical channel on which the datafile $\boldsymbol{F}$ in the mode specified by the first element of the list $\boldsymbol{R}$ was open; if datafile $\boldsymbol{F}$ is closed, the empty list is returned, i.e. \{\}, if datafile $F$ is absent, then $\$$ Failed is returned. At that, the nested list is used with the purpose, that the datafile $\boldsymbol{F}$ can be opened according to syntactically various file specifiers, for example, "Agn47" and"AGN47", allowing to carry out its processing in the different modes simultaneously.
TheFileOpenQ1 procedure is an useful enough extension of theFileOpenQ procedure considered above. The procedure callFileOpenQ1[F] returns the nested list of the format $\{\{\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \ldots, z\},\{\{\boldsymbol{R}, \mathbf{x 1}, \boldsymbol{y} \mathbf{1}, \ldots, z 1\}\}$ if a datafile $\boldsymbol{F}$ is open for reading or writing $(\boldsymbol{R}=$ \{"in"|"out"\}), and $\boldsymbol{F}$ defines the datafile in any format(Register+"/" and/or"\"); if the datafile $\boldsymbol{F}$ is closed or is absent, the empty list is returned, i.e. $\}$. Moreover, sublists $\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$, $\ldots, z\}$ and $\{\mathbf{x 1}, \mathbf{y 1}, \ldots, \mathbf{z 1}\}$ define datafiles or full paths to them that are open for reading and writing respectively. Moreover, if in the current session all user datafiles are closed, except system files, the callFileOPenQ1 $[\boldsymbol{x}]$ on an arbitrary string $\boldsymbol{x}$ returns $\$ \mathbf{F a i l e d}$. The datafiles and paths to them are returned in formats which are defined in the list returned by the function callStreams[],irrespective of the format of the datafileF. The following fragment presents source code of the FileOpenQ1 procedure along with typical examples of its usage.

In[2615] := FileOpenQ1[F_/; StringQ[F]] := Module[\{a = StreamFiles[], b, c, d, k = 1, j\}, If[a === "AllFilesClosed", Return[False], c = StringReplace[ToLowerCase[F], "/"-> """]; b = Mapp[StringReplace, Map[ToLowerCase, a], "/"-> "\"]]; For[k, k <= 2, k++, For[j = 2, j <= Length[b[[k]]], j++, If[Not[SuffPref[b[[k]][[j]], c, 2] || SuffPref[b[[k]][[j]], """ <> c, 2]], a[[k]][[j]] = Null; Continue[l, Continue[]]]]; b = Mapp[Select, a, ! \# === Null \&]; b = Select[b, Length[\#] > 1 \&]; If[Length[b] == 1, b[[1]], b]]
In[2616] := OpenWrite["Kherson.doc"]; OpenWrite["C:/Temp/Books.doc"];
OpenWrite["RANS"]; OpenRead["C:/TemplCinema.txt"];
Read["C:/Temp\Cinema.txt", Byte];
In[2617] := CloseAll[]; FileOpenQ1["AvzAgnArtKrSv"]
Out[2617]= False
In[2618]:= Map[FileOpenQ1, \{"Kherson.doc", "C:/Temp\Books.doc",
"RANS", "C:/Temp\Cinema.txt", "Agn"\}] Out[2618]= \{\{"out", "Kherson.doc"\}, \{"out", "C:/Temp\Books.doc"\}, \{"out", "RANS"\}, \{"in", "C:/TemplCinema.tx""\}, \{\}\}
In[2619]:= Map[FileOpenQ1, \{"Kherson.doc", "C:/Temp\Books.doc", "RANS", "C:/TemplCinema.txt", "Agn"\}] Out[2619]= \{\{"write", "kherson.doc", 1458\}, \{"write", "c:/temp/books.doc", 1459\}, \{"write", "rans", 1460\}, \{"read", "c:/temp/cinema.txt", 1461\}, \$Failed\}
So, functions of access Skip, Find, StreamPosition andSetStreamPosition provide quite effective tools for rather thin manipulation with datafiles and in combination with a
number of other functions of access they provide the user with the standard set of functions for processing of datafiles, and give opportunity on their base to create own tools allowing how to solve specific problems of work with datafiles, and in a certain degree to extend standard opportunities of the system. A number of similar means is presented and in the present book, and in ourAVZ_Package package [48]. In addition to the represented standard operations of datafiles processing, a number of other means of the package rather significantly facilitates effective programming of higher level at the solution of many problems of datafiles processing and management of the system. Naturally, the consideration rather in details of earlier presented tools of access todatafiles and the subsequent tools doesn't enter purposes of the present book therefore we will present relatively them only short excursus in the form of a brief information with some comments on the represented means.
Among standard means of the datafiles processing, the following functions can be noted, namely: FileNames- depending on the coding format returns the list of full paths to the datafiles and/or directories contained in the given directory onto arbitrary nesting depth in file system of the computer. While the functionsCopyFile, RenameFile, DeleteFile serve forcopying,renaming andremoval of the given datafiles accordingly. Except listed means for work with datafiles theMathematica has a number of rather useful functions that here aren't consideredbut with which the interested reader can familiarize in reference base of the system or in the corresponding literature [55,60,64]. Along with the above functionsOpenRead, OpenWrite, Read, Write, Skip andStreams of the lowest level of access to datafiles, the functionsGet, Put, Export,Import, ReadList, BinaryReadList, BinaryWrite, BinaryRead are not less important for support of access to datafiles which support operations of reading and writing of data of the required format. With these means along with a whole series of rather interesting examples and features of their use, at last with certain critical remarks to their address the reader can familiarize in [30-33]. Meantime, these tools of access together with already considered means and means remaining without our attention form a rather developed system of effective processing of datafiles of various formats.

On the other hand, along with actually processing of the internal contents of datafiles, theMathematica has a number of means for search of files, their testing, work with their names, etc. We will list only some of them, namely: FindFile, FileExistsQ,
FileNameDepth, FileNameSplit, ExpandFileName, FileNameJoin, FileBaseName, FileNameTake. With the given means along with a whole series of rather interesting examples and features of their use, at last with certain critical remarks to their address the reader can familiarize in [30-33]. In particular, as it was noted earlier, theFileExistsQ function like some other functions of access in the course of search is limited only to the directories defined in the predetermined\$Path variable. For the purpose of elimination of this shortcoming simple enoughFileExistsQ1 procedure has been offered. The next fragment represents source code of theFileExistsQ1 procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2534]:= FileExistsQ1[x__/; StringQ[\{x\}[[1]]]] := Module[\{b = \{x\}, a = SearchFile[\{x\}[[1]]]\}, If[a== \{\}, False, If[Length[b] == 2 \&\& ! HowAct[b[[2]]], ToExpression[ToString[b[[2]]] <>" =" <>ToString1[a]], Null]; True]] In[2535]:= \{FileExistsQ1["Mathematica.doc", t], t \}

Out[2535]= \{True, \{"C:\Mathematica\Mathematica.doc",
" E:\Mathematica\Mathematica.doc"\}\}
In[2536]:= FileExistsQ["Books.doc"]
Out[2536]= False
In[2537]:= FileExistsQ1["Books.doc"]
Out[2537]= True
In[2538]:= FileExistsQ1["Book_avz.doc"]
Out[2538]= False
The procedure call FileExistsQ1[x] with one actual argument returnsTrue if $\boldsymbol{x}$ determines a datafile, really existing in the file system of the computer and False otherwise; whereas the callFileExistsQ1[ $x, y$ ] in addition through the actual argumenty -an undefinite variable- returns the list of full paths to the found datafilex if the main result of the call isTrue.

The previous procedure enough essentially uses the SearchFile procedure providing search of the given datafile in file system of the computer. At that, the procedure callSearchFile[ $f$ ] returns the list of paths to a datafilef found within file system of the computer; in the case of absence of the required file $f$ the procedure callSearchFile[ $f$ ] returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. We will note, the procedureSearchFile essentially uses the standardRun function of the Mathematica system that is used by a number of tools of ourAVZ_Package package [48]. The fragment below represents source code of theSearchFile procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2532]:= SearchFile[F_/; StringQ[F]]:= Module[\{a, b, f, dir, h =
StringReplace[ToUpperCase[F], "/"-> " $\mid "]\},\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{f}\}=\{$ Map[ToUpperCase[\#] <> ":!" \&, Adrive[]], \{\},
ToString[Unique["d"]] <> ".txt" \}; dir[y_/; StringQ[y]] := Module[\{a, b, c, v\}, Run["Dir " <> "/A/B/S " <> y <> " > " <> f]; c = \{\}; Label[b];
a = StringReplace[ToUpperCase[ToString[v = Read[f, String]]], "/"-> "|"]; If[a == "ENDOFFILE", Close[f]; DeleteFile[f];
Return[c], If[SuffPref[a, h, 2], If[FileExistsQ[v], AppendTo[c, v]]; Goto[b], Goto[b]]l]; For[k = 1, k <= Length[a], k++, AppendTo[b, dir[a[[k]]]]]; Flatten[b]]
In[2533]:= SearchFile["AVZ_Package.nb"]
Out[2533]= \{"C:IUsers\Aladjev\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.nb",
" E:\AVZ_Package\AVZ_Package.nb"\}
In[2534]:= SearchFile["init.m"]
Out[2534]= \{"C:\Program Files\Wolfram Research\Mathematica\10.0
\AddOns\Applications\AuthorTools\Kernellinit.m",
==============================================" "C:|Users\All
UserslMathematica\Kernel\init.m"\}
In[2535] := Length[\%]
Out[2535]= 100
In[2536]:= SearchFile["Mathematica.doc"]

Out[2536]= \{"C:LMathematicalMathematica.doc",
" E:\MathematicalMathematica.doc"\}
In[2537]:= SearchFile["AVZ_AGN_VSV_ART_KR.590"]
Out[2537]= \{ $\}$
In[2538]:= SearchFile["Cinema.txt"]
Out[2538]= \{"C:TTemp\Cinema.txt" $\}$
In[2587]:= SearchFile1[x_/; StringQ[x]] := Module[\{a, b, c, d, f= \{\}, $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}\}$,
If[PathToFileQ[x], If[FileExistsQ[x], x, \{\}], a = \$Path; f
=Select[Map[If[FileExistsQ[\# <> "’" <> ToUpperCase[x]], \#,
"Null"] \&, a], \# != "Null" \&]; If[f != \{\}, f, d = Map[\# <> ":’" \&, Adrive[]]; For[k, k <= Length[d], k++, a = Quiet[FileNames["*", d[[k]], Infinity]]; f = Join[f, Select[Map[If[FileExistsQ[\#] \&\& SuffPref[ToUpperCase[\#], """ <>ToUpperCase[x], 2], \#, "Null"]\&, a], \# != "Null" \&]l]; If[f == \{\}, \{\}, f]l]]
In[2588] := SearchFile1["BirthDay.doc"]
Out[2588]= \{"C:\Temp\Birthday.doc",
"E:\ARCHIVE\MISCELLANY\ Birthday.doc", "E:\Temp\Birthday.doc"\}
In[2589]:= SearchFile1["Cinema.txt"]
Out[2589]= \{"C:\Program Files\Wolfram Research\Mathematica\10.0
\SystemFilesLLinks"\}
In[2590]:= SearchFile1["C:\Mathematica\Tuples.doc"]
Out[2590]= "C:\MathematicalTuples.doc"
The SearchFile1 procedure which is a functional analog of theSearchFile procedure completes the previous fragment. The callSearchFile[F] returns the list of full paths to a datafile $\boldsymbol{F}$ found within file system of the computer; in the case of absence of the required file $\boldsymbol{F}$ the procedure callSearchFile[F] returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. Unlike the previous procedure theSearchFile1 procedure seeks out a datafile in3 stages:(1) if the required datafile is given by the full path onlyexistence of the concrete datafile is checked, at detection the full path to it is returned,(2) search is done in the list of the directories determined by the predetermined\$Path variable,(3) search is done within all file system of the computer. The procedureSearchFile1 essentially uses the procedureAdrive that is used by a number of our means of access [48]. It should be noted that speed of both procedures generally very essentially depends on the sizes of file system of the computer, first of all, if a required datafile isn't defined by the full path and isn't in the directories determined by the\$Path variable. Moreover, in this case the search is done even in the Windows"C:<br>\$Recycle.Bin" directory.

Along with means of processing of external datafiles the system has also the set of useful enough means for manipulation with directories of both the Mathematica, and file system of the personal computer in general. We will list only some of these important functions, namely:
DirectoryQ[D]-the call returns True if a stringDdefines an existing directory, and False otherwise;unfortunately,the standard procedure at coding"/"at the end of the stringDreturns False irrespective of existence of the tested directory; a quite simpleDirQprocedure eliminates the defect of this standard means.

In[2602]:= DirQ[d_/; StringQ[d]] := DirectoryQ[StringReplace[d, "/"-> "|"]]
In[2603] := Map1[\{DirectoryQ, DirQ\}, \{"C:/Mathematical"\}] Out[2603]= \{True, True
In[2604]:= Map1[\{DirectoryQ, DirQ\}, \{"C:/Mathematica/"\}]
Out[2604]= \{False, True\}
In[2605]:= Map1[\{DirectoryQ, DirQ\}, \{"C:/Mathematica"\}]
Out[2605]= \{True, True $\}$
DirectoryName[ W]-the call returns a path to a directory containing datafileW; moreover, ifWis a real subdirectory,the chain of subdirectories to it is returned;at that,taking into account the file concept that identifies datafiles and subdirectories, and the circumstance that the callDirectoryName[W]doesn't consider the actual existence ofW,similar approach in a certain measure could be considered justified, but on condition of taking into account of reality of a tested pathWsuch approach causes certain questions. Therefore from this standpoint a quite simpleDirName procedure which returns"None"ifWis a subdirectory,the path to a subdirectory containing datafileW,and\$Failedotherwise is offered. Moreover,search is done within all file system of the computer, but not within only system of subdirectories determined by the predetermined\$Pathvariable.
In[2605]:= DirName[F_/; StringQ[F]] := If[DirQ[F], "None",
If[! FileExistsQ1[F], \$Failed, Quiet[Check[FileNameJoin[FileNameSplit[F][[1;-2]]], "None"]II]
In[2606] := Map[DirectoryName, \{"C:/Temp/Cinema.txt", "C:/Temp"\}] Out[2606]= \{"D:\MathMyLib"", "D:""\}
In[2607]:= Map[DirName, \{"C:/Temp/Cinema.txt", "C:/Temp", "G:\"\}] Out[2607]= \{"Temp", "None", \$Failed\}
CreateDirectory $\boldsymbol{d} \mathbf{d}$-the call creates the given directorydwith return of the path to it;meanwhile this tool doesn't work in the case of designation of the nonexistent device of external memory (disk,flash card,etc.) therefore we created a rather simple
CDirprocedure which resolves this problem:the procedure callCDir[d]creates the given directorydwith return of the full path to it; in the absence or inactivity of the device of external memory the directory is created on a device from the list of active devices of external memory that has the maximal volume of available memory with returning of the full path to it:
In[2612]:= CDir[d_/; StringQ[d]] := Module[\{a\},
Quiet[If[StringTake[d, \{2, 2\}] == ":", If[MemberQ[a, StringTake[d, 1]],
CreateDirectory[d], a = Adrive[]; CreateDirectory[Sort[a, FreeSpaceVol[\#1] >=FreeSpaceVol[\#2] \&][[1]]<> StringTake[d, \{2,-1\}]]l], CreateDirectory[d]]I]
In[2613] := CreateDirectory["G:\Temp\GSV/ArtKr"]
CreateDirectory::nffil: File not found during CreateDirectory... >>
Out[2613]= \$Failed
In[2614]:= CDir["G:\TemplGSV/ArtKr"]
Out[2614]= "G:\Temp\GSV\ArtKr"
In[2615]:= CDir["A:/Temp\AVZ\Tallinn\IAN|Grodno/Kherson"]

Out[2615]= "C:\Temp\AVZ\Tallinn\IAN\Grodno\Kherson"
CopyDirectory [d1, d2]-the function call completely copies ad1directory into a d2directory,however in the presence of the accepting directoryd2the function call CopyDirectory[d1,d2]causes an erroneous situation with return of\$Failedthat in a number of cases is undesirable.For the purpose of elimination of such situation a rather simpleCopyDirfunction can be offered, which in general is similar to the standardCopyDirectoryfunction,but with the difference that in the presence of the accepting directoryd2thed1directory is copied as a subdirectory of thed2with returning of the full path to it,for example:
In[2625] := CopyDirectory["C:/Mathematica", "C:/Temp"]
CopyDirectory::filex: Cannot overwrite existing file C:/Temp. >> Out[2626]= \$Failed

In[2626]:= CopyDir[d_/; StringQ[d], p_/; StringQ[p]] := CopyDirectory[d, If[DirQ[p], p <> """ >> FileNameSplit[d][[-1]], p]] In[2627]:=
CopyDir["C:/Mathematica", "C:/Temp"]
Out[2627]= "C:\Temp\Mathematica"
DeleteDirectory[ W]-the call deletes from file system of the computer the given directoryWwith return Null, i.e. nothing,regardless of attributes of the directory (Archive,Read-only,Hidden,System).Meanwhile,such approach,in our opinion, isn't quite justified,relying only on the circumstance that the user is precisely sure that he deletes. While in the general case there has to be an insurance from removal, for example, of the datafiles and directories having such attributes as Read-only ( $\mathbf{R}$ ), Hidden (H) and System (S).To this end,for example,it is possible before removal of an element of file system to previously check up its attributes what useful enough Attribprocedure considered in the following section provides.

The reader can familiarize with other useful enough means of processing of datafiles and directories in reference base on theMathematica system and, in particular, in such editions, as [28-33,51-53,60,62,64,71].

### 7.3. Means of theMathematicasystem for processing of attributes of directories and datafiles

The Mathematica system has no means for work with attributes of datafiles and directories what, in our opinion, is a rather essential shortcoming, first of all, at creation on its basis of various data processing systems. By the way, similar means are absent also in theMaple system therefore we created for it a set of procedures \{Atr, $\boldsymbol{F}_{\mathbf{-}} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{a t r}, \boldsymbol{F}_{-} \boldsymbol{a t r} 1$, $\boldsymbol{F}_{-} \boldsymbol{a t r 2 \}}$ [47] which have solved the given problem. The means represented below solve the similar problem for theMathematica system too. The following fragment represents theAttrib procedure providing processing of attributes of datafiles and directories.

In[2670] := Attrib[F_/; StringQ[F], x_/; ListQ[x] \& \&
DeleteDuplicates[Map3[MemberQ, \{"-A", "-H", "-S", "-R", "+A", "+H", "+S", " $+\mathbf{R} "\}, \mathbf{x}]]==\{\operatorname{True}\}\|\mathbf{x}==\{ \}\| \mathbf{x}==$ "Attr"] := Module[\{a, b = "attrib ", c, $\mathbf{d}=$ " > ", $\mathbf{h}=$ "attrib.exe", p, f, g, t, v\},
a = ToString[v = Unique["ArtKr"]]; If[Set[t, LoadExtProg["attrib.exe"]] === \$Failed, Return[\$Failed], Null]; If[StringLength[F] == 3 \&\& DirQ[F] \&\&

StringTake[F, \{2, 2\}] == ":", Return["Drive " <> F], If[StringLength[F] == 3 \&\& $\operatorname{DirQ}[F], f=$ StandPath[F],
If[FileExistsQ1[StrDelEnds[F, " $\backslash$ ", 2], v], g = v;
f = StandPath[g[[1]]]; Clear[v],
Return["<" <> F <> "> is not a directory or a datafile"]]ll]; If[x === "Attr", Run[b <> f <> d <> a],
If[x $===\{ \}$, Run[b <> "-A-H-S-R" $<>$ f <> d <> a],
Run[b <> StringReplace[StringJoin[x], \{"+"->" +", "-"-> "-"\}] <> " " <> f <> d <> all];

If[FileByteCount[a] == 0, Return[DeleteFile[a]],
d = Read[a, String]; DeleteFile[Close[a]]]; h = StringSplit[StringTrim[StringTake[d,
\{ 1, StringLength[d]-StringLength[f]\}]l]; Quiet[DeleteFile[t]]; h = Flatten[h /.
\{"HR"-> \{"H", "R"\}, "SH"-> \{"S", "H"\}, "SHR"-> \{"S", "H", "R"\}, "SRH"->
\{"S", "R", "H"\}, "HSR"-> \{"H", "S", "R"\}, "HRS"-> \{"H", "R", "S"\}, "RSH"-> \{"R", "S", "H"\}, "RHS"-> \{"R", "H", "S"\}\}];
If[h === \{"File", "not", "found", "-"\}||
MemberQ[h, "C:[Documents"], "Drive" <> f, \{h, g[[1]]\}]]
In[2671] := Attrib["C:\Temp\Cinema.txt", \{"+A", "+S", "+R"\}] In[2672]:=
Attrib["Cinema.txt", $\{"+\mathbf{A} ", ~ "+S ", "+$ R" $\}$ ]
In[2673]:= Attrib["C:\Temp\Cinema.txt", "Attr"]
Out[2673]= \{ \{"A", "S", "R"\}, "C:\Temp\Cinema.txt" $\}$
In[2674]:= Attrib["Cinema.txt", "Attr"]
Out[2674]= \{ \{"A", "S", "R"\}, "C:\Program Files\Wolfram Research
Mathematica \10.0\Cinema.txt"\} In[2675]:= Attrib["C:\Temp\Cinema.txt", \{\}]
In[2676]:= Attrib["C:\Temp\Cinema.txt", "Attr"]
Out[2676]= \{ \{\}, "C:\Temp\Cinema.txt" $\}$
In[2677]:= Attrib["C:\", "Attr"]
Out[2677]= "Drive C:\"
In[2678]:= Attrib["G:l", "Attr"]
Out[2678]= "<G:1> is not a directory or a datafile"
In[2679]:= Attrib["RANS.IAN", "Attr"]
Out[2679]= \{\{"A"\}, "C:\Users\Aladjev\Documents\rans.ian"\}
In[2680] := Attrib["RANS.IAN", \{"+A", "+S", "+H", "+R"\}]
In[2681]:= Attrib["RANS.IAN", "Attr"]
Out[2681]= \{\{"A", "S", "H", "R"\},
" C:\Users\Aladjev\Documents\rans.ian"\} In[2682]:= Attrib["RANS.IAN", \{"-S", "-
R", "-H"\}]
In[2683]:= Attrib["RANS.IAN", "Attr"]
Out[2683]= \{\{"A"\}, "C:\Users\Aladjev\Documents\rans.ian"\} In[2684]:=
Attrib["c:/temp\", "Attr"]

Out[2684] $=\{\{ \},\{" \mathrm{C}:$ :Temp" $\}\}$
In[2685]:= Attrib["c:/temp\", \{"+A"\}]
In[2686]:= Attrib["c:/templ", "Attr"]
Out[2686] $=\{$ \{"A" $\}$, "C: $\backslash$ Temp" $\}$
The successful procedure call Attrib[f,"Attr"] returns the list of attributes of a given datafile or directoryf in the contextArchive("A"), Read-only("R"), Hidden("H") andSystem(" $S$ "). At that, also other attributes inherent to the system datafiles and directories are possible; thus, in particular, on the main directories of devices of external memory"Drive $f$ ", while on a nonexistent directory or datafile the message" $f$ isn't a directory or datafile" is returned. At that, the call is returned in the form of the list of the format $\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \ldots, \mathbf{z}, \boldsymbol{F}\}$ where the last element determines a full path to a datafile or directoryf; the datafiles and subdirectories of the same name can be in various directories, however processing of attributes is made only concerning the first datafile/ directory from the list of the objects of the same name. If the full path to a datafile/directoryf is defined as the first argument of theAttrib procedure, specifically only this object is processed. The elements of the returned list that precede its last element determine attributes of a processed directory or datafile. The procedure callAttrib[f, \{\}] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, canceling all attributes for a processed datafile/directory $f$ whereas the procedure call Attrib[f, $\{$ " $x$ ",
 returnsNull, i.e. nothing, setting/cancelling the attributes of the processed datafile/directoryf determined by the second argument. At impossibility to execute processing ofattributes the procedure callAttrib[f,x] returns the corresponding messages. TheAttrib procedure allows to carry out processing of attributes of both the file, and the directory located in any place of file system of the computer. This procedure is represented to us as a rather useful means for operating with file system of the computer. In turn, the followingAttrib1 procedure in many respects is similar to the Attrib procedure both in the functional, and in the descriptive relation, but theAttrib1 procedure has certain differences. The successful procedure call Attrib[f,"Attr"] returns the list of attributes in string format of a directory or datafilef in the contextArchive ("A"),Read-only ("R"),Hidden ("H"),System ("S"). The procedure callAttrib1[f, \{ \}] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, canceling all attributes for the processed datafile/directoryf whereas the procedure call Attrib1[f, $\{$ " $x ", " y ", \ldots$, "z" $\}]$ wherex, $y, z \in\{$ "-A", "-H", "-S", "-R", "+A", "+H", "+S", "+R"\}, also returnsNull, i.e. nothing, setting/cancelling the attributes of the processed datafile/directoryf determined by the second argument, while callAttrib1 $[f, x, y]$ with the $3 r d$ optional argumenty -an expression- in addition deletes the program file"attrib.exe" from the directory determined by the callDirectory[]. The following fragment represents source code of the Attrib1 procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2670] := Attrib1[F_/; StringQ[F], x_/; ListQ[x] \&\&
DeleteDuplicates[Map3[MemberQ, \{"-A", "-H", "-S", "-R", "+A", "+H", "+S", "+R"\}, $\mathbf{x}]]==\{$ True $\}\|x==\{ \}\| \mathbf{x}==$ "Attr", y__] := Module[\{ $\mathbf{a}=$ "\$ArtKr\$", $\mathbf{b}=$ "attrib ", c, d = " > ", h = "attrib.exe",
p, f, g = Unique["agn"] \}, If[LoadExtProg["attrib.exe"] === \$Failed, Return[\$Failed], Null]; If[StringLength[F] == 3 \&\& DirQ[F] \&\& StringTake[F, \{2, 2\}] == ":",

Return["Drive " <> F], If[StringLength[F] == 3 \& \& DirQ[F], f = StandPath[F], If[FileExistsQ1[StrDelEnds[StringReplace[F, "/" -> "\"], "\", 2], g];
$\mathrm{f}=$ StandPath[g[[1]]]; Clear[g],
Return["<" <> F <> "> is not a directory or a datafile"]ll]; If[x === "Attr", Run[b <> f <> d <> al,
If[x $===\{ \}$, Run[b <> "-A-H-S-R" $<>$ f $<>$ d $<>$ a],
Run[b <> StringReplace[StringJoin[x], \{"+"->" +", "-"-> "-"\}] <> " " <> f <> d <> a]l];

## If[FileByteCount[a] == 0, Return[DeleteFile[a]],

d = Read[a, String]; DeleteFile[Close[a]]]; h = StringSplit[StringTrim[StringTake[d, \{1, StringLength[d]-StringLength[f]\}]]l; Quiet[DeleteFile[f]];
If[ \{y\}!= \{\}, DeleteFile[Directory[] <> "\" <> "attrib.exe"], Null]; h = Flatten[h /. \{"HR"-> \{"H", "R"\}, "SH"-> \{"S", "H"\}, "SHR"-> \{"S", "H", "R"\}, "SRH"-> \{"S", "R", "H"\}, "HSR"-> \{"H", "S", "R"\}, "HRS"-> \{"H", "R", "S"\}, "RSH"-> \{"R", "S", "H"\}, "RHS"-> \{"R", "H", "S"\}\}];
If[h === \{"File", "not", "found", "-"\}|| MemberQ[h, "C:LDocuments"], "Drive" <> f, h]

In[2671] := Mapp[Attrib1, \{"C:/tmp/a b c", "C:/tmp/I a n.doc"\}, \{"+A", "+R"\}] Out[2671]= \{Null, Null $\}$
In[2672]:= Mapp[Attrib1, \{"C:/tmp/a b c", "C:\tmp\I a n.doc"\}, "Attr"] Out[2672]= \{\{"A", "R"\}, \{"A", "R"\}\}
In[2673]:= Attrib1["G:\Temp\Cinema.txt", "Attr"]
Out[2673]= \{"A", "S", "R"\}
In[2674]:= Attrib1["G:\Temp\Cinema.txt", \{\}]
In[2675]:= Attrib1["G:\Temp\Cinema.txt", "Attr"]
Out[2675]= \{\}
Both procedures essentially use our procedures LoadExtProg, StrDelEnds, StandPath, FileExistsQ1 andDirQ along with usage of the standardRun function and theAttrib function of theMS DOS operating system. At that, the possibility of removal of the"attrib.exe" program file from the directory which is defiined by the callDirectory[] after a call of theAttrib1 procedure leaves fileMathematica system unchanged. So, in implementation of both procedures the systemRun function was enough essentially used, that has the following coding format, namely:
Run[ s1,..., sn]-in the basic operational system (for example, MS DOS) executes a command formed from expressionssj(j=1..n) which are parted by blank symbols with return of code of success of the command completion in the form of an integer. As a rule, theRunfunction doesn't demand of an interactive input,but on certain operational platforms it generates text messages.To some extent theRunfunction is similar to the functions \{system, ssystem\}of theMaplesystem.In [33]rather interesting examples of application of theRunfor performance in the environment of theMathematicawith theMS DOScommands are represented.
We will note that usage of the Run function illustrates one of useful enough methods of
providing the interface with the basic operational platform, but heretwo very essential moments take place. Above all, the function on some operational platforms(for example,Windows XP Professional) demands certain external reaction of the user at an exit from theMathematica environment into an operational environment, and secondly, a call by means of theRun function of functions or the systemDOS commands assumes their existence in the directories system determined by the\$Path variable since otherwise Mathematica doesn't recognize them. In particular, similar situation takes place in the case of usage of the externalDOS commands, for this reason in realization of the proceduresAttrib andAttrib1 that thru theRun function use the externalattrib command of DOS system, a connection to system of directories of\$Path of the directories containing the "attrib.exe" utility has been provided whereas for internal commands of theDOS it isn't required.

So, at using of the internal dir command ofDOS system of an extension of the list of directories defined by the $\$$ Path isn't required. At the same time, on the basis of standardreception on the basis of extension of the list defined by the $\$$ Path variable theMathematica doesn't recognize the externalDOS commands. In this regard a rather simple procedure has been created whose successful callLoadExtProg[x] provides search in file system of the computer of a program $x$ given by the full name with its subsequent copying into the subdirectory defined by the callDirectory[]. The successful procedure call LoadExtProg $[x]$ searches out a datafilex in file system of the computer and copies it into the directory defined by the function callDirectory[],returning Directory[]<>"|"<>x if the datafile already was in this subdirectory or has been copied into this directory. In addition the first directory containing the found datafilex supplements the list of the directories determined by the predetermined $\$$ Path variable. Whereas the procedure callLoadExtProg $[x, y]$ with the second optional argumenty -an undefinite variable- in addition throughy returns the list of all full paths to the found datafilex without a modification of the directories list determined by the predetermined $\$$ Path variable. In the case of absence of opportunity to find a required datafilex $\boldsymbol{\$ F a i l e d}$ is returned. The following fragment represents source code of the LoadExtProg procedure with examples of its application, in particular, for uploading into the directory defined by the the function callDirectory[] of a copy of external"attrib.exe" command of MS DOS with check of the result.
In[2566] := LoadExtProg[x_/; StringQ[x], y__] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\operatorname{Directory[],~b~=~}$ Unique["agn"], c, d, h\}, If[PathToFileQ[x] \&\& FileExistsQ[x], CopyFileToDir[x, Directory[]], If[PathToFileQ[x] \& \& ! FileExistsQ[x], \$Failed, d = a <> """ <> x; If[FileExistsQ[d], d, h = FileExistsQ1[x, b];
If[h, CopyFileToDir[b[[1]], a];
If $[\{y\}==\{ \}$, AppendTo[\$Path,
FileNameJoin[FileNameSplit[b[[1]]][[1 ;;-2]]]], y = b]; d, \$Failed]]]]]
In[2567] := LoadExtProg["C:\attrib.exe"]
Out[2567]= \$Failed
In[2568]:= LoadExtProg["attrib.exe"]
Out[2568]= "C:\Users\Aladjev\Documentslattrib.exe" In[2569]:=
FileExistsQ[Directory[] <> "" <> "attrib.exe"]

Out[2569]= True
In[2570]:= LoadExtProg["tlist.exe"]
Out[2570]= \$Failed
In[2571]:= LoadExtProg["tasklist.exe", t]
Out[2571]= "C:IUsers\Aladjev\Documents\tasklist.exe" In[2572]:= t
Out[2572]= \{"C:IWINDOWSISystem32\tasklist.exe",
" C:IWINDOWSISysWOW64\tasklist.exe",
"C:IWINDOWSIwinsxslamd64_microsoftl-windows-
tasklist_31bf3856ad364e35_6.1.7600.16385_none_ 843823d87402ab361tasklist.exe", "C:IWINDOWS\winsxs\x86_microsoft-windows-
tasklist_31bf3856ad364le35_6.1.7600.16385_none_ 28198854bba53a00\tasklist.exe"\}
In[2573]:= Attrib1["C:\Temp\Cinema.txt", "Attr"]
Out[2573]= \{"A", "S", "R"\}
Therefore, in advance by means of the call LoadExtProg $[x]$ it is possible to provide access to a necessary datafilex if, of course, it exists in file system of the computer. Thus, using theLoadExtProg procedure in combination with the systemRun function, it is possible to carry out a number of very useful \{exe|com\}-programs in the environment of theMathematica- the programs of different purpose which are absent in file system ofMathematica thereby significantly extending the functionality of the software of theMathematica system that can be quite demanded by wide range of various appendices.
The above LoadExtProg procedure along with ourFileExistsQ1 procedure also uses theCopyFileToDir procedure whose the callCopyFileToDir $[x, y]$ provides copying of a datafile or directoryx into a directoryy with return of the full path to the copied datafile or directory. If the copied datafile already exists, it isn't updated if the target directory already exists, the directoryx is copied into its subdirectory of the same name. The next fragment represents source code of theCopyFileToDir procedure with examples of its usage.
In[2557]:= CopyFileToDir[x_/; PathToFileQ[x], y_/; DirQ[y]] := Module[\{a, b\}, If[DirQ[x], CopyDir[x, y], If[FileExistsQ[x], a = FileNameSplit[x][[-1]];
If[FileExistsQ[b = y <> " " <> a], b, CopyFile[x, b]], \$Failed]]]
In[2558] := CopyFileToDir["C:\Temp\Cinema.txt", "C:\Mathematica"] Out[2558]= "C:\MathematicalCinema.txt"
In[2559]:= CopyFileToDir["C:\Temp", "C:\Mathematica\Temp"] Out[2559]= "C:\Mathematica\Temp\Temp"
In[2560]:= CopyFileToDir["C:\Temp\Gefal.htm", "C:\Mathematica"] Out[2560]= "C:\MathematicalGefal.htm"

The given procedure has a variety of appendices in problems of processing of file system of the computer.

In conclusion of the section a rather useful procedure is represented which provides only two functions- (1) obtaining the list of the attributes ascribed to a datafile or directory, and(2) removal of all ascribed attributes. The call Attribs[x] returns the list of attributes in string format which are ascribed to a datafile or directoryx. On the main directories of volumes of direct access the procedure callAttribs returns\$Failed. While the
callAttribs $[x, y]$ with the second optional argumenty -an expression- deletes all attributes which are ascribed to a datafile or directoryx with returning at a successful call0. The following fragment represents source code of the procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.

In[2660]:= Attribs[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] || DirectoryQ[x], y__] := Module[\{b, a = StandPath[x], c ="attrib.exe", $\mathbf{d}=$ ToString[Unique["g"]], g\},
If[DirQ[x] \& \& StringLength[x] == 3 \& \& StringTake[x, \{2, 2\}] == ":", \$Failed, g[] := Quiet[DeleteFile[Directory[] <>">" <> c]]; If[! FileExistsQ[c], LoadExtProg[c]];

If[ \{y\}== \{\}, Run[c <> " " <> a <> " > ", d]; g[l; b =
Characters[StringReplace[StringTake[Read[d, String], \{1,-StringLength[a]-1\}]," "> ""]]; DeleteFile[Close[d]]; b, a = Run[c <> "-A-H-R-S " <> a]; g[l; a]l]

In[2661] := Attribs["C:\Temp\Avz"]
Out[2661]= \{"A", "S", "H", "R"\}
In[2662]:= Map[Attribs, \{"C:/", "E:\"\}]
Out[2662]= \{\$Failed, \$Failed
In[2663]:= Attribs["C:/Temp/Agn/aaa bbb ccc"]
Out[2663]= \{"A", "R"\}
In[2664]:= Attribs["C:/Temp/Agn/Elisa.pdf"]
Out[2664]= \{"R" $\}$
In[2665]:= Attribs["C:/Temp/Agn/Vsv\G r s u.doc"]
Out[2665]= \{"A", "R"\}
In[2666]:= Attribs["C:/Temp/Agn/Vsv\G r s u.doc", 90]
Out[2666] $=0$
In[2667]:= Attribs["C:/Temp/Agn/Vsv\G r s u.doc"]
Out[2667]= \{\}
It should be noted that as argument $\boldsymbol{x}$ the usage of an existing datafile, full path to a datafile, or a directory is supposed. At that, the file"attrib.exe" is removed from the directory defined by the callDirectory[] after a call of the procedure. TheAttribs procedure is enough fast-acting, supplementing the proceduresAttrib andAttrib1. TheAttribs procedure is effectively applied in programming of certain means of access to elements of file system of the computer at processing their attributes. Thus, it should be noted once again that theMathematica has no standard means for processing of attributes of datafiles and directories therefore the offered proceduresAttrib, Attrib1 and Attribs in a certain measure fill this niche.

So, the declared possibility of extension of the system of directories which is defined by the\$Path variable, generally doesn't operate already concerning the externalDOS commands what well illustrates both consideration of the above our
proceduresAttrib,Attrib1,LoadExtProg and an example with the external"tlist" command that is provided display of all active processes of the current session withWindowsXPProfessional system, namely:

In[2565] := Run["tlist", " > ", "C:\Temp\tlist.txt"]
Out[2565]= 1
In[2566]:= LoadExtProg["tlist.exe"];

Run["tlist", " > ", "C:\Temp\tlist.txt"] Out[2566]= 0
0 System Process 4 System
488 smss.exe
520 avgchsvx.exe
676 csrss.exe
716 winlogon.exe
760 services.exe
772 Isass.exe
940 ati2evxx.exe
960 svchost.exe
1016 svchost.exe
1092 svchost.exe
1240 svchost.exe
1300 vsmon.exe
1368 ati2evxx.exe 1656 explorer.exe 1680 ctfmon.exe
212 spoolsv.exe
348 svchost.exe
392 avgwdsvc.exe
660 jqs.exe
1168 svchost.exe
1448 MsPMSPSv.exe Program Manager 1548 AVGIDSAgent.exe
2204 avgnsx.exe
2284 avgemcx.exe
2852 alg.exe
3600 zlclient.exe
3764 avgtray.exe
3884 vprot.exe
3936 Skype.exe
4056 AVGIDSMonitor.exe 3316 AmplusnetPrivacyTools.exe 2256
FreeCommander.exe - 2248 WINWORD.EXE
4348 avgrsx.exe
4380 avgesrvx.exe
5248 Mathematica.exe
FreeCommander
Mathematica_Book-Microsoft Word
Wolfram Mathematica 10.0 - [Running.AVZ_Package.nb] 4760 MathKernel.exe
4080 javaw.exe
4780 cmd.exe
4808 tlist.exe
C:IWINDOWS 1 system32\cmd.exe
The first example of the previous fragment illustrates, that the attempt by means of theRun function to execute the externaltlist command ofDOS completes unsuccessfully(return code1) whereas a result of the procedure
callLoadExtProg["tlist.exe"] with search and download into the directory defined by the
callDirectory[] of the"tlist.exe" file, allows to successfully execute by means of theRun the external command tlist with preservation of result of its performance in thetxtfile whose context is presented in the text by the shaded area.
Meanwhile, use of external software on the basis of the Run function along with possibility of extension of functionality of theMathematica causes a rather seriousportability question. So, the means developed by means of this technique with use the externalDOS commands are subject to influence of variability of theDOS commands depending on version of a basic operating system. In a number of cases it demands a certain adaptation of the software according to a basic operating system.

### 7.4. Additional means of processing of datafiles and directories of file system of the computer

This section represents means of processing of datafiles and directories of file system of the computer that supplement and in certain cases and extend means of the previous section. Unlike the system functionsDeleteDirectory andDeleteFile the followingDelDirFile procedure removes a directory or datafilex from file system of the computer, returningNull, i.e. nothing. At that, the procedure callDelDirFile[ $x$ ] with one argument $\boldsymbol{x}$ is analogous to a callDeleteFile $[x]$ orDeleteDirectory $[x]$ depending on the type of argument $\boldsymbol{x}$ - a datafile or directory. Whereas the callDelDirFile $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ with the second optional argumenty -an arbitrary expression- deletes a datafile or a catalog even if datafilex or elements of directoryx of file system of the computer have theRead-only attribute; in that case before its removal the attributes of an elementx are cancelled, providing correct removal of the elementr what unlike the system means expands opportunities for removal of elements of file system of the computer. The procedure eccentially uses our procedures Attribs, DirQ, StandPath. The following fragment represents source code of theDelDirFile procedure along with examples of its most typical usage.

In[2560]:=DelDirFile[x_/; StringQ[x] \& \& DirQ[x]||FileExistsQ[x], y__]:= Module[ $\{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{a}=\{ \}, \mathbf{b}=\cdots, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}\}, \operatorname{lf}[\operatorname{DirQ}[x] \& \& \operatorname{If}[$ StringLength $[x]==3$ \& \& StringTake[x, $\{2,2\}]==$ ":", False, True],
If[ $\{\mathbf{y}\}==\{ \}$, Quiet[DeleteDirectory[x, DeleteContents-> True]], $\mathbf{a}=\{ \} ; \mathbf{b}=$ ""; $\mathbf{c}=$ StandPath[x]; f = "\$Art2618Kr\$"; Run["Dir" <> c <> "/A/B/OG/S > " <> f];
Attribs[c, 90]; For[k, k < Infinity, k++, b = Read[f, String]; If[SameQ[b, EndOfFile], DeleteFile[Close[f]]; Break[], Attribs[b, 90]I]]; DeleteDirectory[x, DeleteContents-> True]], If[FileExistsQ[x], If[\{y\}!=\{\}, Attribs[x, 90]]; Quiet[DeleteFile[x]], \$Failed]]]
In[2561]:= DelDirFile["F:\"]
Out[2561]= \$Failed
In[2562] := DeleteFile["C:\Temp\Excel11.pip"]
DeleteFile::privv: Privilege violation during DeleteFile... >>
Out[2562]= \$Failed
In[2563]:= DelDirFile["C:\Temp\Excel11.pip", 90]
In[2564]:= FileExistsQ["C:|Temp\Excel11.pip"]
Out[2564]= False

In[2565]:= Map1[\{DirectoryQ, Attribs\}, \{"C:\Temp\Agn"\}]
Out[2565]= \{True, \{"A", "S", "H", "R"\}\}
In[2566]:= DelDirFile["C:\Temp\Agn"]
Out[2566]= \$Failed
In[2567]:= DelDirFile["C:\Temp\Agn", 500]
In[2568]:= DirectoryQ["C:\Temp\Agn"]
Out[2568]= False
Meanwhile, before representation of the following means it is expedient to determine one rather useful procedure whose essence is as follows. As it was already noted above, a file qualifier depends both on a register of symbols, and the used dividers of directories. Thus, the same datafile with different qualifiers"C:\Temp\agn\cinema.txt"
and"C:/templagn/cinema.txt" opens intwo various streams. Therefore its closing by means of the standard Close function doesn't close the "cinema.txt" datafile, demanding closing of all streams on which it was earlier open. For solution of the given problem theClose1 procedure presented by the next fragment has been determined.
In[2580] := Streams[]
Out[2580]= \{OutputStream["stdout", 1], OutputStream["stderr", 2]\} In[2581]:= Read["C:/Templcinema.txt"]; Read["C:/Temp/Cinema.txt"];
Read["C: /Temp\cinema.txt"]; Read["c:/temp/birthday.doc"];
Read["C:/temp\BirthDay.doc"];
In[2582]:= Streams[]
Out[2582]= \{OutputStream["stdout", 1], OutputStream["stderr", 2],
InputStream["C:/Templcinema.txt", 1697],
InputStream["C:/Temp/Cinema.txt", 1700],
InputStream["c:/temp/birthday.doc", 1705],
InputStream["C:/temp\BirthDay.doc", 1706]\}
In[2583]:= Close["C:/Temp\cinema.txt"]
Out[2583]= "C:/Templcinema.txt"
In[2584] := Streams[]
Out[2584]= \{OutputStream["stdout", 1], OutputStream["stderr", 2],
InputStream["C:/Temp/Cinema.txt", 1700],
InputStream["c:/temp/birthday.doc", 1705],
InputStream["C:/temp\BirthDay.doc", 1706]\}
In[2585]:= Close1[x__String] := Module[\{a = Streams[][[3;;-1]], $\mathbf{b}=\{\mathbf{x}\}, \mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}=$ $1, \mathrm{j}$ \},
If[a == \{\}\|b == \{\}, \{\}, b=Select[\{x\}, FileExistsQ[\#] \&]; While[k <= Length[a], $\mathbf{j}=$ 1; While[j <= Length[b], If[ToUpperCase[StringReplace[a[[k]][[1]], \{"""-> "", "/"-> ""\}]] == ToUpperCase[StringReplace[b[[j]], \{"""-> "", "/"-> ""\}]], AppendTo[c, a[[k]]l]; j++]; k++]; Map[Close, c]; If[Length[b] == 1, b[[1]], b]]]
In[2586] := Close1["C:/Temp\cinema.txt", "C:/temp\BirthDay.doc"] Out[2586]= \{"C:/Templcinema.txt", "C:/temp\BirthDay.doc"\} In[2587]:= Streams[]
Out[2587]= \{OutputStream["stdout", 1], OutputStream["stderr", 2]\} In[2588]:= Close1[] Out[2588]= $\}$

> In[2589]:= Close1["C:/Temp\cinema.txt", "C:/temp\BirthDay.doc"] Out[2589]= \{\} In[2590]:= Close1["C:/Temp\Agn/Cinema.txt", AvzAgnVsvArtKr] Out[2590]= Close1["C:/Temp\Agn/Cinema.txt", AvzAgnVsvArtKr] In[2591]:= Closes[x_] := Quiet[Check[Close[x], Null]] In[2591]:= Closes["C:\Temp\Svetlana\Kherson\Cinema.txt"]
> In[2667] := Close2[x__String] := Module[\{a = Streams[][[3;;-1]], b=\{\}, c, d = Select[\{x\}, StringQ[\#] \&]\}, If[d == \{\}, \{\}, c[y_]:= ToLowerCase[StringReplace[y, "/"-> " ""]]; Map[AppendTo[b, Part[\#, 1]] \&, a];
> d = DeleteDuplicates[Map[c[\#] \&, d]];
> Map[Close, Select[b, MemberQ[d, c[\#]] \&]]I] In[2668]:= Close2[]
> Out[2668]= \{\}
> In[2669]:= Close2["C:/Temp\cinema.txt", "C:/temp\BirthDay.doc"] Out[2669]= \{"C:/Templcinema.txt", "С:/Temp/Cinema.txt",
> " c:/temp/birthday.doc", "C:/temp\BirthDay.doc"\} In[2670]:= Streams[] Out[2670]= \{OutputStream["stdout", 1], OutputStream["stderr", 2]\}

The procedure call Close1[x,y,z,...] closes all off really-existing datafiles in a list $\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$, $z, \ldots\}$ irrespective of quantity of streams on which they have been opened by various files qualifiers with returning their list. In other cases the call on admissible actual arguments returns the empty list, i.e. \{\} whereas on inadmissible actual arguments a call is returned unevaluated. The previous fragment represents source code of theClose1 procedure with examples of its usage. In end of the fragment the simpleCloses function and theClose2 procedure are presented. The function callCloses[x] returns nothing, closing a datafilex, including the closed and empty datafiles without output of any erroneous messages. In certain appendices this function is quite useful. The procedureClose2 is a functional analog of the above procedureClose1. The procedure callClose2[x,y,z,...] closes all off really-existing datafiles in a list $\{x, y, z, \ldots\}$ irrespective of quantity of streams on which they have been opened by various files qualifiers with returning their list. In other cases the call on admissible actual arguments returns the empty list, i.e. $\}$ whereas on inadmissible actual arguments a call is returned unevaluated. The previous fragment represents source code of the Close2 procedure with examples of its usage. In a number of appendicesClose1 andClose2 are quite useful.
The following DelDirFile1 procedure- an useful enough extension of the DelDirFile procedure on case of open datafiles in addition to theRead-only attribute of both the separate datafiles, and the datafiles being in the deleted directory. The callDelDirFile1[x] is equivalent to the callDelDirFile[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ ], providing removal of a datafile or directory $\boldsymbol{x}$ irrespective of openness of a separate datafilex and theRead-only attribute ascribed to it, or existence of similar datafiles in a directoryx. The fragment below represents source code of theDelDirFile1 procedure along with typical examples of its usage.

