

MIT/LCS/TR-201

A DENOTATIONAL SEMANTICS OF CLU

Robert W. Scheifler

This blank page was inserted to preserve pagination.

A Denotational Semantics of CLU

Robert William Scheifler

May 1978

This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant MCS74-21892 A01.

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

Laboratory for Computer Science

Cambridge

Massachusetts 02139

A Denotational Semantics of CLU

by

Robert William Scheifler

Submitted to the
Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
on 15 May 1978 in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Science.

Abstract

A denotational semantics of CLU, an object-oriented language supporting data abstractions, is presented. The definition is based on Scott's lattice theoretic approach to the theory of computation. Modules, the basic unit of compilation, are represented in terms of a set of recursively defined domains called the abstract syntax. As part of checking the legality of a module, a transformation is made from the abstract syntax to a modified syntax; the transformation reflects the results of compile-time computations that need not be repeated at run-time. An execution environment is defined to be a set of objects, each with a particular state, together with a mapping from variable names to objects. The meaning of an expression or statement is a function that takes an environment and produces a result consisting of a termination condition, a list of zero or more objects, and a new environment; the termination condition is used to govern control flow. This uniform treatment of expressions and statements allows a simple definition of the run-time exception handling mechanism provided in CLU. The meaning of a procedure generator or iterator generator is a function that takes a list of actual parameters, a list of arguments, and an environment, and produces a result as for statement and expression evaluation. The meaning of parameters is given in terms of textual substitution. A non-parameterized routine is viewed as being a generator with an empty parameter list. The meaning of a cluster is a function that takes a list of actual cluster parameters and an operation name, and produces the meaning of that operation.

Keywords: denotational semantics, programming language semantics, CLU, Scott-Strachey method

Thesis Supervisor: **Barbara H. Liskov**
Associate Professor of Computer Science and Engineering

Acknowledgements

I particularly wish to thank Eliot Moss and Craig Schaffert for helpful discussions in the early stages of this thesis, and for plowing through rough drafts. I also wish to thank my thesis supervisor, Barbara Liskov, for supporting this research and for reading drafts. Finally, I wish to thank Alan Snyder for his text formatting program R, and numerous kind souls for keeping the Xerox Graphics Printer running; without R and the XGP this thesis would have been doomed.

Table of Contents

Abstract	2
Acknowledgements	3
Table of Contents	4
1. Introduction	6
2. Overview	9
2.1 Legality	9
2.2 Meaning	14
3. Theory and Notation	20
3.1 Domains	20
3.2 Notation	21
3.3 Functions	24
3.4 Further Notation	27
4. Syntactic Domains	30
4.1 Abstract Syntax	30
4.2 Transformed Syntax	36
4.3 Interface Specifications	39
5. Legality	41
5.1 Environments	41
5.2 Type Specifications	45
5.3 Constants	51
5.4 Expressions	61
5.5 Equates	72
5.6 Statements	74
5.7 Interface Specifications	88
5.8 Modules	103

6. Meaning	112
6.1 Environments	112
6.2 Expressions	114
6.3 Statements	118
6.4 Modules	125
6.5 Built-in Abstractions	127
7. Conclusions	153
7.1 Meaning	153
7.2 Legality	154
7.3 Compiler Verification	156
7.4 Comparison to Schaffert's Work	157
7.5 Directions for Future Research	158
References	160
Appendix. Parsing	162
Domain Index	169
Function Index	170

1. Introduction

With the design of the programming language CLU [Liskov77a, Liskov77b, Liskov78] essentially complete, it is important to have a precise semantic definition of the language. Such a definition can be useful to:

- 1) the designers, in verifying that CLU is well-defined,
- 2) implementors, in proving the correctness of system implementations,
- 3) programmers, in writing programs and proving them correct, and
- 4) other language designers, in gaining a precise understanding of CLU.

There are four basic styles of semantic definition. Grammar-oriented methods, such as attribute grammars [Knuth68], W-grammars [vanWijngaarden76], and production systems [Ledgard77], consist of a set of rules for generating legal programs together with a set of rules for defining the meaning of a program; the meaning is defined either by generating all possible execution sequences or by translating the program into a predefined programming or assertion language. Operational schemes, such as the Vienna Definition Language [Wegner72] and SEMANOL [Blum73], specify meaning by defining a metaprogram, executable on an abstract machine, to serve as an interpreter; the meaning of a program for particular inputs is given by a trace of the computation performed by the interpreter. Denotational methods, such as the Oxford definition method [Scott71a, Milne76, Stoy77], define the meaning of a program as a mathematical function from initial to final states, with intermediate state changes ignored. Axiomatic techniques, such as that proposed by Hoare [Hoare69], specify meaning with axiom schemas and rules of inference; the meaning of a program is a mathematical relation between predicates true of all states before and after execution.

Unfortunately, no single style of definition is well-suited to the needs of all four classes of people listed above [Hoare74]. Grammar-oriented and operational definitions are the most appropriate for implementors, whereas designers often need a denotational semantics, with "irrelevant" implementation details abstracted away. On the other hand, an axiomatic definition is the most useful for programmers. Thus several definitions may be needed. However, there should be one "standard" definition, against which all others must

ultimately (if indirectly) be compared.

This thesis presents a denotational semantics of CLU, based on the lattice-theoretic approach to the theory of computation as initiated by Scott [Scott70, Scott71b, Scott72]. We have chosen a denotational semantics for the following reasons:

- 1) Compile-time type-checking, a very important aspect of CLU, does not fit within an axiomatic framework but is easily described with denotational techniques. Hence a denotational semantics can be used to verify a compiler's implementation of type-checking.
- 2) Current axiomatic methods do not handle the object-oriented semantics of CLU. Specifically, the treatment of side-effects on objects is not well developed. We believe a denotational semantics will be a useful tool in extending axiomatic techniques to encompass object-oriented semantics. In particular, proving axiomatic and denotational definitions equivalent is generally much simpler than proving axiomatic and operational definitions equivalent.
- 3) Although a denotational semantics is not particularly suited to the task of verifying a code generator or a run-time system, a denotational semantics is generally simpler and more concise than an operational semantics, and thus is a better candidate for the standard definition.
- 4) A new formalism, based on a new model of computation, has been developed by Schaffert [Schaffert78b] and used to define CLU [Schaffert78a]. Designed explicitly for object-oriented languages supporting data abstractions, Schaffert's method combines various elements of operational, denotational, and axiomatic techniques. We wish to present an alternative definition of CLU using an established method, so that the usefulness of Schaffert's work can be evaluated for a non-trivial language.

We do not provide an informal description of the syntax and semantics of CLU. Rather, we assume the reader is familiar with [Liskov78]. We do describe the most basic elements of lattice theory necessary to understand the definition, but we assume familiarity with the λ -calculus [Church51]. We do not deal with the question of whether the definition is well-founded; readers interested in the details of fixed points and reflexive

domain constructions are referred to [Scott71b, Scott76] for proof.

Chapter 2 provides an overview of the definition, describing the most important and novel aspects. Chapter 3 presents the theory and notation used throughout the remainder of the thesis. An abstract syntax for CLU is given in Chapter 4, as well as a modified syntax used for defining meaning. Chapter 5 defines legal programs and the transformation from the abstract to the modified syntax, and Chapter 6 defines the meaning of legal