[^4]Attribs[b, 90]; Close2[b]l]; DeleteDirectory[x, DeleteContents-> True], Close2[x]; Attribs[x, 90]; DeleteFile[x]]]
In[2726] := Map[Attribs, \{"C:/Temp\Agn/Cinema.txt",
"C:/Temp\Agn/BirthDay.doc", "C:/Temp\Agn"\}]
Out[2726]= \{\{"A", "S", "H", "R"\}, \{"A", "S", "H", "R"\}, \{"A", "S", "H", "R"\}\}
In[2727]:= Read["C:/Temp\Agn/Cinema.txt"];
Read["C:/Temp\Agn/BirthDay.doc"];
In[2728]:= Streams[]
Out[2728]= \{OutputStream["stdout", 1], OutputStream["stderr", 2],
InputStream["C:/TemplAgn/Cinema.txt", 131],
InputStream["C:/Temp\Agn/BirthDay.doc", 132]\}
In[2729]:= DelDirFile1["C:/Temp\Agn"]
In[2730]:= Streams[]
Out[2730]= \{OutputStream["stdout", 1], OutputStream["stderr", 2]\}
In[2731]:= DirQ["C:\Temp\Agn"]
Out[2731]= False
In[2732]:= Attribs["C:\GrGu_Books\Cinema.TXT"]
Out[2732]= \{"A", "S", "H", "R"\}
In[2733]:= Read["C:|GrGu_Books\cinema.TXT"];
In[2734]:= Streams[]
Out[2734]= \{OutputStream["stdout", 1], OutputStream["stderr", 2],
InputStream["C:\GrGu_Bookslcinema.TXT", 149]\}
In[2735]:= DelDirFile1["C:\GrGu_Bookslcinema.TXT"]
In[2736]:= FileExistsQ["C:\GrGu_Bookslcinema.TXT"]
Out[2736]= False
The means representing quite certain interest at working with file system of the computer as independently, and as a part of means of processing of the datafiles and directories complete this section. They are used and by a series of means of ourAVZ_Package package [48]. In particular, at working with files theOpenFiles procedure can be rather useful, whose callOpenFiles[] returns the2-element nested list, whose the first sublist with the first"read" element contains full paths to the datafiles opened on reading whereas the second sublist with the first "write" element contains full paths to the files opened on writing in the current session. In the absence of such datafiles the procedure call returns the empty list, i.e. $\}$. Whereas the callOpenFiles $[x]$ with one actual argument $\boldsymbol{x}-a$ datafile classifier- returns result of the above format relative to the open datafilex irrespective of a format of coding of its qualifier. If $\boldsymbol{x}$ defines a closed or nonexistent datafile then the procedure call returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. The fragment below represents source code of the procedure along with rather typical examples of its use.
In[2628] := OpenFiles[x__String] := Module[\{a = Streams[][[3 ;;-1]], b, c, d, h1 = \{"read"\}, h2 = \{"write"\}\}, If[a == \{\}, \{\}, d = Map[\{Part[\#, 0], Part[\#, 1]\}\&, a]; b= Select[d, \#[[1]] == InputStream \&];
c = Select[d, \#[[1]] == OutputStream \&]; b = Map[DeleteDuplicates,

[^5];;-1] ]] \&, b]]; If[\{x\}== \{\}, b, If[SameQ[FileExistsQ[x], True], c = Map[Flatten, Map[ \{\#[[1]], Select[\#, StandPath[\#] === StandPath[x] \&]\} \&, b]]; If[c == \{\{"read"\}, \{"write"\}\}, \{\}, c = Select[c, Length[\#] > 1 \&]; If[Length[c] > 1, c, c[[1]]], \{\}]I]]]

In[2629]:= OpenFiles[]
Out[2629]= \{ \{"read", "C:/Templcinema.txt", "C:/Temp/Cinema.txt",
"c:/temp/birthday.doc", "C:/temp\BirthDay.doc", "C:/GrGu_Books/Birthday1.doc"\},
\{"write", "C:\GrGu_Books\Birthday1.doc"\}\}
In[2630]:= OpenFiles["AvzArnVsvArtKr"]
Out[2630]= \{ $\}$
In[2631]:= OpenFiles["C:\Temp\Cinema.txt"]
Out[2631]= \{"read", "C:/Templcinema.txt", "C:/Temp/Cinema.txt"\}
In[2632]:= OpenFiles["C:\GrGu_Books/Birthday1.doc"]
Out[2632]= \{ \{"read", "C:/GrGu_Books/Birthday1.doc"\},
\{"write", "C:\GrGu_Books\Birthday1.doc"\}\}
At that, as the full path it is understood or really full path to a datafile in file system of the computer, or itsfull name if it is located in the current directory determined by the function callDirectory[].

As the procedure similar to the OpenFiles, the following procedure can be used, whose callStreamFiles[] returns the nested list from two sublists, the first sublist with the first"in" element contains full paths/names of the files opened on the reading while the second sublist with the first"out" element contains full paths/names of the datafiles opened on the recording. Whereas in the absence of the open datafiles the procedure callStreamFiles[] returns "AllFilesClosed". The next fragment presents source code of theOpenFiles procedure along with some typical examples of its usage.

In[2555] := StreamFiles[] := Module[\{a = Map[ToString1, StreamsU[]], b=\{\}, w = \{"out"\}, r = \{"in"\}, c, k=1\}, If[a == \{\}, Return["AllFilesClosed"], For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, c = a[[k]]; If[SuffPref[c, "Out", 1], AppendTo[w, StrFromStr[c]], AppendTo[r, StrFromStr[c]]I]]; c = Select[Map[Flatten, \{r, w\}], Length[\#] > 1 \&]; If[Length[c] == 1, c[[1]], c]]
In[2556]:= StreamFiles[]
Out[2556]= \{ ""in", "C:/Templcinema.txt", "C:/Temp/Cinema.txt",
" c:/temp/birthday.doc", "C:/temp\BirthDay.doc"\}, \{"out",
"C:\GrGu_Books\Birthday1.doc"\}\}
In[2557]:= Close["C:\GrGu_Books\Bithday1.doc"]
Out[2557]= "C:\GrGu_Books\Birthday1.doc"
In[2558]:= StreamFiles[]
Out[2558]= \{"in", "C:/Templcinema.txt", "C:/Temp/Cinema.txt",
" c:/temp/birthday.doc", "C:/temp\BirthDay.doc"\} In[2559]:= CloseAll[]; StreamFiles[] Out[2559]= "AllFilesClosed"

In[2560] := Read["Book_3.doc"];
In[2561]:= StreamFiles[]
Out[2561]= \{"in", "Book_3.doc"\}

In a number of cases at work with datafiles the following procedure can be very useful, whose callIsFileOpen[ $f$ ] returnsTrue if a datafilef determined by a name or full path is open andFalse otherwise. If the argumentf doesn't define an existing datafile the procedure call is returned unevaluated. While the callIsFileOpen[f,h] with the second optional argumenth -an undefinite variable- returns through $\boldsymbol{h}$ the nested list whose elements are sublists of the format $\{\{$ "read"|"write" $\}$, $\{$ The list of streams on which the datafilefis open on reading|recording\}\} if the main result isTrue. The fragment below represents source code of theIsFileOpen procedure along with examples of its usage.
In[2550]:= IsFileOpen[F_/; FileExistsQ[Ff], h__] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ OpenFiles[F]\}, If[a == $\}$, False, If[ $\{\mathbf{h}\}!=\{ \} \& \&!$ HowAct[h], $h=a$,
Null]; True]]
In[2551] := OpenWrite["C:/temp/cinema.doc"];
OpenRead["C:/templcinema.doc"];
In[2552]:= Streams[]
Out[2552]= \{OutputStream["stdout", 1], OutputStream["stderr", 2],
OutputStream["C:/temp/cinema.doc", 84],
InputStream["C:/templcinema.doc", 85]\}
In[2553]:= IsFileOpen["C:/Temp\Cinema.doc", t90]
Out[2553]= True
In[2554]:= $\mathbf{~ 9 0}$
Out[2554]= \{\{"read", \{"C:/temp/cinema.doc"\}\},
\{"write", \{"C:/templcinema.doc"\}\}\}
In[2555]:= Read["C:/temp/birthday.doc"];
In[2556]:= IsFileOpen["C:\temp\BirthDay.doc", h500]
Out[2556]= True
In[2557]:= h500
Out[2557]= \{"read", \{"C:/temp/birthday.doc"\}\}
In[2558]:= CloseAll[]; IsFileOpen["C:\temp\BirthDay.doc"]
Out[2558]= False
The following quite simple procedure is represented as a rather useful tool at operating with file system of the computer, whose the callDirEmptyQ[d] returnsTrue if a directoryd is empty, otherwiseFalse is returned. Moreover, the callDirEmptyQ[d] is returned unevaluated ifd isn't areal directory. The following fragment presents source code of theDirEmptyQ procedure with typical enough examples of its usage.
In[2602]:= DirEmptyQ[d_/; DirQ[d]] := Module[\{a = "\$DirFile\$", b, c, p =
StandPath[StringReplace[d, "/"-> "\"]], h = " 0 File(s) "\}, b = Run["Dir " <> p <> If[SuffPref[p, "\", 2], "", "|"] <> "*.* >" <> a]; If[b != 0, \$Failed, Do[c = Read[a, Stringl, \{6\}]]; DeleteFile[Close[a]]; ! StringFreeQ[c, h]]

[^6]Out[2605]= \{False, False, False\}
In[2606]:= DirEmptyQ["C:\Program Files (x86)"]
Out[2606]= False
At that in addition to the previousDirEmptyQ procedure the procedure call DirFD[j] returns the two-element nested list whose the first element defines the list of subdirectories of the first nesting level of a directoryj whereas the second element- the list of datafiles of a directoryj; if a directoryj is empty, the procedure call returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. The fragment below presents source code of theDirFD procedure along with examples of its usage.

In[2575] := DirFD[d_/; DirQ[d]] := Module[\{a ="\$DirFile\$", b=\{\{\},\{\}\}, c, h, t, p = StandPath[StringReplace[d, "/"-> "\"]]\}, If[DirEmptyQ[p], Return[\{\}], Null]; c = Run["Dir" <> p <> "/B" <> If[SuffPref[p, """, 2], "", """] <> "*.* > " <>a]; t =Map[ToString, ReadList[a, String]l]; DeleteFile[a]; Map[\{h = d <> "り" <> \#; If[DirectoryQ[h], AppendTo[b[[1]], \#], If[FileExistsQ[h], AppendTo[b[[2]], \#], Null]]\} \&, t]; b] In[2576]:= DirFD["C:/Program Files/Wolfram Research/Mathematica/10.1 \Documentation\English\Packages"]
Out[2576] = \{ "\#ANOVA", "Audio", "AuthorTools", "BarCharts", "Benchmarking", "BlackBodyRadiation", "Calendar", "Combinatorica", "Compatibility",
"ComputationalGeometry", "ComputerArithmetic", "Developer", "EquationTrekker", "ErrorBarPlots", "Experimental", "FiniteFields", "FourierSeries",
"FunctionApproximations", "Geodesy", "GraphUtilities", "HierarchicalClustering", "Histograms", "HypothesisTesting", "LinearRegression", "MultivariateStatistics", "Music", "NonlinearRegression", "Notation", "NumericalCalculus", "NumericalDifferentialEquationAnalysis", "PhysicalConstants", "PieCharts", "PlotLegends", "PolyhedronOperations", "Polytopes", "PrimalityProving", "Quaternions",
"RegressionCommon", "ResonanceAbsorptionLines", "Splines", "StandardAtmosphere", "StatisticalPlots", "Units", "VariationalMethods", "VectorAnalysis", "VectorFieldPlots", "WorldPlot", "XML"\}, \{\}\}

In[2577] := Length[\%[[1]]]
Out[2577]= 48
In[2578]:= DirFD["C:\Program Files"]
Out[2578]= \{ \{"Common Files", "Dell Inc", "DVD Maker", "Extras",
" File Association Helper", "Intel", "Internet Explorer", "MSBuild", "Nitro", "Realtek", "Reference Assemblies", "Softland", "Windows Defender", "Windows Journal", "Windows Mail", "Windows Media Player", "Windows NT", "Windows Photo Viewer", "Windows Portable Devices", "Windows Sidebar", "Wolfram Research", "Intel"\}, \{\}\}
In[2579] := DirFD["C:\Temp"]
Out[2579]= \{\{"Dialog_files"\}, \{"aaa.txt", "Addresses_for_book.doc", "Books.doc", "Books.mht", "Birthday.doc", "cinema.doc", "Cinema.txt", "Dialog.htm", "ISSN Application form.pdf", "Math_Trials.DOC", "potencial.txt", "regcleaner.exe"\}\}
In particular, in an example of the previous fragment the list of directories with documentation on the packages delivered with theMathematica10.1.0
system is returned. Thus, with release10.1.0 of theMathematica system48 packages of different purpose which is rather simply seen from the name of the subdirectories containing them are being delivered.

In addition to theDirFD procedure theDirFull procedure represents a quite certain interest, whose callDirFull[d] returns the list of all full paths to the subdirectories and files contained in a directoryd and its subdirectories; the first element of this list- the directoryd. While on an empty directoryd the callDirFull[d] returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. The fragment below represents source code of theDirFull procedure along with examples of its usage.

In[2595]:= DirFull[x_/; DirQ[x]] := Module[\{a = "\$Art26Kr18\$", c, b =
StandPath[StringReplace[x, "/"-> "\"]]\}, If[DirEmptyQ[x], \{\}, Run["Dir /S/B/A ", b, " > ", a]; c = Map[ToString, ReadList[a, String]]; DeleteFile[a]; Prepend[c, b]l]

In[2596] := DirFull["C:\Mathematicalavz\agn/Art/Kr"]
Out[2596]= $\}$
In[2597]:= DirFull["C:\Users\Aladjev\DownLoads"]
Out[2597]= \{"c:luserslaladjevldownloads",
" c:\users\aladjev\downloads\Book_Grodno.doc",
"c:\users\aladjev\downloads\CuteWriter.exe", "c:\users\aladjev\downloads\desktop.ini",
"c:luserslaladjev\downloads\IMG_0389.MOV",
"c:\users\aladjev\downloads\Mathematica_10.1.0_WIN.zip"\}
In addition to theDirFull procedure the callTypeFilesD[d] of the procedure TypeFilesD returns the sorted list of types of the files located in a directory $\boldsymbol{d}$ with returning of"undefined" on datafiles without of a name extension. At that, the datafiles located in the directoryd and in all its subdirectories of an arbitrary nesting level are considered. Moreover, on the empty directoryd the procedure callTypeFilesD[d] returns the empty list, i.e. $\}$. The following fragment represents source code of theTypeFilesD procedure along with typical enough examples of its usage.

In[5180] := TypeFilesD[x_/; DirQ[x]] := Module[\{a = "\$Art26Kr18\$", d = \{\}, c, p, b = StandPath[StringReplace[x, "/"-> "\"]]\}, If[DirEmptyQ[x], \{\}, Run["Dir/S/B/A", b, " > ", a]; c = Map[ToString, ReadList[a, Stringl]; DeleteFile[a];

Sort[Select[DeleteDuplicates[Map[If[DirectoryQ[\#], Null, If[FileExistsQ[\#], p = ToLowerCase[ToString[FileExtension[\#]]]; If[! SameQ[p, ""], p, "undefined"]], Null]\&, c]l, ! SameQ[\#, Null] \&]l]]
In[5181]:= TypeFilesD["c:\templ"]
Out[5181]= \{"txt", "doc", "mht", "htm", "pdf", "exe", "jpg", "js", "css", "png",
" gif", "php", "json", "undefined", "query", "xml"\}
In[5182]:= TypeFilesD["C:/Tallinn\Grodno/Kherson"]
Out[5182]= $\}$
In[5183]:= TypeFilesD["C:\Mathematica"]
Out[5183]= \{"css", "doc", "gif", "htm", "jpg", "js", "json", "pdf", "png", "tmp"\}
In[5184]:= TypeFilesD["C:\Program Files (x86)\Maple 11"] Out[5184]= \{"access", "afm", "bfc", "cfg", "cpl", "csv", "dat", "del", "dll",
" dtd", "ent", "err", "exe", "gif", "hdb", "html", "ico", "ind", "ini", "ja", "jar", "jpg", "jsa", "lib", "lic", "mat", "mla", "mod", "mw", "pf", "policy", "properties", "security", "src", "template", "ttf", "txt", "undefined", "vec", "wav", "xls", "xml", "xsd", "xsl"\}

The FindFile1 procedure serves as useful extension of the standardFindFile function, providing search of a datafile within file system of the computer. The procedure callFindFile1[x] returns a full path to the found datafilex, or the list of full paths(if datafilexis located in different directories of file system of the computer), otherwise the call returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. While the call FindFile1 $[x, y]$ with thesecond optional argumenty -full path to a directory- returns a full path to the found datafilex, or the list of full paths located in the directoryy and its subdirectories. The fragment below represents source code of theFindFile1 procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2550]:= FindFile1[x_/; StringQ[x], y__] := Module[\{c, $\mathbf{d}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{a}=\operatorname{If}[\{\mathbf{y}\}!=$ \{\}\&\& PathToFileQ[y], \{y\}, Map[\# <> ":’" \&, Adrive[]]], b = "\" <>
ToLowerCase[x]\}, For[k, k <= Length[a], k++,
c = Map[ToLowerCase, Quiet[FileNames["*", a[[k]], Infinity]]]; d = Join[d, Select[c, SuffPref[\#, b, 2] \& \& FileExistsQ[\#] \&]]]; If[Length[d] == 1, d[[1]], d]]
In[2551]:= FindFile1["Letter_5_02_15.doc"]
Out[2551] = \{"C:\Temp\Letter_5_02_15.doc", "F:\Letter_5_02_15.doc"\} In[2552]:= FindFile1["Cinema.txt", "C:|Temp"]
Out[2552]= "c:\templcinema.txt"
In[2553]:= FindFile1["Cinema.txt"]
Out[2553]= \{"C:\GrGU_Books\Cinema.txt", "C:\Program Files
Wolfram Research \Mathematica\10.1\Cinema.txt", "C:\Program Files\Wolfram Research\Mathematical10.1
\SystemFiles\Cinema.txt", "C:\Temp\Cinema.txt", "E:ICD_Book\Cinema.txt"\}
In[2554]:= FindFile1["AvzAgnVsvArtKr"]
Out[2554]= \{ $\}$
In[2555]:= t = TimeUsed[]; FindFile1["Book_3.doc"]; TimeUsed[]-t Out[2555]= 5.928 In[2556]:= t = TimeUsed[]; FileExistsQ1["Book_3.doc"]; TimeUsed[]-t Out[2556]= 5.335

In particular, 2 last example of the previous fragment indicate, theFindFile1 in many respects is functionally similar to theFileExistsQ1 procedure but it in thetemporary relation is somewhat less fast-acting in the same file system of the computer.
It is possible to give the SearchDir procedure as one more quite indicative example, whose callSearchDir[d] returns the list of all paths in file system of the computer which are completed by a subdirectoryd; in case of lack of such paths the procedure callSearchDir[d] returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. In combination with the proceduresFindFile1 andFileExistsQ1 theSearchDir procedure is useful at working with file system of the computer, as confirms their usage for the solution of tasks of similar type. The following fragment represents source code of theSearchDir procedure with examples of its use.
In[2595]:= SearchDir[d_/; StringQ[d]] := Module[\{a = Adrive[], c, t=\{\}, p,b=""
<>ToLowerCase[StringTrim[d, ("\"|"|") ...]]<> "\", g = \{\}, k = 1, v\},
For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, p = a[[k]]; c = Map[ToLowerCase, Quiet[FileNames["*", p <> ":\", Infinity]l]; Map[If[! StringFreeQ[\#, b] || SuffPref[\#, b, 2] \& \& DirQ[\#], AppendTo[t, \#], Null] \&, cl]; For[k =1, $k$ <= Length[t], k++, p = t[[k]]<>"\"; a = StringPosition[p, b]; If[a == \{\}, Continue[], a = Map[\#[[2]] \&, a];
Map[If[DirectoryQ[v = StringTake[p, \{1, \#-1\}]], AppendTo[g, v], Null] \&, a]]]; DeleteDuplicates[g]]
In[2596] := SearchDir["AvzAgnVsvArtKr"]
Out[2596]= \{\}
In[2597]:= SearchDir["\Temp/"]
Out[2597]= \{"c:\temp", "c:\users\aladjev\appdatallocal\temp",
" c:lwindowslassembly\nativeimages_v2.0.50727_32\temp",
"c:\windowslassembly\nativeimages_v2.0.50727_64ltemp",
"c:\windows\assembly\nativeimages_v4.0.30319_32\temp",
"c:\windowslassembly\nativeimages_v4.0.30319_64\temp",
"c:\windowslassembly\temp", "c:\windows\temp",
"c:\windows\system32\driverstoreltemp",
"c:\windows\winsxs\temp"\}

## In[2598] := SearchDir["Mathematica"]

Out[2598]= \{"c:\mathematica", "c:\programdata\mathematica", "c:\program
fileslwolfram research\mathematica", "c:\program files\wolfram research\mathematica\10.1 \addons\packages\ guikit\src\mathematica",
"c:\users\aladjev\appdatallocallmathematica",
"c:\users\aladjev\appdata\roaming\mathematica", "c:\users\aladjev\mathematica", "c:lusers\all users\mathematica", "e:\mathematica"\}

It is once again expedient to note that the mechanism of objects typification which theMathematica system has, is a significantly inferior to the similar mechanism of theMaple system, but only relatively to the built-in types of testing of objects. Meanwhile, and means of theMathematica system allow to test types of the most important objects. So, the systemFileType function provides the checking be a directory or a datafile as illustrates the following simple enough examples, namely:
In[3742] := FileType["D:\Math_myLib"]
Out[3742]= Directory
In[3743]:= FileType["D:\Math_myLib\ArtKr.mx"]
Out[3743] = File
In[3744]:= FileExistsQ["D:\Math_myLib\ArtKr.mx"]
Out[3744]= True
In[3745]:= FileExistsQ["D:\Math_myLib"]
Out[3745]= True
In the mean time, these means yield to our procedures isFile andisDir for theMaple system, providing testing of datafiles and directories respectively [47]. Thus, theisFile procedure not only tests the existence of a datafile, but also the mode of its opening, what in certain cases is very important. There are other interesting enough means for testing of
the state of directories and datafiles, including their types [25,47]. On the other hand, theMathematica system posesses theFileExistsQ function that returnsTrue if a tested object is a datafile or directory what fromstandpoint of file system of the computer is quite correctly while for the user working with datafiles it is not the same what rather visually illustrates the following very simple example, namely: In[2645]:= F :=

## "C:\Mathematica"; If[FileExistsQ[F], OpenRead[F];

Read[F], Message[F::file, "file is absent"]] OpenRead::noopen: Cannot open C:\Mathematica.>> Read::openx: C:\Mathematica is not open. >>
Out[2645]= Read["D:\Mathematica"]
Check by means of the FileExistsQ function defines existence of the datafile $\boldsymbol{F}$ (though instead of it the directory is specified), then the attempt to open this datafile $\boldsymbol{F}$ on the reading with the subsequent reading its first logical record are done, but both these procedures of access are completed with return of erroneous diagnostics. Therefore for this purpose it is necessary to use the testing functionIsFile combining the functionsFileExistsQ andDirectoryQ or somewhat more complex organized procedure whose callFileQ[f] returns True if the stringf defines a real-existing datafile, andFalse otherwise. The FileQ procedure serves sooner for a some illustration of development tools of the procedures oriented on working with file system of the computer. The fragment represents source codes of both means with examples of their use.
In[2622] := IsFile[x_] := If[FileExistsQ[x], If[! DirectoryQ[x], True, False], False];
Map[FileType, \{"c:\mathem", "c:\mathem\ap.doc"\}]
Out[2622]= \{Directory, File\}
In[2623] := FileQ[f_/; StringQ[f]] := Module[\{d = Adrive[], s=\{\}, k=1, a =
ToLowerCase[StringReplace[Flatten[OpenFiles[]], "\|"-> "/"]], b =
ToLowerCase[StringReplace[Directory[], " "->> "/"]],
c = ToLowerCase[StringReplace[f, "\" -> "/"]l\},
For[k, k <= Length[d], k++, AppendTo[s, d[[k]] <> ":"]]; If[StringLength[c] < 2 ||
! MemberQ[ToLowerCase[s], StringTake[c, \{1, 2\}]], c = b <>"/" <>c, Null];
If[DirQ[c], False, If[MemberQ[a, c], True,
If[Quiet[OpenRead[c]] === \$Failed, False, Close[c]; True]]ll]
In[2624]:= Map[FileQ, \{"c:/Temp/Cinema.txt", "Book_3.doc", "E:/Art.Kr"\}] Out[2624]= \{True, True, False \}
For the differentiated testing of files theFileType function is used too:
In[2552]:= Map[FileType, \{"c:/Mathematica", "c:/Mathematica/ap.doc"\}] Out[2552]= \{Directory, File\}
TheMathematica system has also some other similar testing means oriented on processing of elements of file system of the computer. A number of such functions has been considered slightly above along with our means. So, the following fragment represents procedure, whose callEmptyFileQ[f] returns True if a datafilef is empty, andFalse otherwise.
In[2640] := EmptyFileQ[f_/; StringQ[f],y__] := Module[\{a, b, c, d=\{\}, k=1\}, If[FileExistsQ[f], b = \{f\}, c = Art26Kr18; ClearAll[Art26Kr18]; a = FileExistsQ1[f,

Art26Kr18]]; If[! a, Return[\$Failed], b = Art26Kr18; Art26Kr18 = c]; While[k <= Length[b], AppendTo[d, Quiet[Close[b[[k]]l]; If[Quiet[Read[b[[k]]]] === EndOfFile, Quiet[Close[b[[k]]]; True], Quiet[Close[b[[k]]I]; False]]; k++]; d =If[Length[d]==1, d[[1]], d]; If[\{y\}!= \{\}, \{d, If[Length[b] == 1, b[[1]], b]\}, d]]
In[2641] := Map[EmptyFileQ, \{"c:/temp/cinema.txt", "c:/temp/cinema.doc"\}] Out[2641]= \{False, True $\}$
In[2642]:= EmptyFileQ["cinema.txt"]
Out[2642]= \{False, True, False, False, False, True, False\}
In[2643] := EmptyFileQ["C:\Cinema.txt", 90]
Out[2643]= \{\{False, True, False, False, False, True, False\},
"C:\GrGU_Books\Cinema.txt", "C:\Mathematica\Cinema.txt", "C:\Program
Files\Wolfram Research\Mathematica\10.1\Cinema.txt", "C:\Program Files\Wolfram
Research\ Mathematica\10.1\SystemFiles\Links\Cinema.txt", "C:\TemplCinema.txt", "E:\Cinema.txt",
"E:\CD_BooklCinema.txt"\}\}
In[2644]:= EmptyFileQ["Appendix.doc", 90]
Out[2644]= \$Failed
In[2645]:= EmptyFileQ["E:\Cinema.txt", 500]
Out[2645]= \{True, "E:ICinema.txt"\}
If a datafile $\boldsymbol{f}$ is absent in file system of the computer, the callEmptyFileQ[f] returns the\$Failed. Moreover, if in the course of search of the datafilef its multiplicity in file system of the computer is detected, all datafiles from list of the found datafiles are tested, including also datafiles that are located in theRecycle Bin directory. At that, the procedure callEmptyFileQ[f,y] with two actual arguments where optional argumenty - an expression, returns the nested2-element list whose first sublist definesemptiness/nonemptiness (True|False) of the datafilef in the list of datafiles of the same name whereas the second sublist defines full paths to the datafilesf of the same name. At that, between both sublists the one-to-one correspondence takes place. The previous fragment represents both source code, and the typical examples of usage of theEmptyFileQ procedure.
The FindSubDir procedure provides search of the full paths that contain a subdirectoryx given by a full name in file system of the computer or in file system of the given devices of direct access that are determined by names in string format. The procedure callFindSubDir $[x]$ returns the list offull paths within all file system of the computer, while the callFindSubDir $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \ldots]$ - within only file system of the devices $\{\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \ldots\}$. The next fragment represents source code of theFindSubDir procedure with examples of its application.
In[2542]:= FindSubDir[x_/; StringQ[x], y__] := Module[\{b=\{\}, c="", p, t, k=1, $\mathbf{a}=\operatorname{If}[\{y\}==\{ \}$, Adrive[l], $\{\mathbf{y}\}], f=$ "Art26Kr18.txt", $h=$ ToLowerCase[x] $\}$, While[k <= Length[a], Run["Dir ", a[[k]] <> ":>","/B/S/L > "<>f]; While[! SameQ[c, "EndOfFile"], c = ToString[Read[f, String]];
t = FileNameSplit[c]; p = Flatten[Position[t, h]]; If[p !=\{\}\&\&
DirectoryQ[FileNameJoin[t[[1;; p[[1]]I]]], AppendTo[b, cl]]; Continue[]]; Closes[f]; c
= ""; k++]; \{DeleteFile[f], b\}[[2]l]
In[2543] := FindSubDir["Dell Inc"]
Out[2543]= \{"c:\program files\dell inc", "c:\program files\dell inc\ dell edoc viewer", "c:\program files\dell incldell edoc viewer\ eddy.ini", "c:\program files\dell incldell edoc viewerl edocs.exe", "c:\program files\dell incldell edoc viewer\ helppaneproxy.dll",
"c:\program files\dell inc\dell edoc viewerlinterop.helppane.dll", "c:\program filesldell inc\dell edoc viewer\sweepdocs.exe"\}
In[2544]:= FindSubDir["Dell Inc", "F"]
Out[2544]= \{ $\}$
In[2545]:= FindSubDir["AVZ_Package", "C", "E"]
Out[2545]= \{"e:\avz_package", "e:\avz_packagelavz_package.cdf",
"e:lavz_packagelavz_package.m",
"e:lavz_packagelavz_package.mx",
"e:\avz_packagelavz_package.nb"\}
The following FilesDistrDirs procedure in a certain degree bears structural character for a directory given by the actual argument of the procedure. The callFilesDistrDirs[x] returns the nested list whose elements- sublists of the following format $\left\{\operatorname{dir}_{-} \boldsymbol{p}, \mathbf{f 1}, \mathbf{f 2}, \mathbf{f 3}, \ldots, f \mathbf{f}\right\}$, wheredir_p - a directoryx and all its subdirectories of any nesting level, whereasf1, f2,f3, ..., $\boldsymbol{f n}$ - names of the datafiles located in this directory. The following fragment represents source code of theFilesDistrDirs procedure along with an example of its usage.
In[2555] := FilesDistrDirs[x_/; DirQ[x]] := Module[\{a = \{\}, b, d, g, h = \{\}, t, c = FromCharacterCode[17], $\mathrm{f}=$ "\$Art26Kr18\$", $\mathrm{k}=1$ \}, Run["Dir" <> StandPath[x] <> "/A/B/OG/S >" <> f];

> For[k, k < Infinity, k++, b = Read[f, String]; If[SameQ[b, EndOfFile],
> DeleteFile[Close[f]]; Break[], AppendTo[a, b]l]; b = Gather[PrependTo[a, StringReplace[x, "/"-> "‘"]], DirQ[\#1] === DirQ[\#2] \&]; d =
> \{Sort[Map[StringJoin[\#, "‘"] \&, b[[1]]], StringCount[\#1, "‘"] >= StringCount[\#2, "\"] \&], Quiet[Check[b[[2]], \{\}]]\}; a = Map[ToLowerCase, Flatten[d]]; For[k = 1, k <= Length[d[[1]]], k++, $t=$ ToLowerCase[d[[1]][[k]]]]; AppendTo[h, g = Select[a, SuffPref[\#, t, 1] \&\& StringFreeQ[StrDelEnds[\#, t, 1], "‘"] \&]]; a = MinusList[a, gl]; $\mathrm{a}=\{ \} ; \operatorname{For}[\mathrm{k}=1, \mathrm{k}<=\operatorname{Length}[\mathrm{h}], \mathrm{k}++, \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{h}[[\mathrm{k}]] ;$ AppendTo[a, $\{\mathrm{b}[[1]]$, Map[StrDelEnds[\#, b[[1]], 1] \&, b[[2 ;;-1]]]\}]]; Map[Flatten[\#] \&, a]]

In[2556]:= FilesDistrDirs["C:\GrGU_Books"]
Out[2556]= \{\{"c:\grgu_bookslavz_package|", "avz_package.m",
" avz_package.mx", "avz_package.nb", "avz_package.cdf"\}, \{"c:\grgu_booksl", "birthday.doc", "cinema.txt", "general_statistics.pdf", "general_statistics_cover.pdf", "iton14_5.pdf", "school.pdf"\}\}

The rather simple PathToFileQ function is useful at working with files and directories, whose callPathToFileQ[x] returnsTrue if $\boldsymbol{x}$ defines a potentially admissible full path to a directory or datafile, andFalse otherwise. The next fragment represents source code of the function with an example of its use.
In[2555]:= PathToFileQ[x_/; StringQ[x]] := If[StringLength[x] >= 3,
If[MemberQ[Join[CharacterRange["a", "z"], CharacterRange["A", "Z"]],

StringTake[x, 1]] \&\& StringTake[x, \{2, 2\}] == ":" \&\& And[Map3[StringFreeQ, x, \{"/", "‘"\}]] !=\{True, True\}, True, False], False]

In[2556] := Map[PathToFileQ, \{"C:", "C:/", "G:/AVZ_Package", "H:\agn", "C:/Temp", "C:/Temp\Mathematica", "C:/GrSU_Books"\}]<br>Out[2556]= \{False, True, True, True, True, True, True $\}$

Considering the circumstance, that the ideology of the file organization of the computer quite allows in a number of cases of work with tools of access to identify datafiles and directories, this function is represented as an useful enough tool for both types of elements of file system of the computer. In a number of cases arises a necessity of reading out of a datafile entirely, excluding from its contents the symbols"|r|n"- carriage return and line feed. The following ReadFullFile procedure quite successfully solves this problem. The procedure callReadFullFile[ $f$ ] returns contents of a datafilef with replacement of its symbols"|r|n" onto symbols""; if the datafilef is absent in file system of the computer, the procedure call returns the\$Failed. Whereas the callReadFullFile[f, $\boldsymbol{y}$ ] in addition through the second optional argumenty -an undefinite variable- returns a full name or a full path to the datafilef; at that, ify is a string, theny replaces in the returned contents of the datafilef all symbols"Ir|n" onto the stringy. The following fragment represents source code of the procedure along with examples of its usage.
In[2554] := ReadFullFile[f_/; StringQ[f], y__] := Module[\{a, b = \$Art6Kr\$\}, If[FileExistsQ[f], a = f, ClearAll[\$Art6Kr\$]; If[! FileExistsQ1[f, \$Art6Kr\$], Return[\$Failed], a = \$Art6Kr\$[[1]]I]; \$Art6Kr\$ = b; StringReplace[StringJoin[Map[ FromCharacterCode, BinaryReadList[a]]], "|r|n"-> If[\{y\}!=\{\}, If[StringQ[y], y, If[! HowAct[y], y =a; "", ""]ll, ""]ll
In[2555] := ReadFullFile["Cinema.txt", t]
Out[2555]= "http://100trav.com/ochishhenie-sosudov.html?utm_source=
directadvert\&utm_medium=ochishhenie-sosudov.html\&utm_campaign= directadvert.ru http://www.worldlento4ka.com/russkiye-serialy/
http://www.worldlento4ka.com/7820-cherta-2014.html- 5
http://www.worldlento4ka.com/7830-ment-v-zakone-9-2014.html"
In[2556]:= t
Out[2556]= "C:\GrGU_Books\Cinema.txt"
In[2557]:= ReadFullFile["AvZAgnVsvArtKr.doc"]
Out[2557]= \$Failed
In[2558]:= ReadFullFile["DataFile.txt"]
Out[2558]= "AvzAgnVsvArtKrRansIan2015"
In[2559]:= ReadFullFile["DataFile.txt","|"]
Out[2559]= "Avz| Agn| Vsv| Art| Kr| Rans| Ian| 2015| " Once again it is necessary to remind that all elements of file system of the computer should be coded with theseparators determined by the predefined \$PathnameSeparator variable, by default as a separator thedouble backslash " "" is used. Meanwhile, in theMathematica system in general the double backslash""" and the slash"/" are distinguished as separators, namely: if the double backslash plays a part of standard separator of elements of file system of the computer, then the slash can also quite carry out this function, excepting a case when the slash is coded at the end of a chain of directories or at its use in a call of theRun function
as a whole. For elimination of the first situation we created the simpleDirQ function considered above.

In[2567] := Map[DirectoryQ, \{"C:\Program Files (x86)/Maple 11/", "C:/Program Files (x86)/Maple 11"", "C:/Program Files (x86)/Maple 11"\}]
Out[2567]= \{False, True, True $\}$
In[2568]:= Map[DirQ, \{"C:|Program Files (x86)/Maple 11/", "C:/Program Files (x86)/Maple 11"", "C:/Program Files (x86)/Maple 11"\}]
Out[2568]= \{True, True, True $\}$
At that, the call SetPathSeparator[x] of a simple procedure makes setting of a separator"।" or"/" for paths to datafiles/directories for a period of the current session with returning of a newseparator in string format as the next simple enough fragment rather visually illustrates.

In[2642]:= \$PathnameSeparator
Out[2642]="》"
In[2643]:= SetPathSeparator[x_/; MemberQ[\{"/", "\"\}, x]] := Module[\{\},
Unprotect[\$PathnameSeparator]; \$PathnameSeparator = x;
SetAttributes[\$PathnameSeparator, Protected]]
In[2644] := \{SetPathSeparator["/"]; \$PathnameSeparator,
SetPathSeparator["""]; \$PathnameSeparator\}
Out[2644]= \{"/", "’"\}
In[2645] := StandPath[x_/; StringQ[x]] := Module[\{a, b="", c, k=1\},
If[MemberQ[Flatten[Outer[StringJoin, CharacterRange["a", "z"], \{":/", ":’"\}]], c =
ToLowerCase[x]], StringReplace[c, "/" -> ""],
If[PathToFileQ[x], a = FileNameSplit[
StringReplace[ToLowerCase[ToLowerCase[x]], "/" -> "|"]];
For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, c = a[[k]]; If[! StringFreeQ[c, " "], b = b <> StrStr[c] <> "\", b = b <> c <> " ""]]; StringTake[b, \{1, -2\}], ToLowerCase[x]]I]
In[2646] := StandPath["C:/Program Files\Wolfram
Research/Mathematica/10.1/"]
Out[2646]= "c:\"program files"\"wolfram research"\ mathematica\10.1
In[2647]:= Map[StandPath, \{"C:/", "C:\", "E:/"\}]
Out[2647]= \{"c:\", "с:"", "e:!"\}
In[2648]:= StandPath["AvzAgnVsvArtKt.TXT"]
Out[2648]= "avzagnvsvartkt.txt"
So, for the Mathematica system in most cases similar to theMaple system is also possible to use both types of separators of elements of a file system, however the told concerns only toWindows system, for other platforms the differences that in a number of cases are essential enough for programming are possible. As it was noted above, using different formats for names of the datafiles and full paths to them, we obtain an opportunity to open the same physical datafile in different streams, that in certain cases provides at times simplification of processing of datafiles. Meanwhile, in certain cases similar opportunity complicates the algorithms linked with processing of datafiles, for example, a datafile
created on the basis of one format of name generally won't be recognized by standard means on the basis of another format: In[2652]:= Write["RANS_IAN.txt"];

## Close["Rans_Ian.txt"]

General ::openx: Rans_Ian.txt is not open. >>
Out[2652]= Close["Rans_Ian.txt"]
In[2653]:= Close["RANS_IAN.txt"]
Out[2653]= "RANS_IAN.txt"
Thus, correct use of datafiles names and paths to them assumes, generally, work with the same format, as it illustrates the above example. Therefore as a quite simple reception allowing to unify names of datafiles/directories and paths to them it is possible to offer the following standard-the symbols that compose names of datafiles and paths to them are coded in the lower case whereas as separators the double backslashes"|"are used.
This problem is solved successfully by quite simple procedures StandPath andFileDirStForm, the source code of the first procedure with examples of application are represented in the previous fragment. So, the procedure call StandPath $[x]$ in the above standardized format returns a datafile, directory or full paths to them. Moreover, theStandPath procedure for testing of an admissibility of an argumentx as a real path uses thePathToFileQ function presenting independent interest and providing the correctness of processing of the paths containing gap symbols. So, the usage by theDirFD procedure of theStandPath procedure allows to obtain quite correctly contents of any directory of file system of the computer which contains gap symbols and on which theDir command of $\boldsymbol{D O S}$ system doesn't yield result as very visually the simple examples illustrate [30-33]. TheStandPath procedure can be used rather effectively at development of different means of access in file system of the computer; moreover, the procedure is used by a number of means of access to the datafiles that are considered in the present book along with the means represented in theAVZ_Package package [48].
The Mathematica system has two standard functionsRenameDirectory and RenameFile for ensuringrenaming of directories and datafiles of file system of the computer respectively. Meanwhile, from the point of view of the file concept these functions would be very expedient to be executed by uniform means because in this concept directories and datafiles are in many respects are identical and their processing can be carried out by the same means. At the same time the mentioned standard functions and onrestrictions are quite identical, namely: for renaming of namex of an element of file system onto a new namey the element with the namey has to be absent in the system, otherwise\$Failed with a diagnostic message are returned. Moreover, if asy only a new name without full path to a new elementy is coded, its copying into the current directory is made; in case of a directoryx it with all contents is copied into the current directory under a new namey. Therefore, similar organization is rather inconvenient in many respects, what stimulated us to determine for renaming of directories and datafiles the uniformRenDirFile procedure which provides renaming of an elementx(directory or datafile) in situ with preservation of its type and all its attributes; at that, as argumenty a new name of the elementx is used. Therefore the successful procedure call RenDirFile $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ returns the full path to a renamed elementr. In the case of existence of an elementy the
message"Directory/datafile $\langle y>$ already exists" is returned. In other unsuccessful cases the
procedure call returns the\$Failed or is returned unevaluated The next fragment represents source code of the RenDirFile procedure along with examples of its most typical usage.

In[2632]:= RenDirFile[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] || DirectoryQ[x], $y_{-} /$; StringQ[y]] := Module[\{b = StandPath[StringTrim[x, \{"/", "\"\}]], $\mathbf{a}=$ If[FileExistsQ[x], RenameFile, RenameDirectory],
c = StandPath[StringTrim[y, \{"/", " " $\}]]\}$, If[PathToFileQ[b] \&\& PathToFileQ[c] \&\& FileNameSplit[b][[1 ;;-2]] == FileNameSplit[c][[1 ;;-2]], Quiet[Check[a[b, c], "Directory/datafile <" <> y <>"> already exists"]], If[PathToFileQ[b] \& \& ! PathToFileQ[c],

Quiet[Check[a[b, FileNameJoin[Append[FileNameSplit[b][[1 ;;-2]], StringReplace[c, \{"/"-> "", "‘"-> ""\}]lll], "Directory/datafile <" <> y <> "> already exists"]l, If[!
PathToFileQ[b] \&\& ! PathToFileQ[c], Quiet[Check[a[b, StringReplace[c, \{"/"-> "", " "-> ""\}]l], "Directory/datafile <" <> y <> "> already exists"]l, \$Failed][l]
In[2633] := RenDirFile["C:/Temp\Books.doc", "Books_GrSU.doc"] Out[2633]= "c:\templbooks_grsu.doc"
In[2634]:= RenDirFile["C:/Temp/Noosphere Academy", "Rans_Ian"] Out[2634]= "c:\temp\rans_ran"
In[2635]:= RenDirFile["C:\Temp/Kino Online.txt", "Cinema Online.txt"] Out[2635]= "c:\templcinema online.txt"
In[2636]:= RenDirFile["RANS_IAN.txt", "ArtKr.txt"]
Out[2636]= "C:\Users\Aladjev\Documents\artkr.txt"
In[2637]:= RenDirFile["RANS_IAN.txt", "ArtKr.txt"]
Out[2637]= RenDirFile["RANS_IAN.txt", "ArtKr.txt"]
In[2638]:= RenDirFile["C:/Templagn", "Agn"]
Out[2638]= "Directory/datafile<Agn> already exists"
In[2639]:= RenDirFile["C:/Temp\Avz.doc", "Agn.doc"]
Out[2639]= "c:templagn.doc"
The special tools of processing of files and directories are considered below.

### 7.5. Certain special means of processing of datafiles and directories

In the given section some special tools of processing of directories and files are represented; in certain cases they can be useful enough. So, removal of a datafile in the current session is made by means of the standardDeleteFile function whose callDeleteFile[ $\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}, \ldots\}$ ] returnsNull, i.e. nothing in case of successful removal of the given datafile or their list, and $\$$ Failed otherwise. At that, in the list of datafiles only those are deleted that have noProtected- attribute. Moreover, this operation doesn't save the deleted datafiles in the systemRecycle Bin directory, that in certain cases is extremely undesirable, first of all, in the light of possibility of their subsequent restoration. The fact that the system functionDeleteFile is based on theDos commandDel that according to specifics of this operating systemimmediately deletes a datafile from file system of the computer without its preservation, that significantly differs from similar operation of theWindows system that by default saves the deleted datafile in the specialRecycle Bin directory.

For elimination of similar shortcoming the DeleteFile1 procedure has been offered, whose source code with examples of application are represented by the fragment below. The successful procedure callDeleteFile1[ $\boldsymbol{x}$ ] returns0, deleting datafiles given by an argumentx with saving them in theRecycle Bin directory of theWindows system. Meanwhile, the datafiles removed by means of procedure callDeleteFile1[x] are saved inRecycle Bin directory, however they are invisible to viewing by the system means, for example, by means of Ms Explorer, complicating cleaning of the given system directory. Whereas the procedure callDeleteFile1 $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{t}]$ with the2nd optional argument $\boldsymbol{t}$-an undefinite variablethru it in addition returns the list of datafiles which for one reason or another were not removed. At that, in the systemRecycle Bin directory a copy only of the last deleted datafile always turns out. This procedure is oriented onWindows $\boldsymbol{X P}$ andWindows 7, however it can be spread to other operational platforms. The fragment below represents source code of theDeleteFile1 procedure along with some examples of its usage.
For restoration from the system directory Recycler Bin of the packages that were removed by means of theDeleteFile1 procedure on theWindows XP platform, theRestoreDelPackage procedure providing restoration from the system directoryRecycler Bin of such packages has been offered [30,48]. The successful callRestoreDelPackage[F, "Context"], where the first argument $\boldsymbol{F}$ determines the name of a file of the format $\{$ "cdf", " $m$ ", " $m \boldsymbol{x}$ ", " $\boldsymbol{n b}$ "\} that is subject to restoration whereas the second argument- the context associated with a package returns the list of full paths to the restored files, at the same time by deleting from the directoryRecycler Bin the restored datafiles with the necessary package. At that, this means is supported on theWindows $\boldsymbol{X P}$ platform while on theWindows 7 platform theRestoreDelFile procedure is of a certain interest, restoring datafiles from the directoryRecycler Bin that earlier were removed by means of theDeleteFile1 procedure.
The successful call RestoreDelFile[F,r], where the first argument $\boldsymbol{F}$ defines the name of a datafile or their list that are subject to restoration whereas the second argument determines the name of a target directory or full path to it for the restored datafiles returns the list of paths to the restored datafiles; at the same time, the deleting of the restored files from the directoryRecycler Bin isn't done. In the absence of the requested files in the directoryRecycler Bin the procedure call returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. It should be noted that only nonempty datafiles are restored. If the second argumentr determines a directory name in string format, but not the full path to it, a target directory $\boldsymbol{r}$ is created in the active directory of the current session. The next fragment represents source code of the procedure along with examples of its usage.
On the other hand, for removal from the Recycle Bin directory of datafiles saved by means of theDeleteFile1 procedure on theWindows $\boldsymbol{X P}$ platform, the procedure is used whose callClearRecycler[] returns0, deleting files of the specified type from the systemRecycle Bin directory with saving in it of the datafiles removed by means of Windows XP or its appendices. At last, theDick Cleanup command inWindows XP in some cases completely does not clear the systemRecycler directory from files what successfully does the procedure call ClearRecycler["ALL"], returning $\mathbf{0}$ and providing removal of all datafiles from the systemRecycler directory. In $[30,48]$ it is possible to familiarize with source code of theClearRecycler procedure and examples of its usage. On theWindows 7 platform theClearRecyclerBin procedure provides removal from the
systemRecycler directory of all directories and datafiles or only of those that are caused by theDeleteFile1 procedure. The successful procedure callClearRecyclerBin[] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, and provides removal from the systemRecycle Bin directory of directories and datafiles that are caused by theDeleteFile1 procedure. While the procedure callClearRecyclerBin[x], wherex - a some expression- also returnsNull, i.e. nothing, and provides removal from the systemRecycle Bin directory of all directories and datafiles whatever the cause of their appearance in the given directory. At that, the procedure call on theemptyRecycler directory returns $\$$ Failed. The fragment below represents source code of the procedure along with typical examples of its usage.

In[2552] := DeleteFile1[x_/; StringQ[x]||ListQ[x], y__] := Module[\{d, p, t, a = Map[ToString, Flatten[\{x\}]], b, c = \$ArtKr\$\}, b = If[! StringFreeQ[Ver[], " XP "], FilesDistrDirs[BootDrive[][[1]] <> ":\Recycler"][[1]]], p = 90; ClearAll[\$ArtKr\$]; If[FileExistsQ1["\$recycle.bin", \$ArtKr\$], d = \$ArtKr\$[[1]], Return[\$Failed]]; b = SortBy[Select[Flatten[FilesDistrDirs[d]], DirectoryQ[\#] \&], Length[\#] \&][[2]]]; \$ArtKr\$ = c; c = Map[StandPath, Map[If[StringFreeQ[\#, ":"], Directory[] <> "\" <> \#, \#] \&, a]]; t = Map[Run["Copy /Y" <> \# <> "" <> If[p == 90, b <>
FileNameSplit[\#][[-1]], b[[1]]]] \&, c]; $\mathbf{t}=$ Position[t, 1]; c = If[t != \{\}, MinusList[c, b = Extract[c, t]], Null]; If[t != \{\}\&\& \{y\}!= \{\}\&\&! HowAct[y], Quiet[y = b], Quiet[y = \{\}]]; Map[\{Attrib[\#, \{\}], Quiet[DeleteFile[\#]]\}\&, c]; 0]
In[2553] := DeleteFile1[\{"Buthday1.doc", "c:/Mathematicaldesktop1.ini",
"C:/Temp/Agn/cinema.txt", "Help.txt", "Cinema.txt", "copy.txt"\}, t67]
Out[2553]= 0
In[2554]:= $\mathbf{t 6 7}$
Out[2554]= \{"c:\temp\agn\cinema.txt",
"c:\users\aladjevldocumentslhelp.txt",
"c:\users\aladjev\documents\cinema.txt", "c:lusers\aladjev\documents\copy.txt"\}
In[2555] := DeleteFile1[\{"AvzKr.m", "AgnArt.nb"\}]
Out[2555]= 0
In[2556]:= DeleteFile1["C:/Documents and Settings/Cinema Online.txt"] Out[2556]= 0

In[2642]:= RestoreDelFile[f_/; StringQ[f] || ListQ[f], r_/; StringQ[r]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{b}=$ ToString[Unique["ag"]l, c, p = \$ArtKr\$, t = Map[StandPath, Flatten[\{f\}]], h\}, ClearAll[\$ArtKr\$]; If[FileExistsQ1["\$recycle.bin", \$ArtKr\$], d = \$ArtKr\$[[1]]; \$ArtKr\$= p, Return[\$Failed]]; Run["Dir " <> d <> "/B/S/L > " <> b]; If[EmptyFileQ[b], \$Failed, Quiet[CreateDirectory[r]]; c = ReadList[b, String]];
DeleteFile[b]; h[x_, y_] := If[FileExistsQ[x] \&\& SuffPref[x, """ <> y, 2],
CopyFileToDir[x, StandPath[r]], "Null"]; c = Select[Flatten[Outer[h, c, t]], !
SameQ[\#, "Null"] \&]]
In[2643] := RestoreDelFile[\{"Books.txt", "History.doc"\}, "restore"] Out[2643]= \{\} In[2644]:= RestoreDelFile[\{"Cinema.txt", "Buthday.doc"\}, "restore"] Out[2644]= \{"restorelbuthday.doc", "restorelcinema.txt"\}
In[2645]:= RestoreDelFile["Cinema.txt", "c:/Temp/restore"]
Out[2645]= \{"c:\temp\restorelcinema.txt"\}

In[2646]:= RestoreDelFile[ \{"Cinema.txt", "Buthday.doc", "Grodno1.doc",
"Copy.txt"\}, "C:/restore"]
Out[2646]= \{"C:【restorelbuthday.doc", "C:\restorelcinema.txt", "C:\restorelcopy.txt"\} In[2660]:= ClearRecyclerBin[x__] := Module[\{a, c = \$ArtKr\$, d, p, b = ToString[Unique["ag"]l\}, ClearAll[\$ArtKr\$];

If[! FileExistsQ1["\$recycle.bin", \$ArtKr\$], \$Failed, d = StandPath[\$ArtKr\$[[1]]];<br>\$ArtKr\$ = c; Run["Dir " <> d <> "/B/S/L > " <> b]; p = ReadList[b, String];<br>DeleteFile[b]; If[p == \{\}, Return[\$Failed], Map[If[\{x\}== \{\}, If[SuffPref[a=<br>FileNameSplit[\#][[-1]], "\$", 1] || a === "desktop.ini", Null, Attrib[\#, \{\}];<br>If[FileExistsQ[\#], Quiet[Check[DeleteFile[\#], DeleteDirectory[\#, DeleteContents-><br>True]]], Quiet[Check[DeleteDirectory[\#, DeleteContents-> True], DeleteFile[\#]I]]], If[FileNameSplit[\#][[-1]] == "desktop.ini", Null, Attrib[\#, \{\}];<br>If[DirQ[\#], Run["RD /S/Q " <> \#], Run["Del /F/Q " <> \#]I]]\&, p]; ]l]<br>In[2661] := ClearRecyclerBin[]<br>In[2662]:= ClearRecyclerBin[500]<br>In[2663]:= ClearRecyclerBin[]<br>Out[2663]= \$Failed

The given tools rather essentially expand the functions of the Mathematica software of restoration of datafiles of any type and directories, removed by means ofWindows, its applications, our procedureDeleteFile1 along with effective enough cleansing of the systemRecycle Bin directory.

Meanwhile, a number of tools of processing of datafiles and directories was based on theBootDrive procedure which is correct forWindows 2000|2003| NT|XP while sinceWindows7, it is necessary to use theBootDrive1 function whose source code with an example is given below. The callBootDrive1[] returns the3-element list, whose first element-homedrive, the second-the system catalog, the third element-type of the current operating system.

> In[4842] := BootDrive1[] := Mapp[Part, GetEnvironment[\{"SystemDrive", "SystemRoot", "OS"\}], 2]
> In[4843]:= BootDrive1[]
> Out[4843]= \{"C:", "C:IWindows", "Windows_NT" $\}$
> Furthermore, this function can be used for an operation system, supported by theMathematica. At that the type of an operating system in some cases by the callGetEnvironment[] is returned incorrectly; the presented example concernsWindows 7, butWindows_NT has been received.

Values of the global variables \$System, \$SystemID and\$OperatingSystem define the strings describing the current operational platform. Meanwhile, in a number of cases the specification of the current operational platform represented by them can be insufficient, in that case it is possible to use the PCOS procedure, whose callPCOS[] returns the2-element list, whose first element determines the name of the computer owner, whereas the second element- the type of an operating platform. The fragment below represents source code of thePCOS procedure along with an example of its usage.

In[2593]:= \{\$System, \$SystemID, \$OperatingSystem $\}$
Out[2593]= \{"Microsoft Windows (64-bit)", "Windows-x86-64", "Windows"\}
In[2594]:= PCOS[] := Module[\{a = ToString[Unique["agn"]], b\},

## Run["SYSTEMINFO > " <> a]; b = Map[StringSplit[\#] \&, ReadList[a, String][[1 ;; <br> 2]]!; DeleteFile[a]; b = Map[\#[[3 ;;-1]] \&, b]; \{b[[1]][[1]], <br> StringReplace[ListToString[b[[2]], " "], """-> ""]\}] In[2595]:= PCOS[]

Out[2595]= \{"ALADJEV-PC", "Microsoft Windows 7 Professional" $\}$
The next useful procedure bears the general character at operating with the devices of direct access and are useful enough in a number of applications, first of all, of the system character. The next procedure to a great extent is an analog
ofMaple-procedureVol_Free_Space which returns a volume of free memory on devices of direct access. The callFreeSpace $\operatorname{Vol}[\boldsymbol{x}]$ depending on type of an actual argumentx which should define the logical name in string format of a device, returns simple or the nested list; elements of its sublists determine a device name, a volume of free memory on the volume of direct access, and the unit of its measurement respectively. In the case of absence or inactivity of the devicex the procedure call returns the message"Device is not ready". The next fragment represents source code of theFreeSpaceVol procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2590]:= FreeSpaceVol[x_/; MemberQ3[Join[CharacterRange["a", "z"],
CharacterRange["A", "Z"]l, Flatten[\{x\}]]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ToString[Unique["ag"]l], b, c = \{\}, d = Flatten[\{x\}], k=1, t\}, For[k, $\mathbf{k}<=$ Length[d], k++, t = d[[k]]; b = Run["Dir /S" <> t <> ":’" <> " > " <> a]; If[b != 0, AppendTo[c, $\{t$, "Drive is not ready" $\}$ ], $b=$ ReadList[a, String][[-1]]; $b=$ StringSplit[b][[-3 ;;-1]]; AppendTo[c, \{t,
ToExpression[StringJoin[Select[Characters[b[[1]]], IntegerQ[ToExpression[\#]] \&]]], b[[2]]\}]l]; DeleteFile[a]; If[Length[c] == 1, c[[1]], c]]

```
In[2591] := FreeSpaceVol["c"]
Out[2591]= {"c", 442106667008, "bytes"}
In[2592]:= FreeSpaceVol[{"c", "d", "e", "a"}]
Out[2592]= {{""", 442106667008, "bytes"}, {"d", 0, "bytes"},
{"e", 9890848768, "bytes"}, {"a", "Drive is not ready"}}
```

The following procedure facilitates the solution of the problem of use of the externalMathematica programs or operational platform. The procedure call ExtProgExe[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{h}]$ provides search in file system of the computer of a $\{\boldsymbol{e x e} \mid$ com $\}$ file with the program with its subsequent execution on parametersy of the command string. Both argumentsx andy should be encoded in string format. Successful performance of the given procedure returns the full path to "\$TempFile\$" datafile ofASCII-format containing result of execution of a programx, and this datafile can be processed by means of standard means on the basis of its structure. At that, in case of absence of the datafile with the demanded programx the procedure call returns\$Failed while at using of the third optional argumenth -an arbitrary expression- the datafile with the programx uploaded into the current directory determined by the call Directory[], is removed from this directory; also the datafile"\$TempFile\$" is removed if it isempty or implementation of the programx was
terminated abnormally. The fragment below represents source code of theExtProgExe procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2558]:= ExtProgExe[x_/; StringQ[x], y_/; StringQ[y], h__] := Module[\{a = "\$TempFile\$", b = Directory[] <> "〉" <> x, c\},
Empty::datafile = "Datafile \$TempFile\$ is empty; the datafile had been deleted."; If[FileExistsQ[b], c = Run[x, " ", y, " > ", a], c = LoadExtProg[x];
If[c === \$Failed, Return[\$Failed]]; c = Run[x, " ", y, " > ", a]; If[\{h\}!= \{\},
DeleteFile[b]l]; If[c != 0, DeleteFile[a]; \$Failed, If[EmptyFileQ[a], DeleteFile[a]; Message[Empty::datafile], Directory[] <> "\" <> a]l]
>aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev $>$ aladjev >aladjev >aladjev console console console console console console console console console console console console

In[2559] := ExtProgExe["HostName.exe", "", 1]
Out[2559]= "C:\Users\Aladjev\Documents<br>\$TempFile\$" In[2560]:=
ExtProgExe["Rans_Ian.exe", "", 1]
Out[2560]= \$Failed
In[2561]:= ExtProgExe["tasklist.exe", "/svc ", 1]
Out[2561]= "C:\Users\Aladjev\Documents<br>\$TempFile\$" In[2562]:=
ExtProgExe["systeminfo.exe", "", 1]
Out[2562]= "C:\Users\Aladjev\Documents<br>\$TempFile\$" In[2563]:=
Select[Map[StringTake[\#, \{3,-1\}] \&, ReadList[Directory[] <>
"’" <> "\$TempFile\$", Stringll, \# != "" \&]
Out[2563] = \{"ALADJEV-PC", "Microsoft Windows 7 Professional", "Microsoft Corporation", "Multiprocessor Free", "Aladjev", "Microsoft", "00371-OEM-899267100524", "9.08.2014, 21:45:35", "6.03.2015, 14:03:18", "Dell Inc.", "OptiPlex 3020", "x64-based PC", "Dell Inc. A03, 14.04.2014", "C:IWindows", "C:IWindowslsystem32", "en-us; English (US)", "\Device\HarddiskVolume2", "et; Estonian", "(UTC+02:00) Helsinki, Kyiv, Riga, Sofia, Tallinn, Vilnius", "C:\pagefile.sys", "WORKGROUP", "\ALADJEV-PC"\}
In[2564] := ExtProgExe["qprocess.exe", "*"]
Out[2564]= "C:\Users\Aladjev\Documents<br>\$TempFile\$" In[2565]:= k = 1; h = "";
While[! SameQ[h, EndOfFile],
h = Read["\$TempFile\$", String];
Print[h]; k++]; Close["\$TempFile\$"]; 11772 taskhost.exe
11864 dwm.exe
11900 explorer.exe
12252 rtkngui64.exe
12272 ravbg64.exe
12308 igfxtray.exe
12316 hkcmd.exe
12344 igfxpers.exe
12352 igfxsrvc.exe

12408 fahwindow.exe
12424 avg-secure-s...
12816 skype.exe
>aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev
>aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev >aladjev
$>$ aladjev >aladjev EndOfFile console console console console console console console console console console console console console console console console console console console console 12956 iusb3mon.exe 12972 avgui.exe
13020 ishelper.exe 13104 ctfmon.exe
13948 firefox.exe
12416 plugin-conta... 14704 flashplayerp... 14768 flashplayerp... 11832 vprot.exe
12644 mathematica.exe 12304 mathkernel.exe 14436 mathkernel.exe 12032
totalcmd64.exe 14276 winword.exe 14208 splwow64.exe 13372 notepad.exe 13716 notepad.exe 14956 cmd.exe
14344 conhost.exe
14440 qprocess.exe
At last, the next procedure provides search in the given directory of chains of subdirectories and datafiles containing a string $x$ as own components. The
callDirFilePaths $[x, y]$ returns the2-element list whose first element is a list of full paths to subdirectories of a directory $\boldsymbol{y}$ which contain components $\boldsymbol{x}$ whereas the second element is the list of full paths to datafiles whose names coincide with a stringx.
In[3642] := DirFilePaths[x_/; StringQ[x], y_: BootDrive1[][[1]]<>"|*.*"] :=
Module[\{c = \{\}, h, d=\{\}, b= ToString[Unique["avz"]l], a=
StringTrim[StandStrForm[x], "’"]\}, Run["DIR /A/B/S " <> StandPath[y] <> " > " <> b]; h = ReadList[b, String]; DeleteFile[b]; Map[If[! StringFreeQ[StandPath[\#], \{"\" <> a <> "\", "’" <> a\}], If[DirectoryQ[\#], AppendTo[c, \#], AppendTo[d, \#]], Null]\&, h]; \{c, d\}] In[3643]:= DirFilePaths["cinema.txt", "c:\Temp/"]
Out[3643]= \{\{\}, \{"c:\templCinema.txt"\}\}
In[3644] := DirFilePaths["CuteWriter.exe", "C:/Users/Aladjev/"] Out[3644]= \{ \{\},
\{"c:lusers\aladjev\Downloads\CuteWriter.exe"\}\} In[3645]:=
DirFilePaths["CuteWriter.exe"]
Out[3645]= \{ \{\}, \{"C:\Users\Aladjev\Downloads\CuteWriter.exe" \}\}
In the absence of the second optional argument $\boldsymbol{y}$ the procedure call instead of it supposesBootDrive1[][[1]] <> "\*.*". The previous fragment presents source code of the procedure with some examples of its use. In certain cases of access to file system the given procedure is an useful enough means.
In a number of problems of processing of file system of the computer along with work with datafiles the followingVolDir procedure can present quite certain interest. The procedure callVolDir $[x]$ returns the nested2-element list, whosefirst element determines the volume occupied by a directoryx in bytes whereas thesecond element determines the size of free space on a hard disk with the given directory. Whereas procedure callDirsFiles[x] returns the nested2-element list, whose first element defines the list of directories contained in a directoryx, includingx, and the second element defines the list of all datafiles contained in the given directory. The following fragment represents source
codes of the above procedures with examples of their use.
In[3625]:= VoIDir[x_/; DirectoryQ[x] ||
MemberQ[Map[\# <> ":" \&, Adrive[]], ToUpperCase[x]]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ToString[Unique["agn"]], b, c, d = StandPath[x] $\}, \mathbf{b}=$ Run["DIR /S " <> d <> " > " <> a]; If[b != 0, \$Failed, c = Map[StringTrim, ReadList[a, String][[-2
;;-1] ]I]; DeleteFile[a]; c = Map[StringTrim, Mapp[StringReplace, c, ["ÿ"-> "", "bytes"-> "", "free"-> ""\}]]; ToExpression[Map[StringSplit[\#][[-1]] \&, c]l] In[3628]:= Map[VolDir, \{"c:/users/aladjev/downloads", "e:/avz_package"\}] Out[3628]= \{\{2129356859, 442634944512\}, \{5944994, 9888374784\}\}
In[3655]:= DirsFiles[x_/; DirectoryQ[x] ||
MemberQ[Map[\# <> ":" \&, Adrive[]], ToUpperCase[x]]] := Module[\{a $=$ ToString[Unique["ag"]], $\mathbf{b}=\{\mathbf{x}\}, \mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{d}=$ StandPath $[\mathbf{x}], \mathbf{f}\}$,
If[Run["DIR /A/B/S " <> d <> " > " <> a] != 0, \$Failed, f = ReadList[a, String]; DeleteFile[a]; Map[If[DirectoryQ[\#], AppendTo[b, \#], If[FileExistsQ[\#], AppendTo[c, \#], Null]] \&, f]; \{b, c\}]]

## In[3656]:= DirsFiles["C:\users\Aladjev\downloads"]

Out[3656]= \{ \{"c:\users\Aladjev\downloads" $\}$, ...,
"c:\users\aladjev\downloads\Mathematica_10.1.0_WIN.zip"\}\} By the by, it should be noted that at processing of the list structures of rather large size the unpredictable situations are quite possible [30-33].
So, the means presented in the given chapter sometimes rather significantly simplify programming of the tasks dealing with file system of the computer. Along with that these means extend functional means of access, illustrating a number of useful enough methods of programming of problems of similar type. These means in a number of cases very significantly supplement the standard access means supported by system, facilitating programming of a number of very important appendices dealing with the datafiles of various format. Our experience of programming of the access means that extend the similar means of the systemsMaple andMathematica allows to notice that basic access means of theMathematica system in combination with itsglobal variables allow to program more simply and effectively the user`s original access means. Moreover, the created access means possess sometimes by the significantly bigger performance in relation to the similar means developed in the environment of the Maple software. So, in the environment of the Mathematica system it is possible to solve the problems linked with rather complex algorithms of processing of datafiles while in the environment of theMaple system, first of all, in case of large enough datafiles the efficiency of such algorithms leaves much to be desired. In a number of appendices the means, presented in the present chapter along with other similar means from our package [48] are represented as rather useful, by allowing at times to essentially simplify programming. Meanwhile, it must be kept in mind, a whole series of the means that are based on theRun function and the $\boldsymbol{D O S}$ commands generally can be nonportable onto other versions of the system and an operational platform, demanding the corresponding adaptation onto appropriate new conditions.

## Chapter 8. The manipulations organization with the user packages in theMathematicasoftware

Similarly to the well -developed software theMathematica is the extendable system, i.e. in addition to the built-in means that quite cover requirements of quite wide range of the users, the system allows to program those means that absent for the specific user in environment of the built-in language, and also to extend and correct standard means. Moreover, the user can find the missing means which are not built-in, in the numerous packages both in the packages delivered with theMathematica, and separately existing packages for various applied fields. The question consists only in finding of a package necessary for a concrete case containing definitions of the functions, modules and other objects demanded for an application programmed in the system. Apackage has the standard organization and contains definitions of various objects, somehow the functions, procedures, variables, etc., that solve well- defined problems. In return theMathematica system provides a standard set of packages whose composition is defined by the concrete version of the system. For receiving of composition of the packages that are delivered with the current release of theMathematica it is possible to use the procedure, whose the callMathPackages[] returns the list of names of packages, whose names with a certain confidence speak about their basic purpose. Whereas the callMathPackages $[x]$ with optional argumentx -an undefinite variable- provides through it in addition return of the three-element list whose first element defines the current release of theMathematica system, the second element- type of the license and the third element- a deadline of action of the license. The following fragment represents source code of the procedure along with examples of its most typical usage.
In[2590]:= MathPackages[h__] := Module[\{c = \$InstallationDirectory, b, a = "\$Kr18Art26\$", d\}, d = Run["Dir" <> StandPath[c] <> "/A/B/O/S > \$Kr18Art26\$"];

[^7]Out[2593]= \{"10.1.0 for Microsoft Windows (64-bit) (March 24, 2015)", "Professional", "Wed 21 Oct"\}
From the given fragment follows that the Mathematica system of version $\mathbf{1 0 . 1}$ contains2563 packages oriented on various appendices, including the packages of strictly system purpose. Before use of means that are contained in a certain applied package, this package should be previously uploaded into the current session by means of the function callGet[Package].

### 8.1. Concept of the context, and its use in the software of theMathematicasystem

The context concept has been entered into the program environment of the system for organization of operation with symbols which represent various
objects(modules,functions,packages, variables and so on), in particular, in order to avoid the possible conflicts with the symbols of the same name. The main idea consists in that that thefull name of an arbitrary symbol consists of two parts, namely: a context and a short name, i.e. the full name of some object has the next format:"context'short name" where the symbol <'> (backquote) carries out the role of some marker identifying a context in the software of the system. For example,Avzagn'Vsv represents a symbol with the context Avzagn and with short nameVsv. At that, with such symbols it is possible to execute various operations as with usual names; furthermore, the system considersaaa'xyz andbbb'xyz as various symbols. The most widespread use of context consists in its assignment to functionally identical or semantically connected symbols. For example,

## AladjevProcedures`StandPath, AladjevProcedures`MathPackages

the procedures StandPath andMathPackages belong to the same group of the means associated with"AladjevProcedures'" context that is ascribed to ourAVZ_Package package [48]. The current context is defined any moment of the system session, the context is in the global variable\$Context: In[2562]:= \$Context
Out[2562]= "Global"
In the current Mathematica session the current context by default is defined as"Global'". While the global variable\$ContextPath determines the list of contexts after the variable\$Context for search of a symbol entered into the current session. It is possible to reffer to symbols from the current context simply by their short names; at that, if this symbol is crossed with a symbol from the list determined by the\$ContextPath variable, the second symbol will be used instead of a symbol from the current context, for example: In[2563]:= \$ContextPath
Out[2563]= \{"AladjevProcedures’", "TemplatingLoader`", "PacletManager", "System", "Global"\} Whereas the callsContext[ \(x\) ] andContexts[] return the context ascribed to a symbol \(\boldsymbol{x}\) and the list of all contexts of the current session respectively: In[2564]: := Context[ActUcontexts] Out[2564]= "AladjevProcedures`"
In[2565]:= Contexts[]

Out[2565]= \{"AladjevProcedures`", "AladjevProcedures`ActBFMuserQ", "AladjevProcedures`ActRemObj"", "AladjevProcedures`ActUcontexts’", ...,
 "WSMLink’", "XML’", "XML`MathML’", "XML`MathML`Symbols’", " XML`NotebookML`", "XML`Parser`", "XML`RSS`", "XML`SVG`"\} At that, by analogy with file system of the computer, contexts quite can be compared with directories. It is possible to determine the path to a datafile, specifying a directory containing it and a name of the datafile. At the same time, the current context can be quite associated with the current directory to datafiles of which can be referenced simply by their names. Furthermore, like file system the contexts can have hierarchical structure, in particular: "Visualization`VectorFields`VectorFieldsDump`". So, the path of search of a context of symbols in theMathematica system is similar to a path of search of program files. At the beginning of the session the current context by default is"Global'", and all symbols entered into the session will be associated with this context, except for the built-in symbols, for example,Do, which are associated with context"System'". The path of search of contexts by default includes contexts for system-defined symbols. Whereas for the symbols removed by means of the Remove function, the context can't be defined, for example:
In[2565]:= Avz := 500; Context["Avz"]
Out[2565]= "Global""
In[2566]:= Remove["Avz"]; Context["Avz"]
Context ::notfound: Symbol Avz not found. >>
Out[2566]= Context["Avz"]
At using of the contexts there is no guarantee that two symbols of the same name are available in various contexts. Therefore theMathematica defines as a maximum priority the priority of choice of that symbol with this name, whose context is the first in the list which is defined by the global variable \$ContextPath. Therefore, for the placement of such context in the beginning of the specified list it is possible to use the following simple construction: In[2568]:= \$ContextPath
Out[2568]= \{"AladjevProcedures`", "TemplatingLoader`", "PacletManager`", " System`", "Global" $\}$
In[2569]:= PrependTo[\$ContextPath, "RansIanAvz’"]
Out[2569]= \{"RansIanAvz`", "AladjevProcedures`", "TemplatingLoader"",
" PacletManager", "System", "Global"\}
In[2570]:= \$ContextPath
Out[2570]= \{"RansIanAvz`", "AladjevProcedures`", "TemplatingLoader"",
" PacletManager", "System`", "Global`"\}
The next rather useful procedure provides assignment of the given context to adefinite orundefinite symbol. The procedure callContextToSymbol1 $[x, y, z]$ returnsNull, i.e. nothing, providing assignment of a certainy context to a symbolx; at that, the third optional argumentz - the string, defining forx the usage; at its absence for anundefinite symbolx the usage- empty string, i.e."", while for adefinite symbolx the usage has view"Help onx". The next fragment presents source code of theContextToSymbol1
procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2725]:= ContextToSymbol1[x_/; AladjevProcedures`SymbolQ[x], y_/; AladjevProcedures`ContextQ[y], z__] :=
Module[ \{a, b = ToString[x]\}, Off[General::shdw]; $\mathbf{a}=$
StringReplace["BeginPackage["AvzAgnVsvArtKr`"]n 90::usage=73\nBegin["'90`"]n500\nEnd[]\nEndPackage[]", \{"AvzAgnVsvArtKr" -
> y, "73"-> If[AladjevProcedures`PureDefinition[x] === \$Failed, """", If[\{z\}!= \{\}\&\& StringQ[z], AladjevProcedures` ToString1[z],
AladjevProcedures'ToString1["Help on " <> b]ll, "90"-> b, "500"->
If[AladjevProcedures`PureDefinition[x] === \$Failed, b, AladjevProcedures`PureDefinition[x]]\}]; Remove[x]; ToExpression[a];
On[General::shdw]]
In[2726] := Sv[x_] := Module[\{a = 90, b=500\}, (a + b)* $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge}$ 2]
In[2727]:= Context[Sv]
Out[2727]= "Global"
In[2728]:= ContextToSymbol1[Sv, "Agn`"] In[2729]:= Sv[73] Out[2729]= 3144110 In[2730]:= ?Sv Help on Sv In[2731]:= Vsv[x_] := Module[\{a = 500\}, a*x] In[2732]:= ContextToSymbol1[Vsv, "Tampere", "Help on module Vsv."] In[2733]:= Context[Vsv] Out[2733]= "Tampere`"
In[2734]:= ArtKr[x_] := Module[\{a = 90, $\left.\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{5 0 0}\}, \mathbf{( a + b})^{*} \mathbf{x}\right]$
In[2734]:= ContextToSymbol1[ArtKr, "AladjevProcedures'", "Help on module ArtKr."]
In[2735]:= DumpSave["C:/Users/AladjevlMathematicalTampere.mx",
"AladjevProcedures"]
Out[2735]= \{"AladjevProcedures'"\}
A new current session with the Mathematica system
In[3354]:= Get["C:\Users\Aladjev\Mathematica\Tampere.mx"]
In[3355]:= ?? ArtKr
Help on module ArtKr.
Art[x_]:=Module[\{a=90,b=500\},(a+b) x]
In[3356]:= PureDefinition[Rans]
Out[3356]= \$Failed
In[3357]:= ContextToSymbol1[Rans, "AgnVsv`"] In[3358]:= Context[Rans] Out[3358]= "AgnVsv`"
In[3359]:= \$Packages
Out[3359]= \{"AgnVsv`", "HTTPClient’", "HTTPClient`OAuth", ...,
"AladjevProcedures`", "Tampere`", "Agn`", ...\}
In[3360]:= \$ContextPath

Out[3360]= \{"AgnVsv"", "AladjevProcedures`", "Tampere"", "Agn"", ... \}
At that along with possibility of assignment of the given context to symbols theContextToSymbol1 procedure is an useful enough means for extension by new means of the user package contained in amxfile. The technology of similar updating is as follows. On thefirst step a filex ofmxformat with the user's package havingy context is uploaded into the current session by the function callGet[x]. Then, in the same session the definition of a new means $\boldsymbol{f}$ with its usage $\boldsymbol{u}$ which describes the given means is evaluated. At last, by the procedure callContextToSymbol1[ $[, y, u$ ] the assignment of ay context to the symbolf along with its usageu is provided. Moreover, the usageu can be directly coded in the procedure call, or be determined by a certain string $\boldsymbol{u}$. At last, by the function callDumpSave[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ ] the saving in themx-filex of all objects having $\boldsymbol{y}$ context is provided. Similar approach provides a rather effective mechanism of updating in the context of bothdefinitions andusages of the means entering the user's package which is located in a $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-file. Yet, the approach is limited by packages located in datafiles of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format. As a result the symbols with the sameshort name whose contexts are located in the list defined by the $\$$ ContextPath variable further from the beginning, are inaccessible for access to them by means of their short names. Therefore for access to them it is necessary to use full names of the following format "Context'Name"; furthermore, at entering into the current session of the new symbolsoverlapping the symbols of the same name of the list\$ContextPath the corresponding message is output. Interesting enough questions in this context are considered enough in details in our books [30-33].

### 8.1.1. Interconnection of contexts and packages in the software of theMathematicasystem

The packages are one of the main mechanisms of theMathematica extension which contain definitions of the new symbols intended for use both outside of a package and in it. These symbols can correspond, in particular, to the new functions or objects determined in a package that extend the functional Mathematica possibilities. At that, according to the adopted agreement all new symbols entered in some package are placed in a context whose name is connected with the name of the package. At uploading of a package into the current session, the given context is added into the beginning of the list determined by the global variable\$ContextPath. As a rule, for ensuring of association of a package with a context the constructionBeginPackage[" $x$ '"] coded at its beginning is used. At uploading of a package into the current session the context " $x$ "" will update the current values of the global variables \$Context and\$ContextPath. Thus, ourAVZ_Package package [48] contains BeginPackage["AladjevProcedures'"] and at its uploading, the values of the specified variables accept the following view, namely:
In[2571]:= \$ContextPath
Out[2571]= \{"AladjevProcedures`", "TemplatingLoader`", "PacletManager"",
" System`", "Global`"\}
In[2572]:= MemberQ[Contexts["*"], "AladjevProcedures`"]
Out[2572]= True
In[2573]:= \$Packages

Out[2573]= \{"HTTPClient’","HTTPClient`OAuth`",
" HTTPClient`CURLInfo`", "HTTPClient`CURLLink", "JLink"", "DocumentationSearch’", "AladjevProcedures’", "GetFEKernelInit", "TemplatingLoader’", "ResourceLocator"", "PacletManager`", "System‘", "Global""\}
In[2574]:= CNames[x_/; ContextQ[x], y__] := Module[\{b,
a = Names[StringJoin[x, "*"]]\}, b = Select[a,
Quiet[ToString[Definition[ToString[\#1]]l] != "Null" \&];
If[ $\{\mathbf{y}\}$ != $\} \& \&$ PureDefinition[y] === \$Failed, $\mathbf{y}=$ Sort[DeleteDuplicates[Select[a, PureDefinition[\#] === \$Failed \&]I]]; Select[b, Attributes[\#] != \{Temporary\}\&\& ToString[Definition[\#]] != "Null" \&]]

In[2575] := CNames["AladjevProcedures`"]
Out[2575]= \{"AcNb", "ActBFMuserQ", "ActCsProcFunc", "ActRemObj",
"ActUcontexts", "AddMxFile", "Adrive", "Adrive1", "Affiliate", "Aobj", "Aobj1", "Args", "Args1", "ArgsBFM", "ArgsTypes", ...

```
                                    "WhatType",
"WhatValue", "WhichN", "XOR1", "\$CallProc", "\$InBlockMod", "\$Line1",
"\$Load\$Files\$", "\$ProcName", "\$ProcType", "\$TestArgsTypes", "\$TypeProc",
"\$UserContexts"\}
In[2576]:= Length[\%]
Out[2576]= 683
In[2577]:= CNames["AladjevProcedures’", h]; h
Out[2577]= \{"a", "b", "c", "d", "h", "k", "p", "S", "x", "y", "z"\}
In[2578]:= CNames["System""]
Out[2578]= \{"AASTriangle", "AbelianGroup", "AbortKernels", "AbortProtect", "Abs",
..., "\$Urgent", "\$UserBaseDirectory", "\$UserName", "\$Version", "\$VersionNumber"\}
```

At that, in the above fragment instead of return of the complete list defined by the callContexts["*"] to save space only testing of existence in it of the specified context is done. From the full list defined by the callContexts["*"] can be easily noticed that in it along with this context exist elements of a type "AladjevProcedures'Name'" that determine full names of all objects whose definitions are located in theAVZ_Package package [48]. While theCNames procedure presented in the previous fragment allows to differentially obtain the lists of all short names in a package with the given context of both the definitions existing in it, and undefinite from the standpoint of the current session. So, the callCNames $[x]$ returns the list of all short names in package with a contextx, that have definitions in it; whereas the callCNames[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ ] in addition through argumenty -an undefinite variable- returns the list of all undefinite short names in the package with a contextx. Along with that, the analysis of the list, returned thru optional argumenty provides additional possibility of check of contents of the package relative to definiteness of all objects contained in it. TheCNames procedure provides an easy way of the differentiated analysis of contents of the packages formalized in the form of theMathematica documents of the formats \{"nb", "cdf"\}. The mechanism of contexts has a number of rather essential features that need to be taken into account during the work in the environment of the system, first of all, at use of the procedural paradigm. These features are considered rather in details in [33]. In particular, after uploading of a
package into the current session all its objects will be associated with a context ascribed to the package while the objects of the same name, whose definitions are evaluated in the current Mathematica session are associated with the context"Global’". For definition of contexts of symbols theContextDef procedure can be used, whose callContextDef[x] returns the list of the contexts associated with an arbitrary symbolx. If symbol $\boldsymbol{x}$ isn't associated with any context, the empty list is returned, i.e. \{\}. The following fragment represents source code of the ContextDef procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[3325] := Get["GSV.mx"]
In[3326]:= BeginPackage["RansIan’"]
GSV::usage = "help on GSV."
Begin["GSV""]
GSV[x_, y_, z_]:= Module[\{a=6\}, $\left.x^{*} y^{*} z+a\right]$
End[]

## EndPackage[]

Out[3326]= "RansIan""
Out[3327]= "help on GSV."
Out[3328]= "RansIan`GSV" In[3332]:= GSV[x_Integer, z_Integer] := Module[\{a = 90\}, (x + z)*a] In[3333]:= ContextDef[x_/; SymbolQ[x]]:= Module[ \(\{\mathbf{a}=\$\) ContextPath, \(\mathbf{b}=\) ToString[x], c, d, \(k\), \(\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1}\}\), While \([\mathbf{j}\) <= 2, c = \{\}; k = 1; Quiet[While[k <= Length[a], d = a[[k]] <> b; If[! SameQ[ToString[ToExpression["Definition[" <> d <> "]"]], "Null"], AppendTo[c, d]l; k++]l; j++]; c] In[3334] := ContextDef[GSV] Out[3334]= \{"RansIan`GSV", "avzransian500`GSV", "Global`GSV"\} In[3335]:=
ProcQ[x_, y_]:= x*
In[3336]:= ContextDef[ProcQ]
Out[3336]= \{"RansIan`ProcQ", "AladjevProcedures`ProcQ"\}
In[3337]:= Definition[avzransian500 ProcQ]
Out[3337]= ProcQ[x_, y_]:= x*y
In[3338]:= Definition["Global'GSV"]
Out[3338]= Global`GSV[x_Integer, z_Integer]:= Module[\{a= 90\}, (x+ z)*a] In[3339]:= Definition["RansIan`GSV"]
Out[3339]= RansIan`GSV[RansIan`GSV`x_, RansIan`GSV`y_, RansIan `GSV`z_]:= Module[\{RansIan`GSV`a= 6\}, RansIan`GSV`x*RansIan`GSV`y*RansIan`GSV`z+ RansIan`GSV`a] In[3340]:= \$ContextPath Out[3340]= \{"avzransian500", "RansIan`", "AladjevProcedures`",
"TemplatingLoader", "PacletManager", "System"", "Global")
Thus, at using of the objects of the same name, generally speaking, to avoid misunderstandings it is necessary to associate them with the contexts which have been ascribed to them.

### 8.2. Definition of the user packages, and their usage in theMathematicasoftware

The global variable\$Packages defines the list of the contexts corresponding to all packages uploaded into the current session, for example:

## In[2569] := \$Packages

Out[2569]= \{"AladjevProcedures`", "GetFEKernelInit", ..., "Global" \(\}\) In[2570]:= Get[ "C:\Avz_Package\Aladjev.m"]; \$Packages Out[2570]= \{"Aladjev", "AladjevProceduresAndFunctions`", ..., "Global"\} As it was already noted, each uploading of a new package into the current session adds the context corresponding to it to the beginning of the list that is determined by the global\$Packages variable. Generally speaking, in the presence of the loaded packages their means it is quite possible to consider as means at the level of the built-in means of theMathematica system. In effect, quite essential number of functions of theMathematica system was realized in the form of packages. Meanwhile, in the majority of versions of the system preliminary uploading of packages for receiving access to means, contained in them is required. The majority of theMathematica versions is provided with a standard set of packages which contain definitions of very large number of functions. For their use, as a rule, the appropriate packages it is necessary to upload professedly into the current session.Mathematica has the mechanism of both preliminary loading, and automatic loading of packages as needed. Meanwhile here one very essential circumstance takes place, namely: the help on such package means aren't reflected in the help Mathematica system, and it can be received, for example, by the call?Name. Similar organization is completely inconvenient, in particular, significantly conceding to the mechanism of organization of the helpMaple system [27]. The main forms of preservation of definitions of the objects are a document (notebook) and a package(package) that are located in datafiles of formats $\{\boldsymbol{c d f}, \boldsymbol{n b}\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}\}$ respectively. At the same time between them there is a certain distinction. If uploading of the first into the current session allows to work with it as the document(look over,execute,edit,save), then the package is intended only for uploading into the current session. At that, documents partially or completely can be considered as the packages. In particular, for convenience of work with theAVZ_Package package it is presented inthree main platform-independent formats, namely $\{\boldsymbol{c d f}, \boldsymbol{n b}, \boldsymbol{m}\}$. It should be noted that binary datailes of themxformat optimized for fast uploading into the current session are nonportable both between versions of theMathematica system, and between operational platforms.
A package uploading into the current session. Generally, a typical package is provided with two types of symbols determining as theexported symbols, and symbols forinternal usage. For distinction these symbols are associated with different contexts. The standard reception consists in definition of the exported symbols in a context with the nameName' which corresponds to the package name. Then, at uploading of a package it supplements the list defined by the global\$ContextPath variable for providing of the call of the symbols which are in this context by theirshort names. While the definitions of all symbols intended for internal use are located in a context with a name Package'Private' that isn't added to the list\$ContextPath, without allowing to get access to the symbols of such context by their short names. As a rule, for setting of contexts of a package and
global variables\$ContextPath and \$Context the standard sequence of functions in the package is used:
BeginPackage[ "Package`"]-the setting for a package of the current context "Package’"; F1::usage = "Help"-the help on the exportedF1symbol;further allows to receive the help by means of calls? $\mathbf{F 1}$ andInformation[F1];
F2::usage = "Help"-the help on the exportedF2symbol;further allows to receive the help by means of calls? $\mathbf{F 2 a n d I n f o r m a t i o n [ F 2 ] ; ~}$

Begin["'Private`"]-the setting of the context"'Private’"for local symbols;
F1[args] :=Definition1;...-definitions of local and global symbols of package;
F2[args] :=Definition2;...-definitions of local and global symbols of package;

## End[]

EndPackage[]-the closing bracket of the package;simultaneously adding the context"Package'"to the beginning of the list of\$ContextPath at package uploading into the current session.

The previous fragment at the same time represents the typical scheme of a package. The package given below serves as an illustration of filling of this scheme, namely:
In[2565] := BeginPackage["Tallinn""]
G::usage $=$ "Function $G[x, y]:=73^{*} x^{\wedge} 2+67^{*} y+47+S[x, y]$." Begin["`Private`"]
$\mathrm{S}\left[\mathrm{x}_{\sim}, \mathrm{y}_{-}\right]:=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 3+\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} \mathrm{3}$
G[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_Integer] := 73* ${ }^{\wedge} \wedge 2+67 * y+47+S[x, y]$ End[]
EndPackage[]
Out[2565] = "Tallinn""
Out[2566]= "Function G[x, y]:= 73*x^2+67*y+47+S[x,y]." Out[2567]=
"Tallinn`Private" Out[2570]= "Tallinn`Private""
In[2572]:= \{S[90, 500], G[90, 500] $\}$
Out[2572]= \{S[90, 500], 126353847\}
In[2573]:= \$ContextPath
Out[2573]= \{"Tallinn`", "AladjevProcedures`", "TemplatingLoader`", " PacletManager", "System", "Global"\} In[2574]:= \$Context Out[2574]= "Tallinn`"
In[2575]:= Information[S]
Out[2575]= Tallinn`S In[2576]:= Information[G] Out[2576]= Function \(G[x, y]:=73 * x \wedge 2+67 * y+47+S[x, y]\). G[Tallinn `Private`x_/; IntegerQ[Tallinn`Private`x], Tallinn`Private`y_Integer]:= 73 Tallinn`Private` \(x^{\wedge} 2+67\) Tallinn`Private` \(y+47+\) Tallinn`Private`S[Tallinn`Private`x, Tallinn`Private`y] In[2577] := Tallinn`Private`S[90, 500]
Out[2577]= 125729000

In[2578]:= \$Packages
Out[2578]= \{"Tallinn`", "AladjevProcedures`", "HTTPClient`OAuth", " HTTPClient`CURLInfo`", "HTTPClient`CURLLink", "HTTPClient`", "GetFEKernelInit", "TemplatingLoader`", "ResourceLocator’", "PacletManager", "System"", "Global""

We will note that the definition of help (usage) for the means exported by a package serves as a certain kind of indicator what exactly these means are exported by a package whereas definitions of means without usages define local symbols which outside of the package are invisible, however they can be used by bothlocal, andglobal symbols of the package. Such organization is simpler and in some cases is a little more preferable. So the organizational scheme of a package can be simplified, having assumed rather simple view, represented by the following fragment. The fragment visually illustrates the principle of formation of a package taking into account the made remarks.
BeginPackage[ "Package""]-the setting for a package of the current context "Package’"; F::usage ="Help" -the help on the exportedFsymbols;further allows to receive the help by means of calls? FandInformation[ $\mathbf{F}$ ];
Begin["'F"]-the setting of a context"' $\boldsymbol{F}$ '"for a global symbol;
F[Formal args] =Definition F;...-definitions of global package symbols;
V[Formal args] =Definition V;...-definitions of local package symbols;

## End[]

EndPackage[]-the closing bracket of the package;simultaneously adding the context"Package’"to the beginning of the list of\$ContextPath at package uploading into the current session.

Thus, programming of a package can be simplified by means of definition of local variables without usages corresponding to them while all exports of the package are defined by the usages corresponding to them as illustrates the following simple enough fragment, namely:
In[2590] := BeginPackage["Tallinn73"]]
G6::usage = "Function G73[x, y] := 72*x^2 + 67*y + $47+$ S6[x, y]." Begin["'G6"]
S6[x_, y_]:= $x^{\wedge 4+y} y^{\wedge 4}$
G6[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_Integer] := 72*x^2 + 67*y + 47 + S6[x, y] End[]
EndPackage[]
Out[2590] = "Tallinn" "
Out[2591]= "Function G6[x, y]:= 72*x^2+ 67*y+47+ S6[x, y]." Out[2592]= "Tallinn`G6"" Out[2593]= "Tallinn`G6"
In[2595]:= \{S6[90, 500], G6[90, 500] $\}$
Out[2595]= \{S6[78, 460], 62566226747\}
In[2596]:= \$ContextPath
Out[2596]= \{"Tallinn73`", "Tallinn`", "AladjevProcedures’",
" TemplatingLoader", "PacletManager", "System"", "Global""\} In[2597]:= \$Packages Out[2597]= \{"Tallinn73`", "Tallinn"", "AladjevProcedures’", "HTTPClient`OAuth`, "HTTPClient`CURLInfo`", "HTTPClient`CURLLink", "HTTPClient", "GetFEKernelInit", "TemplatingLoader", "ResourceLocator", "PacletManager`", "System"", "Global""\} In[2598]:= Information[S6] Global`S6
In[2598]:= Information[G6]
Function G6[x, y]:= 72*x^2+67*y+47+S6[x,y].
G6[Tallinn73`G6`x_/; IntegerQ[Tallinn73`G6`x],
Tallinn73`G6`y_Integer]:= 72 Tallinn73`G6`x^2+
67 Tallinn73`G6`y+47+ Tallinn73`G6`S6[Tallinn73`G6`x, Tallinn73`G6`y]
So, the call Context[x] of the standard function returns a context associated with a symbolx. Meanwhile, rather interesting question is determination of them-file with a package containing the given context. The procedure call FindFileContext $[x]$ returns the list of full paths tom-files with the packages containing the given contextx; in the absence of such datafiles the procedure call returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. At that, the callFindFileContext $[x, y, z, \ldots]$ with optional arguments $\{y, z, \ldots\}$ - the names in string format of devices of external memory of direct access- provides search of required files on the specified devices instead of search in all file system of the computer in case of a procedure call with one actual argument. The search of the requiredmfiles is done also in theRecycle Bin directory of theWindows system as that very visually illustrates an example of the next fragment. It must be kept in mind that search within all file system of the computer can demand enough essential temporal expenditure.The next fragment represents source code of theFindFileContext procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2600] := FindFileContext[x_/; ContextQ[x], y__] := Module[\{b =\{\}, c="", d = StringJoin["BeginPackage[", StrStr[x], "]"], $\mathbf{s}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{j}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{a}=\mathbf{I f}[\{\mathbf{y}\}==\{ \}$, Adrive[l, $\{\mathbf{y}\}], \mathrm{f}=$ "\$Kr18_Art26\$.txt"\},
While[k <= Length[a], Run["Dir ", StringJoin[a[[k]], ":1*.*"], StringJoin[" /A/B/O/S > ", f]]; While[! c === EndOfFile, c = Read[f, String]; If[! DirQ[c] \&\&
FileExtension[c] == "m", AppendTo[b, cl]; j++]; c = ""; j=1; k++]; k = 1; While[k
<= Length[b], c = ToString[ReadFullFile[b[[k]]I]; If[! StringFreeQ[c, d],
AppendTo[s, b[[k]]I]; k++]; DeleteFile[Close[f]]; s]
In[2601] := FindFileContext["Tallinn"]
Out[2601]= \{"C:\AVZ_PackagelTallinn.m"\}
In[2602]:= FindFileContext["AladjevProcedures`"]
Out[2602]= \{"C:\GrGU_Books\AVZ_Package\AVZ_Package.m",
" C:IUsers\Aladjev\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m"\} In[2603]:=
FindFileContext["AvzAgnSvetArtKr", "F"]
Out[2603]= $\}$
In[2604]:= FindFileContext["AladjevProcedures'"]
Out[2604] = \{"C:\$\$RECYCLE.BINSS-1-5-21-2596736632-989557747-
1273926778-1000\AVZ_Package.m",
"C:\GrGU_Books\AVZ_Package\AVZ_Package.m",
"C:\Users\Aladjev\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m"\}
For definition of the status of existence of a context (absent context, a current context without file, a current context with am-file,inactive context with am-file) the followingFindFileContext1 procedure can be used, whose source code with typical examples of usage represents the following fragment, namely:

In[2608]:= FindFileContext1[x_/; ContextQ[x]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ FindFileContext[x], b = If[MemberQ[\$Packages, x], "Current", \{\}]\}, If[a != \{\}\&\& ! SameQ[b, \{\}], $\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{a}\}, \mathbf{I f}[\mathbf{a}!=\{ \} \& \& S a m e Q[b,\{ \}], \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{I f}[\mathbf{a}==\{ \} \& \&!$ SameQ[b, \{\}], b, \{\}]ll]
In[2609] := FindFileContext1["Tallinn`"] Out[2609]= "Current" In[2610]:= FindFileContext1["AladjevProcedures"] Out[2610]= \{"Current", \{"C:\\$RECYCLE.BINIS-1-5-21-2596736632- 989557747-1273926778-1000\AVZ_Package.m", "C:\GrGU_Books\AVZ_Package\AVZ_Package.m", "C::Users\Aladjev\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m"\}\} In[2611]:= FindFileContext1["Aladjev`"]
Out[2611]= \{"f:\avz_packagelaladjev.m"\}
In[2612]:= FindFileContext1["RansIanRacRea"]
Out[2612]= $\}$
In[2613]:= FindFileContext1["PacletManager`"]
Out[2613]= \{"Current", \{"C:\Program Files\Wolfram Research\}
Mathematical10.1\SystemFiles\Autoload\ PacletManager\PacletManager.m"\}\}
Depending on the status of a contextx the callFindFileContext1[x] returns the following result, namely:

- \{"Current", \{ $\boldsymbol{m}$-files \} \}-the current contextxlocated in the indicatedm-files;
-"Current"-the current contextx,not associated withm-files;
- \{m-files \}-the contextxis located inm-files,but not in the\$Packageslist;
- \{\}-the contextxis formally correct,but not actual.

As an essential enough addition to the above procedures FindFileContext andFindFileContext1 is theContextInFile procedure providing search of datafiles of the types $\{\boldsymbol{c d f}, \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{m x}, \boldsymbol{n b}, \boldsymbol{t r}\}$ containing definitions of packages with the given context. The procedure callContextInFile $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ returns the list of full paths to datafiles of the indicated types containing definitions of packages with a contextx. At that, search is executed in a directory, defined by the second optional argumenty; in its absence the search of datafiles is executed in the" $\mathrm{C}:$ :" directory. Return of the empty list, i.e. \{\}, determines absence of the sought-for datafiles in the given path of search. The fragment below represents source code of the procedure with examples of its usage.
In[2578]:= ContextInFile[x_/; ContextQ[x], y__] := Module[\{b, d, h, Tav, c = "\$Art26Kr18\$"\}, Iff\{y\}!= \{\}\&\& DirectoryQ[y],
Run["DIR" <> StandPath[y] <> "/A/B/O/S > \$Art26Kr18\$"], Run["DIR C:
/A/B/O/S > \$Art26Kr18\$"]l; d = ReadList[c, String]; DeleteFile[c];
Tav[t_/; ListQ[t]] := Module[\{m, v=\{\}, k, z,
a = "BeginPackage[" <> ToString1[x] <> "]"\},
Map[If[FileExistsQ[\#] \&\& MemberQ[\{"cdf", "nb", "m", "mx", "tr"\},
FileExtension[\#]], If[MemberQ[\{"tr", "m"\}, FileExtension[\#]] \&\&!
StringFreeQ[ReadFullFile[\#], a], AppendTo[v, \#], If[MemberQ[\{"cdf", "nb"\},
FileExtension[\#]], \{m, h, k\}= \{0, "", 1\}; For[k, k < Infinity, k++, h = Read[\#, String]; If[h === EndOfFile, Close[\#]; Break[], If[! StringFreeQ[h, "BeginPackage"] \& \& !
StringFreeQ[h, x], m = 90; Close[\#]; Break[], Continue[]]I]; If[m == 90, AppendTo[v, \#], Null], If[FileExtension[\#] == "mx",
$\mathrm{z}=$ StringPosition[ReadFullFile[\#], \{"CONT", "ENDCONT"\}]; If[!
StringFreeQ[StringTake[ReadFullFile[\#], \{z[[1]][[1]], z[[2]][[[1]]\}]," " <> x <> " "]; AppendTo[v, \#], Null]]l], Null] \&, t]; v]; Tav[d]]
In[2579]:= ContextInFile["AladjevProcedures`",
"C:\Users\Aladjev\Mathematica"]
Out[2579]= \{"c:\users\aladjev\mathematica\AVZ_Package.cdf",
"c:\users\aladjev\mathematica\AVZ_Package.m",
"c:lusers\aladjev\mathematica\AVZ_Package.mx",
"c:luserslaladjev\mathematica\AVZ_Package.nb"\}
In[2580]:= ContextInFile["ArtKrSvetGal"]
Out[2580]= $\}$
In[2581]:= ContextInFile["AladjevProcedures", "E:""]
Out[2581]= \{"e:\userslaladjev\mathematica\AVZ_Package.cdf", "e:\users\aladjev\mathematica\AVZ_Package.m",
"e:\userslaladjev\mathematica\AVZ_Package.mx",
"e:\userslaladjev\mathematicalAVZ_Package.nb"\}
In[2582]:= ContextInFile["PacletManager", "C:\Program Files $\backslash$ Wolfram
Research\Mathematica\10.1\SystemFiles\Autoload"]
Out[2582]= \{"c:\program files\wolfram research\mathematica\10.1
\systemfileslautoload\PacletManager\PacletManager.m"\}
The procedures FindFileContext, FindFileContext1 andContextInFile are rather useful during the operating with packages. Meanwhile, realization of search of files with the given context within all file system of the computer, as a rule, can demand enough essential time costs. Below, some other useful procedures for work with packages and their contexts will be represented. In a sense the proceduresContextMfile andContextNBfile are inverse to the procedures FindFileContext, FindFileContext1, ContextInFile, their successful calls ContextMfile[x] and ContextNBfile $[x]$ return the context associated with the package which is located in a datafile of formatsm and \{nb, $\boldsymbol{c d f}\}$ accordingly; the datafile is given by means of name or full path to it. The next fragment presents source codes of the proceduresContextMfile andContextNBfile along with the most typical examples of their usage.
In[2570] := ContextMfile[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \& \& FileExtension[x] == "m"] := Module[\{b, a = ReadFullFile[x], c\}, b = SubsString[a, \{"BeginPackage["", ""]"\}]; c
$=$ If[b ! $=\{ \}$, StringTake[b, $\{14,-2\}]] ;$ If[b === $\}$, \$Failed, $\mathbf{c}=$ Flatten[StringSplit[c, ","]]; c = Select[Quiet[ToExpression[c]], ContextQ[\#] \&]; If[Length[c] > 1, c, c[[1]]I]]
In[2571] := ContextMfile["c:\users\aladjev\mathematica\AVZ_Package.m"]
Out[2571]= "AladjevProcedures""
In[2572]:= ContextMfile["D:\AVZ_Package\RansIan.m"]
Out[2572]= \$Failed
In[2573]:= ContextMfile["C:/AVZ_Package/AVZ_Package_1.m"]
Out[2573]= "AladjevProcedures""
In[2574]:= ContextMfile["C:/temp\A A A\Aladjev.m"]
Out[2574]= "Aladjev"
In[2575]:= ContextMfile[\$InstallationDirectory <>
"\SystemFiles\Kernel\Packages\GraphEdit.m"]
Out[2575]= "GraphEdit"
In[2580]:= ContextNBfile[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& MemberQ[\{"cdf", "nb"\}, FileExtension[x]]] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\cdots$ " $\}$,
While[! SameQ[a, EndOfFile], a = Read[x, String]; If[! StringFreeQ[a, "BeginPackage"], a = Quiet[ToExpression[ToExpression[StringSplit[a, ","][[3]]I]]]; Break[]]; Continue[l]; Close[x]; If[! ContextQ[a] || SameQ[a, EndOfFile], \$Failed, a]] In[2581]:= ContextNBfile["D:\AVZ_PACKAGE\AVZ_Package.nb"] Out[2581]= "AladjevProcedures""
In[2582] := ContextNBfile["D:\AVZ_PACKAGE\Book_3.nb"] Out[2582]= \$Failed In[2583]:= ContextNBfile["D:\AVZ_PACKAGE\AVZ_Package.cdf"] Out[2583]= "AladjevProcedures"
In[2584]:= ContextNBfile["C:/AVZ_Package/AVZ_Package_1.nb"] Out[2584]= "AladjevProcedures""
In[2585]:= ContextNBfile["C:/Temp/A A A\AVZ_Package.nb"] Out[2585]= "AladjevProcedures"
Thus, the ContextNBfile procedure similar to theContextMfile procedure completes the previous fragment, but it is oriented onto the user's packages located in datafiles of the format $\{$ "cdf", " $\boldsymbol{n b}$ "\} whose internal organization differs from the organization of $\boldsymbol{m}$-files with packages. The procedure call ContextNBfile[x] returns the context associated with the package which is located in a datafilex of the format $\{$ "cdf", " $\boldsymbol{n b}$ "\} that is given by means of a name or full path to it. If datafilex doesn't contain a context, the procedure call ContextNBfile[ $\boldsymbol{x}$ ] returns $\boldsymbol{\$ F a i l e d}$. Both procedures have a number of important enough appendices at work with datafiles containing packages.

On the basis of the ContextMfile procedure for testing of system packages (m-files) that are located in the directory defined by\$InstallationDirectory variable theSystemPackages procedure has been created whose procedure callSystemPackages[] returns the list in which2-element sublists have the format \{Package,its context $\}$ while the callSystemPackages $[x]$ thru optional argument $x$-an undefinite variable- in addition returns the list of the system packages which aren't possessing contexts, i.e. are used for internal needs of theMathematica system. The next fragment represents source code of the SystemPackages procedure along with typical examples of its usage.

In[2678]:= SystemPackages[y__] := Module[\{a, b \}, a = FileNames["*.m", \$InstallationDirectory, Infinity]; b = Quiet[DeleteDuplicates[Map[\{FileBaseName[\#],
ContextMfile[\#] \}\&, a]]l; b = Select[b, \# != \{\}\&]; If[\{y\}!= \{\}\&\& ! HowAct[y], y = Select[Map[If[SameQ[\#[[2]], \$Failed], \#[[1]]] \&, b], ! SameQ[\#, Null] \&]]; Select[b, ! SameQ[\#[[2]], \$Failed] \&]]
In[2679]:= SystemPackages[]
Out[2679]= \{ \{"Common", \{"AuthorTools`Common", "AuthorTools`MakeProject’"\}\},
\{"DiffReport", \{"AuthorTools`DiffReport’", " AuthorTools`Common" $\left.{ }^{\prime}\right\}$ \},
\{"Experimental", "AuthorTools`Experimental""\}, \{"ExportNotebook", \{"AuthorTools`ExportNotebook",
" AuthorTools`Common" \(\left.{ }^{\prime}\right\}\) \},..., ==============================================\{ \(\{\) "WebpTools", "WebpTools`"\}, \{"WebServices", "WebServices""\},
\{ "DateString", "XMLSchema`DateString" $\}$,
\{"XMLSchema", "XMLSchema""\}\}
In[2680]:= Length[\%]
Out[2680]= 282
In[2681]:= SystemPackages[Sv]; Sv
Out[2681]= \{"AstronomyConvenienceFunctionsLoader",
"AstronomyConvenienceFunctions", "PacletInfo", "Default",
"init", "DataDropClientLoader", "DataDropClient", ...,

"DLL", "InstallNET", "JLinkCommon", "MakeNETObject",
"MathKernel", "NETBlock", "NET", "TerraService",
"WebServicesNavigator", "Implementation", "WSDL"\} In[2682]:= Length[\%]
Out[2682]= 2318
In[2683]:= t = TimeUsed[]; SystemPackages[Kr]; Kr; TimeUsed[]-t Out[2683]= 18.315

In[2684]:= Length[FileNames["*.*", \$InstallationDirectory, Infinity]] Out[2684]= 24 793

In[2768] := t = TimeUsed[]; a = FileNames["*.*", "C:"", Infinity]; TimeUsed[]-t
General::dirdep: Cannot get deeper in directory tree: C:\Documents...>>
General::cdir: Cannot set current directory to PerfLogs. >>
General::cdir: Cannot set current directory to cache. >>
General::dirdep: Cannot get deeper in directory tree:C:\ProgramData...>> General::stop:
Further output of General::dirdep will be suppressed during this calculation. >>
General::cdir: Cannot set current directory to Favorites. >>
General::stop: Further output of General::cdir will be suppressed during this calculation. >>
Out[2768]= 2.371

In[2769]:= t = TimeUsed[]; Run["DIR C:\/A/B/O/S > \$Art26Kr18\$"]; TimeUsed[]-t Out[2769] $=0.015$
In[2770]:= Length[a]
Out[2770]= 165672
In[2771]:= t = ""; For[k = 1, k < Infinity, k++, If[t === EndOfFile, Break[], t = Read["\$Art26Kr18\$", String]; Continue[]ll; k
Out[2771]= 191242
Inasmuch as, in particular, the directory containing the systemMathematica 10 contains24793 datafiles of different types, their testing demands certain time needs as illustrates an example of the previous fragment. At the same time it must be kept in mind that in a view of the told, the access to internal packages of theMathematica system by means of themechanism of contexts is impossible. Here quite appropriate to make one rather essential remark.

Meanwhile, the ContextMfile procedure provides search only of the first context in am-file with a package whereas generally multiple contexts can be associated with a package. The nextContextMfile1 procedure provides the solution of this question in case of multiple contexts. The procedure call ContextMfile1[x] returns the list of the contexts or single context associated with a datafilex of formats $\{$ " $\boldsymbol{m}$ ", "tr" $\}$, in case of lack of contexts the empty list, i.e. $\}$ is returned. Furthermore, the additionaltr-format allows to carry out search of contexts in the system datafiles containing contexts. Moreover, in caseFileExistsQ[x]=False the search of a datafilex is done in file system of the computer as a whole. Whereas theActUcontexts procedure provides obtaining of the list ofcontexts of the current session that are associated with the user packages.
The procedure callActUcontexts[] for obtaining of the list uses an algorithm that is based on the analysis of system datafiles of formats $\{$ " $m$ ", "tr"\}, while the callActUcontexts[ $x$ ] where optional argumentx is arbitrary expression, is based on the search of system datafiles of the view"StringTake[Context, $\{1,-2\}]<>\{$ " $m$ ", "tr"\}". If the first algorithm is more universal, whereas the second significantly more high-speed. TheReadFullFile1 function used by theContextMfile1 procedure, is an useful modification of theReadFullFile procedure. Whereas the procedure callSysContexts[] returns the list of all system contexts, and the function callSystemSymbols[] returns all system symbols. The fragment below represents source codes of the above means along with examples of their typical usage.
In[2600]:= ContextMfile1[x_/; MemberQ[\{"m", "tr"\}, FileExtension[x]]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{b}=$ "BeginPackage[", $\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}$, $\mathbf{a}=$ ReadFullFile1[If[FileExistsQ[x], x, Flatten[\{FindFile1[x]\}][[[1]]I]\}, If[a === \{\}, \{\}, c = StringPosition[a, b]; If[c == \{\}, \{\}, d = SubStrToSymb[StringTake[a, \{Flatten[c][[2]],-1\}], 1, "]", 1]; d =
StringReplace[StringTake[d, \{2,-2\}], \{"\{"-> "", "\}"-> ""\}]; d = Map[ToExpression,
StrToList[d]]; If[Length[d] == 1, d[[1]], d]]I] In[2601]:=
ContextMfile1["DocumentationSearch.m"]
Out[2601] = \{"DocumentationSearch"", "ResourceLocator""\}
In[2602]:= ContextMfile1["IanRans.m"]
Out[2602]= $\}$
In[2603]:= ContextMfile1["AVZ_Package.m"]

Out[2603]= "AladjevProcedures" "
In[2620]:= SubStrToSymb[x_/; StringQ[x], n_/; IntegerQ[n], y_/; StringQ[y] \& \& y != "", p_/; MemberQ[\{0, 1\}, p]]:=

Module[ \{a, b = StringLength[x], c, d, k\}, If[n <= 0 || $\mathbf{n}>=\mathbf{b}$ || StringFreeQ[x, y],
\$Failed, c = StringTake[x, \{n\}]; For[If[p== 0, $k=n-1, k=n+1]$, If[p == 0, $k=1, k$ $<=\mathbf{b}]$, If[p == 0, k—, k++], If[Set[d, StringTake[x, $\{\mathbf{k}\}]]!=\mathbf{y}$, If[p== 0, c = d <> c, c = c <> d], Break[]]]; If[k <1 ||k b, \$Failed, If[p == 0, c = y <> c, c = c <> ylll]
In[2620]:= SubStrToSymb["85123456786", 7, "8", 0]
Out[2620]= "8512345"
In[2740] := SubStrToSymb["85123456786", 7, "2", 1]
Out[2740]= \$Failed
In[2620]:= SubStrToSymb["85123456786", 1, "6", 1]
Out[2620]= "85123456"
In[2649]: = ActUcontexts[x__]:= Module[\{c, d = \{\}, k, j,
a = MinusList[\$Packages, \{"System", "Global"\}], b = FileNames[\{"*.m", "*.tr"\},
\$InstallationDirectory, Infinity]\},
c = DeleteDuplicates[Map[StringTake[\#, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[\#, """]][[1]]\}] \&, a]]; If[\{x\}==\{\}, For[k=1, k <= Length[c], k++, For[j = 1, j <= Length[b], j++, If[FileBaseName[b[[j]]] <> """ == c[[k]] || MemberQ[ContextMfile1[b[[j]]], c[[k]]], AppendTo[d, c[[k]]]; Break[]]I]; MinusList[c, d], c = Map[StringTake[\#, \{1,-2\}] \&, c]; For[k = 1, k <= Length[c], k++, For[j= 1, j <= Length[b], j++,
If[FileBaseName[b[jj]l] == c[[k]],
AppendTo[d, c[[k]]]; Break[]]]]; MinusList[c, d]]] In[2650]:= ActUcontexts[590]
Out[2650]= \{"Tallinn"", "Grodno"", "AladjevProcedures""\}
In[2656]:= ReadFullFile1[x_/; FileExistsQ[x]] :=
StringReplace[Quiet[Check[ReadString[x], ""]ll, "|r|n"-> ""] In[2657]:=
ReadFullFile1["C:\Temp\Cinema.txt"]
Out[2657]= http://www.worldlento4ka.com/russkiye-serialy/
In[2670] := SysContexts[]:= Module[\{a = Contexts[], b = ActUcontexts[590]\},
Select[a, ! SuffPref[\#, b, 1] \&]]
In[2671]:= SysContexts[]
Out[2671]= \{"Algebra`", "Algebraics`Private`", "Algebra`Polynomial`",....., "XML`", "XML`MathML`", "XML`MathML`Symbols`", "XML`NotebookML", "XML`Parser`, "XML`RSS"", "XML`SVG"\}

## In[2672]:= Length[\%]

Out[2672]= 753
In[2694]:= SystemSymbols[] := Module[\{a = Names["*"],
b = Join[Map[FromCharacterCode, Range[63488; 63596]], CNames["Global"]], c =
ActUcontexts[590]\}, MinusList[a, Join[b, Flatten[Map[CNames[\#] \&, c]]II]]
In[2695]:= h = SystemSymbols[]; Length[h]
Out[2695] $=6016$
It should be noted that the above ContextMfile1 procedure for the purpose of increase of
performance significantly uses theSubStrToSymb procedure which belongs to means of processing of string expressions. The procedure callSubStrToSymb $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{p}]$ returns a substring of a string $\boldsymbol{x}$ bounded on the left( $\boldsymbol{p}=1$ ) by a positionn and the first occurrence of a symboly, and on the $\operatorname{right}(\boldsymbol{p}=0)$ by a position $\boldsymbol{n}$ and the first occurrence of a symboly, i.e, at $\boldsymbol{p}=\mathbf{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{p}=\mathbf{1}$ the search of the symboly is done right to left and left to right
accordingly. Moreover, in a case of absence at search of a required symboly the procedure callSubStrToSymb $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{p}$ ] returns $\$$ Failed, while in other especial cases the procedure call is returned unevaluated. At that, the given procedure along with the above-mentioned application has enough much of other interesting appendices at processing of various string expressions.
The Mathematica system posesses theFileNames function which allows to obtain the list of datafiles of the given type in the specified directories of file system of the computer. In particular, ourSystemPackages procedure uses this function for obtaining ofm-files with system packages. Meanwhile, in the means considered earlier for operating with datafiles and directories the constructions of type"Run[DIR .....]" were generally used and that is why. First, in the case of large number of the tested files the considerable volume of $\boldsymbol{R A M}$ is required while on the basis of the specified construction the list of datafiles is output into $\mathbf{a H D}$ datafile. Secondly- the specified construction demands smaller time expenses concerning theFileNames function; at last, the function call on the main system directory causes erroneous situations, not allowing to receive the complete list of the datafiles contained in it. The last examples of the previous fragment illustrate the given reasons. For receiving access to package tools it is necessary that package containing them was uploaded into the current session, and the list determined by the \$ContextPath variable has to include the context corresponding to the given package. A package can be loaded in any place of the current document by the function callGet["context'"] or by the function callNeeds["context""] to determine uploading of a package if thecontext associated with the package is absent in the list defined by the\$Packages variable. In the case if package begins withBeginPackage["Package'"], at its loading into the lists defined by the variables\$ContextPath and\$Packages only the context"Package'" is placed, providing access to exports of the package and system tools. If the package uses means of other packages, the given package should begin with BeginPackage["Package'",
\{"Package1'", ..., "Package2'"\}] with indication of the list of the contexts associated with such packages. It allows to include in addition in lists of $\$$ ContextPath and $\$$ Packages the demanded contexts. With features of uploading of packages the reader can familiarize in [33].
A package similarly to the procedures allows a nesting; at that, in the system all subpackages composing it are distinguished and registered. Moreover, the objects determined both in the main package, and in its subpackages are fully accessible in the current session after uploading of the nested package as quite visually illustrates the following very simple fragment. Meanwhile, for performance of the aforesaid it is necessary to redefine the $\$$ ContextPath variable after uploading of the nested package, having added all contexts of subpackages of the main package to the list determined by the variable:

In[2567] := BeginPackage["Kiev`"]
W::usage = "Help on W."

## Begin["W"]

W[x_Integer, y_Integer] := x^2 + $\mathrm{y}^{\wedge}$ 2
End[]
BeginPackage["Kiev1`", \{"Kiev" \(\}\) ] W1::usage = "Help on W1." Begin[" W1`"]
W1[x_Integer, y_Integer] := x*y + W[x, y]
End[]
EndPackage[]
EndPackage[]
Out[2567] = "Kiev`" Out[2568]= "Help on W." Out[2569]= "Kiev`W"
Out[2571]= "Kiev`W" Out[2572]= "Kiev1`"
Out[2573]= "Help on W1."
Out[2574]= "Kiev1`W1"" Out[2576]= "Kiev1`W1""
In[2578]:= \$ContextPath
Out[2578]= \{"Kiev1’", "Kiev`", "System" \(\}\) In[2579]:= \$Packages Out[2579]= \{"Kiev1`", "Kiev`", "AladjevProcedures"", "GetFEKernelInit"", " TemplatingLoader", "ResourceLocator", "PacletManager`", "System"", "Global")
In[2580]:= CNames["Kiev"]]
Out[2580] = $\{$ "W" $\}$
In[2581]:= CNames["Kiev1`"] Out[2581]= \(\{\) "W1" \(\}\) In[2582]:= \{W[42, 73], W1[42, 73]\} Out[2582]= \(\{7093,10159\}\) In[2583]:= Definition[W] Out[2583]= W[Kiev`W`x_Integer, Kiev`W`y_Integer]:= Kiev`W`x^2+ Kiev`W`y^2 In[2584]:= Definition[W1] Out[2584]= W1[Kiev`W1`x_Integer, Kiev`W1`y_Integer]:= Kiev`W1`x Kiev`W1`y+ W[Kiev`W1`x, Kiev`W1`y]
After evaluation of definition of the user package of any nesting level it can be saved in datafiles of the following three system formats, namely:
F.nb - a datafile with the standard document(notebook) of theMathematica system; moreover, there is a possibility of converting of such datafiles into datafiles of9 formats, including formats $\{$ " $c d f ", ~ " m "\}$;
F.m - a datafile with a package of source format of theMathematica system; F.mx - a datafile with a package inDumpSave format of theMathematica system; this datafile is optimized under the used operational platform(as a rule, Windows, MacOSX, Linux).

As it was already noted above, the objects defined in the main package and in its subpackages are fully accessible in the current session after uploading of the main package
into it, and also redefinition of $\$$ ContextPath variable by means of addition into the list determined by it, of all contexts associated with subpackages of the main package. In this context theToContextPath procedure automates the given task, whose
callToContextPath $[x]$ provides updating of contents of the current list determined by\$ContextPath variable by means of adding to its end of all contexts of am-filex containing simple or nested package. So, the following fragment represents source code of the ToContextPath procedure along with a typical example of its usage.
In[5127] := ToContextPath[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "m"] := Module[\{c, a = ReadFullFile[x], b = "BeginPackage["\}, c = StrSymbParity[a, b, "[", "]"]; Map[If[! StringFreeQ[\#, \{"`"]", ""\}]"\}], StringTake[\#, \{14,-2\}]] \&, c]; c = ToExpression[Flatten[Map[StringSplit[\#, ","] \&, c]]]; c = DeleteDuplicates[Map[If[ListQ[\#], \#[[1]], \#] \&, c]]; \$ContextPath = DeleteDuplicates[Join[\$ContextPath, c]]; \$ContextPath]

In[5128]:= ToContextPath["C:\AVZ_Package\Kiev.m"]<br>Out[5128]= \{"AladjevProcedures`", "TemplatingLoader", "PacletManager", "System", "Global", "Kiev`", "Kiev1`"\}

The successful procedure call ToContextPath $[x]$ returns the updated value for\$ContextPath variable. Taking into account the told, it is recommended to make uploading of a nested packagex ( $\boldsymbol{m}$-file) into the current session by means of the next pair of calls, namelyGet[x]; ToContextPath[x], providing access to all means of the packagex.
By the function call Get["Name'"] theMathematica,first of all, does attempt automatically to upload the version of the "Name.mx" file that is optimized for the current platform if such file isn't found, the attempt is done to upload the "Name.m" file which contains the code portable to other platforms. At that, it is supposed that am-file with some package should be in one of the directories defined by the systemPath variable. If a directory name is used, attempt to read the "init.m" datafile intended for setting of packages of the directory is done. For providing the mode of automatic loading of packages the systemDeclarePackage function is used. At the same time for removal of symbols of some context, more precisely, exports of a package with this context, the callRemovePackage["Name'"] of our procedure is used.

As it was noted earlier, for each exported object of a certain package for it it is necessary to determine anusage. As a result of uploading of such package into the current session all itsexports will be available while thelocal objects, located in a section, in particularPrivate, will be inaccessible in the current session. For testing of a package loaded into the current session or unloaded package which is located in am-file regarding existence in it of global and local objects the following procedureDefInPackage can be used, whose call DefInPackage[x], wherex defines a datafile or full path to it, or the context associated with the package returns the nested list, whose the first element defines the package context, the second element- the list of local variables while the third element- the list of global variables of the packagex. If the argumentx doesn't define a package or a context, the callDefInPackage $[x]$ is returned unevaluated. In case of an unusable contextx the procedure call returns $\$$ Failed. The fragment represents source code of theDefInPackage procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.

In[2582] := BeginPackage["Kherson`"] Gs::usage = "Help on Gs." Ga::usage = "Help on Ga." Vgs::usage = "Help on Vgs." Begin["'Private""] W[x_, y_]:=x+y Vt[y_]:=y + Sin[y] \(\mathrm{Sv}[\mathrm{x}]]:=\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} 2+23^{*} \mathrm{x}+16\) End[] Begin["'Gs'"] Gs[x_Integer, y_Integer] := \(x^{\wedge 2+1} \mathbf{y}^{\wedge} 2\) End[] Begin["'Ga`"]
Ga[x_Integer, y_Integer] := x*y + Gs[x, y]
End[]
Begin["‘Vgs’"]
Vgs[x_Integer, y_Integer] := x*y
End[]
EndPackage[];
Out[2582] = "Kherson`" Out[2583]= "Help on Gs." Out[2584]= "Help on Ga." Out[2585]= "Help on Vgs." Out[2586]= "Kherson`Private"
Out[2590]= "Kherson`Private" Out[2591]= "Kherson`Gs`" Out[2593]= "Kherson`Gs""
Out[2594]= "Kherson`Ga`"
Out[2595]= "Kherson`Ga`"
Out[2596]= "Kherson`Vgs`"
Out[2598]= "Kherson`Vgs""
In[2599]:= Map[FunctionQ, \{Ga, Gs, Vgs, W, Vt, Sv\}]
Out[2599]= \{True, True, True, False, False, False $\}$
In[2600]:= BeginPackage["Kherson1"]]
Gs1::usage = "Help on Gs1."
Ga1::usage = "Help on Ga1."
Begin["'Gs1"]]
Gs1[x_Integer, y_Integer] := $x^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+y^{\wedge} 2$
End[]
Begin["'Ga1"]]
Ga1[x_Integer, y_Integer] := x*y + Gs1[x, y]
End[]
EndPackage[];
In[2640]:= StringDependAllQ[s_String, a_/; StringQ[a] || ListQ[a] \& \& !
MemberQ[Map[StringQ, a], False]] := DeleteDuplicates[Map[StringFreeQ[s, \#] \&,

If[StringQ[a], \{a\}, a]l] == \{False\}
In[2641] := Map3[StringDependAllQ, "abcnq", \{\{"a","n","q"\}, \{"a","x","y"\}\}] Out[2641]= \{True, False $\}$
In[2642]:= Map[! StringFreeQ["abcnq",\#] \&, \{ \{"a","b","n","q"\}, \{"a","x","y"\}\}] Out[2642]= \{True, True $\}$

In[2778]:= StringDependQ1[x_/; StringQ[x], y_/; ListStringQ[y]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}\}$, For[k, $\mathbf{k}<=$ Length[y], $\mathbf{k + +}, \mathbf{b}=$ Flatten[StringPosition[a, $\mathbf{y [ [ k ] ] ] ] ; ~ I f [ b ~ ! = ~ \{ \} , ~ a ~ = ~ S t r i n g T a k e [ a , ~ \{ b [ [ 2 ] ] ~ + ~ 1 , - 1 \} ] , ~ R e t u r n [ F a l s e ] ] ] ; ~ T r u e ] ~}$
In[2779] := Map3[StringDependQ1, "11abc222dcd3333xy44z6", \{\{"11", "222", "333"\}, \{"11", "22222", "333"\}, \{"333", "44", "6"\}\}]
Out[2779]= \{True, False, True\}
In[2858] := MfilePackageQ[x_] := If[FileExistsQ[x] \& \& FileExtension[x] == "m", StringDependAllQ[ReadFullFile[x], \{"(* ::Package:: *)", "(* ::Input:: *)", "::usage", "BeginPackage["", "EndPackage[]"\}], False]

In[2859] := MfilePackageQ["C:\AVZ_Package\AVZ_Package_1.m"] Out[2859]= True In[2860]:= Map[MfilePackageQ, \{"C:\AVZ_Packagel66.nb", "Av.agn"\}] Out[2860]= \{False, False\}
In[2915]:= DefInPackage[x_/; MfilePackageQ[x] || ContextQ[x]] := Module[\{a, b = \{"Begin[""", ""]"\}, c = "BeginPackage["", d, p, g, t, k=1, f, n = x\}, Label[Avz]; If[ContextQ[n] \&\& Contexts[n] != \{\}, f = "\$Kr18Art26\$";
Save[f, x]; g = FromCharacterCode[17]; $\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{n}$ <> "Private"; $\mathbf{a}=$ ReadFullFile[f, g]; DeleteFile[f]; d = CNames[n]; p = SubsString[a, \{t, g\}]; p =
DeleteDuplicates[Map[StringCases[\#, t ~~ Shortest[__] ~~ "[" <> t~~ Shortest[__] ~~":= "] \&, pl]; p = Map[StringTake[\#, \{StringLength[t] + 1,
Flatten[StringPosition[\#, "["]][[1]]-1\}]\&, Flatten[p]]; \{n, DeleteDuplicates[p], d\}, If[FileExistsQ[n], a = ReadFullFile[n]; f = StringTake[SubsString[a, \{c, ""]"\}], \{15,-3\}][[[1]]; If[MemberQ[\$Packages, f], n =f; Goto[Avz]]; b =StringSplit[a, "*)(*"]; d = Select[b, ! StringFreeQ[StringReplace[\#," "-> ""], "::usage="] \&]; d = Map[StringTake[\#, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[\#, "::"]][[1]]-1\}]\&, d]; p = DeleteDuplicates[Select[b, StringDependAIIQ[\#, \{"Begin["`", ""]"\}] \&]]; p = MinusList[Map[StringTake[\#, \{9,-4\}] \&, p], \{"Private"\}]; t = Flatten[StringSplit[SubsString[a, \{"Begin["Private"]", "End[]"\}], "*)(*"]]; If[t == \{\}, \{f, MinusList[d, p], p\}, \(\mathrm{g}=\) Map[StringReplace[\#, " " -> ""] \&, t[[2 ;;-1]]]; g = Select[g, ! StringFreeQ[\#, ":="] \&]; g = Map[StringTake[\#, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[\#, ":"]][[1]]-1\}] \&, g]; g = Map[Quiet[Check[StringTake[\#, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[\#, "["]][[1]]-1\}], \#]] \&, gl; \{f, g, d\}], \$Failed] ]] := DefInPackage["Kherson1`"] In[2916]
Out[2916]= \{"Kherson1"", \{\}, \{"Ga1", "Gs1"\}\}\}
In[2917]:= DefInPackage["C:\AVZ_Package\Kiev.m"]

Out[2917]= \{"Kiev`", \{\}, \{"W", "W1"\}\} In[2918]:= DefInPackage["C:\AVZ_Package\Kherson1.m"] Out[2918]= \{"Kherson1", \{"W1", "Vt1", "Sv1"\}, \{"Gs1", "Ga1", "Vgs1"\}\} In[2919]:= DefInPackage["C:XAVZ_PackagelKherson.m"] Out[2919]= \{"Kherson`", \{"Vt", "Sv", "W"\}, \{"Ga", "Gs", "Vgs"\}\} In[2920]:= DefInPackage["Kherson’"] Out[2920]= \{"Kherson"", \{"Vt", "Sv", "W"\}, \{"Ga", "Gs", "Vgs"\}\}
For simplification of the DefInPackage procedure algorithm the expediency of additional definition of2 simple enough functions came to light, namely. TheStringDependAllQ function expands the construction!StringFreeQ if is required a testing of belonging to a string of all substrings from the given list. The callStringDependAllQ $[s, x]$ returnsTrue only in the case if a string $x$ is substring of a strings, or each string from the listx belongs to a strings.

Whereas the procedure call StringDependQ1[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ ] returnsTrue if a string $\boldsymbol{x}$ contains an occurrence of a chain of the substrings determined by a listy of strings and in the order defined by their order in the listy,otherwiseFalse is returned. The given procedure has a number of important applications. At last, the function callMfilePackageQ[x] returnsTrue only in the case if the stringx defines a real datafile ofmformat that is the standard package. The previous fragment represents source codes of both functions along with examples of their usage. It is supposed that local symbols of a package are in its sectionPrivate, that is quite settled agreement. Meanwhile, qua of the local objects of a package act as well those for which usages aren't defined. So, theDefInPackage procedure successfully processes the packages with other names of local sections or without such sections at all, i.e. definitions of local symbols are located in a package arbitrarily. We leave the analysis of algorithm of the procedure as an useful exercise for the interested reader.

In a number of cases there is a need of full removal from the current session of the package uploaded into it. Partially the given problem is solved by the standard functionsClear andRemove however they don't clear the lists that are defined by variables\$Packages, \$ContextPath and by the callContexts[] off the package information. This problem is solved by theRemovePackage procedure whose callRemovePackage[ $x$ ] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, at that, completely removing from the current session a package determined by a contextx, including all exports of the packagex and respectively updating the specified system lists. The following fragment represents source code of theRemovePackage procedure with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[2820]:= RemovePackage[x_/; ContextQ[x]] := Module[\{a = CNames[x], b = ClearAttributes[\{\$Packages, Contexts\}, Protected]\},
Quiet[Map[Remove, a]]; \$Packages = Select[\$Packages, StringFreeQ[\#, x] \&]; Contexts[] = Select[Contexts[], StringFreeQ[\#, x] \&];

> SetAttributes[ $\{\$$ Packages, Contexts $\}$, Protected]; \$ContextPath = Select[\$ContextPath, StringFreeQ[\#, x] \&]; ] In[2821]:= \$ContextPath Out[2821]= \{"Kherson1"", "Kherson"", "AladjevProcedures",

" TemplatingLoader`,"PacletManager`", "System`", "Global""\} In[2822]:= \$Packages Out[2822]= \{"Kherson1"", "Kherson", "AladjevProcedures", "GetFEKernelInit’", "TemplatingLoader`", "ResourceLocator`", "PacletManager"", "System", "Global" \(\}\) In[2823] := Contexts[] Out[2823]= \{"AladjevProcedures`", "AladjevProcedures`ActBFMuserQ"", "AladjevProcedures`ActRemObj", "AladjevProcedures`ActUcontexts`",
"AladjevProcedures`AddMxFile"", "AladjevProcedures`Adrive1`", ......\} In[2824]:= RemovePackage["Kherson1"] In[2825]:= \$Packages Out[2825]= \{"Kherson`", "AladjevProcedures`", "GetFEKernelInit", "TemplatingLoader’", "ResourceLocator’", "PacletManager`", "System`", "Global""\} In[2826]:= Map[PureDefinition, \{"Ga1", "Gs1"\}] Out[2826]= \{\$Failed, \$Failed Meanwhile, it should be noted that the packages uploaded into the current session can have the objects of the same name; about that the corresponding messages are output. Qua of an active object acts the object whose context is in the list\$Packages earlier, that quite visually illustrates the next fragment with theRemovePackage procedure usage. In this regard the procedure call RemovePackage[ \(x\) ] deletes a package with the given contextx. In[2587] := BeginPackage["Pac1`]
W::usage = "Help on W."
Begin["'W"]
W[x_Integer, y_Integer]:= $\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+y^{\wedge}{ }^{2}$
End[]
EndPackage[]
Out[2587] = "Pac1"
Out[2588]= "Help on W."
Out[2589]= "Pac1`W" Out[2591]= "Pac1"W" In[2593]:= BeginPackage["Pac2""] W::usage = "Help on W." Begin[" \({ }^{\text {W""] }}\) W[x_Integer, y_Integer]:= \(x^{\wedge} 3+y^{\wedge} 3\) End[] EndPackage[] Out[2593] = "Pac2"" W::shdw: Symbol W appears in multiple contexts \{Pac2`,Pac1`\}; definitions.. Out[2594]= "Help on W." Out[2595]= "Pac2"W" Out[2597]= "Pac2"W"" In[2599]:= \$Packages Out[2599]= \{"Pac2’", "Pac1'", "HTTPClient’", "HTTPClient`OAuth",
" HTTPClient`CURLInfo`", "HTTPClient`CURLLink", "JLink’", "DocumentationSearch", "AladjevProcedures", "GetFEKernelInit’", "TemplatingLoader`", "ResourceLocator’", "PacletManager"",
"System"", "Global" $\}$
$\operatorname{In}[2600]:=\mathbf{W}[90,500]$
Out[2600]= 125729000
In[2601]:= Definition[W]
Out[2601]= W[Pac2`W`x_Integer, Pac2`W`y_Integer]:= Pac2`W`x^3+
Pac2 `W`y^3 In[2602]:= RemovePackage["Pac1""]
In[2603]:= W[90, 500]
Out[2603]= 125729000
In[2604]:= Definition[W]
Out[2604]= W[Pac2`W`x_Integer, Pac2`W`y_Integer]:= Pac2`W`x^3+
Pac2 `W`y^3 In[2605]:= RemovePackage["Pac2"]]
In[2606]:= Definition[W]
Out[2606]= Null
In[2607]:= \$Packages
Out[2607]= \{"HTTPClient’", "HTTPClient`OAuth", " HTTPClient`CURLInfo`", "HTTPClient`CURLLink", "JLink",
"DocumentationSearch", "AladjevProcedures",
"GetFEKernelInit"", "TemplatingLoader`, "ResourceLocator`", "PacletManager"", "System"", "Global""

A convenient enough way of packages saving is represented by the system DumpSave function, whose callDumpSave $[\boldsymbol{F}, \boldsymbol{x}]$ returns the contextx of a package saved in a binary datafile $\boldsymbol{F}$ in format optimized for its subsequent uploading into theMathematica system. A package saved in the described way is loaded into the current session by means of the function callGet[F] with automatic activation ofall definitions contained in it; at that, onlythose datafiles are correctly uploaded which were saved on the same computing platform by theDumpSave function of theMathematica system.
Concerning the datafiles of $\boldsymbol{m x f o r m a t}$ with the user packages an interesting and useful problem of definition of thecontext andobjects, whose definitions are in the datafile of the given type, without its uploading into the current session arises. TheContMxFile procedure, whose source code with typical examples of use are presented by the fragment below, solves this problem.
In[2664] := DumpSave["AVZ_Package.mx", "AladjevProcedures'"] Out[2664]= \{"AladjevProcedures`"\}
In[2665]:= DumpSave["Kherson1.mx", "Kherson1"]
Out[2665]= $\left\{\right.$ "Kherson1" $\left.{ }^{\prime}\right\}$
In[2666]:= DumpSave["Kiev.mx", "Kiev"]
Out[2666]= \{"Kiev""\}
In[2667]:= ContMxFile[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "mx", y__] := Module[ $\{\mathrm{a}=$ ReadFullFile[x], $\mathbf{b}=$ "CONT", $\mathbf{c}=$ "ENDCONT", $\mathbf{d}=$ "'", $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{t}\}$,
h = Flatten[StringPosition[a, \{b, c\}]][[1; 4]]; h = StringReplace[StringTake[a, \{h[[2]] + 1, h[[3]]-2\}], ".10"-> ""]; h = StringJoin[Select[Characters[h],
SymbolQ[\#]\&]] <> d; If[h == "", \{\}, If[MemberQ[\$Packages, h] \&\& \{y\}!= \{\}, \{h,

CNames[h]\}, If[! MemberQ[\$Packages, h] \& \& \{y\}!= \{\}, Quiet[Get[x]]; \{\{h, CNames[h]\}, RemovePackage[h]\}[[1]], t= SubsString[a, \{h, "" $\}$ ]; t = Select[t, ! MemberQ[ToCharacterCode[\#], 0] \&]; \{h, Sort[DeleteDuplicates[ Map[StringReplace[\#, \{h-> "", ""-> ""\}] \&, t]] $]\}]$ ]I]
In[2668] := ContMxFile["Kiev1.mx"]
Out[2668]= \{"Kiev1"", \{"W", "W1", "W2", "W3"\}\}
In[2669]:= ContMxFile["Kiev1.mx", 90]
Out[2669]= \{"Kiev1", \{"W", "W1"\}\}
In[2670] := ContMxFile["E:\AVZ_Package\AVZ_Package.mx"] Out[2670]= \{"AladjevProcedures"", \{"ActBFMuserQ", "ActRemObj", "ActUcontexts", "AddMxFile", "Adrive1", "Affiliate", "Aobj", "Args", "ArgsBFM", "ArgsTypes", "Arity", "ArityBFM", ...\}\}
In[2671]:= Length[\%[[2]]]
Out[2671] $=425$
In[2672]:= ContMxFile["Tallinn.mx"]
Out[2672]= \{"Grodno`", \{"Gs", "Gs1", "Vgs", "Vgs1"\}\}
The procedure callContMxFile[x] returns the nested list whosefirst element defines the context associated with the package contained in amx-datafile $x$ while thesecond element determines the list of names in string format of all objects of this package irrespectively from existence for them of usages, i.e. of both local, and global objects. While the procedure callContMxFile[x,y], where argumenty -an arbitrary expression- returns the nested list of similar structure, but with that difference that its second element defines the list of names of the objects of this package that are supplied with usages, i.e. only of the global objects. Withal, it should be noted thatContMxFile procedure presented in the previous fragment is intended for usage with themx-files created on platformWindows $\boldsymbol{X P} / 7$ Professional, its use for other platforms can demand the appropriate adaptation. The reason of it consists in that the algorithm of theContMxFile procedure is based on an analysis of structure of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-files that depends on platform used at creation of such datafiles. The following procedureContMxFile1 is an useful enough modification of the previousContMxFile procedure which also uses an analysis of structure of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-files which depends on platform used at creation of such datafiles. The procedure call ContMxFile1[x] returns the nested list whose first element defines the context associated with the package contained in amx-datafile $\boldsymbol{x}$ while thesecond element determines the list of names in string format of all objects of this package irrespectively from existence for them of usages, i.e. local and global objects. Furthermore, similarly to the previousContMxFile procedure the returned names determine objects whose definition returned by the callDefinition contains the context. At that, is supposed that a filex is recognized by theFileExists $\mathbf{Q}$ function. The procedure algorithm enough essentially uses the function whose callStrAllSymbNumQ[x] returnsTrue if a stringx contains only symbols and/or integers, andFalse otherwise. The fragment below represents source codes of both means along with examples of its typical usage.
In[2769] := ContMxFile1[x_/;FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] =="mx"]:= Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ReadFullFile[x], b = "CONT", c = "ENDCONT", d, h, t\}, h = Flatten[StringPosition[a, \{b, c\}]][[1 ;; 4]]; h = StringReplace[StringTake[a, \{h[[2]] +

1, h[[3]]-2\}], ".10"-> ""]; h = StringJoin[Select[Characters[h], SymbolQ[\#] \&]] <> ""; If[h == "", \{\}, d = StringPosition[a, h][[2 ;;-1]]; d = Map[StringTrim[\#, ""] \&, Map[SubStrToSymb[a, \#[[2]] + 1, "`", 1] \&, d]]; \{h, Sort[Select[d, StrAllSymbNumQ[\#] \&]l\}]] In[2770] := ContMxFile1["c:\users\mathematicalavz_package.mx"] Out[2770]= \{"AladjevProcedures`", \{"ActBFMuserQ", "ActCsProcFunc", "ActRemObj", "ActUcontexts", "AddMxFile", "Adrive1", ..., "WhatType", "WhichN", "XOR1", "\$ProcName", "\$TypeProc" \}\}
In[2771]:= Length[\%[[2]]]
Out[2771]= 427
In[2772]:= StrAllSymbNumQ[x_/; StringQ[x]] :=
! MemberQ[Map[SymbolQ[\#] ||Quiet[IntegerQ[ToExpression[\#]]] \&, Characters[x]], False] In[2773]:= Map[StrAllSymbNumQ, \{"PosListTest1", "BitGet" $\}$ ] Out[2773]= \{True, False\}

The procedures ContMxFile andContMxFile1 adjoin the procedure, whose callPackageMxCont $[\boldsymbol{x}]$ returns the context of amx-filex; the procedure call PackageMxCont $[x, y]$ thru the2nd optional argument-an undefinite variable $\boldsymbol{y}$ - returns the nested list whosefirst element defines the list oflocal symbols whereas thesecond element defines the list ofglobal symbols of the package that contained in themx-filex [33,48]. Onmx-files without context orlocal/ global symbols the procedure callPackageMxCont[x] returns\$Failed or the empty list accordingly, i.e. \{\}, for example: In[2728]:= \{PackageMxCont["E:\Avz_package\Avz_package.mx", s], s\} Out[2728]= \{"AladjevProcedures", $\{\},\{$ "AcNb", "ActBFMuserQ", "ActCsProcFunc", "ActRemObj", "ActUcontexts", "AddMxFile", "Adrive", "Adrive1", "Affiliate", "Aobj", "Aobj1", "Args", .....\}\}\}
In[2729] := Length[\%[[2]][[2]]]
Out[2729]= 684
In[2730]:= \{PackageMxCont["PureDefinition.mx", s1], s1 \}
Out[2730]= \{\$Failed, s1\}
The procedure also is oriented on the platform Windows XP Professional in general, however onWindows 7 correctly returns the list of global symbols. In particular, for the platformWindows 7 Professional the algorithm of the previousContMxFile procedure is modified in the corresponding manner, taking into account the internal structure of themx-datafiles created on the specified platform. This algorithm is realized by the procedureContMxW7, whose callContMxW7[x] returns the nested list whose first element defines the context connected with the package contained in amxfilex whereas the second element defines the list of names in string format ofall global objects of the package whose definitions contains a context ascribed to the package. Whereas on amx-file without context the procedure call returns\$Failed. At that, is supposed that a filex is recognized by theFileExists $\mathbf{Q}$ function. The fragment below represents source code of theContMxW7 procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2633] := ContMxW7[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "mx"] :=
Module[\{a = FromCharacterCode[Select[BinaryReadList[x], \# != 0 \&]], b =
"CONT", $\mathbf{c}=$ "ENDCONT", $\mathbf{d}=$ = "", $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{g}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{n}\}, \mathbf{h}=\operatorname{StringPosition[a,~}\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}]$ [[1;; 2]]; If[h[[1]]-h[[2]] == \{-3, 0\}, \$Failed, t = StringTrim[StringTake[a, \{h[[1]][[2]]] + 2, h[[2]][[1]]-2\}]]; a = StringTake[a, \{h[[2]][[2]] + 1,-1\}]; f = StringPosition[a, t]; $\operatorname{Map}[\{\mathrm{c}=$ "", For[k = \#[[2]] + 1, k <= StringLength[a], k++, n = StringTake[a, \{k, k\}]; If[n == d, Break[], c = c <> n]]; If[StringFreeQ[c, StringTake[t, \{1,-2\}]], AppendTo[g, cl, Null]\} \& f]; \{t, Select[Sort[g], StrAllSymbNumQ[\#] \&]\}]]
In[2634] := ContMxW7["c:/users/aladjev/mathematica/AVZ_Package.mx"]
Out[2634]= \{"AladjevProcedures`", \{"ActBFMuserQ", "ActRemObj", "ActUcontexts", "AddMxFile", "Adrive1", "Affiliate", "Aobj", "Aobj1", "Args", "Args1", "ArgsBFM", "ArgsTypes", "Arity", ..., "VizContentsNB", "VizContext", "WhatObj", "WhatType", "WhichN", "XOR1", "\$ProcName", "\$TypeProc"\}\} In[2635] := Length[\%[[2]]] Out[2635]= 427 In[2636]:= ContMxW7["C:\users\mathematica\PureDefinition.mx"] Out[2636]= \$Failed Unlike the above procedures ContMxFile andContMxFile1, the following ContMxFile2 procedure is based on another algorithm whose essence is as follows. First of all the existence in amxfilex of a package is checked; at its absence\$Failed is returned. Then upload in the current session of a package containing in themxfilex is checked. At positive result the required result without unloading of a packagex is returned, otherwise the required result with unloading of a package is returned. In both cases a callContMxFile2[x] returns the2-element list, whose first element determines a package context whereas the second- the list of names in string format of means, contained in the package. The procedure essentially uses theIsPackageQ procedure. In[2878] := ContMxFile2[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] =="mx"]:= Module[ \(\{\mathbf{a}=\) \$Packages, \(\mathbf{b}=\) "AvzAgnVsvArtKr", \(\mathbf{c}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{d}=\) Unique["ag"]\}, \(\mathrm{h}=\) ToString[d]; g = IsPackageQ[x, d]; If \([\mathrm{g}===\) \$Failed, \(\$\) Failed, If[g === True, \{d, AladjevProcedures`CNames[d],
ToExpression["Remove[" <> h <> "]"] \},
ToExpression["InputForm[BeginPackage["AvzAgnVsvArtKr`"]; EndPackage[]]"]; Off[General::shdw]; Get[x]; c = \$Packages[[1]]; \(\mathbf{b}=\{\mathbf{c}\), AladjevProcedures`CNames[c]\}; AladjevProcedures`RemovePackage[c]; On[General::shdw]; b]l]
In[2879] := ContMxFile2["c:\users\ mathematicalavz_package.mx"] Out[2879]= \{"AladjevProcedures", \{"AcNb", "ActBFM", "ActBFMuserQ",..., "\$TestArgsTypes", "\$TypeProc", "\$UserContexts"\}\}
In[2880]:= Length[\%[[2]]]
Out[2880]= 684
In[2918]:= IsPackageQ[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "mx", y__] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ReadFullFile[x], $\mathbf{b}=$ "CONT", $\mathbf{c}=$ "ENDCONT", $\mathbf{d , g}=\$$ Packages $\}$,
If[! StringContainsQ[a, "CONT" ~~ $\qquad$ ~~"ENDCONT"], \$Failed, d =

StringPosition[a, $\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}][[1$; 2] $]$; d = StringTake[a, $\{d[[1]][[2]]+1, d[[2]][[1]]-1\}] ; \mathbf{d}$ =Select[Map[If[! StringFreeQ[d, \#], \#, Null] \&, g], ! SameQ[\#, Null]\&]; If[\{y\}!= \{\}\&\& ! HowAct[y], y = If[d == \{\}, \{\}, d[[1]]l], Null]; If[d != \{\}, True, False]l]
In[2919] := \{IsPackageQ["c:\users/mathematica/avz_package.mx", y6], y6\} Out[2919]= \{True, "AladjevProcedures" "\}
In[2920]:= IsPackageQ["PureDefinition.mx"]
Out[2920]= \$Failed
In[2921]:= IsPackageQ["c:\users/aladjev\mathematica\Tallinn.mx"] Out[2921]= False
The IsPackageQ procedure is intended for testing of anymxfile regarding existence of the user's package in it along with upload of such package into the current session. The call of theIsPackage $\mathbf{Q}[x]$ procedure returns $\$$ Failed if themx-file doesn't contain a package,True if the package which is in the $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-filex is loaded into the current session, andFalse otherwise. Moreover, the procedure callisPackageQ $[x, y]$ through the second optional argument $\boldsymbol{y}$ - an undefinite variable- returns the context associated with the package uploaded into the current session. In addition, is supposed that a datafilex is recognized by the testing functionFileExistsQ, otherwise the procedure call is returned unevaluated. The previous fragment represents source codes of both proceduresContMxFile2
andIsPackageQ along with more typical examples of their usage.
Meanwhile, the DumpSave function has one rather essential shortcoming, namely: it saves contexts which are only formally contexts, i.e. correspond to them only by the format. In this connection theDumpSaveP function is more preferable, whose callDumpSaveP[ $f, x]$ provides saving in a datafilef of the package with a context $x$ on condition that this package contains the global symbols; otherwise theDumpSaveP function call returns $\$$ Failed. The fragment represents source code of the function and examples of its usage.
In[3342]:= PackageQ[x_/; ContextQ[x]] := If[CNames[x] != \{\}, True, False] In[3343]:= DumpSaveP[f_/; StringQ[f], x_/; ContextQ[x]]:= If[PackageQ[x], DumpSave[f, x], \$Failed]

```
In[3344] := DumpSave["AVZ_Package.mx", "AladjevProcedures`"] Out[3344]=
{"AladjevProcedures`"}
In[3345]:= RemovePackage["AladjevProcedures`"]
In[3346]:= Map[Definition, {ProcQ, RemovePackage, Mapp, Map14, Map6,
```

Definition2, StrStr, ContextQ, Cnames, ToString1 \}] Out[3346]= \{Null, Null, Null, Null, Null, Null, Null, Null, Null, Null\} In[3347]:= Get["AVZ_Package.mx"]
In[3348]:= Definition[StrStr]
Out[3348]= StrStr[x_]:= If[StringQ[x], """" <> x<> ">"", ToString[x]] In[3349]:=
PackageQ["AvzAgnVsvArtKr`"] Out[3349]= False In[3350]:= DumpSave["AvzAgnVsvArtKr.mx", "AvzAgnVsvArtKr"] Out[3350]= \{"AvzAgnVsvArtKr""\} In[3351]:= DumpSaveP["AvzAgnVsvArtKr.mx", "AvzAgnVsvArtKr`] Out[3351]= \$Failed

The DumpSaveP function qua of the test for an admissibility of the second argument uses
logical function whose callPackageQ[ $x]$ returnsTrue if $\boldsymbol{x}$ - a package containing global symbols, andFalse otherwise. Naturally, package without global symbols of any interest doesn't represent. Really, according to the system agreements the package has to define global symbols without that the package can't be considered as such. In this connection the function callDumpSaveP[f, $x$ ], wherex isn't a package, returns $\$$ Failed, allowing to process situations of this type very simply programmatically. So, despite a formal correctness of definition of packages without the global symbols ormx-files without context, a testing of actual packages which are uploaded into the current session is necessary what a simple function does, whose callPackages[] returns the list of contexts of the actual packages that are loaded into the current session [33,48]. The next section considers some additional means for operating with the user packages.

### 8.3. Additional means of operating with the user packages in theMathematicasoftware

Means of the Mathematica for operating with datafiles can be subdivided intotwo groups conditionally: the means supporting the work with datafiles which are automatically recognized at the address to them, and the means supporting the work with any datafiles. This theme is quite extensive and is in more detail considered in [30-33,52,60,64], here some additional means of work with the datafiles containing the user's packages will be considered.

Since means of access to files of formats, even automatically recognized by theMathematica, don't solve a number of important enough problems, the user is compelled to program own means on the basis of standard tools and perhaps with use of own means. Qua of an useful example we will give the DefFromPackage procedure whose callDefFromPackage $[x]$ returns the3- element list, whose first element is definition in string format of a symbol $\boldsymbol{x}$ whose context is different from \{"Global'","System'"\}, the second element defines its usage whereas the third element defines attributes of the symbol. At that, on the symbols associated with2 specified contexts, the procedure call returns only the list of their attributes. The fragment below represents source code of theDefFromPackage procedure with examples of its usage.
In[2742] := DefFromPackage[x_/; SymbolQ[x]] := Module[\{a = Context[x], b = "", c $=$ "", p, d = ToString[x], k=1, h\}, If[MemberQ[\{"Global"", "System""\}, a], Return[Attributes[x]], h = a <> d; ToExpression["Save[" <> ToString1[d] <> "," <>
ToString1[h] <> "]"]]; For[k, k < Infinity, k++, c = Read[d, String]; If[c === " ",
Break[], b = b <> cl]; p = StringReplace[RedSymbStr[b, " ", " "], h <> "'"-> ""]; \{c, k, b\} = \{"", 1, "" \}; For[k, k < Infinity, k++, c = Read[d, String]; If[c === " "\|c c== EndOfFile, Break[], b = b <> If[StringTake[c, \{-1,-1\}] == "", StringTake[c, \{1,-2\}], c]ll; DeleteFile[Close[d]]; \{p, StringReplace[b, " /: " <> d-> ""], Attributes[x]\}]
In[2743]:= DefFromPackage[StrStr]
Out[2743]= \{StrStr[x_]:= If[StringQ[x], StringJoin[""", x, """], ToString[x]],
StrStr ::usage= "The call $\operatorname{StrStr}[\mathrm{x}]$ returns an expression x in string format if x is different from string; otherwise, the double string obtained from an expression x is returned.", $\}\}$
In[2744] := DefFromPackage[AvzAgn]

Out[2744]= $\}$
In[2745]:= SetAttributes[Ian, \{Listable, Protected\}]; DefFromPackage[Ian] Out[2745]= \{Listable, Protected
In[2746]:= DefFromPackage[Cos]
Out[2746]= \{Listable, NumericFunction, Protected $\}$
The DefFromPackage procedure serves for obtaining of full information on a symbolx whose definition is located in the user package uploaded into the current session. Unlike the standard functionsFilePrint andDefinition this procedure, first, doesn't print, but returns specified information completely available for the subsequent processing, and, secondly, this information is returned in an optimum format. At that, in a number of cases the output of definition of a symbol that is located in an active package by the standard means is accompanied with a context associated with the package that not only complicates its viewing, but also the subsequent processing. Result of theDefFromPackage call obviates this problem too. The algorithm realized by this procedure is based on an analysis of structure of a datafile received in result of saving of a context" $y$ ' $x$ ", where $x$ - a symbol at the procedure callDefFromPackage[ $x$ ] and " $y$ '" - a context, associated with the uploaded package containing the definition of symbolv. In more detail the algorithm realized by theDefFromPackage procedure is seen from its source code.

As the second example developing the algorithm of the previous procedure in the light of application of functions of access it is possible to represent a rather usefulFullCalls procedure whose the callFullCalls[x] returns the list whose first element is the context associated with a package uploaded into the current session whereas its other elementsthe symbols of this package that are used by the user procedure or functionx, or nested list of sublists of this type at using byx of symbols(names of procedures/functions) from several packages. The source code of the procedure along with typical examples of its usage are represented in the following fragment.

In[3435] := FullCalls[x_/; ProcQ[x] || FunctionQ[x]] := Module[\{a = \{\}, b, d, c = "::usage = ", k=1\}, Save[b = ToString[x], x]; For[k, k < Infinity, k++, d = Read[b, String]; If[d === EndOfFile, Break[], If[StringFreeQ[d, c], Continue[], AppendTo[a, StringSplit[StringTake[d, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[d, c]][[1]]-1\}], "/: "][[1]]]]]]; a = Select[a, SymbolQ[\#] \&]; DeleteFile[Close[b]]; a = Map[\{\#, Context[\#]\}\&, DeleteDuplicates[a]]; $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{If}[L e n g t h[\mathrm{a}]==1, a, M a p[D e l e t e D u p l i c a t e s, ~ M a p[F l a t t e n, ~$ Gather[a, \#1[[2]] === \#2[[2]] \&]]]]; \{d, k\}= \{\{\}, 1\}; While[k <= Length[a], b = Select[a[[k]], ContextQ[\#] \&]; c = Select[a[[k]], ! ContextQ[\#] \&]; AppendTo[d, Flatten[\{b, Sort[c]\}]]; k++]; d = MinusList[If[Length[d] == 1, Flatten[d], d], \{ToString[x] $\}]$; If[d == \{Context[x] $],\{ \}, \mathrm{d}]]$

In[3436] := FullCalls[StrStr]
Out[3436] $=\{ \}$
In[3437]:= G[x_] := StrStr[x] <> "RansIan50090"; FullCalls[G] Out[3437]=
\{"AladjevProcedures`", "StrStr"\}
In[3438]:= F[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]]:=x^2+y^2; FullCalls[F]
Out[3438]= $\}$
In[3439]:= FullCalls[ProcQ]

Out[3439]= \{"AladjevProcedures`", "BlockFuncModQ", "ClearAllAttributes",
" Contexts1", "Definition2","HeadPF", "HowAct", "ListStrToStr", "Map3", "Mapp", "MinusList", "PureDefinition", "Sequences", "StrDelEnds", "SubsDel", "SuffPref", "SymbolQ", "SysFuncQ", "SystemQ", "ToString1", "UnevaluatedQ"\}

In[3440] := FullCalls[Attribs]
Out[3440]= \{"AladjevProcedures`", "Adrive", "CopyDir", "CopyFileToDir", "DirQ", "FileExistsQ1", "HowAct", "LoadExtProg", "Map3", "PathToFileQ", "SearchFile", "StandPath", "StrDelEnds", "StrStr", "SuffPref", "SymbolQ", "ToString1"\} In[3441]:= GS[x_/; RuleQ[x], y_/; StringQ[y]] := ArtKr[StringLength[StringReplace[y, x]], 500] + Vgs[StringLength[y], 90]; FullCalls[GS] Out[3441]= \{\{"AladjevProcedures`", "RuleQ"\}, \{"Kherson`", "ArtKr", "Vgs"\}\} In[3442]:= GS["Avz"-> "2015", "AgnAvzVsvArtKr"]
Out[3442]= 7604
Thus, the procedure call FullCalls[x] provides possibility of testing of the user procedure or function, different from standard means, regarding use by it of means whose definitions are in packages uploaded into the current session. In development of this procedure theFullCalls1 procedure can be offered whose source code along with rather typical examples of its usage are represented by the following fragment.
In[2661] := FullCalls1[x_/; ProcQ[x] || FunctionQ[x]] := Module[\{a = \{\}, b, c = "", d, $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}\}$, Save[b = ToString[x], $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}\}] ;$ For[k, $k<\operatorname{Infinity}, k++, \mathbf{d}=\operatorname{Read}[b$, Stringl;
If[d === EndOfFile, Break[],
If[d != " ", c = c <> d,
If[n = Flatten[StringPosition[c, " := "]]; n != \{\}, If[Quiet[HeadingQ[p =
StringTake[c, \{1, n[[1]]-1\}] ]],
AppendTo[a, Quiet[HeadName[StringTake[c, \{1, n[[1]]-1\}]]I]]]; c = ""]l];
DeleteFile[Close[b]]; $\{\mathbf{b}=$ FullCalls[x], Select[MinusList[a, $\{$ ToString[x] $\}]$,!
MemberQ[Flatten[b], \#] \&]\}]
In[2662] := ArtKr[x_Integer, y_Integer] := Module[\{\}, N[Sqrt[x^2 + y^2] $\left.{ }^{\wedge}\right] ;$
Vgs[x_Integer, y_Integer] := N[Sin[x] + Cos[y]l; GS[x_/; RuleQ[x], y_/; StringQ[y]] :=

ArtKr[StringLength[StringReplace[y, x]], 90]+ Vgs[StringLength[y], 500];
In[2663]:= FullCalls1[GS]
Out[2663]= \{\{"AladjevProcedures’", "RuleQ"\}, \{"ArtKr", "ArtKr", "Vgs"\} In[2664]:= FullCalls1[StrStr]
Out[2664]= $\{\},\{ \}\}$
In[2665]:= FullCalls1[ProcQ]
Out[2665]= \{ \{"AladjevProcedures`", "BlockFuncModQ",
" ClearAllAttributes", "Contexts1", "Definition2", "HeadPF", "HowAct", "ListStrToStr","Map3", "Mapp", "MinusList", "PureDefinition", "Sequences", "StrDelEnds", "SubsDel", "SuffPref", "SymbolQ", "SysFuncQ", "SystemQ", "ToString1", "UnevaluatedQ"\}, \{\}\}

The FullCalls1 procedure tests procedure/functionx regarding use by it of both package means, and the other means, other than the standard means. In particular, the
callFullCalls1[x] returns the nested list whosefirst element corresponds to result of the callFullCalls[x] while thesecond element defines the list of names of the means used byx, excluding the means belonging to the uploaded user packages. Meanwhile, it must be kept in mind that both procedures process only the means used byx which are determined by the mechanism of the delayed calculations. Spreading of these procedures onto the mechanism of immediate calculations of any special difficulties doesn't cause, and such extension can present an useful enough exercitation to the interested reader. We proceeded from the fact that the definition of both the procedures, and the functions on a number of fairly significant reasons it is advisable to determine by the mechanism of delayed calculations. At that, both proceduresFullCalls andFullCalls1 are quite useful at programming a number of appendices. Right there quite pertinently to note that theSave function used in realization of the proceduresFullCalls andFullCalls1 can be quite useful for the organization of libraries of the user tools. Indeed, the callSave[ $f,\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \ldots\}]$ saves in a datafilef of the text format all definitions not only of objects with names $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \ldots\}$, but also all definitions of means with which the specified objects are connected at all levels of theirstructural tree. At the same time, the function call writes into datafile in theAppend mode, leaving the datafile closed. Moreover, the created datafile is easily edited by simple text editors, allowing rather simply to create software for its editing (deleting of objects,addition of objects,replacement of objects,etc.). For uploading of similar library into the current session the function callGet[f] is enough, having provided access to all means whose definitions were earlier saved in the datafilef. The given question is considered rather in details in [30-33]. In a number of cases there is a need for uploading into the current session of theMathematica system not entirely of a package, but only the separate means contained in it, for example, of a procedure/function, or their list. In the following fragment the procedure is represented, whose procedure call ExtrOfMfile[ $x, y]$ returnsNull, i.e. nothing, uploading in the current session the definitions only of those means that are determined by argumenty and are located in a datafilex of $\boldsymbol{m}$-format. At that, in case of existence in them- file of several means of the same name, the last is uploaded into the current session. While the callExtrOfMfile $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$, $\mathbf{z}$ ] with the third optional argument $\mathbf{z}$-an undefinite variable- in addition throughz returns the list of definitions of meansy which are located in them-filex. In case of absence in am-filex of meansy the procedure call returns\$Failed. The next fragment represents source code of theExtrOfMfile procedure along with examples of its usage.
In[2572]:= ExtrOfMfile[f_/; FileExistsQ[f] \&\& FileExtension[f] == "m", s_/;
StringQ[s] || ListQ[s], z__]:=
Module[ \{Vsv, $\mathbf{p}=\{ \}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{m}\}, \mathbf{m}=$ ReadFullFile[f]; If[StringFreeQ[m, Map["(*Begin["`" <> \# <> "'"]*)" \&, Map[ToString, s]l], \$Failed, Vsv[x_, y_] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{b}=$ FromCharacterCode[17], c = FromCharacterCode[24], d = "(*Begin[""" <> y <> " "]*)", h = "(*End[]*)", g = \{\}, t $\}$, a = StringReplace[a, h-> c]; If[StringFreeQ[a, d], \$Failed, While[! StringFreeQ[a, d], a = StringReplace[a, d-> b, 1]; t = StringTake[SubStrSymbolParity1[a, b, c][[1]], \{4,-4\}]; t = StringReplace[t, \{"(*"-> "", "*)"-> ""\}]; AppendTo[g, t]; a = StringReplace[a, b-> "", 1];
Continue[]]; \{g, ToExpression[g[[-1]]]\}]]; If[StringQ[s], v = Quiet[Check[Vsv[f, s]
[[1]], \$Failed]], Map[\{v = Quiet[Check[Vsv[f, \#][[1]], \$Failed]], AppendTo[p, v]\} \&, Map[ToString, s] ]]; If[\{z\}!=\{\}\&\&! HowAct[z], z =If[StringQ[s], v, p]]; ]]

In[2573] := ExtrOfMfile["C:\AVZ_Package\Kiev.m", "W"] In[2574]:=
ExtrOfMfile["C:\AVZ_Package\Kiev.m", "W", w] In[2575]:= \{W[73, 68, 90], w
Out[2575]= \{18053, \{"W[x_Integer, y_Integer]:= x^2+ y^2",
" W[x_Integer, y_Integer, $z$ _Integer]:= $x^{\wedge} 2+y \wedge 2+z^{\wedge} 2$ ",
"W[x_Integer,y_Integer,z_Integer]:= x^3+y^3+z^3" \}\} In[2576]:=
ExtrOfMfile["C:/AVZ_Package/Kiev.m", \{"W", "W1", "GS"\}, w2] In[2576]:= w2
Out[2576] = \{\{"W[x_Integer, y_Integer]:= x^2+ y^2",
"W[x_Integer, $y_{\_}$Integer, $z_{-}$Integer]:= $x^{\wedge} 2+y^{\wedge} 2+z^{\wedge} 2$ ", "W[x_Integer, $y \_$Integer, z_Integer]: $=x^{\wedge} 3+y^{\wedge} 3+z^{\wedge} 3$ " $\}$,
\{ "W1[x_Integer, y_Integer]:= x*y+ W[x, y]"\}, \$Failed\} In[2577]:=
ExtrOfMfile["C:/Temp/Kiev.m", \{"AgnVsvArtKr", "Avz"\}] Out[2577]= \$Failed
In[2578]:= Remove[StrStr]
In[2578]:= Definition[StrStr]
Out[2578]= Null
In[2579]:= \{ExtrOfMfile["c:/users/aladjev/mathematica/AVZ_Package.m",
"StrStr", G], G
Out[2579]= \{Null, \{"StrStr[x_]:= If[StringQ[x], "\" "<>x<>"\"",
ToString[x]] "\}\}
In[2580]:= Definition[StrStr]
Out[2580]= StrStr[x_]:= If[StringQ[x], "’"" <> x<> ">"", ToString[x]]
It should be noted that this procedure can be quite useful in case of need of recovery in the current session of the damaged means without uploading of the user packages containing their definitions.

The DefFromM procedure directly adjoines to theExtrOfMfile procedure, whose callDefFromM $[x, y]$ returns definition of an object with a name $\boldsymbol{y}$ that is located in a datafilex ofm-format with package while the procedure call DefFromM[x,y, z], wherez an arbitrary expression, in addition evaluates this definition in the current session, making the objecty available. In order to simplification of algorithm of theDefFromM procedure theSubListsMin procedure is used, in general useful at operating with lists. The procedure callSubListsMin $[\boldsymbol{L}, \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{t}]$ returns the sublists of a list $\boldsymbol{L}$ that are limited by elements $\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}\}$ and have the minimum length; att = " $r$ " selection is executed from left to right, and att $=$ "l" from right to left. Whereas the procedure call SubListsMin $[L, x, y, t, z]$ with optionalfifth argumentz-arbitrary expression- returns sublists without the limiting elements $\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}\}$. The following fragment represents source codes of both procedures with examples of their usage.
In[2742]:= SubListsMin[L_/; ListQ[L], $\mathbf{x}_{-}, y_{-}, t_{-} / ;$MemberQ[\{"r", "l"\}, t], z__] := Module[\{a, b, c, $\mathbf{d}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{j}\},\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}\}=$ Map[Flatten, Map3[Position, $\mathbf{L},\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}]] ;$
If $[\mathbf{a}==\{ \}\|\mathbf{b}==\{ \}\| \mathbf{a}==\{ \} \& \& \mathbf{b}==\{ \}| | \mathbf{L}==\{ \},\{ \}, \mathbf{b}=$ Select[Map[If[If[t == "r", Greater, Less][\#, a[[1]]l, \#] \&, b], ! SameQ[\#, Null] \&]; For[k, k <= Length[a], k++, j = 1; While[j <= Length[b], If[If[t == "r", Greater, Less][b[[j]], a[[k]]l], AppendTo[d,

If[t == "r", a[[k]] ;; b[[j]], b[[j]] ;; a[[k]]]]; Break[]]; j++]]; d = Sort[d, Part[\#1, 2]-Part[\#1, 1] <= Part[\#2, 2]-Part[\#2, 1] \&]; d = Select[d, Part[\#, 2]-Part[\#, 1] == Part[d[[1]], 2]-Part[d[[1]], 1] \&]; d = Map[L[[\#]] \&, d]; d = If[\{z\}!= \{\}, Map[\#[[2 ;;-2]] \&, d], d]; If[Length[d] == 1, Flatten[d], d]l]
:= SubListsMin[ \{a, b, a, c, d, q, v, d, w, j, k, d, h, f, d, h\}, a, h, "r", 90]In[2743] Out[2743]= \{c, d, q, v, d, w, j, k, d \}
In[2744]:= SubListsMin[\{h, g, a, b, h, a, c, d, a, q, h, v, w, a, j, k, d, h, f, d, h\},
a, h, "r"] Out[2744]= \{\{a, b, h\}, \{a, q, h\}\}
In[2745]:= SubListsMin[\{h, g, a, b, h, a, c, d, a, q, h, v, w, j, k, d, h, f, d, h\},
a, h, "r", 500] Out[2745]= \{\{b\}, \{q\}\}
In[2746]:= SubListsMin[\{h, g, a, b, h, a, c, d, a, q, h, v, w, j, k, d, h, f, d, h\},
a, h, "l"] Out[2746]= \{h, g, a \}
In[2747]:= SubListsMin[\{h, g, a, b, h, a, c, d, a, q, h, v, w, j, k, d, h, f, d, h\},
a, h, "l", 500] Out[2747]= \{g\}
In[2749]:= DefFromM[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "m", y_/; SymbolQ[y], z__]:= Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ReadList[x, String], b, c, d\},
\{ b, c $\}=\{"(* B e g i n[" " \gg$ ToString[y] <> "" "]*)", "(*End[]*)"\}; d =
StringJoin[Map[StringTake[\#, \{3,-3\}] \&, Flatten[SubListsMin[a, b, c, "r", 90]]I];
If[\{z\}!= \{\}, ToExpression[d]; d, d]]
In[2750] := DefFromM["AVZ_Package.m", StrStr]; Definition[StrStr] Out[2750]= StrStr[x_]:= If[StringQ[x], """" <> x<> "‘"", ToString[x]] Being based on the approach, used in the previousExtrOfMfile procedure, and also on the mechanism of string patterns, we receive useful procedure which provides receiving of the list of means, whose definitions are located in the user package( $\boldsymbol{m}$-file). The procedure callContentOfMfile[ $f$ ] returns the list of names in string format of all means, whose definitions are located in a package ( $\boldsymbol{m}$-file) determined by argumentf. In absence in them-file of definitions of tools in thestandard package format the procedure call returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. The following fragment represents source code of the ContentOfMfile procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2830]:= ContentOfMfile[f_/; FileExistsQ[f] \&\& FileExtension[f] ==
"m"] := Module[ \{b, a = ReadFullFile[f]\}, b = StringSplit[a, \{"(*", "*)"\}]; b = Select[b, ! StringFreeQ[\#, \{"Begin["`", ""]"\}] \&\& StringFreeQ[\#,
"BeginPackage["] \&]; b = Flatten[Map[StringCases[\#, """" ~~ _ ~~ """] \&, b]]; b
= DeleteDuplicates[Map[StringTake[\#, \{3,-3\}] \&, b]]; Sort[Select[b, StringFreeQ[\#, \{"=", ",", """, "[", "]", "(", ")", "^", "^", ";", "\{", "\}", "‘", "/"\}] \&]]]
:= ContentOfMfile["C:\AVZ_Package\Kiev.m"] In[2841]
Out[2841]= \{"W", "W1"\}
In[2842]:= ContentOfMfile["AVZ_Package.m"]
Out[2842]= \{"AcNb", "ActBFMuserQ", "ActCsProcFunc", "ActRemObj",
" ActUcontexts", "AddMxFile", "Adrive", "Adrive1", "Affiliate", "Aobj", "Aobj1", "Args", "Args1", "ArgsBFM", "ArgsTypes", ....., "\$InBlockMod", "\$Line1",
"\$Load\$Files\$", "\$ProcName", "\$ProcType", "\$TestArgsTypes", "\$TypeProc", "\$UserContexts"\}

In[2843]:= Length[\%]
Out[2843]= 683
The previous ContentOfMfile procedure can be simplified and reduced to a function, usingSubsString function providing the allocation of substrings from a string on condition ofsatisfaction of the allocated substrings to the set conditions. The procedure callSubsString[s, $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}, \ldots\}$ ] returns the list of substrings of a strings that are limited by substrings $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}, \ldots\}$ whereas the procedure callSubsString $[\boldsymbol{s},\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}, \boldsymbol{e}$, ...\},p] with the third optional argument $\boldsymbol{p}$ - a pure function in short format- returns the list of substrings of a strings that are limited by substrings $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}, \ldots\}$, meeting the condition determined by a pure functionp. While the procedure callSubsString $[\mathbf{s},\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}$, $\boldsymbol{d}, \ldots\}, \boldsymbol{p}$ ] with the3rd optional argument $\boldsymbol{p}$-an arbitrary expression which different from pure function- returns a list of substrings limited by substrings $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}, \ldots\}$, with removed prefixes and suffixes $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}, \ldots\}[[\mathbf{1}]]$ and $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}, \ldots\}[[-\mathbf{1}]]$ accordingly. In absence in a strings of at least one of substrings $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{c}, \boldsymbol{d}, \ldots\}$ the procedure call returns the empty list. Using theSubsString procedure, it is rather simple to modify theContentOfMfile procedure in the form ofContentOfMfile1 function whose source code with source code ofSubsString procedure along with certain examples of their typical usage the following fragment represents.
In[3215] := SubsString[s_/; StringQ[s], y_/; ListQ[y], pf__] := Module[\{b, c, a = "", $\mathrm{k}=1\}$, If[Set[c, Length[y]] <2, s, b=Map[ToString1, y]; While[k<= c-1, $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{a}<>$ $\mathbf{b}[[\mathbf{k}]]<>$ "~~ Shortest[_] ~~ "; k++]; $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{a}<>\mathbf{b}[[-1]] ; \mathbf{b}=$ StringCases[s, ToExpression[a]]; If[\{pf\}!= \{\}\&\& PureFuncQ[pf], Select[b, pf], If[\{pf\}!= \{\},
Map[StringTake[\#, \{StringLength[y[[1]]] + 1, -StringLength[y[[-1]]]-1\}] \&, b], Select[b, StringQ[\#] \&]]]I]
In[3216] := SubsString["adfghbffgxbavzgagngbArtggbKgrg", \{"b","g"\}, StringFreeQ[\#, "f"] \&]
Out[3216]= \{"bavzg", "bArtg", "bKg"\}
In[3217]:= SubsString["adfghbffgxbavzgagngbArtgbKrg", \{"b", "g"\}]
Out[3217]= \{"bffg", "bavzg", "bArtg", "bKrg"\}
In[3218]:= SubsString["abcxxxxx42345abcyyyyy42345", \{"ab", "42"\}, 90]
Out[3218]= \{"cxxxxx", "cyyyyy"\}
In[3227]:= ContentOfMfile1[f_/; FileExistsQ[f] \&\& FileExtension[f] == "m"] := Sort[DeleteDuplicates[Select[Map[StringTake[\#, \{9,-4\}] \&,
SubsString[ReadFullFile[f], \{"Begin["`", ""]"\}]], StringFreeQ[\#, \{"=", ",", "", "[", "]", "(", ")", "^", ";", "\{", "\}", "\", "/"\}] \&]l] In[3228]:=
ContentOfMfile1["C:TTemp\AVZ_Package\Kherson.m"] Out[3228]= \{"W", "W1"\} In[3229]:= ContentOfMfile1["AVZ_Package.m"]
Out[3229]= \{"AcNb", "ActBFMuserQ", "ActCsProcFunc", "ActRemObj",
" ActUcontexts", "AddMxFile", "Adrive", "Adrive1", "Affiliate", "Aobj", "Aobj1", "Args", "Args1", "ArgsBFM", "ArgsTypes", ....., "\$InBlockMod", "\$Line1", "\$Load\$Files\$", "\$ProcName", "\$ProcType", "\$TestArgsTypes", "\$TypeProc",
"\$UserContexts"\}
In[3230]:= Length[\%]
Out[3230]= 683
In general it should be noted that the Mathematica system posesses a rather developed mechanism ofstring patterns which allows to program developed means of processing of various string structures.

The two procedures below are quite useful at manipulations with a package that is located in amx-file. So, the procedure callContextMXfile[ $x$ ] returns the context associated with the user's package which is located in amx-file $\boldsymbol{x}$. Meanwhile, uploading of themx-file into the current session isn't made. TheMxToTxt procedure allows $2 \div 4$ actual arguments. The procedure call $\mathbf{M x T o T x t}[x, y]$ returnsNull, i.e. nothing, saving in a datafiley ofttr-format and in the current session all definitions of a package which is located in a $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-file. At that, all definitions of the filex are saved in an optimum format (without the context associated with package). If the callMxToTxt $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}]$, since the third argument, contains optional argument"Del", the packagex isn't loaded into the current session, otherwise all its definitions are saved in the current session in optimum format. If at the procedure call the arguments, starting with the third, contain an undefinite variable, through it the list of all objects whose definitions are located in a filex with the user package is returned. The following fragment represents source codes of the mentioned procedures together with them associated, and the examples of their usage.
In[2825]:= ContextMXfile[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "mx"] := Module[\{a, c,

Flatten[Map7[Range, Sequences, $\{\{48,57\},\{65,90\},\{96,122\}\}]]\}$, a =BinaryReadList[x]; a = a[[1;; If[Length[a] >=500, 500, Length[a]]]]; c =
 If[Length[c] < 5, \$Failed, FromCharacterCode[Select[a[[c[[2]] +1 ;; c[[5]]-1]], MemberQ[b, \#1] \&]I]]
In[2826]:= ContextMXfile["F:\AVZ_Package\AVZ_Package.mx"] Out[2826]= "AladjevProcedures'"
In[2827] := ContextFromFile[x_/; StringQ[x]] := If[Quiet[FileExistsQ[x]] \&\& MemberQ[\{"m", "nb", "mx", "cdf"\}, FileExtension[x]], Quiet[ToExpression[StringJoin["Context", ToUpperCase[ If[FileExtension[x] == "cdf", "nb", FileExtension[x]]], "file[", ToString1[x], "]"]ll], \$Failed]

In[2828] := Map[ContextFromFile, \{"E:\Temp/Kherson.m", "Package.nb", "C:\Users\Aladjev\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.mx"\}] Out[2828]= \{"Kherson"", "AladjevProcedures", "AladjevProcedures""\}

In[2829]:= MxToTxt[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "mx", y_/; StringQ[y], z__] := Module[\{b, c, a = ContextMXfile[x]\},

LoadMyPackage[x, a]; b = CNames[a]; Map[\{Write[y, Definition[\#]], Write[y]\} \&, b]; Close[y]; If[MemberQ[\{z\}, "Del"], RemovePackage[a]]; c = Select[\{z\},! HowAct[\#] \&\& ! SameQ[\#, "Del"] \&]; If[c != \{\}, ToExpression[ToString[c[[1]]] <> "=" <> ToString[b]l]; ]

## BeginPackage["Kherson`"]

Gs::usage = "Function Gs[x, y]:= 73*x^2 + 68*y + $47+\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}]$." G::usage =
"Function G[x, y]:= N[Sin[x] + Cos[y]] + S[x, y]." V::usage = "Function S[x_, y_] := $x^{\wedge} 2+y^{\wedge}$ 2."
Begin["'Private`"]
V[x_, y_]:= $x^{\wedge 2+y^{\wedge} 2}$
Gs[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]] := 73*x^2 + 68*y + 47 + V[x, y] G[x_/;
IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]] := N[Sin[x] + Cos[y]] + V[x, y]

## End[]

EndPackage[]
In[2830]:= \$Packages
Out[2830] = \{"AladjevProcedures"", "ResourceLocator", "PacletManager", "System", "Global")
In[2831]:= ContextMXfile["Kherson.mx"]
Out[2831]= "Kherson""
In[2832]:= MxToTxt["Kherson.mx", "Kherson.txt"]
In[2833]:= \$Packages
Out[2833]= \{"Kherson`", "AladjevProcedures`", "GetFEKernelInit",
"TemplatingLoader’", "ResourceLocator’", "PacletManager", "System'", "Global’"\}
In[2834]:= MxToTxt["Kherson.mx", "Kherson.txt", g]; g
Out[2834]= \{G, Gs, V $\}$
In[2835]:= \$Packages
Out[2835]= \{"Kherson"", "AladjevProcedures’", "GetFEKernelInit",
"TemplatingLoader`", "ResourceLocator", "PacletManager`", "System`", "Global""\} In[2836]:= MxToTxt["Kherson.mx", "Kherson.txt", "Del"] In[2837]:= \$Packages Out[2837]= \{"AladjevProcedures`", "GetFEKernelInit"",
"TemplatingLoader`, "ResourceLocator", "PacletManager`", "System`", "Global""\} In[2838]:= MxToTxt["Kherson.mx", "Kherson.txt", t, "Del"]; t Out[2838]= \{G, Gs, V \} In[2839]:= \$Packages Out[2839]= \{"AladjevProcedures`", "GetFEKernelInit"",
"TemplatingLoader`", "ResourceLocator`", "PacletManager`", "System'", "Global`"\}
The MxToTxt procedure has2 rather useful modificationsMxToTxt1 and MxToTxt2 with which it is possible to familiarize in [30-33,48]. In particular, on the basis of the proceduresMxToTxt $\div$ MxToTxt2 it is possible to create quite effective and simple libraries of the user means with system of their maintaining. The similar organization is rather habitual for the users having experience in traditional programming systems.
The next equivalent procedures ContextFromMx andContextFromMx1 use different algorithms; their calls on amx-file return a context ascribed to the user package, at a context absence\$Failed is returned. The fragment below presents source codes of these procedures and an auxiliary function along with typical examples of their usage. The function callStringFreeQ2[x, $\{a 1, a 2, a 3, \ldots\}$ ] returnsTrue if all substrings $\{a 1, a 2, a 3$, $\ldots$...\} are absent in a string $x$, andFalse otherwise.

In[2770]:= ContextFromMx[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] ==
"mx"] := Module[ \{d = Map[FromCharacterCode, Range[2, 27]], a =
StringJoin[Select[Characters[ReadString[x]], SymbolQ[\#] || IntegerQ[\#] || \# == "’" \& ]], b\}, If[StringFreeQ2[a, \{"CONT", "ENDCONT"\}], \$Failed, b = StringCases1[a, \{"CONT", "ENDCONT" \}, "__"]; If[b == \{\}, \$Failed, StringReplace[b, Flatten[\{GenRules[d, ""], "ENDCONT"-> "", "CONT"-> ""\}][[[1]]I]]
In[2771]:= ContextFromMx["c:\users/aladjev/mathematica/Tallinn.mx"] Out[2771]= "Grodno""
In[2772]:= ContextFromMx1[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] ==
"mx"] := Module[ \{d = Map[FromCharacterCode, Range[2, 27]], a =
StringJoin[Select[Characters[ReadString[x]], SymbolQ[\#] || IntegerQ[\#] || \# == "’" \& ]], b\}, If[StringFreeQ2[a, \{"CONT", "ENDCONT"\}] || StringCases1[a, \{"CONT", "ENDCONT" $\}$, "__"] == \{\}, \$Failed, $\mathbf{b}=$ StringPosition[a, \{"CONT", "ENDCONT" $\}] ; \overline{\text { If }[b}==\{ \}$, \$Failed, StringReplace[StringTake[a, $\{\mathbf{b}[[1]][[1]], \mathbf{b}[[2]]$ [[2]]\}], Flatten[\{GenRules[d, ""], "ENDCONT"-> "", "CONT"-> ""\}]ll]]
In[2773]:= ContextFromMx1["c:/users/aladjev/mathematica/Tallinn.mx"] Out[2773]= "Grodno" "
:= StringFreeQ2[x_/; StringQ[x], y_/; StringQ[y] || ListQ[y] \&\&In[2774]
DeleteDuplicates[Map[StringQ[\#] \&, yl] == \{True\}]:=!
MemberQ[Map[StringFreeQ[x, \#] \&, Flatten[\{y\}]], False]
In[2775] := StringFreeQ2["12tvArthsnm3p45k6r78hKr9", \{"a", "b", "c", "d"\}] Out[2775]= True
It should be noted that both procedures operates on platformsWindowsXP Professional andWindows7Professional. Moreover performance of procedures is higher if they are applied to amx-file created on the current platform. In view of distinctions of themx-files created on different platforms there is a natural expediency of creation of the means testing anymx-file regarding a platform in which it was created in virtue of theDumpSave function. The followingTypeWinMx procedure is one of such means. The procedure call TypeWinMx[x] in string format returns the type of operating platform on which amx-filex was created; correct result is returned for case ofWindows platform, while on other platforms $\$$ Failed is returned. This is conditioned by lack of a possibility to carry out debugging on other platforms. The next fragment represent source code of the procedure with examples of its use.
In[2785] := TypeWinMx[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "mx"]:= Module[\{a, b, c, d\}, If[StringFreeQ[\$OperatingSystem, "Windows"], \$Failed, a = StringJoin[Select[Characters[ReadString[x]], SymbolQ[\#]
||Quiet[IntegerQ[ToExpression[\#]]] ||\# == "-" \&]]; d = Map[FromCharacterCode, Range[2, 27]]; b = StringPosition[a, \{"CONT", "ENDCONT"\}]; If[b[[1]][[2]] == b[[2]][[2]],

[^8]"ENDCONT"-> "", "CONT"-> ""\}]]; If[ListQ[c], c[[1]], c]]]

In[2786]:= TypeWinMx["ProcQ.mx"]
Out[2786]= "Windows-x86-64"
In[2787]:= TypeWinMx["AVZ_Package_1.mx"]
Out[2787]= "Windows"
In[2788]:= TypeWinMx["AVZ_Package.mx"]
Out[2788]= "Windows-x86-64"
The call DumpSave[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ ] returns the list of contextsy of objects or objects with definitions that were ostensibly unloaded into amx-filex irrespective of existence ofdefinitions for these objects or theircontexts in the list defined by\$ContextPath variable, without allowing to make program processing of results ofDumpSave calls. At that, the result of theDumpSave call can't be tested programmatically and elimination of this situation is promoted by a procedure, whose successful call DumpSave1 $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ returns the nested list whosefirst element defines the path to a filex ofmx-format(if necessary, the " $\boldsymbol{m x}$ "extension is ascribed to the datafile) while thesecond element defines the list of objects and/or contexts from the list defined by $\boldsymbol{y}$ whose definitions are unloaded into the datafilex. In the absence of objects(the certain symbols and/or contexts existing in the list defined by the\$ContextPathvariable) which were defined by argumenty, theDumpSave1 call returns\$Failed. The next fragment represents source codes of the procedure along with other means, useful at processing of datafiles of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format, and contexts of symbols.
In[3120]:= DumpSave1[x_, y_]:= Module[\{a, b, c\},
If[StringQ[x], If[FileExtension[x] == "mx", c = x, c = x <> ".mx"]; a = Flatten[\{y\}]; b = Select[a, (ContextQ[\#] \& \& MemberQ[\$ContextPath, \#]) || ! MemberQ[\{"", "Null"\}, Quiet[ToString[Definition[\#]]l] \&]; If[b != \{\}, \{c, Flatten[DumpSave[c, b]l], \$Failed], \$Failed]]
In[3121]:= DumpSave1["AVZ_Package42.mx", \{"Art"", "Kr`", GS\}] Out[3121]= \$Failed
In[3124] := ReplaceSubLists[x_/; ListQ[x], y_/; RuleQ[y] || ListRulesQ[y]] := Module[\{a, f, d = FromCharacterCode[2015]\}, f[z_/; ListQ[z]] :=
StringJoin[Map[ToString1[\#] <> d \& z]]; a = Map[f[Flatten[ $\{\#[[1]]\}]]->$ f[Flatten[ $\{\#$ [[2]]\}]] \&, Flatten[\{y\}]]; ToExpression[StringSplit[StringReplace[f[x], a], d]]]
$\operatorname{In}[3125]$ := ReplaceSubLists[\{a, b, c, "d", m, $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\},\{\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}->\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\}, \mathbf{a}->\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}\}$, "d"-> "90"\}]
Out[3125]= \{m, n, x, y, "90", m, x, x, y\}
In[3128]:= SubsList[x_/; ListQ[x], y_, z_] := Module[\{b, c,
a = FromCharacterCode[2015]\}, b = StringJoin[Map[ToString1[\#] <> a \&, x]]; c = Map[StringJoin[Map[ToString1[\#] <> a \&, Flatten[\{\#1\}]l] \&, \{y, z\}]; c = ToExpression[StringSplit[SubsString[b, \{c[[1]], c[[2]]\}], a]]; If[Length[c] == 1, c[[1]], cl] In[3129]:= SubsList[\{a, b, c, d, x, y, x, b, c, n, a + b, x, y, z\}, \{b, c\}, \{x, y\}]
$\operatorname{Out}[3129]=\{\{b, c, d, x, y\},\{b, c, n, a+b, x, y\}\}$
In[3146]:= ContextToSymbol[x_/; SymbolQ[x], y_/; ContextQ[y], z_/; StringQ[z]] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{b}=$ Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}], $\mathbf{a}=$ If[FileExtension[z] == "mx", z, z <> ".mx"]\}, If[b === \{\$Failed\}, \$Failed, AppendTo[\$ContextPath, y];
Quiet[ToExpression[Map[y <> \# \&, b]l]; \{a, DumpSave[a, y]\}]]

In[3147] := Art[x_] := Module[\{a = 6\}, $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{a}]$;
ContextToSymbol[Art, "Veeroja"", "AgnAvz"]
Out[3147]= \{"AgnAvz.mx", \{"Veeroja""\}\}
In[3150] := ContextRepMx[x_/; FileExistsQ[x]\&\& FileExtension[x] =="mx", y_/; ContextQ[y]] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ContextMXfile[x], b, c, d, h, n, m, f\}, $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{I f [ S a m e Q [ a , ~}$ \$Failed], "None", a]; b = ReadList[x, Byte]; c = Map[ToCharacterCode, \{"Windows", "ENDCONT"\}]; f = ToString[Unique[]] <> ".mx";
ContextToSymbol[d, y, f]; h = ReadList[f, Byte]; n = SubsList[b, c[[1]], c[[2]]]; m = SubsList[h, c[[1]], c[[2]I]; DeleteFile[f]; h = ReplaceSubLists[b, n-> m]; f =
FileNameSplit[x]; f = FileNameJoin[AppendTo[f[[1 ;;-2]], "\$" <> f[[-1]]I];
BinaryWrite[f, h]; Close[f]; \{f, a, y\}]
In[3151]:= ContextRepMx["Kherson.mx", "Grodno`"] Out[3151] \(=\) \{"\$Kherson.mx", "Kherson"", "Grodno`" $\}$
In[3154]:= ContextSymbol[x_/; SymbolQ[x]] :=
Select[Map[If[MemberQ[CNames[\#], ToString[x]] || MemberQ[CNames[\#], \# <> ToString[x]], \#] \&, DeleteDuplicates[\$ContextPath]], ! SameQ[\#1, Null] \&]

In[3155] := Map[ContextSymbol, \{G, Gs, ProcQ, Sin\}]
Out[3155]= \{\{"Kherson`"\}, \{"Grodno" \(\}\), \{"AladjevProcedures`"\}, \{"System`"\}\} So, the previous fragment represents as the main, and supportive means of processing ofmx-files and contexts. The procedure callReplaceSubLists $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ returns the result of replacement of elements(including adjacent) of a listx on the basis of a rule or list of rulesy; moreover, lists can be as parts of rules. Whereas the procedure callSubsList[x, y, z] returns the list of sublists of the elements of a listx that are limited by elements $\{y, z\}$; qua of elements $\{\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}$ can be lists too. If any of elements $\{\boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}\}$ doesn't belong $\boldsymbol{x}$, the procedure call returns theempty list, i.e. \{\}. The presented proceduresReplaceSubLists and SubsList along with processing of lists are of interest for assignment tomx- files of a context in its absence.

Whereas the procedure call ContextToSymbol $[x, y, z]$ returns the list of the format $\{z$, $\{y\}\}$, ascribing to a certain symbol $\boldsymbol{x}$ a contexty with saving of its definition in amx-filez. In particular, the given means is quite useful in the case of necessity of saving of objects in $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-files with a context. On the basis of three proceduresReplaceSubLists, SubsList andContextToSymbol the procedure which provides replacement of contexts inmx-files without their uploading into the current session has been created. So, the procedure call ContextRepMx[x,y] provides replacement of the context of amx-filex by a new contexty, returning the list of the format $\{$ File, $\boldsymbol{h}, \boldsymbol{y}\}$ whereFile - the file with result of such replacement, $\boldsymbol{h}$ - an old context or"None" - if it wasn't, and $\boldsymbol{y}$ - a new context. Whereas the function callContextSymbol $[x]$ returns the context associated with a symbol $\boldsymbol{x}$.

At calculation of definition of a symbol $\boldsymbol{x}$ in the current session the symbol will be associated with the "Global'" context that remains at its unloading intomxfile by means of theDumpSave function. While in some cases there is a need of saving of symbols inmxfiles with other contexts. The procedure DumpSave2 solves the given problem whose callDumpSave2[f, $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ returns nothing, unloading into amx-filef the definition of a symbol or their listx that have context"Global'" withy context. The fragment below represents source code of theDumpSave2 procedure along with examples of its usage.

In[3222] := DumpSave2[x_/; FileExtension[x]== "mx", y_/; SymbolQ[y]|| ListQ[y] \&\& DeleteDuplicates[Map[SymbolQ[\#] \&, y]]==\{True\}, z_/; ContextQ[z]] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{a}=$ Flatten[Select[Map[PureDefinition[\#] \&, Flatten[\{y\}]], ! SameQ[\#, \$Failed] \& ]]\}, Map[ToExpression[z <> \#] \&, a]; AppendTo[\$ContextPath, z]; c = Map[z <> ToString[\#] \&, Flatten[\{y\}]]; AppendTo[c, \$ContextPath]; DumpSave[x, c]; ]

In[3223] := Agn[x_] := x; Agn[x_, y_] := x + y; Agn[x_Integer] := x + $500 \operatorname{In}[3224]:=$ Avz[x_] := x^2; Avz[x_, y_] := 90*(x+y); Avz[x_Integer] := x+500 In[3225]:= Map[ContextSymbol, \{Agn, Avz\}]
Out[3225]= \{\{"Global" $\}$, \{"Global`" \(\}\) \} In[3226]:= DumpSave2["Tallinn.mx", \{Agn, Avz\}, "Rans`"]
In[3227]:= Clear[Avz, Agn]; Map[PureDefinition, \{Agn, Avz\}] Out[3227]= \{\$Failed, \$Failed\}
In[3228]:= Get["Tallinn.mx"]; PureDefinition[Rans`Agn] Out[3228]= \{"Rans`Agn[x_Integer]:= x+ 500", "Rans`Agn[x_]:= x", " Rans`Agn[x_, y_]:= x+ y"\}
In[3229]:= Ian`Agn[x_, y_] := x + y; PrependTo[\$ContextPath, "Ian"]] Out[3229]= \{"Ian’", "AladjevProcedures’", "TemplatingLoader’", " PacletManager`", "System`", "Global", "Rans`"\}
In[3230]:= ContextSymbol[Agn]
Out[3230]= \{"Ian"", "Rans""\}
In[3231]:= DumpSave["Tampere.mx", "Ian""];
In[3232]:= \$ContextPath = MinusList[\$ContextPath, \{"Ian`"\}];

## PureDefinition[Agn]

Out[3232]= \$Failed
In[3233]:= Get["Tampere.mx"]; CNames["Ian`"] Out[3233]= \{"Agn" \(\}\) In[3234]:= ContextMXfile["Tampere.mx"] Out[3234]= "Ian`"
In[3235]:= PureDefinition[Agn]
Out[3235]= "Agn[x_, y_]:= x+y"
The previous fragment is completed by examples illustrating the principle of saving of objects, whose definitions are evaluated in the current session, in $m x$-files with the given context. This principle was used at programming of the procedures endowing a symbol by a context.
As it was noted earlier, the objects of the same name have various headings therefore in certain cases arises a question of their more exact identification. The next procedure provides one of such approaches, trying to associate the components composing such objects with the contexts ascribed to them. At the heart of the procedure algorithm lies a principle of creation for separate components of an object of the same name of packages inm-files with the unique contexts ascribed to them. Then, having removed an objectx of the same name from the current session, by means of uploading of thesemfiles into the current session we have opportunity of access to components of the objectx of the same name through a construction of the "Context'x" format. The fragment below represents
souce code of theDiffContexts procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2630] := DiffContexts[x_/; SymbolQ[x] \&\& ! UnevaluatedQ[HeadPF, x], y__] := Module[ $\{\mathrm{a}=\{$ "(*BeginPackage[".12`"]*)", "(*.0f::usage=""" <> "*)", "(*Begin["`.06" "]*)", "(*.04*)", "(*End[]*)", "(*EndPackage[]*)"\},
b = Map[FromCharacterCode, $\{18,15,6,4\}$ ], c = Definition2[x][[1 ;;-2]], d, h = ToString[x], $\mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{t}=\{ \}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{f}=\{ \}, \mathbf{z}\}$, If[Length[c] < 2, Context[x], z = HeadPF[x]; Clear[x]; For[k, k <= Length[c], k++, d = \{\}; For[j = 1, j <= Length[a], j++, AppendTo[d, StringReplace[a[[j]], \{b[[1]]-> h <> ToString[k], b[[2]]-> h, b[[3]]-> h, b[[4]]-> c[[k]]\}]]]; AppendTo[t, p = h <> ToString[k] <> ".m"]; AppendTo[f, \{h <> ToString[k] <> " ", z[[k]]\}]; Map[\{BinaryWrite[p, ToCharacterCode[\#][[3 ;;-3]]], BinaryWrite[p, \{32, 10\}]\}\&, d]; Close[p]; Get[p]]; If[\{y\}!= \{\}, Map[DeleteFile, t], Null]; Reverse[f]]]
$\operatorname{In}[2631]:=T\left[x_{-}\right]:=\mathbf{x} ; \mathbf{T}\left[x_{\_}, y_{\_}\right]:=\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y} ; \mathbf{T}\left[x_{-}, y_{\_}, \mathbf{z}_{-}\right]:=\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{*} \mathbf{z}$
In[2632]:= DiffContexts[T]
Out[2632]= \{\{"T3"", "T[x_, y_, z_]"\}, \{"T2"", "T[x_, y_]"\}, \{"T1"", "T[x_]"\}\}
In[2633]:= Definition["T1'T"]
Out[2633]= T1`T[T1`T`x_]:= T1`T`x In[2634]:= Definition["T2`T"]
Out[2634] = T2`T[T2`T`x_, T2`T`y_]:= T2`T`x*T2`T`y In[2635]:= Definition["T3'T"] Out[2635]= T[T3`T`x, T3`T`y, T3`T`z_]:= T3`T`x*T3`T`y*T3`T`z In[2636]:= Definition[T] Out[2636]= T[T3`T`x_, T3`T`y_, T3`T`z_]:= T3`T`x*T3`T`y*T3`T`z In[2637]:= \$Packages Out[2637]= \{"T3`", "T2`", "T1"", "AladjevProcedures`", "GetFEKernelInit",
" TemplatingLoader", "ResourceLocator", "PacletManager`", "System`", "Global""\}
In[2638]:= DiffContexts[T, 500]
Out[2639]= \{"T3`", "T2`", "T1`"\} In[2639]:= Definition["T1`T"]
Out[2639]= T1`T[T1`T`x_]:= T1`T`x In[2640]:= FileExistsQ["T1.m"] Out[2640]= False In[2641]:= T3`T[73, 68, 48]
Out[2641]= 238272
The procedure call DiffContexts[x] returns the nested list of ListList-type whose sublists by thefirst element definecontext while the second element defineheading of a certain component of an object of the same namex in the format \{\{"xn’","cn'"\}, ..., \{"x2’", "c2’"\}, $\{$ "x1’", "c1’"\}\} whose order is defined by order of the contexts in the list defined by the $\$$ Packages variable, where $\boldsymbol{n}$ - number of components of the object of the same namex. Moreover, the datafiles"xj.m" with the packages with components definitions composing the object of the same namex remain in the current directory of the session $(\boldsymbol{j}=\mathbf{1 . . n})$. At the same time the procedure callDiffContexts $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ with the2nd argumenty -an arbitrary expression- returns the above result, removing the intermediatem-files.

Whereas onx objects different from objects of the same name the procedure
callDiffContexts $[x]$ returns the context of an objectx. A certain interest is represented by theNamesCS procedure whose the call NamesCS[P, Pr, Pobj] returnsNull, i.e. nothing while thru three arguments $\boldsymbol{P}, \mathbf{P r}, \mathbf{P o b j}$-undefinite variables- are respectively returned the list of contexts corresponding to the packages uploaded into the current session, the list of the user procedures, whose definitions are activated in theInputparagraph of the current session, and the nested list, whose sublists in the main have various length and are structurally formatted as follows:
-the first element of a sublist defines the context corresponding to a package which was uploaded in the current session of theMathematicasystem at the time of the
NamesCSprocedure call;
-all subsequent elements of this sublist define objects of this package which in the current session of theMathematicasystem were made active.
The following fragment represent source code of theNamesCS procedure along with a typical example of its usage.
In[2593]:= NamesCS[P_/; ! HowAct[P], Pr_/; ! HowAct[Pr],
Pobj_/; ! HowAct[Pobjl] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{b}=$ Contexts[], $\mathbf{c}=\$$ Packages, $\mathbf{d}, \mathrm{k}=1, \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{h}, \mathrm{a}=$ Quiet[Select[Map[ToExpression, Names["*"]], ProcQ[\#] \&]]\}, \{P, Pr\}= \{c, a\}; c = Map[List, c]; For[k, k <= Length[b], k++, For[p = 1, p <= Length[c], p++, n = b[[k]]; $\mathbf{m}=\mathbf{c [ [ p ] ] [ [ 1 ] ] ; ~ I f [ n = = =} \mathbf{m}$, Null, If[SuffPref[n, m, 1], d = StringReplace[n, b-> ""]; If[d == "", Null, c[[p]] = Append[c[[p]], ToExpression[StringTake[StringReplace[n, b-> ""], \{1,-2\}][1]l, Continue[]I]]; c = Map[DeleteDuplicates, c]; For[k = 1, k <= Length[c], k++, h = c[[k]]; If[Length[h == 1], h = Null, h = Select[h, StringQ[\#] || ToString[Quiet[DefFunc[\#]]] != "Null" \&]l]; Pobj := Select[c, Length[\#] > 1 \& \& ! \# === Null \&]; Pobj = Mapp[Select, Pobj, If[! StringQ[\#], True, If[StringTake[\#,-1] == "‘", True, Falsel] \&]; ]

In[2594] := NamesCS[P, Pr, Pobj]
In[2595]:= \{P, Pr\}
Out[2595]= \{ \{"Grodno`", "Tallinn’", "Kiev1"", "Kiev`", "AladjevProcedures`", " GetFEKernelInit’", "TemplatingLoader`", "ResourceLocator"", "PacletManager`", "System’", "Global’"\}, \{\}\} In[2596]:= Pobj Out[2596]= \{\{"Kherson`", Ga, Gs, Private, Vgs \},
\{"AladjevProcedures’", ActBFMuserQ, ActRemObj, ..., \{"ResourceLocator", Private\},
\{"PacletManager", Collection`Private, Private, ...\}, \{"QuantityUnits’", Private\}, \{"WebServices", Information\}, \{"System"", BesselParamDerivativesDump, BinaryReadDump\}\}
Moreover, the list returned through Pobj-argument contains only sublists, whose corresponding packages have objects that have been activated in the currentMathematica session.

While the call Npackage[x] of very simple function returns the list of names in string
format of all objects whose definitions are located in ax package activated in the current session. In case of inactivity in the current session of the packagex or in case of its absence the function callNpackage[ $\boldsymbol{x}$ ] returns $\mathbf{\$ F a i l e d}$. So, the following fragment represents source code of theNpackage function along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2684]:= Npackage[x_/; StringQ[x]] := If[MemberQ[Contexts1[], x],
Sort[Select[Names[x <> "*"], StringTake[\#,-1] != "\$" \&\& ToString[Definition[\#]] != "Null" \& ]], \$Failed]

```
In[2685] := Npackage["AladjevProcedures`"]
Out[2685]= {"AcNb", "ActBFMuserQ", "ActCsProcFunc", "ActRemObj",
"AddMxFile", "Adrive", "Adrive1", "Affiliate", "Aobj", ..., "$CallProc",
"$InBlockMod", "$Line1", "$ProcName", "$ProcType", "$TestArgsTypes",
"$TypeProc", "$UserContexts"}
In[2686]:= Npackage["Tallinn`"]
Out[2686]= $Failed
```

The ContOfContex procedure also is represented as a rather interesting tool whose callContOfContex[x] returns the nested two-element list whose first element defines the sublist of all names in string format of means of the user package with a contextx whosedefinitions in the current session are returned by theDefinition function with thex context included in them whereas the second element defines the sublist of all names in string format of means of the package with thex context whose definitions in the current session are returned by theDefinition function without contextr. The fragment below represents source code of theContOfContex procedure with an example of its use concerning the context"AladjevProcedures'" that is associated with theAVZ_Package package [48]. At the end of the fragment thelength of both sublists of the returned result is calculated along with random inspection by means of theDefinition function of definitions of means from both sublists. From the received estimation follows, the length of the first sublist of means of the above package whosedefinitions in the current session are returned by theDefinition function along with the context is significantly longer.
In[2705]:= ContOfContext[x_/; ContextQ[x]] := Module[\{b=\{\}, c=\{\}, h, a = Select[CNames[x], \# != "a" \&], k=1\},
If $[\mathbf{a}==\{ \}$, \$Failed, While[ $k<=$ Length[a], $h=a[[k]] ;$
If[StringFreeQ[StringReplace[ToString[Definition4[h]], "\n \n"-> ""], x <> h <> "'"], AppendTo[c, h], AppendTo[b, h]]; k++]; \{b, c\}]]
In[2706]:= ContOfContext["AladjevProcedures’"]
Out[2706]= \{ \{"ActBFMuserQ", "ActRemObj", "AddMxFile", "Adrive1",
" Affiliate", "Aobj", "Aobj1", "Args", "Args1", "ArgsBFM", ......\}, \{"AcNb", "ActCsProcFunc", "Adrive", "Attributes1", "Avg",
"BlockQ", "BlockQ1", "CALL",...,"\$Load\$Files\$", "\$ProcName", "\$ProcType","\$TestArgsTypes","\$TypeProc","\$UserContexts"\}\} In[2707]:= Map[Length, \%]
Out[2707]= \{425, 259\}
In[2708]:= Definition["DirName"]
Out[2708]= DirName[AladjevProcedures`DirName`F_/;

StringQ[AladjevProcedures`DirName`F]]:= If[DirQ[AladjevProcedures `DirName`F], "None", If[! FileExistsQ1[AladjevProcedures`DirName`F], \$Failed,
Quiet[Check[FileNameJoin[ FileNameSplit[AladjevProcedures`DirName`F][[1;-2]]], "None"]]]] In[2709]:= Definition["StrStr"]
Out[2709]= StrStr[x_]:= If[StringQ[x], StringJoin[""", x, """], ToString[x]] In[2710]:=
ContOfContext["AladjevProceduresAndFunctions"] Out[2710]= \$Failed
In[2716]:= LoadPackage[x_/; FileExistsQ[x]\& \& FileExtension[x] == "mx"]:= Module[\{a\}, Quiet[ToExpression["Off[shdw::Symbol]"]; Get[x]; a = ToExpression["Packages[][[1]]"];
ToExpression["LoadMyPackage[" <> """ <> x <> """ <> "," <> """ <> a <> """ <> "]"]; ToExpression["On[shdw::Symbol]"]l]
In[2717] := LoadPackage["C:\Users\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.mx"] In[2718]:= Definition["DirName"]
Out[2718]= DirName[F_/; StringQ[F]]:= If[DirQ[F], "None",
If[! FileExistsQ1[F], \$Failed, Quiet[Check[FileNameJoin[FileNameSplit[F][[1;-2]]], "None"]]]]
On inactive contexts $\boldsymbol{x}$ the procedure callsContOfContext[x] return\$Failed while in other cases the procedure call is returned unevaluated. Qua of one of possible appendices of the given procedure it is possible to note problems that deal with source codes of software of the user packages. Forelimination of similar distinction theLoadPackage procedure completing the previous fragment can be used. The procedure callLoadPackage[ $x$ ] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, loading the user package contained in a datafilex of themxformat into the current session of theMathematica with activation ofall definitions which contain in it in a mode similar to the mode of theInputparagraph of theMathematica system.
Qua of useful addition to the ContOfContex procedure, theNamesContext procedure can be quite considered, whose callNamesContext [ $x$ ] returns the list of names in string format of program objects of the current session that are associated with a contextx. In case of absence of this context the empty list, i.e. \{\} is returned. If thex value is different from a context the procedure call is returned unevaluated. The following fragment represents source code of theNamesContext procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2840]:= NamesContext[x_/; ContextQ[x]] := Module[\{b, c=\{\}, k=1, h, a= Names[x <> "*"]\},
While[k <= Length[a], b = a[[k]]; h = ToString[ToExpression["Definition[" <> b <> "]"]l]; If[h != "Null" \& \& h != "Attributes[" <> b <> "]=\{Temporary\}" \&\& !
SuffPref[b, "a\$", 1], AppendTo[c, a[[k]]]]; k++]; c] In[2841]:=
NamesContext["AladjevProcedures'"]
Out[2841]= \{"AcNb", "ActBFMuserQ", "ActCsProcFunc", "ActRemObj",
" AddMxFile", "Adrive", "Adrive1", "Affiliate", "Aobj", ..., "\$CallProc", "\$InBlockMod", "\$Line1", "\$ProcName", "\$ProcType", "\$TestArgsTypes", "\$TypeProc", "\$UserContexts"\}
In[2842]:= Length[\%]
Out[2842]= 684

In[2843]:= NamesContext["Global"]
Out[2843]= \{"Art", "G", "Gal", "Kr", "Global`LoadPackage", "NamesContext"\} In[2844]:= Length[\%] Out[2844] \(=6\) In[2845]:= NamesContext["System`"]
Out[2845]= \{" [FormalA]", "[FormalB]", "[FormalC]", " $[$ FormalD]",
" [FormalE]", " [FormalF]", "[FormalG]", " $[$ FormalH]"‘, ... , "\$UserName",
"\$Version", "\$VersionNumber", "\$WolframID", "\$WolframUUID", "
[SystemsModelDelay]"\}
In[2846] := Length[\%]
Out[2846] $=5167$
In[2847]:= NamesContext["Tallinn""]
Out[2847]= \{\}
The procedure call Contexts1[] that is a simple modification of theContexts function which provides testing of an arbitrary string for admissibility qua of asyntactically correct context returns the list of contexts corresponding to packages whose components have been activated in the current session. The following fragment represents source code of theContexts1 procedure with a typical example of its usage.
In[2920]:= Contexts1[] := Module[\{a = \{\}, b = Contexts[], c, k=1\}, For[k, k <= Length[b], k++, c=b[[k]]; If[Length[DeleteDuplicates[Flatten[StringPosition[c, ""] [I]] == $1 \& \&$ StringTake[c, $\{-1,-1\}]==$ " ", AppendTo[a, c], Next[]]]; a]

In[2921] := Contexts1[]
Out[2921]= \{"AladjevProcedures`", "Algebra`", "AlphaIntegration`", ......\} In[2922]:= Length[\%]
Out[2922]= 184
In some cases exists the problem of definition of them-files containing the definition of some object active in the current session. The given problem is successfully solved by the procedure whose callFindFileObject $[x]$ returns the list of datafiles containing definition of an objectx, including the usage; in the absence of such $\boldsymbol{m}$-files the procedure call returns the empty list, i.e. \{\}. The procedure callFindFileObject $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}, \ldots$...] with optional arguments $\{\boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}, \ldots\}$ qua of which the names in string format of devices of direct access are defined, provides search of $\boldsymbol{m}$-files on the specified devices instead of search in all file system of the computer by the procedure call with one argument. The next fragment represents source code of theFindFileObject procedure along with some typical examples of its usage.
In[4363]:= FindFileObject[x_/; ! SameQ[ToString[DefOpt[ToString[x]]],
"Null"], y___ := Module[ $\{\mathbf{b}=\{ \}, c=" \geqslant, s=\{ \}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{k}=1, \mathbf{a}=\operatorname{If}[\{\mathbf{y}\}==\{ \}$, Adrive[], \{y\}], $\mathbf{f}=$ "ArtKr", $\mathrm{h}=$ "(*Begin["" <> ToString[x] <> ""]*)", p = "(*" <>
ToString[x] <> "::usage=", t\}, While[k <= Length[a], Run["Dir ", a[[k]] <> ":\"," /B/S/L > "<>f]; While[! SameQ[c, "EndOfFile"], c = ToString[Read[f, Stringl]; If[StringTake[c, \{-2,-1\}] == ".m", AppendTo[b, c]]; Continue[]]; Quiet[Close[f]]; c = ""; k++]; k = 1; While[k <= Length[b], If[Select[ReadList[b[[k]], String], ! StringFreeQ[\#, h] \&\& StringFreeQ[\#, p] \&] != \{\}, AppendTo[s, b[[k]]l]; k++];

## \{DeleteFile[f], s\}[[2]]]

In[4364] := FindFileObject[ProcQ, "D"]
Out[4364]= \{"d:\grgu_bookslavz_packagelavz_package.m",
"d:\templaladjev\documentslavz_package.m",
"d:\temp\aladjev\mathematicalavz_package.m"\}
In[4365]:= Mapp[FindFileObject, \{Mapp, AvzAgn\}]
Out[4365]= \{ \{"c:\grgu_bookslavz_packagelavz_package.m",
"c:\userslaladjev\documentslavz_package.m",
"c:luserslaladjev\mathematicalavz_package.m", "e:\avz_packagelavz_package.m"\}, FindFileObject[AvzAgn]\}
So, for identification of means of the user package whose definitions in the current session contain contextual references, the following procedure can be used, whose
callDefWithContext[x] returns the returns theelement nested list: its first element defines the list of names of means of the package loaded from amfilex whose definitions don't contain contextual references whereas the second element- the list of names of means of the package whose definitions contain the contextual references. The following fragment represents source code of the procedure and examples of its usage prior to the procedure call ReloadPackage1 and after it that is rather illustratively.
In[2982] := DefWithContext[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "m"] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{C o n t e x t M f i l e [ x ] , ~} \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{d}=\{ \}\}, \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{C N a m e s}[\mathbf{a}]$; Map[If[StringFreeQ[Definition4[\#], a<>\# <>"’"], AppendTo[c, \#],

## AppendTo[d, \#]] \&, b]; \{c, d\}]

In[2983] := DefWithContext["C:\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m"] Out[2983]= \{ \{"AcNb", "ActCsProcFunc", "Adrive", "Attributes1", "Avg", "BlockQ", "BlockQ1", "CALL", "CDir", "ClearAllAttributes", ..., "\$CallProc", "\$InBlockMod", "\$Line1", "\$Load\$Files\$", "\$ProcType", "\$TestArgsTypes", "\$UserContexts"\}, \{"ActBFMuserQ", "ActRemObj", "AddMxFile", "Adrive1", "Affiliate", "Aobj", "Aobj1", "Args", "Args1", "ArgsBFM", ...., "WhatType", "WhichN", "XOR1", "\$ProcName", "\$TypeProc"\}\}
In[2984]:= Map[Length, \%]
Out[2984]= $\{258,425\}$
In[2985]:= ReloadPackage1["C:\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m"]
In[2986]:= d = DefWithContext["C:\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m"];
In[2987]:= Map[Length, d]
Out[2987]= $\{683,0\}$
From the given fragment follows that more than $\mathbf{6 2 . 2 \%}$ of definitions of the means of ourAVZ_Package package uploaded into the current session, that are received by means of the function callDefinition[ $x$ ] will contain context references of the format"AladjevProcedures'x'".

At uploading of the user package into the current session its context will be located in the list determined by the\$Packages variable while at attempting to receive definitions of its means by means of theDefinition function some such definitions will contain the context associated with this package. First of all, such definitions are much less readable, but not
this most important. For software that is based on optimum format and using similar definitions, in the process of working with them the erroneous situations are possible as it was already noted above. For the purpose of receiving definitions of tools of the user package inoptimal format theLoadMyPackage procedure can be used. The procedure callLoadMyPackage $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ at the very beginning of the current session of theMathematica returnsNull, i.e.nothing, loading the user package $\boldsymbol{x}$ withy context ascribed to it, with the subsequent reevaluation of definitions of its means, providing the optimal format of these definitions.
In[2593]:= LoadMyPackage[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "mx", y_] := Module[\{a, Cn, Ts, k=1\},
Ts[g_] := Module[ \{p = "\$Art26Kr18\$.txt", b = "", c, d, v=1\}, Write[p, g]; Close[p]; While[v < Infinity, c = Read[p, String]; If[SameQ[c, EndOfFile], Close[p]; DeleteFile[p]; Return[b], b = b <> c]; Continue[]]]; Cn[t_] := Module[\{s = Names[StringJoin[t, "*"]], b\},
b = Select[s, Quiet[ToString[Definition[ToString[\#1]I]] != "Null" \&]]; Quiet[Get[x]];
a = Cn[y]; While[k <= Length[a],
Quiet[ToExpression[StringReplace[StringReplace[Ts[ToExpression[ "Definition[" <> a[[k]] <> "]"]l], y-> ""], a[[k]] <> """-> ""]ll; k++]]
In[2594] := LoadMyPackage["AVZ_Package.mx", "AladjevProcedures`"] In[2595]:= Definition["ContextQ"]
Out[2595]= ContextQ[x_]:= StringQ[x]\&\& StringLength[x]> 1\&\& Quiet[
SymbolQ[Symbol[StringTake[x, $\{1,-2\}]]]] \& \& S t r i n g T a k e[x, ~\{-1,-1\}]==">$
The previous fragment adduces source code of LoadMyPackage procedure with an example of its application. Similar approach is recommended to be used at uploading of the user package, saved in a datafile of $\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{x}$-format, for elimination of the specified undesirable moments and for simplification of programming with use of its means, and also for extension of the system on the basis of its means. Furthermore, the procedure callLoadMyPackage $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ ] with the noted purposes can be executed and in the presence of the loaded user package $\boldsymbol{x}$ with $\boldsymbol{y}$ context. So, saving of a package in themx-file with its subsequent uploading in each new session by theGet function, providing access to all package means with receiving their definitions in an optimized format(in the above-mentioned sense) is the most effective.

In the course of operating in the current session with means of an uploaded package(fromm-file) situations when certain of its activated means for one reason or another are removed from the current session or are distorted are quite real. For their restoration theReloadPackage procedure can be used.

In[2992] := ReloadPackage[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x]=="m", y__List, t__] := Module[\{a = NamesMPackage[x], b = ContextMfile[x], c ="\$Art26Kr18\$.txt", p, k=1, d=If[\{y\}!= \{\}, ToExpression[Map14[StringJoin, Map[ToString, $\mathbf{y}$ ], "[", 90]], \{\}]\}, Put[c]; While[k <=Length[a], p =a[[k]]; PutAppend[StringReplace[ToString1[ToExpression["Definition[" <> p <> "]"]l, b <> p <> "">-> ""], c]; k++]; If[d == \{\}, ToExpression["Clear[" <>
StringTake[ToString[a], \{2,-2\}] <> "]"], Null]; While[b != "EndOfFile", b =

ToString[Read[c]]; If[b === "EndOfFile", Break[]];
If[d == \{\}, Quiet[ToExpression[b]]; Continue[], If[If[\{t $\}==\{ \}$, MemberQ, !
MemberQ]

## [d, StringTake[b, \{1, Quiet[StringPosition[b, "[", 1][[1]][[1]]]\}]]], Quiet[ToExpression[b]]; Break[], Continue[]]]]; Close[c]; DeleteFile[c]]

In[2993] := ReloadPackage["C:\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m"] In[2994]:= Definition[StrStr]
Out[2994]= StrStr[x_]:= If[StringQ[x], """" <> x<> ">"", ToString[x]] In[2995]:= Clear[StrSts]; Definition[StrStr]
Out[2995]= Null
In[2996]:= ReloadPackage["C:\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m"] In[2994]:= Definition[StrStr]
Out[2994]= StrStr[x_]:= If[StringQ[x], "\"" <> x<> "’"", ToString[x]] The successful procedure callReloadPackage[x] returns nothing, providing in the current session the activation of all means of a package that is located in am-filex as though their definitions were calculated in an input stream. If the callReloadPackage $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ contains the second optionaly-argument qua of which the list of names is used, the reboot is made only for the package means with the given names. At the same time the callReloadPackage $[x, y, t]$ in addition with the $3 r d$ optional argument wheret -an arbitrary expression, also returns nothing, providing reboot in the current session of all means of the packagex, excluding only means with the names given in the listy. The previous fragment represents source code of theReloadPackage procedure with typical examples of its usage. In particular, it is illustrated that reboot of a package provides more compact output of definitions of the means that are contained in it, i.e. the output of definitions is made in a so-calledoptimal format(without contexts). The following fragment represents source code of theReloadPackage1 procedure, functionally equivalent toReloadPackage procedure, along with typical examples of its usage.
In[4436]:= ReloadPackage1[x_/; FileExistsQ[x]\&\& FileExtension[x]== "m",
$\left.y_{-}: 0, t_{-}: 0\right]:=$ Module[\{a = NamesMPackage[x], b = ReadFullFile[x], c, d = Map[ToString, Flatten[\{y\}]]\}, c = Flatten[Map[SubsString[b, \{"*)(*Begin[""" <> \# <> " "]*)(*", "*)(*End[]*)"\}, 90] \&, a]]; c = Map[StringReplace[\#, "*)(*"-> ""] \&, c]; Map[If[d == \{"0"\}, Quiet[ToExpression[\#]], If[ListQ[y], If[\{t $\}==\{0\}$, If[MemberQ[d,
StringTake[\#, Flatten[StringPosition[\#, \{"[", " :=", "="\}]][[1]]-1]], ToExpression[\#], If[! MemberQ[d, StringTake[\#, Flatten[StringPosition[\#, \{"[", " :=", "="\}]][[1]]-1]],
ToExpression[\#]III]] \&, c]; ] In[4437]:= Map[Clear, \{StrStr, Map2\}]
Out[4437]= \{Null, Null\}
In[4438]:= Definition[StrStr]
Out[4438]= Null
In[4439]:= Definition[Map2]
Out[4439]= Null
In[4440] := ReloadPackage1["C:\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m", \{StrStr, Map2\}]
In[4441]:= Definition[StrStr]

Out[4441]= StrStr[x_]:= If[StringQ[x], """ <> x<> """, ToString[x]]
In[4442]:= Definition[Map2]
Out[4442]=Map2[F_/; SymbolQ[F], c_/; ListQ[c], d_/; ListQ[d]]:=
(Symbol[ToString[F]][\#1, Sequences[d]]\&)/@ c
The successful procedure call ReloadPackage1[x] returnsnothing, providing in the current session the activation of all means of a package that is located in am-filex as though their definitions were calculated in an input stream. If the callReloadPackage1 $[x, y]$ contains thesecond optionaly-argument qua of which the list of names is used, the reboot is made only for the package means with the given names. Furthermore, the callReloadPackage $\mathbf{[} \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{t}]$ in addition with the 3 rd optional argument wheret -an arbitrary expression, also returns nothing, providing reboot in the current session of all means of the packagex, excluding only means with the names given in the listy. At that, similar toReloadPackage procedure theReloadPackage1 procedure, in particular, also provides output of definitions in theoptimal format in the above sense. The given modification is of interest from the standpoint of the approaches used in it. Such approach allows to get rid of contextual links in definitions of the functions/procedures loaded into the current session from the user package. At that, with methods of uploading of the user packages into the current session it is possible to familiarize enough in details in [33].
As it was noted earlier, in the result of uploading into the current session of the user package from a file of format $\{\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{b}\}$ with its subsequent activation an essential part of definitions of its means received by the call of standard Definition function will include contextual links of the "Context'x'" format, wherex - a name of means and"Context" - a context ascribed to the given package. Means of identification of those objects of the user package whose definitions have contextual references are presented above. However these means suppose that the analyzed package isactivated in the current session. Whereas the next procedure provides the similar analysis of an unuploaded package located in a datafile ofmx-format. The fragment below represents source code of theMxPackNames procedure with an example of its usage. The procedure callMxPackNames[x] returns the list of names of objects in string format of anb-filey that is analog of amx-filex, whose definitions in case of uploading of the datafiley into the current session with subsequent activation the systemDefinition function will return with contextual links of the above-mentioned format.
In[3235] := MxPackNames[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "mx"] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{g}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{a}=$ FromCharacterCode[Select[
ToCharacterCode[ReadFullFile[x]], \# > 31 \&]]\}, b = StringPosition[a, \{"CONT", "ENDCONT" $\}][[1$;; 2]]; b = StringTake[a, $\{\mathbf{b}[[1]][[2]]+2, b[[2]][[1]]-1\}] ;$ b = Map[\#[[2]] + 1 \&, StringPosition[a, b][[2 ;;-1]]]; For[k = 1, k <= Length[b], k++, c = "";

For[j = b[[k]], $\mathbf{j}$ < Infinity, j++, d = StringTake[a, \{j, j\}]; If[d == "’", Break[], c = c <> d]]; AppendTo[g, c]]; Sort[g][[2 ;;-1]]] In[3236]:=
MxPackNames["C:\MathematicalAVZ_Package.mx"] Out[3236]= \{"ActBFMuserQ", "ActRemObj", "AddMxFile", "Adrive1",
" Affiliate", "Aobj", "Aobj1", "Args", "Args1", "ArgsBFM", ... ,

"VarExch1", "Ver", "VizContentsNB", "VizContext", "WhatObj",
"WhatType", "WhichN", "XOR1", "\$ProcName", "\$TypeProc"\} In[3237]:= Length[\%] Out[3237]= 427
In[3238]:= N[427*100/Length[CNames["AladjevProcedures’"]l, 3] Out[3238]= 62.3
Examples of the previous fragment once again confirm that the quantity of means of ourAVZ_Package package, uploaded into the current session from the $\boldsymbol{n b}$-file, whose definitions received by theDefinition function contain contextual references, more than62\%.

The question of obtaining the list of names of objects whose definitions with their usages are located in a package being in a datafile of format $\{\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{b}\}$ is represented interesting enough. At that, it is supposed that uploading of a package into the current session isn't obligatory. Such problem is solved by quite useful procedure, whose callPackNames[x] returns the list of names of the above objects in a package, being in a datafilex of format $\{\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n b}\}$. The next fragment represents source code of thePackNames procedure with an example of its application to theAVZ_Package package which is located in ASCII datafiles"AVZ_Package.m" and"AVZ_Package.nb" [48].
In[2872] := PackNames[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\&
MemberQ[\{"m", "nb"\}, FileExtension[x]]] := Module[\{a = ReadFullFile[x], b, c =\{\}, $\mathbf{d}=$ "", $\mathrm{k}=1, \mathrm{j}, \mathrm{h}\}$, If[FileExtension[x] == "m",
a = Select[DeleteDuplicates[Map[StringTake[\#, \{5,-1\}] \&, SubsString1[a, \{"*)(*", "::usage="\}, StringFreeQ[\#, " "] \&, 0]l], \# != "" \&]; Sort[Select[a, StringFreeQ[\#, "(*"] \&]l, b = Quiet[SubsString1[a, \{"RowBox[\{ RowBox[\{ RowBox[\{", ""::"", ""usage"\}]"\}, StringQ[\#] \&, 0]]; b = Map[StringTake[\#, \{2,-8\}] \&, b]; Sort[Map[If[SymbolQ[\#], \#] \&, b]II]
In[2873] := PackNames["C:\Mathemstica\AVZ_Package.m"] Out[2873]= \{"AcNb", "ActBFMuserQ", "ActCsProcFunc", "ActRemObj", "Adrive", "Adrive1", "Affiliate", "Aobj", "Aobj1", "Args", "ArgsBFM", "ArgsTypes", "Arity", "Arity1", "ArityBFM", .....\}
In[2874]:= Length[\%]
Out[2874]= 657
In[2875]:= PackNames["C:\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.nb"]
Out[2875]= \{"AcNb", "ActBFMuserQ", "ActCsProcFunc", "ActRemObj", "Adrive", "Adrive1", "Affiliate", "Aobj", "Aobj1", "Args", "ArgsBFM", "ArgsTypes", "Arity", "Arity1", "ArityBFM", .....\}
In[2876]:= Length[\%]
Out[2876]= 677
It should be noted that the algorithm of PackNames procedure significantly uses theSubsString1 procedure that is theSubsString procedure extension, being of interest in programming of the tasks connected with processing of strings. The procedure callSubsString1[s,y,f,t] returns the list of substrings of a strings that are limited by substrings of a listy; at that, if a testing pure function acts as argumentf, the returned list will contain only the substrings satisfying this test. Moreover, att = $\mathbf{1}$ the returned
substrings are limited to ultra substrings of the listy whereas att $=\mathbf{0}$ substrings are returned without the limiting ultra substrings of the listy. At last, in the presence of the fifth optional argumentr -an arbitrary expression- search of substrings in a string $s$ is done from right to left, that as a whole simplifies algorithms of search of the required substrings. The following fragment represents source code of theSubsString1 procedure along with some typical examples of its usage.
In[2770] := SubsString1[s_/; StringQ[s], y_/; ListQ[y], pf_/; PureFuncQ[pf], t_/; MemberQ[\{0, 1\}, t], r__]:= Module[\{c, h, a = "", b=Map[ToString1, y], d=s,k= 1\}, If[Set[c, Length[y]] < 2, s,
Iff \{r\}!= \{\}, $\mathbf{b}=$ Map[StringReverse, Reverse[b]]; d = StringReverse[s]]]; While[k <= c-1, a = a <> b[[k]] <> "~~ Shortest[__] ~~ "; k++]; a = a <> b[[-1]]; h =
StringCases[d, ToExpression[a]]; If[t == 0, h=Map[StringTake[\#,
\{StringLength[b[[1]]]-1,
-StringLength[b[[-1]]]+1\}] \&, h]]; If[PureFuncQ[pf], h = Select[h, pf]];

## If[\{r\}!= \{\}, Reverse[Map[StringReverse, h]], h]]

In[2771] := SubsString1["12345\#xyzttmnptttabc::usage=45678", \{"\#", "::usage=4"\}, 0, 0]
Out[2771]= \{"xyzttmnptttabc" $\}$
In[2772]:= SubsString1["2345\#xaybz::usage=5612345\#xm90nyz::usage=
590\#AvzAgn::usage=500", \{"\#", "::usage="\}, 0, 0]
Out[2772]= \{"xaybz", "xm90nyz", "AvzAgn"\}
In[2773]:= SubsString1["12345\#xyz::usage=45612345\#x90yz::usage=500\#
Avz::usage=590", \{"\#", "::usage="\}, 0, 1]
Out[2773]= \{"\#xyz::usage=", "\#x90yz::usage=", "\#Avz::usage="\}
In[2774]:= SubsString1["12345\#xyz::usage=45612345\#x590yz::usage=500
\#Avz::usage=590", \{"\#", "::usage="\}, LetterQ[\#] \&, 0]
Out[2774]= \{"xyz", "Avz"\}
Here, in connection with the aforesaid it is quite appropriate to raise a quite important question concerning the global variables defined by a procedure. According to agreements of procedural programming, a variable defined in a procedure qua ofglobal variable is visible outside of the procedure, i.e. can change own value both in the procedure, and outside of it, more precisely, field of its definition is the current session as a whole. In principle, the given agreement is fair and for the current session of theMathematica system, but with very essential stipulations that are discussed in $[30,33]$ with interesting enough examples. If a certain procedure defining global variables has been activated in theInput-stream, the above agreement is valid. Meanwhile, if such procedure is located in a datafile of format $\{\boldsymbol{m} \mid \boldsymbol{n} \boldsymbol{b}\}$, then thesubsequent uploading of such datafile into the current session makes active all means contained in the datafile, making them available, however the mechanism of global variables as a whole doesn't work. In our work [33] an approach eliminating defects of the mechanism of global variables is represented.
For providing the mechanism of global variables (including), a rather useful
LoadNameFromM procedure was created whose callLoadNameFromM[f, n] provides uploading and activation in the current session of a proceduren or their list saved in a
datafilef of them-format with a package.
In[2588]:= LoadNameFromM[F_/; FileExistsQ[F] \& \& FileExtension[F] ==
"m" \& \& StringTake[ToString[ContextFromFile[F]], -1] == "’", p_/; StringQ[p] || ListStringQ[p]] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ReadFullFile[F], b = \{\}, c ="*)(*End[]*)", d, h = Flatten[\{p\}]\}, b =Map[SubsString[a, \{"(*Begin[""" <> \# <> "'"]*)(*", c \}, 90] \&, h]; b = If[Length[b] == 1, Flatten[b], Map[\#[[1]] \&, b]]; Map[ToExpression, Map[StringReplace[\#, "*)(*"-> " "] \&, b]l; ]
In[2589] := LoadNameFromM["C:\Temp\AVZ_Package.m", "StrStr"] In[2590]:= Definition[StrStr]
Out[2590]= StrStr[x_]:= If[StringQ[x], """" <> x<> ">"", ToString[x]]
The previous fragment represents source code of the given procedure with an example of its usage. This procedure in a certain relation is adjoined also the nextExtrPackName procedure. The algorithm of the procedure is based on analysis of internal structure of a file of $\boldsymbol{m}$-format with the user package. The successful procedure callExtrPackName[f,w] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, with simultaneous return of the evaluated definition of an objectw which is contained in amfilef with the user package, making the definition available in the current session. If the format of a datafilef is other thanm-format, the procedure call returns\$Failed, whereas in absence in a filef of the requested objectw the procedure callExtrPackName[ $f, w]$ returns the corresponding message. The fragment below represents source code of theExtrPackName procedure along with some typical examples of its usage.

In[2883] := ExtrPackName[F_/; StringQ[F], N_/; StringQ[N]] := Module[\{a, b, c, d, Art, Kr\}, If[FileExistsQ[F] \& \& FileExtension[F] == "m" \& \&
StringTake[ToString[ContextFromFile[F]],-1] == "'", a = OpenRead[F],
Return[\$Failed]]; If[Read[a, String] != "(* ::Package:: *)", Close[a]; \$Failed, \{c, d\}= \{"", StringReplace["(*Begin["`Z"]*)", "Z"-> N]\}]; Label[Art]; b = Read[a, String]; If[b === EndOfFile, Close[a]; Return["Definition of " <> N <>" is absent in file <" <> F <> ">"], Null]; If[b != d, Goto[Art], Label[Kr]; b = StringTake[Read[a, String], \{3,-3\}]; c = c <> b <> " "; If[b == "End[]", Close[a];
Return[ToExpression[StringTake[c, \{1,-8\}]]], Goto[Kr]]l]
In[2884] := ExtrPackName["F:\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m", "Df"] In[2885]:= ExtrPackName["F:\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m", "Subs"] In[2886]:= ExtrPackName["F:\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.m", "ArtKr"] Out[2886]= "Definition of ArtKr is absent in file<F:\Mathematica\
AVZ _Package.m>"
In[2887]:= ExtrPackName["C:\Temp\AVZ_Package_6.m", "ProcQ"] Out[2887]= \$Failed
$\operatorname{In}[2888]:=\operatorname{Df}\left[\left(\operatorname{Sin}\left[1 / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]+\operatorname{Cos}\left[1 / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]\right) / x^{\wedge} 2,1 / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2\right]$
Out[2888]= $x^{\wedge 2}\left(-\left(-1+x^{\wedge} 2\right) \operatorname{Cos}\left[1 / x^{\wedge} 2\right]-\left(1+x^{\wedge} 2\right) \operatorname{Sin}\left[1 / x^{\wedge} 2\right]\right) \operatorname{In}[2889]:=$
Subs[(Sin[1/x^2] $\left.\left.+\operatorname{Cos}\left[1 / x^{\wedge} 2\right]\right) / \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2,1 / x^{\wedge} 2, h\right]$
Out[2889] $=(\operatorname{Cos}[\mathrm{h}]+\operatorname{Sin}[\mathrm{h}]) / \mathrm{h}$
In[2890]:= ExtrPackName["C:\Temp\Tallinn.m", "Gs"]; Definition[Gs] Out[2890]=
Gs[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]]:= x^2+ y^2

The given procedure provides activation in the current session of a concrete function or procedure which is located in am-file without uploading of the datafile completely. By functionality the given procedure is crossed with the LoadNameFromM procedure considered above, however possesses certain additional useful opportunities.
As a rule, enough many of the user packages contain in own structure the variables of several types which appear at their uploading into the current session of the system. For definition of such variables the procedure can be used, whose call UserPackTempVars[x] returns the three-element nested list where thefirst sublist determines theundefinite variables associated with the package defined by a contextx, the second sublist defines thetemporary variables associated with the package and having names of format"Name\$" while thethird sublist defines symbols of the format"Name\$Integer" that in the current session aren't distinguished as symbols. The following fragment represents source code of the given procedure with an example of its usage.
In[2684]:= UserPackTempVars[x_/; ContextQ[x]] := Module[\{a = \{\}, p, b = \{\}, d = \{\}, c = Names[x <> "*"], h = \{\}\},
Quiet[Map[ \{p = Definition2[\#], If[UnevaluatedQ[Definition2, \#], AppendTo[d, \#], If[p[[2]] == \{\}\&\& $p[[1]]==$ "Undefined", AppendTo[a, \#], If[p[[2]] == \{Temporary\}, AppendTo[b, \#], 6]I]\}\&, c]]; Map[\{p = Flatten[StringPosition[\#, "\$"]], If[p[[-1]] == StringLength[\#], AppendTo[a, \#], If[IntegerQ[ToExpression[StringTake[\#, \{p[[-1]] + 1, StringLength[\#] $]$ ]l], AppendTo[h, \#] ]l] \&, b]; \{d, a, h\}]
In[2685]:= UserPackTempVars["AladjevProcedures’"]
Out[2685]= \{ ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ "", "b", "c", "h", "k", "р", "S", "x", "y"\}, \{"a\$", "b\$", "c\$", "d\$", "h\$", "k\$", "Op\$", "p\$", "S\$", "x\$", "y\$"\},
\{"a\$30755", "b\$30755", "c\$30755", "d\$30755", "p\$30755" \}\}
In[2695] := \$UserContexts :=
Select[Map[If[Flatten[UserPackTempVars[\#][[2 ;; 3]]] != \{\}, \#] \&, Select[\$Packages, ! MemberQ[\{"Global", "System’"\}, \#] \&]],
! SameQ[\#, Null] \&] In[2696]:= \$UserContexts
Out[2696]= \{"AladjevProcedures""\}
Definition of the global variable\$UserContexts defining a list of contexts of
the user packages uploaded into the current session completes the previous fragment. At that, the variable determines only contexts of the packages that generate in the current session the variables of two types represented above according to theUserPackTempVars procedure. Depending on a state of the current session the execution of the above-mentioned2 means can demand certain temporal expense.

Qua of an addition to the above means the NamesNbPackage procedure can present a certain interest, whose callNamesNbPackage[ $W$ ] returns the list of names in string format of all means which are located in a datafile $\boldsymbol{W}$ ofnb-format with a package and that are supplied with"usages". The next fragment represents source code of theNamesNbPackage procedure with an example of its application tonb-file withAVZ_Package package. While the procedure callNamesNbPackage1[W](the procedure is an effective enough modification of the previous procedure) returns the similar list ofnames in string format of all means which are located in a datafile $W$
ofnb-format with a package; it is supposed that all means are provided with "usages"; in the absence of such means the empty list, i.e. $\}$ is returned.
In[2628] := NamesNbPackage[f_/; IsFile[f] \&\& FileExtension[f]== "nb" \&\& ! SameQ[ContextFromFile[f], \$Failed]]:= Module[\{Res = \{\}, $\operatorname{Tr}\}, \operatorname{Tr}\left[x_{-} /\right.$; StringQ[x]] :=Module[\{c, d, h, g = ""::"", v = ""="", p = ""usage"", a = OpenRead[x], s = " RowBox[\{"\}, Label[c]; d = Read[a, String];

## If[d === EndOfFile, Close[a]; Return[Res], Null];

If[DeleteDuplicates[Map3[StringFreeQ, d, \{s, g, p, v\}]] == \{False\} \& \& SuffPref[d, s, 1], h = Flatten[StringPosition[d, gl]; AppendTo[Res, StringTake[d, \{12, h[[1]]-3\}]]; Goto[c], Goto[c]l];

## Map[ToExpression, Sort[Tr[f]]]]

In[2629] := NamesNbPackage["C:\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.nb"] Out[2629]= \{"AcNb", "ActBFMuserQ", "ActCsProcFunc", "ActRemObj", "AddMxFile", "Adrive", "Adrive1", "Affiliate", "Aobj", .....\}
In[2630] := NamesNbPackage1[f_/;IsFile[f]\&\&FileExtension[f]=="nb"\&\&!
SameQ[ContextFromFile[f], \$Failed]] := Module[\{c, d, g = "::", a = OpenRead[f], p $=$ "usage", v = "=", Res = \{\}, s = " RowBox[\{"\}, Label[c]; d = Read[a, String]; If[d === EndOfFile, Close[a];
Return[Sort[Map[ToExpression, Res]]], If[DeleteDuplicates[Map3[StringFreeQ, d, $\{\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{v}\}]]==\{$ False $\} \& \&$ SuffPref[d, s, 1], AppendTo[Res, StringReplace[StringSplit[d, ","][[1]], s-> ""]]; Goto[c]]; Goto[c]]]
In[2631]= NamesNbPackage1["C:\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.nb"] Out[2631]= \{"AcNb", "ActBFMuserQ", "ActCsProcFunc", "ActRemObj",
" AddMxFile", "Adrive", "Adrive1", "Affiliate", "Aobj", .....\} In[2632]:= Length[\%] Out[2632]= 677

The next NamesMPackage procedure represents an analog of two previous proceduresNamesNbPackage andNamesNbPackage1, oriented on a case of the user packages located in datafiles ofm-format. Successful procedure callNamesMPackage1[x] returns the list of names in string format of means which are located in a datafilex of $\boldsymbol{m}$-format with a package; it is supposed that all means are provided with "usages"; in the absence of such means the empty list, i.e. \{\} is returned. The following fragment represents source code of theNamesMPackage procedure with an example. This procedure well supplements the proceduresNamesNbPackage andNamesNbPackage1.
In[3342]:= NamesMPackage[f_/; IsFile[f] \& \& FileExtension[f] == "m" \&\& !
SameQ[ContextFromFile[f], \$Failed]] := Module[\{c, d, Res = \{\}, s = "::usage="", a = OpenRead[f]\}, Label[c]; d = Read[a, String]; If[SuffPref[d, "(*Begin["`", 1] ||
d === EndOfFile, Close[a]; Return[Sort[DeleteDuplicates[Res]]], If[SuffPref[d, "(*", 1] \&\& ! StringFreeQ[d, s], AppendTo[Res, StringTake[d, \{3,
Flatten[StringPosition[d, s]][[1]]-1\}]]; Goto[c], Goto[c]]I]]
In[3343]:= NamesMPackage["C:\AVZ_Package\AVZ_Package_1.m"] Out[3343]= \{"AcNb", "ActBFMuserQ", "ActCsProcFunc", "ActRemObj",
" AddMxFile", "Adrive", "Adrive1", "Affiliate", "Aobj", ..... , "WhichN", "XOR1", "\$AobjNobj", "\$CallProc", "\$InBlockMod", "\$Line1", "\$Load\$Files\$", "\$ProcName", "\$ProcType", "\$TestArgsTypes", "\$TypeProc", "\$UserContexts"\}
In[3344]:= Length[\%]
Out[3344] $=682$
The ContextFromFile function presented in the above fragment generalizes 3 proceduresContextMfile, ContextMXfile andContextNBfile, returning the context associated with the packages saved in datafiles of format $\{\boldsymbol{c d f}, \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{n b}\}$, and\$Failed otherwise.

The question of extraction of definitions of functions and procedures from an unuploaded package which is located in a datafile ofm-format is rather actual. In this regard we will present a procedure which solves this problem for the package, located in a datafile of format $\{$ "cdf", " $\boldsymbol{n b}$ "\}. The principal organization of a datafile of these formats with a package is represented at the beginning of the next fragment that is used and as one of examples. This package is previously saved in a datafile of format $\{$ "cdf", "nb"\} by a chain of the commands"File $\rightarrow$ Save As" of theGUI(Graphic User Interface).

BeginPackage["Grodno"]
Gs::usage = "Help on Gs."
Ga::usage = "Help on Ga."
Vgs::usage = "Help on Vgs."
GSV::usage = "Help on GSV."
Begin["`Private""] \(\operatorname{Sv}\left[x \_\right]:=x^{\wedge} 2+26 * x+18\) End[] Begin["‘Gs""] Gs[x_Integer, y_Integer] := \(x^{\wedge} 2+y^{\wedge} 2\) End[] Begin["‘Ga`"]
$\mathrm{Ga}\left[\mathrm{x}_{-}\right.$Integer, $\mathrm{y} \_$Integer $]:=\mathrm{x}^{*} \mathrm{y}+\mathrm{Gs}[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}]$
End[]
Begin["`Vgs`"]
Vgs[x_Integer, y_Integer]:= $\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}$
End[]
Begin["‘GSV"]
GSV[x_Integer, y_Integer] := Module[\{a=90, b=500, c=2015\},
$\left.x^{*} y+G s[x, y] *(a+b+c)\right]+a^{*} \operatorname{Sin}[x] /(b+c) * \operatorname{Cos}[y]$ End[]
EndPackage[]
In[2669]:= ExtrFromNBfile[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \& \& MemberQ[\{"cdf","nb"\},
FileExtension[x]], n_/; StringQ[n]] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\operatorname{ToString[InputForm[Get[x]]],~b~=~}$ "’" <> n <> "'",
$\mathrm{c}=$ "RowBox[List[RowBox[List[", k\}, a = StringReplace[a, \{""
[IndentingNewLine]""-> "", ""\n""-> ""\}]; If[StringFreeQ[a, b], \$Failed, a =
StringTake[a, \{Flatten[StringPosition[a, b]][[1]] + 1,-1\}]; a = StringTake[a,
\{Flatten[StringPosition[a, c]][[1]],-1\}]; c = StringTake[a, \{1,
Flatten[StringPosition[a,
"RowBox[List["End","]][[1]]-1\}]; For[k = StringLength[c], k >= 1, k—, c = StringTake[c, \{1, k\}]; If[! SameQ[Quiet[ToExpression[c]], \$Failed], Break[]]]; c = Quiet[ToString[InputForm[ToExpression[c]]]]; c = StringReplace[c, \{"$"->"", ")"> ""\}]; If[SuffPref[c, "RowBox[\{", 1] \&\& SuffPref[c, ", Null\}]", 2], StringTake[c, \{9,-9\}]]; If[SuffPref[c, "RowBox[\{", 1], \$Failed, Quiet[Check[ToExpression[c], Return[\$Failed]]l; c]l]
In[2670]:= ExtrFromNBfile["C:/Mathematica/AVZ_Package.nb", "StrStr"] Out[2670]= "StrStr[x_]:= If[StringQ[x], "\"" <> x<> "\"",
ToString[x]] "
In[2671]:= ExtrFromNBfile["C:/Mathematica/AVZ_Package.cdf", "StrStr"] Out[2671]= "StrStr[x_]:= If[StringQ[x], "\"" <> x<> "\"",
ToString[x]]
In[2672]:= ExtrFromNBfile["C:\MathematicalGrodno.nb", "GSV"] Out[2672]= "GSV[x_Integer, y_Integer]:= Module[\{a= 90, b=500,
\(\left.\mathrm{c}=2015\}, \mathrm{x}^{*} \mathrm{y}+\mathrm{Gs}[\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}] *(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c})\right]+\mathrm{a} * \operatorname{Sin}[\mathrm{x}] /(\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}) * \operatorname{Cos}[\mathrm{y}]$ " The successful procedure callExtrFromNBfile $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ ] returns the definition of an object in the string format with a namey given in string format from an unuploaded datafilex of format \{"cdf", "nb"\}, at the same time activating this definition in the current session; otherwise, the call returns $\$$ Failed. Qua of an useful property of this procedure is the circumstance that a datafilex not require of uploading into the currentMathematica session.
The next ExtrFromMfile procedure is specific complement of the previous ExtrFromNBfile procedure, providing extraction of definitions of functions and procedures along with their usages from an unuploaded package that is located in a datafile ofm-format. The procedure callExtrFromMfile[ $[\boldsymbol{x} \boldsymbol{y}]$ returns the definition of an object in the string format with a name or list of their namesy given in string format from an unuploaded filex ofmformat, at the same time activating these definitions and usages corresponding to them in the current session; otherwise, the call returns empty list, i.e. \{\}. The following fragment represents source code of theExtrFromMfile procedure along with typical examples of its usage.
In[2608] := ExtrFromMfile[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "m", y_/; SymbolQ[y] || ListQ[y] \&\& DeleteDuplicates[Map[SymbolQ, y]] == \{True\}]:= Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ReadString[x], b, c, d, d1, n\}, b = StringSplit[a, \{"(**)", "(* ::Input:: *)"\}]; b = Map[If[! StringFreeQ[\#, \{"::usage=", "BeginPackage["", "End[]"\}], \#, Null] \&, b]; b = Select[b, ! SameQ[\#, NuII] \&]; c =Map[ToString, Flatten[\{y\}]]; d = Map["Begin[""" <>\# <> " "]" \&, c]; d1 = Map["(*" <> \# <> "::usage=" \&, c]; b = Select[b, ! StringFreeQ[\#, Join[d, d1]] \&]; b = Map[StringTake[\#, \{3,-5\}] \&, b];
c = Map[If[SuffPref[\#, d1, 1], StringTake[\#, \{3,-1\}], n = StringReplace[\#, GenRules[d, ""]]; n = StringReplace[n, "*) $\mathbf{r \backslash n ( * " - > ~ " " ] ; ~}$
StringTake[n, \{3,-6\}]] \&, b]; ToExpression[c]; c]
In[2609] := ExtrFromMfile["C:/Temp/AVZ_Package.m", \{StrStr, HowAct\}]

Out[2609]= \{"HowAct::usage="The call HowAct[Q] returns the value True if Q is an object active in the current session, and the False otherwise. In many cases the procedure HowAct is more suitable than standard function ValueQ, including local variables in procedures.", "StrStr::usage="The call StrStr[x] returns an expression $x$ in string format if x is different from string; otherwise, the double string obtained from an expression x is returned.",
" StrStr[x_]:=If[StringQ[x],"\""<>x<>"\"",ToString[x]]", "HowAct[x_]:=If[Quiet[Check[ToString[Definition[x]],True]]==="Null", False,If[Quiet[ToString[Definition[P]]]==="Attributes["<>ToString[x] <>"]= \{Temporary\}",False,True]]"\}

In[2610] := ExtrFromMfile["C:\Temp\AVZ_Package.m", StrStr] Out[2610]= \{"StrStr::usage="The call StrStr[x] returns an expression x in string format if x is different from string; otherwise, the double string obtained from an expression x is returned.",
"StrStr[x_]:=If[StringQ[x],"\""<>x<>"\"",ToString[x]]"\}
The problem of editing of a package that is located in a mfile is interesting enough; the followingRedMfile procedure solves the given problem whose source code with typical examples of use represents the following fragment.

In[2864]:= PosListTest[1_List, p_/; PureFuncQ[p]] := Module[\{a =\{\}, k=1\}, While[k <=Length[l], If[Select[\{l[[k]]\}, p]!=\{\}, AppendTo[a, k]]; k++]; a] In[2865]:=
PosListTest $[\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,18,26\}$, EvenQ[\#] \&] Out[2865]= \{2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, 12\}
In[2866]:= RedMfile[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "m", p_/;
SymbolQ[p], r_/; MemberQ[\{"add", "delete", "replace"\}, r]] :=
Module[ \{a = ReadList[x, String], d = ToString[p], h, save, b = "(*Begin[""" <>
ToString[p] <> "`"]*)", c = "(*End[]*)"\}, If[MemberQ[! ContentOfMfile[x], ToString[p]] \&\& r == "delete" || MemberQ[\{"add", "replace"\}, r]\&\&!
(ProcQ[p]||QFunction[p]), \$Failed, save[q_] := Module[\{f, $k=1\}, \mathbf{f}=$ DirectoryName[x] <> FileBaseName[x] <> "\$.m"; While[k <= Length[q], WriteString[f, q[[k]], "\n"]; k++]; Close[f]]; If[! MemberQ[a, "(* ::Package:: *)"], \$Failed,

If[r === "delete", h = Select[a, SuffPref[\#, "(*" <> d <> "::usage", 1] \&]; If[h == \{\}, x, a = Select[a, ! SuffPref[\#, "*" <> d <> "::usage", 1] \&]; d = SubListsMin[a, b, c, "r"]; d = MinusList[a, d]; save[d]], If[r === "add" \&\& Select[a, SuffPref[\#, "(*" <> d <> "::usage=", 1] \&] == \{\} \&\& Head[p::usage] == String \&\& (ProcQ[p] || FunctionQ[p]), h = PosListTest[a, SuffPref[\#, \{"(*BeginPackage[", "(*EndPackage[]"), 1] \& ]; a = Insert[a, "(*" <> d <> "::usage= " <> ToString1[p::usage] <> "*)", h[[1]] + 1]; a = Flatten[Insert[a, \{"(*Begin[""" <> d <> " "]*)", "(*" <> PureDefinition[p] <> "*)", "(*End[]*)"\}, h[[2]] + 1]]; save[a], If[r === "replace" \&\& Head[p::usage] == String \&\& (ProcQ[p] || FunctionQ[p]), h = PosListTest[a, SuffPref[\#, "(*Begin["`" <> d <> "‘"]*)", 1] \&]; If[h == \{\}, \$Failed, a[[h[[1]] ;; h[[1]] + 2]] = \{"(*Begin[""" <> d <> "'"]*)", "(*" <> PureDefinition[p] <> "*)", "(*End[]*)"\}; h = PosListTest[a, SuffPref[\#, "(*" <> d <> "::usage=", 1] \&]; a[[h[[1]] ]] ="(*" <> d <> "::usage= " <> ToString1[p::usage] <> "*)"; save[Flatten[a]]I]], x]l]] (* ::Package:: *) Contents of the initial m-file (* ::Input:: *) (*BeginPackage["Grodno""]*) (*Gs::usage = "Help on Gs."*) (*Vgs::usage = "Help on Vgs."*) (*Begin["'Gs""]*) (*Gs[x_Integer, y_Integer] := \(\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathrm{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}^{*}\) ) (*End[]*) (*Begin["‘Vgs'"]*) (*Vgs[x_Integer, y_Integer] := \(\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{*}\) ) (*End[]*) (*EndPackage[]*) In[2867] :=Avz[x_] := Module[\{\}, \(\mathbf{x}^{\wedge 2+90] ; ~ V g s\left[x \_, ~ y \_\right] ~:=~} \mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}^{+} \mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2} \operatorname{In}[2868]:=\) Avz::usage = "Help on Avz."; Vgs::usage = "Help on Vgs_1."; In[2869]:= RedMfile["C:LMathematica\Grodno.m", Vgs, "delete"] Out[2869]= "C:\MathematicalGrodno\$.m" (* ::Package:: *) Contents of m-file after the operation "delete" (* ::Input:: *) (*BeginPackage["Grodno""]*) (*Gs::usage = "Help on Gs."*) (*Begin["'Gs’"]*) (*Gs[x_Integer, y_Integer] := \(\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} 2+y^{\wedge} 2^{*}\) ) (*EndPackage[]*) In[2870]:= RedMfile["C:\Mathematica\Grodno.m", Avz, "add"] Out[2870]= "C:\MathematicalGrodno\$.m" (* ::Package:: *) Contents of m-file after the operation "add" (* ::Input:: *) (*BeginPackage["Grodno""]*) (*Avz::usage = "Help on Avz."*) (*Gs::usage = "Help on Gs."*) (*Vgs::usage = "Help on Vgs."*) (*Begin["‘Gs'"]*) (*Gs[x_Integer, y_Integer] := \(x^{\wedge} 2+y^{\wedge} 2^{*}\) ) (*End[]*) (*Begin["`Vgs’"]*)
(*Vgs[x_Integer, y_Integer]:= $\mathbf{x}^{*} \mathbf{y}^{*}$ )
(*End[]*)
(*Begin["‘Avz"]*)
(*Avz[x_] := Module[\{\}, x^2 + 90]*)
(*End[]*)
(*EndPackage[]*)
In[2871] := RedMfile["C:【MathematicalGrodno.m", Vgs, "replace"] Out[2871]=
"C:\MathematicalGrodno\$.m"
(* ::Package:: *) Contents of m-file after the operation "replace" (* ::Input:: *)
(*BeginPackage["Grodno`"]*) (*Gs::usage = "Help on Gs."*) (*Vgs::usage = "Help on Vgs_1."*) (*Begin["'Gs’"]*) (*Gs[x_Integer, y_Integer] := \(\mathbf{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}+\mathbf{y}^{\wedge} \mathbf{2}^{*}\) ) (*End[]*) (*Begin["`Vgs"]]*)
(*Vgs[x_, y_] := $x^{\wedge 2+y \wedge 2 *) ~}$
(*End[]*)
(*EndPackage[]*)
In[2872]:= RedMfile["C:\Mathematica\Grodno.m", Gs, "add"] Out[2872]=
"C:\MathematicalGrodno\$.m"
In[2873]:= RedMfile["C:\Mathematica\Grodno.m", GsArtKr, "add"] Out[2873]= \$Failed

First of all, the previous fragment is preceded by a rather simple procedure, whose callPosListTest $[l, p]$ returns the list of positions of a listl that satisfy the test defined by a pure functionp. Further it is supposed that a datafilex ofm-format structurally corresponds to the standard file with a package; an example of such datafile of $\boldsymbol{m}$-format is given in the first shaded area of the previous fragment. The procedure callRedMfile $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ returns the full path to am-file, whoseFileBaseName has viewFileBaseName[ $x$ ] <>"\$" which is a result of application to an initialm-file of an operationy concerning its object determined by a namen, namely:
"delete" - from ax datafile the usage and definition of object with an name are removed, the initial datafile doesn't change; if such object in the datafile is absent, the full path to the initial datafile is returned;
"add" - usage and definition of object with an name are added into ax file whereas the initial datafile doesn't change; if such object in the file already exists, the full path to the initial datafilex is returned;
"replace" - usage and definition of object with an name are replaced in ax file while the initial datafile doesn't change; if such object in a file is absent, \$Failed is returned.
If an initial datafile $\boldsymbol{x}$ has structure, different from specified, the procedure call returns\$Failed; at that, successful performance of the operations"add" and"replace" requires preliminary evaluation in the current session of the constructionn::usage along with definition for objectn as illustrate example of the previous fragment. At that, if an objectn is undefined the procedure call returns $\$$ Failed. In general the procedure allows a number of interesting extensions and modifications which we leave to the interested reader.

Absolutely other situation if necessary to update an object from a package which is located in a datafile of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format. In this case the next scheme can be used, namely: on thefirst step the function callGet[x] uploads into the current session a datafilex of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format with a package what provides the availability of all means contained in it. While on thesecond step the usage and definition of an object(function or procedure) which should be subjected to updating along with result of a concrete call of this object are checked. On the following step from the current session by means of theClear function the demanded object is removed and for it a new usage is defined. Then, a new definition for the object whose all parameters, including local variables and formal
arguments, will be linked with a package context is calculated, accepting the following format, namely:

## Context_from_File`Object_Name`Variable_of_New_Definition

Then by means of the function call DumpSave[y, "Context'"] definitions of all objects of the current session that are supplied with a context "Context"", together with their usages are saved in a new file $\boldsymbol{y}$ of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format. At last, the final stage in a new current session tests the correctness of the received datafiley of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format with the package- of a result of modification of an initial datafilex of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format with a package. With rather obvious changes the above algorithm quite successfully works and in case of modification of datafiles of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format with a package on the basis of operations of addition and removal. The represented algorithm is a rather simple, however has a shortcoming if necessary to modify a datafile of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format with a package by means of quite large source codes of objects; for similar case a reception described in [30-33] can be used. Meanwhile, it must be kept in mind, the represented algorithm of modification of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-files with packages belongs to a case when files of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format belong to the same operational platform, as their planned modification.

The following RedMxFile procedure provides automation of a modification of datafiles of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format which is considered above. The callRedMxFile $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{f}]$ returns the full path to amx-datafile, whoseFileBaseName has view FileBaseName[x] <>"\$" that is a result of application to an initialmx-file of an operationr concerning its object determined by a namey, namely: "delete" - from ax datafile the usage and definition of object with ay name are removed, the initial datafile doesn't change; if such object in the datafile is absent, the full path to the initial datafile is returned; "add" - usage and definition of object with ay name are added into ax file whereas the initial datafile doesn't change; if such object in the file already exists, the full path to the initial datafile $\boldsymbol{x}$ is returned; the fourth argument $\boldsymbol{f}$ defines amx-file containing a package with the usage and definition of the supplemented objecty;
"replace"- usage and definition of object with ay name are replaced in ax file while the initial datafile doesn't change; if such object in a file is absent, the full path to the initial datafilex is returned; thefourth argument $\boldsymbol{f}$ defines amx-file containing a package with the usage and definition of the addedy object. At that, if an objecty is undefined the procedure call returns\$Failed. Thus, return of thepath to an updated datafile" $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{\$} . \boldsymbol{m x}$ " serves as an indicator of success of theRedMxFile procedure call. At that, successful performance of the operations"add" and"replace" requires preliminary evaluation in the current session of a constructiony::usage along with definition for an object $\boldsymbol{y}$; if an objecty is undefined the procedure call returns $\$$ Failed. Source code of the procedureRedMxFile along with some typical examples of its usage the following fragment represents.

In[2632]:= RedMxFile[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "mx", y_/; StringQ[y] \&\& SymbolQ[y], r_/; MemberQ[\{"add",
"delete", "replace" \}, r], f__] := Module[\{a, c, c1 = ContextFromFile[x], c2, save, t\}, If[! (ProcQ[y]||QFunction[y]), \$Failed, Get[x]; a = CNames[c1]; save[z_] :=
Module[\{p =DirectoryName[z] <> FileBaseName[z] <>"\$.mx"\},
ToExpression["DumpSave[" <> ToString1[p] <> "," <> ToString1[c1] <> "]"]; p]; If[r == "delete" \&\& MemberQ[a, y], Unprotect[y]; ClearAll[y]; c = save[x];

RemovePackage[c1]; c, If[r == "replace" \&\& MemberQ[a, y] \&\& \{f\}!= \{\}\&\& FileExistsQ[f] \&\& FileExtension[f] == "mx",
c2 = ContextFromFile[f]; Get[f]; c = ToString1[Definition[y]]; Map[Clear,
Mapp[StringJoin, \$ContextPath, yl]; Quiet[ToExpression[c1 <> StringReplace[c, c2> c1]]l]; ToExpression[c1 <> y <> "::usage = " <> ToString1[Help]]; c = save[x]; Map[RemovePackage, $\{\mathbf{c 1}, \mathrm{c} 2\}$ ]; c, If[r == "add" \& \& $\{\mathbf{f}\}!=\{ \} \& \&$ FileExistsQ[f] \& \&
FileExtension[f] == "mx" \&\&! MemberQ[a, y], c2 = ContextFromFile[f]; Get[f]; c = ToString1[Definition[y]]; Quiet[Map[Remove, Mapp[StringJoin, \$ContextPath, y]l]; Quiet[ToExpression[c1 <> StringReplace[c, c2-> c1]]]; ToExpression[c1 <> y <> "::usage = " <> ToString1[Help]]; c = save[x]; Map[RemovePackage, \{c1, c2\}]; c, x]l]I

In[2633] := RedMxFile["C:\Mathematica\Grodno.mx", "GSV", "delete"] Out[2633]= "C:\MathematicalGrodno\$.mx"
In[2634]:= Get["C:\Mathematica\Grodno\$.mx"]
In[2635]:= CNames[ContextFromFile["C:LMathematica|Grodno\$.mx"]] Out[2635]= \{"Ga", "Gs", "Vgs"\}
In[2636]:= Help = "A new help on GSV."
Out[2636]= "A new help on GSV."
In[2637]:= RedMxFile["C:\MathematicalGrodno.mx", "GSV", "replace",
"C:\MathematicalGSV.mx"]
Out[2637]= "C:\MathematicalGrodno\$.mx"
In[2638]:= Get["C:\MathematicalGrodno\$.mx"]
In[2639]:= ?GSV
A new help on GSV.
In[2640]:= Definition["GSV"]
Out[2640]= GSV[x_Integer, y_, z_Integer]:= Module[\{a= 90\}, (x*y)*a] In[2641]:= Help = "Help on GSV1."
Out[2641]= "Help on GSV1."
In[2642] := RedMxFile["C:lMathematica\Grodno.mx", "GSV1", "add",
"C:[Mathematica\GSV1.mx"]
Out[2642]= "C:\MathematicalGrodno\$.mx"
In[2643]:= Get["C:\MathematicalGrodno\$.mx"]
In[2644]:= CNames[ContextFromFile["C:LMathematica\Grodno\$.mx"]]
Out[2644]= \{"Ga", "Gs", "GSV", "GSV1", "Vgs"\}
In[2645]:= ?GSV1
Help on GSV1.
In[2646]:= DefFunc[GSV1]
Out[2646]= GSV1[x_Integer, y_]:= Module[\{a= 47\}, x*y*a]
So, for providing of the operation "add" or"replace" a datafile ofmx-format with a package should be previously created that contains definition of an object used for updating(replacement,addition) of a mainmx-datafile with the package. At the same time it must be kept in mind that both updating and updated $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-files have to be created on the same operational platform. At that, qua of the result of a procedure call both packages are
removed from the current session. In general, theRedMxFile procedure allows a number of extensions which we leave to the interested reader. Meanwhile, it should be noted, this procedure in a number of the relations is based on receptions, artificial for the standard procedural paradigm providing correct procedure calls in the environment of the system dependent on its version.

A quite useful procedure provides converting of a package located in a file of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format into a file ofm-format. The callMxFileToMfile[x,y] returns the path to a datafiley which is the result of converting of amx-filex with a package into a datafiley of $\boldsymbol{m}$-format. At that, the procedure call deletes the above packages $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ from the current session. The next fragment represents source code of the procedure with an example of application, whereas with the examples of the contents of the initial and converted datafiles $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ with the package the interested reader can familiarize in our books [30-33].
In[2672] := MxFileToMfile[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] =="mx", y_/; StringQ[y] \&\& FileExtension[y] == "m"] := Module[\{a = ContextFromFile[x], b, c, k = 1\}, Get[x]; b = CNames[a]; WriteString[y, "(* ::Package:: *)", "\n", "(* ::Input:: *)", " $n$ ", "(*BeginPackage["" <> a <> ""]*)", " $n$ "]; While[k <= Length[b], c =b[[k]] <> "::usage"; WriteString[y, "(*" <> c <> " = " <>
ToString1[ToExpression[a <> c]], "*)", " $n$ "]; k++]; k=1; While[k <= Length[b], c = b[[k]]; WriteString[y, "(*Begin["" <> c <> " "]*)", "nn", "(*" <> PureDefinition[a <> c] <> "*)", "\n", "(*End[]*)", "nn"]; k++]; WriteString[y, "(*EndPackage[]*)", "n"];
Map[\{Clear1[2, a <> \# <> "::usage"], Clear1[2, a <> \#]\}\&, b]; \$ContextPath = MinusList[\$ContextPath, \{a\}]; Close[y]] In[2673]:=
MxFileToMfile["C:【MathematicalGrodno.mx", "Tallinn.m"] Out[2673]= "Tallinn.m"
While the MfileToMx procedure provides converting of a package located in a datafile of $\boldsymbol{m}$-format into a datafile of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format. The procedure call $\mathbf{M f i l e T o M x [ x ] ~ r e t u r n s ~ t h e ~}$ path to a datafile that is the result of converting of am-filex with a package into a file of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format, whose name coincides with the name of the initial datafile $\boldsymbol{x}$ with replacement of the extension " $\boldsymbol{m}$ " on " $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$ ". Moreover, the procedure call deletes a packagex from the current session if upto theMfileToMx procedure call the datafile wasn't loaded, and otherwise no. The next fragment represents source code of theMfileToMx procedure along with a typical example of its usage.
$\operatorname{In}[2721]:=$ MfileToMx[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "m"] :=
Module[\{a = ContextFromFile[x], b, d, c = ToString1[x <> "x"]\},
If[MemberQ[\$ContextPath, a], ToExpression["DumpSave[" <> c <> "," <> ToString1[a] <> "]"]; x <> "x", b = ReadList[x, String]; d =
Select[Map[StringReplace[\#, \{"(*"-> "", "*)"-> ""\}] \&, b[[3 ;;-1]]], \# != "" \&];
Quiet[ToExpression[d]]; ToExpression["DumpSave[" <> c <> "," <> ToString1[a] <>
"]"]; Map[Clear1[2, a <> \#] \&, CNames[a]]; \$ContextPath =
MinusList[\$ContextPath, \{a\}]; x <> "x"]l; In[2722]:=
MfileToMx["C:\Mathematica\Rans_Ian.m"]
Out[2722] = "C:\Mathematica\Rans_Ian.mx"
This procedure represents a certain interest in a number of appendices.

The question of documenting of the user package is an important enough its component; at that, absence in a package of usage for an object contained in it does such object as inaccessible at uploading the package into the current session. So, description of each object of the user package has to be supplied with the correspondingusage. At the same time it must be kept in mind that mechanism ofdocumenting of the user libraries in theMaple system is much more developed, than similar mechanism ofdocumenting of the user package in theMathematica system. Thus, if the mechanism of formation of the user libraries in theMaple is simple enough, providing simple documenting of library means and providing access both to means of library, and to their references at the level of the system means, in theMathematica system the similar mechanism is absent. Receiving of the usage concerning ax package tool is possible only by means of calls? $x$
orInformation $[x]$ provided that a package has been uploaded into the current session. Meanwhile, in case the package contains enough many means, for obtaining the usages concerning the demanded means it is necessary to be sure in their existence, first of all. The nextPackageUsages procedure can be rather useful to these purposes, whose source code along with examples of its usage are represented below.
In[5313] :=PackageUsages[x_/;FileExistsQ[x]\&\&FileExtension[x]=="m"]:= Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ StringSplit[ReadString[x], $\{"(* *) ", ~ " *) \backslash \mathbf{r n n}(* "\}], \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{f}\}, \mathbf{b}=$ Select[a, ! StringFreeQ[\#, \{"::usage=", "::usage = "\}] \&]; c = FileNameSplit[x]; d = FileBaseName[c[[-1]]] <> ".txt"; f = FileNameJoin[Join[c[[1 ;;-2]], \{d\}]]; Map[\{WriteString[f, StringReplace[\#, "::usage"-> ""]], WriteString[f, "\n\n"]\} \& , b]; Close[f]]

In[5314] := PackageUsages["AVZ_Package.m", "AVZ_Package_Usages.txt"] Out[5314]= "AVZ_Package_Usages.txt"
In[5315]:= PackageUsages["C:Lusers\aladjev/mathematica/Tallinn.m"] Out[5315]= "C:luserslaladjev\mathematicalTallinn.txt" Gs= "Help on Gs."
Rans= "Help on Rans."
Vgs= "Help on Vgs."
The procedure callPackageUsages[x] returns the path to a datafile in which the extension " $m$ " of a datafilex is replaced on "txt"; the received datafile contains usages of the user package formed standardly in the form of anb-document(see above) with the subsequent its saving in am-filex by means of chain of the commands "File -> Save As" of theGUI. The information on the specific package tooly has the formaty = "Help on $\boldsymbol{y}$ ". The receivedtxt- file allows to look through easily its contents regarding search of necessary means of the user package.

For testing of contents of a datafile of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format with the user package in the context of names of means whose definitions are located in this datafile, theNamesFromMx procedure is a rather useful means. The procedure call NamesFromMx[x] returns the list of names in string format of tools whose definitions are located inx datafile of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format with the user package. If the given package wasn't loaded into the current session, the procedure call leaves it unloaded. Fragment below represents source code of the procedure NamesFromMx along with typical examples of its usage.

In[5190]:= NamesFromMx[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "mx"] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ContextFromFile[x], b $\}$, If[MemberQ[\$ContextPath, a], CNames[a],

Get[x]; b = CNames[a]; Map[Close1[2, a <> \#] \&, b]; \$ContextPath = MinusList[\$ContextPath, \{a\}]; b]]
In[5191] := NamesFromMx["C:\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.mx"] Out[5191]= \{"AcNb", "ActBFMuserQ", "ActCsProcFunc", "ActRemObj", "AddMxFile", "Adrive", "Adrive1", "Affiliate", "Aobj", "Aobj1", "Args", "Args1", "ArgsBFM", "ArgsTypes",
"Arity", ......\}
In[5192]:= Length[\%]
Out[5192] $=684$
In[5193]:= NamesFromMx["C:\Temp\Mathematica\Grodno.mx"]
Out[5193]= \{"Ga", "Gs", "GSV", "Vgs"\}
In[5194]:= \$ContextPath
Out[5194]= \{"AladjevProcedures’", "PacletManager`", "QuantityUnits"",
"WebServices’", "System"", "Global’"\}
In[5195]:= Definition[GSV]
Out[5195]= Null
While theNamesFromMx1 procedure unlike theNamesFromMx procedure doesn't demand for obtaining the list ofnames, whose definitions are located in amx-file with the user package, real uploading into the current session of this datafile. The procedure callNamesFromMx1[x] returns the list ofnames of means whose definitions are located in a $x$ datafile of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format with the user package. The fragment below represents source code of the procedure NamesFromMx1 along with some typical examples of its usage.
In[3570]:= NamesFromMx1[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] == "mx"] := Module[ \{c, d=\{\}, p, h="", k=1, j, m, n, a = ContextFromFile[x], b = ToString[ReadFullFile[x]]\}, b = StringJoin[Map[FromCharacterCode, Select[ToCharacterCode[b], \# > 32 \&\& \# < 128 \&]]]; \{n, m\}= Map[StringLength, \{a, b $\}$ ]; c = Map[\#[[1]] + n \&, StringPosition[b, a]][[2 ;;-1]]; While[k <= Length[c], For[j $=\mathbf{c}[[k]], \mathbf{j}<=\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{j}++, \mathbf{p}=$ StringTake[b, \{j, j\}]; If[p=="", AppendTo[d, h]; h = ""; Break[], h = h <> pl]; k++]; Sort[MinusList[Select[d, SymbolQ[\#] \&], \{"Private"\}]ll]
In[3571] := NamesFromMx1["C:\Temp\Mathematica\Kiev.mx"] Out[3571]= \{"Art", "Avz", "GSV"\}
In[3572]:= Length[NamesFromMx1["C:\Temp\AVZ_Package.mx"]] Out[3572]= 428
At that, the procedure call NamesFromMx1[x]returns only those names of means whose definitions received by means of theDefinition contain the context associated with a package contained in amx-filex. Whereas on the other side certain modifications of theNamesFromMx1 procedure allow to obtain more complete list of names of means whose definitions withcontext are located in a datafilex of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format with a package. The next fragment presents one of such modifications qua of which the procedure acts, whose callNamesFromMx2[x] returns the list of names in string format of means, whose definitions are located in amx-file with package. Along with sourse code of the procedure the examples of its usage are presented. Meanwhile, the both procedures demand enough considerable temporary expenses on datafiles of $\boldsymbol{m x}$-format with a package of rather large size.

In[3584]:= NamesFromMx2[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& FileExtension[x] ==
"mx"] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ToString[ReadFullFile[x]], b $\}, \mathbf{b}=$
Select[ToCharacterCode[a], \# == 255 || (\# > 31 \&\& \# < 123 \& \& !
MemberQ[Flatten[\{Range[37, 47], Range[91, 95]\}], \#]) \&]; b = ReduceList[b, 255, 1, 1]; b = Select[Quiet[SplitList[b, 96]], \# != \{\}\&];
b = Quiet[Map[FromCharacterCode, b]]; b = DeleteDuplicates[Select[b, SymbolQ[\#] \&]]; Sort[Select[b, ! MemberQ[\{"Private", "System"\}, \#] \&\& StringFreeQ[\#, \{StringTake[ContextFromFile[x], \{1,-2\}], "̈̈"\}] \&]]]

```
In[3585] := NamesFromMx2["C:\Temp\Mathematica\Kiev.mx"] Out[3585]= {"Art",
"Avz", "GSV"}
In[3586]:= Length[NamesFromMx2["C:\Temp\AVZ_Package.mx"]] Out[3586]= 438
```

For the purpose of reduction of temporary expenses, the above algorithm of theNamesFromMx2 procedure can be modified, using the following means extending theMathematica system. TheMap11 function considered above, and procedureSplitList1, given by the fragment below, act as such means.
In[5173] := SplitList1[x_/;ListQ[x], y_/; ListQ[y], z_/; ListQ[z]] := Module[\{c, a = Map12[ToString, $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}], \mathbf{b}=$ ToString[Unique["\$"]l\}, c = Map11[StringJoin, a, b]; c = Map[StringJoin, c]; c = SubsString1[c[[1]], \{c[[2]], c[[3]]\}, StringQ[\#] \&, 0]; ToExpression[Map11[StringSplit, c, b]l]
In[5174] := SplitList1[\{x, y, z, a, b, c, d, p, m, n, p, x, y, z, 42, 47, 67, 90, m, n, p\}, \{x, y, $\mathbf{z}\},\{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}\}$ ]
$\operatorname{Out}[5174]=\{\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}\},\{42,47,67,90\}\}$
In[5174]:= SplitList1[\{x, y, z, a, b, c, d, p, x, y, z, 42, 47, 67\}, \{x, y, z\}, \{m, n, p\}] Out[5174] = \{ $\}$

The procedure call SplitList1[ $\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}]$ returns the sublists of a list $\boldsymbol{x}$ which are limited by its sublistsy andz excepting the limiting sublistsy andz. In the absence of such sublists the empty list, i.e. $\}$ is returned. Along with that the given procedure extends the above-mentionedSplitList procedure. As it was noted, theMathematica system has a large enough number of the global variables that describe, for example, characteristics of the system, an operating platform, the full paths to its main directories along with a number of other indicators of current state of the system. Thus, the user has a quite real possibility quite effectively to develop own means, including the means that extend the possibilities of the system itself. In reality, on the basis of a number of such global variables and a number of enough developed tools it is possible to develop the original means; at that, the development of their analogs in theMaple system often demands the more essential efforts and non-standard approaches. Our experience in the given direction confirms the told. Some quite simple examples were given in [25-27] and, most often, they concerned the means of access. Considerable interest for the advanced programming in the system also the problem of definition of a name of the current document $\{\boldsymbol{m} w s f i l e$, nbfile\} represents. In theMaple system for this purpose themwsname procedure whose development demanded a certain non-standard approach was created. Whereas the development of similar means for theMathematica appeared much simpler, what the next rather simpleNbName procedure illustrates, whose source code with examples of usage are represented by the following fragment.

In[3743]:= NbName[] := Module[\{a, b, c, d, k=1\}, \{a, d\}=\{ToString[Notebooks[]], \{\}\};
\{ b, c \} = Map3[StringPosition, a, \{"<<", ">>" \}]; While[k <= Length[b], AppendTo[d, StringTake[a, \{b[[k]][[2]] + 1, c[[k]][[1]]-1\}]]; k++]; Select[d, SuffPref[\#, ".nb", 2] \&]]

In[3744]:= NbName[]
Out[3744]= \{"Search.nb", "LoadF.nb", "ActiveProcs.nb", "Int.nb", "Ver.nb"\}
In[3745]:= AcNb[] := StringSplit[NotebookFileName[], \{"|", "/"\}][[-1]] In[3746]:= AcNb[]
Out[3746]= "AVZ_Package.nb"
The procedure call NbName[] returns the list ofnb-documents which have been loaded into the current session; at that, their order in the list is defined by order of their uploading into the current session so, that the first element defines the currentnb-document. In turn, the call $\mathbf{A c N b}[]$ of rather simple function returns the name of the current document or a package which has been earlier saved in a datafile of thenb-format.
For convenience of uploading of a package into the current session theNeed procedure generalizing in a certain degree the standardNeeds function can be used. The source code of theNeed procedure along with examples of its usage are represented by the following fragment.
In[2672]:= Need[x_] := Module[\{a = Directory[], c, p, d=\{x\}[[1]], f, b= If[Length[ $\{x\}]>1$ \&\& StringQ[ $\{x\}[[2]]],\{x\}[[2]]$, "Null"] $\}$, If[! ContextQ[d], \$Failed,
If[b == "Null", Quiet[Check[Get[d], \$Failed]],

If[b != "Null" \& \& ! MemberQ[\{"m", "mx"\}, FileExtension[b]l, \$Failed, If[MemberQ[\$Packages, d], True, CopyFile[b, $f=a$ <> "" <> StringTake[d, \{1,-2\}] <> "." <> FileExtension[b]]; Get[f]; DeleteFile[f]; True]]l]]

In[2673] := Need["Grodno", "C:\mathematica\Grodno.mx"] Out[2673]= True In[2674]:= \$Packages
Out[2674]= \{"Grodno`", "AladjevProcedures"", "GetFEKernelInit", " ResourceLocator`", "PacletManager`", "System`", "Global""\} In[2675]:=
Definition[Vgs]
Out[2675]= Vgs[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]]:= x*y
The procedure call Need[x] loads a package that corresponds to ax context into the current session provided that the corresponding datafile of format \{ " $m$ "|" $m \boldsymbol{m}$ "\} is located in one of the directories determined by the system variable\$Path with returnTrue; otherwise, the call returns\$Failed. Whereas the procedure callNeed[x] loads a package that corresponds to ax context into the current session provided that the corresponding datafile of format $\{$ " $\boldsymbol{m}$ "|" $\boldsymbol{m x}$ "\} is located or in one of the directories determined by the system variable\$Path, or is determined by argumenty with returnTrue; otherwise, the call returns\$Failed. So, having created anb-document with definitions of objects, having supplied them with usages with its subsequentevaluation andpreservation by means of function \{Save|DumpSave\} in a file of format \{"m"|"mx"\} respectively, we have a
possibility in the subsequent sessions to upload it into the current session by means of theNeeds function or the Need procedure with receiving access to the program objects contained in it. Moreover, for the purpose of increase of efficiency of uploading of a package it is recommended to use a file of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-format in which it was earlier saved by means of the callDumpSave[x] where the argumentx determines thecontext associated with the saved package. With questions of uploading of the user packages into the current session along with rather useful recommendations the interested reader can familiarize in [28,30-33]. In particular, it should be noted the undesirability of use of identical contexts for the user packages, leading in some cases to unpredictable results, but not all so negatively. For example, such approach can be used for replenishment of a datafile ofmxformat with a package by new means as the earlier consideredRedMxFile procedure as the nextAddMxFile procedure illustrates.
In[4222]:= AddMxFile[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \& \& FileExtension[x] == "mx", y_/; FileExistsQ[y] \& \& FileExtension[y] == "mx", z_/; FileExtension[z] == "mx"] :=
Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=$ ContextFromFile[x], $\mathbf{b}=$ ContextFromFile[y], $\mathbf{c}=90\}$, If[a != b, \$Failed, If[MemberQ[\$ContextPath, a], c = 500; Quiet[Get[y]], Quiet[\{Get[x], Get[y]\}]]; ToExpression["DumpSave[" <> ToString1[z] <> "," <> ToString1[a] <> "]"]; If[c == 90, Map[Clear1[2, a <> \#] \&, CNames[a]]; Quiet[\$ContextPath = MinusList[\$ContextPath, \{a\}]lll]; z]
In[4223] := AddMxFile["Tallinn.mx", "Grodno.mx", "Rans.mx"] Out[4223]= "Rans.mx"
In[4224]:= Get["Rans.mx"]
In[4225]:= \$Packages
Out[4225]= \{"Grodno`", "AladjevProcedures"", "GetFEKernelInit", " ResourceLocator"", "PacletManager", "System`", "Global""\} In[4226]:=
CNames["Grodno""]
Out[4226]= \{"Avz", "GSV", "GSV1", "Gs", "Gs1", "Vgs", "Vgs1"\} In[4227]:=
PureDefinition[Vgs1]
Out[4227]= "Vgs1[x_/; IntegerQ[x], y_/; IntegerQ[y]]:= x*y"
In[4230]:= SaveInMx[x_/; FileExtension[x] == "mx", y_/; SymbolQ[y] ||
ListQ[y] \& \& DeleteDuplicates[Map[SymbolQ[\#] \&, y]] ==\{True\}, z_/; ContextQ[z]] := Module[\{b, a = Flatten[Select[Map[PureDefinition[\#] \&, Flatten[\{y\}]], ! SameQ[\#, \$Failed] \&]]\}, Map[ToExpression[z <> \#] \&, a]; AppendTo[\$ContextPath, z];
DumpSave[x, z]; ]
In[4227] := Agn[x_, y_] := Module[\{a = 90\}, a*(x + y)]; Agn[x_] := x + 500 In[4228]:= SaveInMx["Grodno.mx", \{Avz, Agn \}, "Grodno`"]; In[4229]:= \$ContextPath = MinusList[\$ContextPath, \{"Grodno`"\}];

## Clear[Avz, Agn]; Get["Grodno.mx"]

In[4230]:= PureDefinition[Agn]
Out[4230]= \{"Agn[x_, y_]:= Module[\{a=90\}, $\left.\left.a^{*}(x+y)\right] ", ~ " A g n\left[x \_\right]:=x+500 "\right\}$
The previous fragment represents source code of the AddMxFile procedure that uses the mechanism of contexts [28,30-33], whose callAddMxFile[x,y,z] returns the path to a
datafilez - result of supplement of a datafilex by tools of a datafiley; all datafiles havemxformat while thefirst $\mathbf{2}$ datafiles contain the packages with the same context. At that, if the first package is uploaded into the current session, then the second packagey also remains uploaded; otherwise, the first2 packages are unloaded from the current session. While the procedure callSaveInMx[x, y,z] returns nothing, saving in amx-filex withz context the definition of a symbol or list of symbolsy which have the context "Global'". TheSaveInMx procedure to a certain extent supplements earlier represented means of the same plan.
In a number of cases exists a need of testing of a file regarding that whether it contains a package. The given problem is solved by quite simple function, whose callPackageFileQ[x] returnsTrue if argumentx defines a datafile of formats \{"cdf", "mx", " $m$ ", " $n \boldsymbol{b}$ "\} with a package, otherwiseFalse is returned.

## In[2542]:= PackageFileQ[x_] := If[StringQ[x] \&\& FileExistsQ[x] \&\& MemberQ[\{"cdf", "m", "mx", "nb"\}, FileExtension[x]],

If[SameQ[ContextFromFile[x], \$Failed], False, True], False] In[2543]:=
Map[PackageFileQ, \{"gru.mx", "pack.m", "pack.nb", "pack.cdf"\}] Out[2543]= \{True, True, True, True\}
The previous fragment represents source code of thePackageFileQ function
along with examples of its usage. The given function turned out as an useful means for a number of means of our packageAVZ_Package [48].
At last, for convenience of loading of the user package located in a $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-file $\boldsymbol{x}$ into the current session theLoadPackage procedure can be used, whose call LoadPackage[ $x$ ] returnsNull, i.e. nothing, uploading the package into the current session with activation of all definitions which are contained in it in the mode similar to the mode of Input-paragraph, i.e. in an optimal format in the above sense(without package context). The fragment below represents source code of theLoadPackage procedure with examples of its usage.

```
In[3422] := LoadPackage[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] &&
FileExtension[x] == "mx"] := Module[{a},
Quiet[ToExpression["Off[shdw::Symbol]"]; Get[x]; a = ToExpression["Packages[]
[[1]]"]; ToExpression["LoadMyPackage[" <> """ <>
```

x <> """ <> "," <> """ <> a <> """ <> "]"]; ToExpression["On[shdw::Symbol]"]]]

```
In[3423] := LoadPackage["C:\Temp\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.mx"] In[3424]:=
Definition[StrStr]
Out[3424]= StrStr[x_]:= If[StringQ[x], """ <> x <> """, ToString[x]]
```

Meanwhile it must be kept in mind, in case of uploading in a described way into the current session of other user package the availability in the current session of $A V Z_{-}$Package package or the activatedLoadPackage procedure is required. The given means is very convenient at processing of definitions of the package tools in the above optimized format, i.e. without a context.

Tools, presented in this chapter along with other tools of our AVZ_Package [48] allow to solve a number of important problems of processing of the user packages which are
located in datafiles of formats $\{$ " $\boldsymbol{c d f}$ ", " $m x ", " m ", " n b "\}$. TheAVZ_Package package represents toolbox oriented on the wide enough circle of appendices including the system ones. The package represents also quite certain interest from standpoint of useful approaches and receptions used at programming a number of the means entering it, including tools for non-standard processing of the user packages.

### 8.4. The organization of the user software in the Mathematicasystem

The Mathematica no possess comfortable enough tools of the organization of the user libraries as in the case of theMaple, creating certain difficulties at the organization of the user software developed in its environment. For saving of definitions of objects and results of calculations theMathematica uses datafiles of various organization. At that, datafiles of text format which not only are easily loaded into the current session, in general are most often used, but also are convenient enough for processing by other known means, for example, word processors. Moreover, the text format provides a simple portability on other computing platforms. One of the main prerequisites of saving in datafiles is possibility of use of definitions and their usages of the Mathematicaobjects in the subsequent sessions of the system. At that, with questions of standard saving of objects(modules,functions,usages,etc.) the interested reader can familiarize in details in [28-33,51-53,60,62,64,67], some of them were considered in the present book in the context of organization of packages whereas here we represent simple means of organization of the user libraries in theMathematica system.
Meanwhile, here it is expedient to make a number of very essential remarks on usage of the above system means. First, the mechanism of processing of erroneous and especial situations represents a rather powerful instrument of programming practically of each quite complex algorithm. However, in theMathematica system such mechanism is characterized by a number of essential shortcomings, for example, successfully using in theInput-mode the mechanism of output of messages about erroneous situations \{Off, On\}, in thebody of procedures such mechanism generally speaking doesn't work as illustrates the following rather simple fragment, namely:

```
In[2602] := Import["D:\Math_myLib\ArtKr_2015.m"]
Import::nffil: File not found during Import. >>
Out[2602]= $Failed
In[2603]:= Off[Import::nffil]
In[2604]:= Import["D:\Math_myLib\ArtKr_2015.m"]
Out[2604]= $Failed
```

In[2605]:= On[Import::nffil]
In[2606]:= F[x_] := Module[\{a\}, Off[Import::nffil]; a := Import[x];
On[Import::nffil]; a]
In[2607]:= F["D:\Math_myLib\ArtKr_2015.m"]
Import::nffil: File not found during Import. >>
Out[2607]= \$Failed

So, at creation of complex enough procedures in which is required to solve questions of blocking of output of a number of erroneous messages, means of theMathematica system
are presented to us as insufficiently developed means. The interested reader can
familiarize with other peculiarities of the specified system means in [28-33]. Now we will present certain approaches concerning the organization of the simple user libraries in theMathematica system. Some of them can be useful in practical work with theMathematica.

In view of the scheme of the organization of library considered in [22,25 -27] concerning theMaple with organization different from the main library, we will present realization of similar user library for a case of theMathematica system. On thefirst step in file system of the computer a directory, let us say, "C:|Math_myLib" is created which will containtxt-files with definitions of the user procedures/functions along with their usages. In principle, it is possible to place any number of definitions into suchtxt-files, however in this case it is previously necessary to call a procedure whosename coincides with name of thetxt-file, whereupon in the current session all procedures/ functions whose definitions are located in the datafile along with usages are available. That is really convenient in the case when in a single datafile are located the main procedure and all means accompanying it, excluding the standard system means.

On the second step the procedures/functions together with their usages are created and debugged with their subsequent saving in the required datafile of a library subdirectory, for example:
In[2601]:= NF[x_] := Sin[x]*Cos[x]; ArtKr[x_, y_] := Sqrt[Sin[x] + 90*NF[y]] In[2602]:= NF::usage = "Help on NF."; Rans::usage = "Help on Rans.";
Rans[x_]:= Module[ \{\}, $\mathrm{x}^{\wedge}$ 2]; ArtKr::usage = "Help on function ArtKr."; In[2603]:= CreateDirectory["C:\Math_myLib"];
In[2604]:= Save["C:\Math_myLiblUserlib.txt", \{NF, ArtKr, "NF::usage",
"ArtKr::usage", "Rans::usage", Rans\}]
In[2605] := Clear[NF, ArtKr, Rans]; ArtKr::usage = ""; NF::usage = ""; In[2606]:= ? ArtKr
In[2607]:= Definition[ArtKr]
Out[2607]= Null
In[2608]:= Get["C:\Math_myLib\NF.txt"];
In[2609]:= Definition[ArtKr]
Out[2609]= $\operatorname{ArtKr[x\_ ,~y\_ ]:=~Sqrt[Sin[x]+~} 47$ NF[y]]
In[2610]:= ?ArtKr
Help on function ArtKr.
To save the definitions and usages in datafiles of $\boldsymbol{t x t}$-format perhaps in two ways, namely: (1) by the function callSave, saving the previously evaluated definitions and usages in a datafile given by its first argument as illustrates the previous fragment; at that, saving is made in theappend-mode, or(2) by creatingtxt-files with names of objects and their usages whose contents are formed by means of a simple word processor, for example,Notepad. At that, by means of theSave function we have possibility to create libraries of the user means, located in an arbitrary directory of file system of the computer.
The next fragment presents the CallSave procedure whose callCallSave[ $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}]$ returns the result of the cally[z] of a procedure/functiony on a listz of factual arguments passed
they provided that object definitiony with usage are located in atxt-filex that has been earlier created by theSave function. If an object with the given namey is absent in a datafilex, the procedure call returns $\$$ Failed. If a datafilex contains definitions of several procedures or functions of the same namey, the procedure call is executed relative to their definition whoseformal arguments correspond to a listz ofactual arguments. Ify defines the list, the call returns the names list of all means contained inx. The following fragment represents source code of the procedureCallSave along with examples of its usage relative to the concrete datafile created by means of the standardSave function.
In[3582] := NF[x_] := Sin[x]*Cos[x]; ArtKr[x_, y_] := Sqrt[Sin[x] + 90*NF[y]] NF::usage = "Help on NF."; Rans::usage = "Help on Rans."; Rans[x_] := Module[\{\}, $x^{\wedge}$ 2]; Rans[x_, y_] := Module[\{\}, $\left.x+y\right]$; ArtKr::usage = "Help on ArtKr.";
In[3583] := Save["C:\Math_myLib\Userlib.txt", \{NF, ArtKr, "NF::usage",
"ArtKr::usage", "Rans::usage", Rans\}]
In[3584]:= Clear[ArtKr, NF, Rans]; NF::usage = ""; ArtKr::usage = ""; Rans::usage = "";

In[3585]:= CallSave[x_/; FileExistsQ[x], y_/; SymbolQ[y] || ListQ[y], z_/; ListQ[z]] := Module[\{b, c, d, nf, u, p, t, v, n, a =
StringReplace[StringTake[ToString[InputForm[ReadString[x]]],
\{ 2,-2\}], "|r\n\r\n"-> "|r\n \r\n"], s=Map[ToString, Flatten[\{y\}]l\}, b =StringSplit[a, "Irln $\operatorname{rr} \backslash n "] ; \mathbf{n}=$ Select[b, StringFreeQ[\#, "/: "]\&]; nf[g_] := StringTake[g, \{1,
Flatten[StringPosition[g, "[", 1]][[1]]-1\}]; c = Select[b, SuffPref[\#, p =
Flatten[\{Map4[StringJoin, s, "["], Map4[StringJoin, s, "/: "]\}], 1] \&]; \{d, u, t, v\}= \{ \{\}, \{\}, Map[\# <> "/: " \& s], \{\}\}; Map[If[SuffPref[\#, t, 1], AppendTo[u,
DelSuffPref[StringReplace[StringTrim[\#, t], "\" -> ""], "rn", 2]], AppendTo[d, \#]] \&, c]; Map[ToExpression, $\{d, \mathbf{u}\}]$; If[d == $\}$, \$Failed, If[Length[d] == 1,
Symbol[nf[d[[1]]]][Sequences[z]], If[Length[DeleteDuplicates[Map[nf[\#] \&, d]]] == 1, Map[Symbol[nf[\#]][Sequences[z]] \&, d][[1]], Map[nf[\#] \&, n]l]l]
In[3586] := CallSave["C:LMath_myLib\Userlib.txt", \{NF, ArtKr, Rans\}, \{90, 500\}]
Out[3586]= \{"NF", "ArtKr", "Rans", "Rans"\}
In[3587]:= CallSave["C:\Math_myLib\Userlib.txt", ArtKr, \{90, 500\}]
Out[3587]= Sqrt[Sin[90]+ $90 \operatorname{Cos[500]Sin[500]]~}$
In[3588]:= CallSave["C:\Math_myLiblUserlib.txt", NF, \{90, 500\}]
Out[3588]= NF[90, 500]
In[3589]:= CallSave["C:\Math_myLib\Userlib.txt", ArtKr, \{500\}]
Out[3589] $=$ ArtKr[500]
In[3590]:= CallSave["C:\Math_myLib\Userlib.txt", NF, \{500\}]
Out[3590] $=\operatorname{Cos}[500] \operatorname{Sin}[500]$
In[3591] := CallSave["C:\Math_myLib\Userlib.txt", Rans, \{500\}] Out[3591]= 250000
In[3592]:= CallSave["C:\Math_myLib\Userlib.txt", Rans, \{90, 500\}] Out[3592]= 590 In[3593]:= ?ArtKr

Help on ArtKr.
In[3594]:= CallSave["C:\Math_myLib\Userlib.txt", Art, \{90, 500\}] Out[3594]= \$Failed

In[3600]:= Save2[x_/; StringQ[x], y_/; SymbolQ[y] || ListQ[y]] := If[FileExistsQ[x], Save[x, "Irln $\operatorname{rr|n"];\text {Save[x,}y]\text {,Save[x,}y]]~}$

```
\(\operatorname{In}[3601]:=\operatorname{Avz}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}, z_{-}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}\left[\{\mathbf{a}=500, \mathbf{b}=90\}, \mathbf{a}^{*} \mathbf{b}^{*} \mathrm{x}^{*} \mathrm{y}^{*} \mathrm{z}\right]\); Avz::usage =
"Help on Avz." ;
In[3602]:= Save2["C:/Math_myLiblUserlib.txt", \{Avz, "Avz::usage"\}]
In[3603]:= Clear[Avz]; Avz::usage = "";
In[3604]:= ?Avz
Help on Avz.
In[3605]:= CallSave["C:\Math_myLiblUserlib.txt", Avz, \{73, 90, 500\}]
Out[3605]= 147825000000
```

Meantime, the CallSave procedure provides the call of a necessary function or procedure which is located in atxt-file created by means of the standard Save function; at that, such user library rather reminds an archive because doesn’t allow the updating. Whereas for extension of such libraries by new tools it is necessary to use the simpleSave2 function, whose callSave2 $[x, y]$ append to a datafile $\boldsymbol{x}$ the definitions of the means given by a name or their listy in the format convenient for the subsequent processing by a number of means, in particular, by theCallSave procedure. In the previous fragment a source code of theSave2 function with examples of its use are represented. Thus, the similar organization of the user library provides a simple mode of its maintaining whereas theCallSave procedure allows extensions on rather broad circle of functions of operating with the user library. In particular, the principle of modification of text datafiles with definitions and usages of the procedures/functions not only is very simple, but allows to keep history of modifications of definitions of library means also that in a number of cases is rather actual. In our opinion, the represented approach quite can be used for the organization of simple and effective user libraries of traditional type.

The mentioned simple approach to the organization of the user means in the Mathematica system is only one of possible methods, giving opportunity of creation of own libraries of procedures/functions with access to them at the level of the system means. The interested reader can familiarize with these questions more in details, for example, in our books [30-33].

Qua of other rather useful approach we will present theCALLmx procedure whose call provides saving in library directory of definitions of objects and their usages in the form of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-datafiles with possibility of their subsequent loading into the current session. The fragment below represents source code of theCALLmx procedure along with some typical examples of its usage.
$\operatorname{In}[4650]:=\mathrm{NF}\left[\mathrm{x} \_\right]:=\operatorname{Sin}[\mathrm{x}] * \operatorname{Cos}[\mathbf{x}]+\mathrm{x}^{\wedge} \mathbf{3}$
In[4651]:= ArtKr[x_, y_] := Sqrt[42*Sin[x] + 47* $\operatorname{Cos}[y]]+x^{*} y$
$\operatorname{In}[4652]:=$ CALLmx[y_, $\left.\mathbf{z}_{-} / ; \operatorname{MemberQ[\{ 1,2\} ,~z],~d\_ ]}\right]:=\operatorname{Module}[\{\mathbf{c}=\{ \}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}$, s, $\mathbf{a}=\mathbf{I f}[\{\mathbf{d}\}==\{ \}$, Directory[], If[StringQ[d] \& \& DirectoryQ[d], d, Directory[]]], b =
Map[ToString, If[ListQ[y], y, \{y\}]l\}, If[z ==1, While[k <=Length[b], s=b[[k]]; h=a <>"|" <> s <> ".mx"; If[! MemberQ[\{"Null", \$Failed\}, Definition4[s]],
ToExpression["DumpSave[" <>ToString1[h] <> "," <> ToString[s] <> "]"];
AppendTo[c, s]]; k++]; Prepend[c, a], While[k <= Length[b], s = b[[k]]; h = a <> "\"
<> s <> ".mx"; If[FileExistsQ[h], Get[h]; AppendTo[c, s]]; k++]; c]]
In[4653] := NF::usage = "Help on NF"; ArtKr::usage = "Help on ArtKr"; In[4654]:=
CALLmx[\{NF, ArtKr, "NF::usage", "ArtKr::usage"\}, 1] Out[4654]=
\{"C:IUsers\Aladjev\Documents", "NF", "ArtKr"\} In[4655]:= Clear[NF, ArtKr, ]
In[4656]:= CALLmx[\{NF, ArtKr\}, 2]
Out[4656]= \{"NF", "ArtKr"\}
In[4657]:= AGN = Sqrt[NF[42.47]^2 + ArtKr[19.89, 19.96]^4]
Out[4657]= 180710.0
In[4658]:= ?ArtKr
Help on ArtKr.
The procedure callCALLmx[y, 1, d] returns the list whose the first element defines library directory while the others- names of objects from argument $\boldsymbol{y}$ (a separate name or their list) whose definitions are evaluated in the current session; in the presence of the evaluated usages for objects they are saved in a datafile too; the optional argumentd determines a directory in which the evaluated definitions of objects and their usagesy in the form of $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{x}$-files with the names"Name.mx" whereName is the names of the objects defined by argumenty will be located; in case of absence of argumentd as a library directory a directory determined by the callDirectory[] is choosen. Whereas the callCALLmx[y,2, d] provides loading into the current session of objects whose names are defined by an argumenty from a library directory defined by the third argumentd; in its absenceDirectory[] directory is supposed. At that, it should be noted that in one datafile is most expedient to place only the main procedure and functions associated with it, excepting references on the standard functions. It allows to form procedural files enough simply.
It is possible to present the UserLib procedure which supports a number of useful functions as one more rather simple example of maintaining the user libraries. The procedure callUserLib[ $W, f$ ] provides a number of important functions on maintaining of a simple user library located in a datafile $\boldsymbol{W}$ of $\boldsymbol{t x t}$-format. Qua of the second actual argument of $f$ the two-element list acts for which admissible pairs of values of elements can be, namely:
\{ "names", "list"\}-return of the list of objects names, whose definitions are located in a library datafile; in case of the empty datafile the call is returned unevaluated;
\{"print","all"\}-output to the screen of full contents of a library datafileW; in the case of the empty datafile the procedure call is returned unevaluated; \{"print", "Name"\}-output to the screen of definition of an object with the name Namewhose definition is in a library datafileW;in case of the empty datafile the call is returned unevaluated;in the absence in a library datafileWof the demanded means the procedure call returns Null,i.e. nothing, in such case the procedure call prints the message of the following kind"Nameis absent in LibraryW"; \{ "add", "Name"\}-saving in a library datafileWin the append-mode of an object with a nameName;the definition of a saved means has to be previously evaluated in the current session in theInput-mode;
\{"load", "all"\}-uploading into the current session of all means whose definitions are in a library fileW;in case of the empty datafile the call is returned unevaluated; \{"load", " $N$ "\}-uploading into the current session of an object with nameNwhose definition is in a
library fileW;in the case of the empty datafile the procedure call is returned unevaluated;in the absence in a library datafileWof a demanded tool the procedure call returns Null,i.e. nothing, in such case the procedure call prints the message of the following kind"Nis absent in LibraryW".
In other cases the UserLib procedure call is returned unevaluated. There is a good opportunity to extend the procedure with a number of useful enough functions such as: deletion from a library of definitions with usages of the specified means or their obsolete versions, etc. With the given procedure is possible to familiarize more in details, for example, in [30-33,48].
The list structure of theMathematica system allows to rather easily simulate the operating with structures of other systems of computer mathematics, for example, theMaple system. So, in theMaple system the tabular structure as one of the most important structures is used which is rather widely used both for the organization of data structures, and for the organization of the libraries of software. The similar tabular organization is widely used for the organization of package modules of theMaple along with a number of tools of ourUserLib library [47]. For simulation of the main operations with the tabular organization similar to theMaple system, in theMathematica system theTable1 procedure can be used. The procedure callTable1 $[L, x]$ considers a listL of theListList type, whose2-element $\{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}\}$ sublists correspond to an $\{$ index, entry\} of theMaple tables respectively as the table. As the second $\boldsymbol{x}$ argument can be(1) a list $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}\},(\mathbf{2})$ a word \{"index"|"entry"\} along with an expression of other type(3). The procedure callTable1[L, $x]$ returns the list of ListList-type received from an initial listL as follows.
In the case (1) in the presence in $L$ of a sublist with the first elementa it is replaced onto a list $\{\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}\}$, otherwise it supplements $\boldsymbol{L}$; if the argument $\boldsymbol{x}$ has view $\{\boldsymbol{a}$, NuII $\}$, in the presence in $L$ of a sublist with the first elementa the sublist is removed. For the case(2) the list $\{$ indices|entries\} accordingly of a listL is returned, whereas in the case(3) the procedure call returns an entry for axindex if such in this table really exists. On other tuples of the actual arguments the procedure call Table1[ $x, y$ ] returns $\$$ Failed. The following fragment represents source code of theTable1 procedure together with the most typical examples of its usage. The represented examples of theTable1 procedure usage very visually illustrate its functionality.
In[4412] := Table1[L_/; ListListQ[L], x_] := Module[ $\{\mathbf{a}=\{ \}, \mathbf{c}=\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{d}=\{ \}, \mathbf{k}=\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{b}=$ Length[L]\}, If[ListListQ[L] \&\& Length[L[[1]]] == 2, For[k, k <= b, k++, AppendTo[a, L[[k]][[1]]]; AppendTo[d, L[[k]][[2]]I]; \{a, d\}= Map[DeleteDuplicates, $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}\}]$; If[x === "index", a,
If[x === "entry", d,
If[List $\mathrm{Q}[\mathrm{x}]$ \& \& Length $[\mathrm{x}]==2$,
If[! MemberQ[a, x[[1]]], AppendTo[c, x],
Select[Map[If[\#1[[1]] ===x[[1]] \&\& ! SameQ[x[[2]], Null], x, If[\#[[1]]===x[[1]] \&\& x[[2]] === Null, Null, \#]] \&, L], ! SameQ[\#, Null]\&]], Quiet[Check[Select[Map[If[\# [[1]] === x, \#[[2]]]\&, L], ! SameQ[\#, Null] \&][[1]], \$Failed]]II], \$Failed]]

[^9]Out[4414]= \{a73, b42, c47, d18, h26\}
In[4415]:= Table1[Tab1, "index"]
Out[4415]= \{a, b, c, Kr, Art $\}$
In[4416]:= Table1[Tab1, \{ArtKr, 2015\}]
Out[4416]= \{ $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a} 73\},\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b} 42\},\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{c} 47\},\{\mathrm{Kr}, \mathrm{d} 18\}$, \{Art, h26\}, $\{$ ArtKr, 2015\}\}
In[4417]:= Table1[Tab1, \{Kr, 2015\}]
Out[4417]= \{ \{a, a73\}, \{b, b42\}, \{c, c47\}, \{Kr, 2015\}, \{Art, h26\}\}
In[4418]:= Table1[Tab1, Art]
Out[4418]= h26
In[4419]:= Table1[Vsv, ArtKr]
Out[4419]= \$Failed
In[4420]:= Table1[Tab1, \{Vsv, Agn\}]
Out[4420]= $\{\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{a} 73\},\{\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{b} 42\},\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{c} 47\},\{\mathrm{Kr}, \mathrm{d} 18\},\{$ Art, h26\}, \{Vsv, Agn $\}\}$
On the basis of the tabular organization supported by the Table1 procedure it is rather simply possible to determine the user libraries. Qua of one of such approaches we will present an example ofLibBase library whose structural organization has format of theListList list and whose elements have length two. The principled kind of such library is given below, namely:

LibBase := \{\{Help, \{"O1::usage = "Help on 01", ...,
"On::usage = "Help on On"\}\}, \{O1,PureDefinition[O1]\}, \{O2,PureDefinition[O2]\},..., \{On,PureDefinition[On]\}\}
The first element of the two -element first sublist of theLibBase list isHelp whereas the second represents the usages list in string format for all objects, whose definitions are in theLibBase library; at that, their actual presence in the library isn't required. Other elements of theLibBase library-element sublists of format $\{O j$, PureDefinition[Oj]\}, whereOj - ajobject name, and PureDefinition[Oj]- its definition, presented in string optimal format. The following fragment represents theTabLib procedure supporting work with the aboveLibBase library along with concrete examples that rather visually clarify the essence of such maintenance.
In[5248]:= LibBase := \{ Help, \{"NF::usage = "Help on function NF."", "ArtKr::usage = "Help on function ArtKr.""\}\},
\{ NF, "NF[x_, y_] := x + y"\}, \{ArtKr, "ArtKr[x_, y_]:= Sqrt[26*x + 18*y]"\}\}
In[5249]:= DumpSave["LibBase.mx", LibBase]
Out[5249]= $\{\{$ Help, $\{$ "NF::usage= "Help on function NF."",
" ArtKr::usage= "Help on function ArtKr.""\}\}, \{NF, "NF[x_, y_]:= x+ y"\}, \{ArtKr, "ArtKr[x_, y_]:= Sqrt[26*x+ 18*y]"\}\}

In[5250] := TabLib[Lib_/; FileExistsQ[Lib] \&\& FileExtension[Lib] == "mx", x_, y___]:= Module[\{a = Get[Lib], b, c\}, If[MemberQ[\{"index", "entry"\}, x], Table1[LibBase, x], Map[ToExpression, LibBase[[1]][[2]]]]; If[ListQ[x] \&\& Length[x] == 2, c = If[SameQ[x[[2]], Null], x, \{x[[1]], PureDefinition[x[[1]]]\}]; b =
Table1[LibBase, c]; If[! SameQ[b, \$Failed], LibBase = b;
ToExpression["DumpSave[" <> ToString1[Lib] <> "," <>
"LibBase]"]l, If[StringQ[x] \&\& ! StringFreeQ[x, "::usage = "], c =

Quiet[LibBase[[1]][[2]] = AppendTo[LibBase[[1]][[2]], x]; LibBase =
ReplacePart[LibBase, \{1, 2\}-> c]; ToExpression["DumpSave[" <> ToString1[Lib] <> "," <> "LibBase]"], If[Table1[LibBase, x] === \$Failed, \$Failed, b = Table1[LibBase, x]; If[! SameQ[b, \$Failed], ToExpression[b]; x[y]l], \$Failed]]I]]
In[5251] := Clear[LibBase ]; TabLib["LibBase.mx", "index"]
Out[5251] = \{Help, NF, ArtKr $\}$
In[5252]:= TabLib["LibBase.mx", "entry"]
Out[5252]= \{\{"NF::usage= "Help on function NF."",
" ArtKr::usage= "Help on function ArtKr.""\},
"NF[x_, y_]:= x+ y", "ArtKr[x_, y_]:= Sqrt[26*x+ 18*y]"\} In[5253]:= NF[x_] :=
$\operatorname{Sin}[x] * \operatorname{Cos}[x]+x^{\wedge} 3$
$\operatorname{In}[5254]:=\operatorname{ArtKr}\left[x_{-}, y_{-}\right]:=42^{*} \operatorname{Sin}[x]+47^{*} \operatorname{Cos}[y]+x^{*} y$
In[5255]:= TabLib["LibBase.mx", \{ArtKr, PureDefinition[ArtKr]\}] Out[5255]=
\{\{\{Help, \{"NF::usage="Help on function NF."", "ArtKr::usage="Help on function
ArtKr.""\}\}, \{ArtKr, "ArtKr[x_, y_]:= 42*Sin[x]+ 47*Cos[y]+ x*y"\}\}\} In[5256]:=
TabLib["LibBase.mx", \{NF, PureDefinition[NF]\}]
Out[5256]= \{\{\{Help, \{"NF::usage="Help on function NF."", "ArtKr::usage="Help on
function ArtKr.""\}\}, \{NF, "NF[x_]:= $\operatorname{Sin}[x] * \operatorname{Cos}[x]+x \wedge 3 "\}$,
\{ArtKr, "ArtKr[x_, y_]:= 42*Sin[x]+47*Cos[y]+x*y"\}\}\} In[5257]:=
TabLib["LibBase.mx", "index"]
Out[5257]= \{Help, ArtKr, NF $\}$
In[5258]:= Clear[ArtKr, LibBase, NF]
In[5259]:= TabLib["LibBase.mx", ArtKr, 90.42, 590.2015]
Out[5259]= 53374.4
In[5260]:= TabLib["LibBase.mx", NF, 500.2015]
Out[5260] $=1.25151^{*} 10 \wedge 8$
In[5261]:= TabLib["LibBase.mx", ArtKr]
Out[5261]= ArtKr[]
In[5262]:= TabLib["LibBase.mx", \{NF, Null\}]
Out[5262]= \{\{\{Help, \{"NF::usage= "Help on function NF."", "ArtKr::usage="Help on function ArtKr.""\}\}, \{ArtKr, "ArtKr[x_, y_]:= 42*Sin[x]+47*Cos[y]+x*y"\}\}\}
In[5263]:= TabLib["LibBase.mx", Avz42]
Out[5263]= \$Failed
In[5264] := TabLib["LibBase.mx", "Avz::usage = "Help on object Avz.""]
Out[5264]= \{ \{ \{Help, \{"NF::usage="Help on function NF."", "ArtKr::usage="Help on function ArtKr."", "Avz::usage= "Help on object Avz.""\}\}, \{ArtKr, "ArtKr[x_, y_]:= $42 * \operatorname{Sin}[\mathrm{x}]+47 * \operatorname{Cos}[y]+\mathrm{x}^{*} \mathrm{y}$ " $\left.\left.\}\right\}\right\}$
In[5265]:= LibBase
Out[5265]= \{ \{\{Help, \{"NF::usage="Help on function NF."", "ArtKr::usage="Help on function ArtKr."", "Avz::usage= "Help on function Avz.""\}\}, \{ArtKr, "ArtKr[x_, y_]:= $\left.\left.\left.42 * \operatorname{Sin}[x]+47 * \operatorname{Cos}[y]+x^{*} y "\right\}\right\}\right\}$
In[5266]:= ??NF
Help on function NF.
NF[x_]:= $\operatorname{Sin}[x] \operatorname{Cos}[x]+x \wedge 3$
In[5267]:= ?ArtKr

Help on function ArtKr.
The main operations with the library organized thus are supported by the TabLib procedure whose source code with examples of use are represented by the previous fragment. The procedure callTabLib[x,y] depending on the second argumenty returns or the current contents of the library which is in amx-filex, or names of the objects that are in the library, or their definitions, namely:

TabLib[ $x$, "index"]- returns the list of objects names whose definitions are in a libraryx, including the nameHelp of help base of the library; TabLib[x,"entry"]- returns the list of objects definitions that are contained in a libraryx, including also the help baseHelp of the library; TabLib[x, $\{\boldsymbol{N}, \boldsymbol{D} \boldsymbol{f}\}$ ]- returns the contents of a libraryx after its extension by a new definition $\boldsymbol{D f}$ of an object with a name $\boldsymbol{N}$ if $\boldsymbol{D f}$ is different fromNull; at that, obsolete definition of $N$-object is updated;
TabLib[x, $\{N, N u l l\}]$ - returns the contents of a libraryx as a result ofremoval from it of definition of an object with a name $N$; at that, its usage remains; TabLib[x, $N, y, z, \ldots]-$ returns the result of call $N[y, z, \ldots]$ of an object $N$ from a libraryx; if the object $N$ is absent in the library,\$Failed is returned; TabLib[ $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{N}]$ - if $N$ - usage on an object $N$, it supplements the help base of a libraryx with return of the updated contents of the library. In other cases the procedure call returns\$Failed or is returned unevaluated. Qua of a certain initial libraryLibBase intended for filling its by necessary contents aListList-list of the above format is used. An initial libraryLibBase should be defined before the first procedure callTabLib. Naturally, for real use of theTabLib procedure qua of a ready software for the organization of the user libraries it demands an extension of the functionality, meantime, it is presented as an illustrative example of one of possible approaches to the solution of a task of the organization of the user software. We leave this task for the interestedMathematica user as a rather useful practice. In principle, the presented library organization provided by theTabLib procedure and that is based on the tabular organization which is supported by theTable1 procedure represents a certain analog of aMaple-package of tabular type. The represented library has only a basic set of functions which meanwhile provides its quite satisfactory functioning. Meanwhile, on the basis of the offered approach quite really to create the fast rather small libraries of the user procedures and functions that will be very convenient in operation. At that, the similar quite simple means can serve as good tools formaintenance of the libraries of the user procedures/functions that have a text format, and that are simply edited by usual word processors, for example,Notepad. The interested reader can develop own means of the library organization in the Mathematica software, using approaches offered by us along with others. However, exists a problem of the organization of convenient help bases for the user libraries. A number of approaches in this direction can be found in. In particular, on the basis of the list structure supported by the system it is rather simply possible to determine help bases for the user libraries. On this basis as one of such approaches an example of theBaseHelp procedure has been represented, whose structural organization has the list format [30-33].

Meanwhile, it is possible to create the help bases on the basis of the packages containing usages on means of the user library which are saved in datafiles of $\boldsymbol{m x}$-format. At that, for complete library it is possible to create only one helpmx-file, uploading it as required into the current session by means of theGet function with receiving in the subsequent of access
to allusages that are in the datafile. The nextUsages procedure can represent a quite certain interest for the organization of a help database for the user libraries. This procedure provides maintaining a help base irrespective of a library that is rather convenient in a number of cases of organization of the user software. The fragment below represents source code of theUsages procedure along with the most typical examples of its usage.
In[3600] := G::usage = "Help on function G.";
V::usage = "Help on function V.";
S::usage = "Help on function S.";
Art::usage = "Help on procedure Art.";
Kr::usage = "Help on procedure Kr.";
In[3601] := Usages[x_/; StringQ[x], y__] := Module[\{a, b, h = ""\}, If[!
FileExistsQ[x], Put[x]];
If $\{\{y\}==\{ \} \& \&!$ EmptyFileQ[x], While[! SameQ[h, EndOfFile],

## Quiet[ToExpression[h = Read[x, Expression]]I]; Close[x];, If[\{y\}== \{\}\&\& EmptyFileQ[x], \$Failed,

> If[Quiet[Check[ListQ[y], False]] \&\& $\{\mathbf{y}\}!=\{ \} \& \&$ ListSymbolQ[y], a = DeleteDuplicates[Select[y, Head[\#::usage] === String \&]];
> If[a != \{\}, PutAppend[Sequences[Map[ToString[\#] <> "::usage = " <> """ <> \#::usage <>""" \&, a]], x], \$Failed], If[! Quiet[Check[ListQ[y], False]], b = DeleteDuplicates[Reverse[ReadList[x, Expression]I]; Put[Sequences[Select[b, ! SuffPref[\#1, Map[ToString[\#] <> "::usage" \&, Flatten[\{y\}]l, 1] \&]], x], \$Failed]]I]]
> In[3602]:= Usages["C:/MathLib/HelpBase.m", \{Art, Kr, G, V, Art, Kr, Vsv\}]

## A new session with the Mathematica system

## In[2216]:= Usages["C:【MathLib\HelpBase.m"] <br> In[2217]:= ?G

Help on function G .
In[2218]:= Information[V]
Help on function V.
In[2219]:= ?S
Help on function S.
In[2220]:= ?Art
Help on procedure Art.
For initial filling of a help database in the current session all known usages on means that are planned on inclusion into the user library are evaluated as illustrates the firstInput-paragraph of the previous fragment. Then by the procedure callUsages $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}]$ the saving in ax-file of theASCII format of all usages relating to software tools that are defined by a listy is provided. At that, saving is executed in theappend-mode into the end of thex-file; if the specified datafilex is absent, the emptyx-file is created. While the procedure callUsages $[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}, \ldots]$ where arguments, since the second, represent names $\{\boldsymbol{y}, \mathbf{z}$, $\ldots\}$ of software tools, deletes from the help database the usages on these means. At last, the procedure callUsages $[x]$ activates all usages containing in help databasex in the
current session, doing themavailable irrespectively from existence of the means described by these usages. The successful call of theUsages procedure returnsNull, i.e. nothing; otherwise, value\$Failed is returned, in particular, in the case of a callUsages $[x]$ at the absent or empty datafilex. The presented approach is represented as a rather convenient. At that, the history of modifications of a datafilex is saved while qua of active usage the last usage supplementing the datafile acts.

For receiving usages on means that are in packages, it is possible to use the UsagesMNb procedure, whose source code along with typical examples of usage, are represented by the following fragment.

In[4242]:= UsagesMNb[x_/; FileExistsQ[x] \&\& MemberQ[\{"m", "nb"\}, FileExtension[x]l] := Module[\{a, b, c\}, If[FileExtension[x] == "m", a = Select[ReadList[x, String], ! StringFreeQ[\#, "::usage="] \&]; a = Map[StringTake[\#, $\{3,-3\}]$ \& a];
a = Map[If[SymbolQ[StringTake[\#, \{1, Flatten[StringPosition[\#, "::usage="]] [[1]]-1\}]], \#] \&, a]; Select[a, ! SameQ[\#, Null] \&], c = "\$.m"; b =
ContextFromFile[x]; ToExpression["Save[" <> StrStr[c] <> ", " <> StrStr[b] <> "]"]; b = Select[Quiet[ReadList["\$.m", Expression]], ! MemberQ[\{Null, \{Temporary\}\}, \#] \&]; DeleteFile["\$.m"]; b]]

## In[4243]:= UsagesMNb["C:\users/aladjev/mathematica/avz_package.mx"] <br> Out[4243]= UsagesMNb["C:\users/aladjev/mathematica/avz_package.mx"]

In[4244] := UsagesMNb["C:\users/aladjev/mathematica/avz_package.m"] Out[4244]= \{"UprocQ::usage="The call UprocQ[x] returns False if $x$ is not a procedure; otherwise, two-element list of the format \{True, \{"Module"| "Block"|"DynamicModule"\}\} is returned.", $\qquad$
In[4245]:= UsagesMNb["C:/users/aladjev/mathematica/avz_package.nb"] Out[4245]= \{"The call Names1[] returns the nested 4-element list, whose the first element defines the list of names of the procedures, the second- the list of names of functions/modules, the third element- the list of names whose definitions have been evaluated in the current session of the system, while the fourth element determines the list of other names associated with the current session.", $\qquad$
The procedure call UsagesMNb[x] returns the usages list on software of the user package which is in a datafilex of format $\{$ " $\boldsymbol{m "}$ ", " $\boldsymbol{b} \boldsymbol{b}$ "\}; these usages are returned in string format. At that, for a datafilex ofmformat the usages list containing a prefix"Name::usage=" is returned while for a datafilex ofnb-format the usages list without such prefix is returned. Furthermore, if for a package from a datafilex of $\boldsymbol{m}$-format its uploading into the current session isn't required, then for a package from a datafilex ofnbformat its uploading is required. Unlike the proceduresHelpPrint, HelpBasePac theUsagesMNb procedure provides possibility of both perusal of help databases of the user packages, and their processing.

At last, the call of the simple function Usages $\mathbf{1}[\boldsymbol{x}]$ provides the output of all usages describing the means contained in the user package associated with a contextx. The following fragment represents source code of theUsages 1 function along with a typical example of its usage.

# In[2699]:= Usages1[x_/; ContextQ[x]] := DeleteDuplicates[Map[\{Print[\#], ToExpression["?" <> \#]\} \&, CNames[x]]][[1]] 

## In[2700] := Usages1["AladjevProcedures’"] <br> "AcNb"

The call AcNb[] returns full name of the current document earlier saved as a nb-file.
==========================================================The The above example illustrates the format returned by a function call.

### 8.5. A package for theMathematicasystem

The computer mathematics has found application in many fields of science such as physics, mathematics, education, computer sciences, engineering, chemistry, computational biology, technology, etc. Computer mathematics systems(CMS) such asMathematica are becoming more and more popular in teaching, research and industry. So, researchers use knownMathematica system as an essential enough means for solving problems related to their various investigations. The system is ideal tool for formulating, solving, and exploring various mathematical models. Its symbolic manipulation facilities extend greatly over a range of the problems that can be solved with its help. Educators in universities and colleges have revitalized traditional curricula by introducing problems and exercises that widely use theMathematica's interactive mathematics and physics. While students can concentrate on the more fundamental concepts rather than on various plural tedious algebraic manipulations. Finally, engineers and experts in industries use the system Mathematica as an efficient tool replacing many traditional resources such as reference books, spreadsheets, calculators, and programming languages. These users easily solve mathematical problems, creating various projects and consolidating their computations into professional report. Meanwhile, our experience with
systemMathematica of releases $\mathbf{8} \div \mathbf{1 0}$ enabled us not only to estimate its advantages in regard to other similarCMS, above all the Maple system, but has also revealed a number of faults and shortcomings which were eliminated by us. In particular,Mathematica does not support a number of functions important for procedural programming and datafiles processing. As a result, theAVZ_Package package oriented on the solution of the above problems was created $[33,48]$. The given package contains more than 680 means which eliminate restrictions of a number of standard means of theMathematica, and expand its software environment with new means. In this context, the package can serve as a certain additional tool of modular programming, especially useful in the numerous applications where certain nonstandard evaluations have to accompany programming. At that, means presented in the given package have a direct relationship to certainprincipal questions of procedure-functional programming inMathematica, not only for the decision of applied problems, but, first of all, for creation of software extending frequently used facilities of the system and/or eliminating their defects or extending the system with new facilities. The software presented in this package contains a series of rather useful and effective receptions of programming in theMathematica system, and extends its software which allows in the system to programme the problems of various purpose more simply and effectively. The additional means composing the above package embrace the next sections of theMathematica system, namely:

- additional means in interactive mode of theMathematicasystem
-additional means of processing of expressions in theMathematicasystem
-additional means of processing of symbols and strings in theMathematica
-additional means of processing of sequences and lists in theMathematica
-additional means extending the standardMathematicafunctions or its software as a
whole (control structures branching and cycle,etc.)
-definition of procedures in theMathematicasoftware
-definition of the user functions and pure functions in theMathematicasoftware
-means of testing of procedures and functions in theMathematicasoftware
-headings of procedures and functions in theMathematicasoftware
-formal arguments of procedures and functions;
-local variables of modules and blocks;means of their processing
-global variables of modules and blocks;means of their processing
-attributes,options and values by default for arguments of the user blocks, functions and modules;additional means of their processing
-some useful additional means for processing of blocks,functions and modules
-additional means of the processing of internalMathematicadatafiles
-additional means of the processing of externalMathematicadatafiles
-additional means of the processing of attributes of directories and datafiles
-additional and some special means of processing of datafiles and directories
-additional means of operating with packages and contexts ascribed to them
-organization of the user software in theMathematicasystem.
This package, is mostly for people who want the more deep understanding in theMathematica programming, and particularly those theMathematica users who would like to make a transition from a user to a programmer, or perhaps those who already have some limited experience inMathematica programming but want to improve their possibilities in the system. Expert Mathematica programmers will probably find an useful information too. The archiveAVZ_Package.zip with the given package that owns the license FreeWare can be freely downloaded from the web-site presented in [48]. The package contains4 datafiles, namely:AVZ_Package.cdf, AVZ_Package.mx,
AVZ_Package.m, AVZ_Package.nb. In particular, for perusal of the package it is possible to use or datafileAVZ_Package_1.cdf with theCDF Player, or file AVZ_Package_1.m with a word processor, for example,Notepad. Such approach allows to satisfy the user on various operation platforms(Mac OS X, Windows, Linux, Linux ARM). The package contains more than680 tools that eliminate restrictions of a number of standard functions of the system, and extend its software with new means. In this context, this package can serve as a tool of programming, especially useful in numerous applications, where certain nonstandard evaluations have to accompany programming. At that, the memory size, demanded for theAVZ_Package package in the Mathematica10.1.0.0(on
Windows7Pro,ver. 6.1.7601) yields the next result: In[1]:= MemoryInUse[]
Out[1]= 28784392
In[2]:= Get["C:\Users\Aladjev\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.mx"] In[3]:=
MemoryInUse[]
Out[3]= 40724272
$\operatorname{In}[4]:=\mathrm{N}[(\%-\% \% \%) / 1024 \wedge 2]$
Out[4]= 11.3868
i.e. in theMathematica ourAVZ_Package package demands more11.4 MB, whereas quantity of software whose definitions are located in this package, at the moment of its uploading into the current session of theMathematica system is available on the basis of the following very simple calculations: In[1]:=
Get["C:|Users\Aladjev\Mathematica\AVZ_Package.mx"] In[2]:=
Length[CNames["AladjevProcedures"]]
Out[2]= 684
At that it must be kept in mind that debugging of means of the package was carried out on the basis ofMathematica of version10, and partially on the basis of version9. Therefore in some cases there can be certain slips at their performance that are rather simply eliminated. Unfortunately, regardless of sufficient stability of the built-inMath-language, upon transition from the younger version of theMathematica to more senior a certain adjustment can be needed. As a rule, similar adjustment for the used version of the system Mathematica isn't very complex.
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[^0]:    In[2694] := Clear[x, y, z]; ListAssignP[\{x, y, z\}, 3, 500]
    Out[2694] $=\{x, y, 500\}$
    In[2695]:= Clear[x, y, z]; ListAssignP[\{x, y, z\}, \{2, 3\}, \{73, 67\}]

[^1]:    In[2520]:= ReduceList[L_/; ListQ[L], $\mathbf{x}_{-}, \mathbf{z}_{-}, \mathbf{t} /$ /; MemberQ[\{1, 2\}, t]] :=

[^2]:    In[2385] := Headings[x_/; BlockFuncModQ[x]] := Module[\{n, d, h, p, t, k=1, c = \{\{"Block"\}, \{"Function"\}, \{"Module"\}\}, a = Flatten[\{PureDefinition[x]\}]\}, While[k <= Length[a], d = a[[k]]; n = ToString[Unique["agn"]]; ToExpression[n <> d]; ClearAll[p]; $\mathbf{h}=$ HeadPF[t = n <> ToString[x]]; d = StringTake[h, \{StringLength[n] + 1, -1\}]; BlockFuncModQ[t, p]; If[p == "Block", AppendTo[c[[1]], d], If[p == "Function", AppendTo[c[[2]], d], AppendTo[c[[3]], d]]]; ToExpression["Remove[" <>

[^3]:    \{ h, Symbol["a\$" <> ToString[\$ModuleNumber-1]], Symbol["b\$" <>
    ToString[\$ModuleNumber-1]], Symbol["c\$" <> ToString[\$ModuleNumber-1]], a b, c\}] $\operatorname{In}[2556]:=\mathrm{S}[77,67]$

[^4]:    In[2725] := DelDirFile1[x_/; StringQ[x] \&\& FileExistsQ[x] || DirQ[x] \&\&
    If[StringLength $[x]==3 \& \&$ StringTake $[x,\{2,2\}]==": "$, False, True]] := Module[\{a = \{\}, b = "", c = StandPath[x], d, f = "\$Art590Kr\$", $k=1\}$, If[DirQ[x], Run["Dir" <> c <> "/A/B/OG/S > "<>f]; Attribs[c, 90]; For[k, k < Infinity, k++, b = Read[f, String]; If[SameQ[b, EndOfFile], DeleteFile[Close[f]]; Break[],

[^5]:    Map[Flatten, Gather[Join[b, c], \#1[[1]] == \#2[[1]] \&]]]; b = Map[Flatten, Map[If[SameQ[\#[[1]], InputStream], AppendTo[h1, \#[[2 ;;-1]]], AppendTo[h2, \#[[2

[^6]:    In[2603] := Map[DirEmptyQ, \{"C:\Mathematica/Avz", "C:/temp", "C:"",
    "c:/Mathematica", "Rans", "c:/Mathematica/Avz/Agn/Art/Kr"\}]
    Out[2603]= \{False, False, False, False, DirEmptyQ["Rans"], True\}
    In[2604]:= DirEmptyQ["C:\Mathematica/Avz/Agn/Art/Kr/"]
    Out[2604]= True
    In[2605]:= Map[DirEmptyQ, \{"C:LMathematica/Avz", "C:/Temp/", "C:/"\}]

[^7]:    If[d != 0, \$Failed, d = ReadList[a, String]; DeleteFile[a]; b = Map[If[! DirectoryQ[\#] \& \& FileExtension[\#] == "m", FileBaseName[\#], "Null"] \&, d]; b = Select[b, \# != "Null" \&]; b = MinusList[DeleteDuplicates[b], \{"init", "PacletInfo"\}]; If[\{h\}!= \{\}\&\&! HowAct[h], h =\{\$Version, \$LicenseType, StringJoin[StringSplit[StringReplace[DateString[ \$LicenseExpirationDate], " "-> "* "], "*"][[1 ;;-2]]]\}]]; Sort[b]]

    ## In[2591] := MathPackages[]

    Out[2591]= \{"AbelianGroup", "AbortProtect", "Abs", "AbsoluteDashing", "AbsoluteOptions", "AbsolutePointSize", "AbsoluteThickness", "AbsoluteTime", "accessodbc", "AccountData", ......................,
    .................................................................................... "WriteDemo",
    "WriteString", "Wronskian", "WSDL", "XBox", "XGRID", "XML", "XMLElement", "XMLObject", "XMLSchema", "Xnor", "Xor", "ZapfDingbats", "ZernikeR", "ZeroTest", "Zeta", "ZetaZero", "Zip", "ZipfDistribution", "ZTest", "ZTransform"\} In[2592]:= Length[\%]
    Out[2592]= 2563
    In[2593]:= MathPackages[Sv]; Sv

[^8]:    c = StringCases1[a, \{"Windows", "ENDCONT"\}, "__"], b = StringPosition[a,
    \{"Windows", "CONT"\}]; c = StringTake[a, \{b[[1]][[1]], b[[2]][[2]]\}]]; c = StringReplace[c, Flatten[\{GenRules[d, ""],

[^9]:    $\operatorname{In}[4413]:=$ Tab1 := \{\{a, a73\}, \{b, b42\}, \{c, c47\}, \{Kr, d18\}, \{Art, h26\}\} $\operatorname{In}[4414]:=$ Table1[Tab1, "entry"]

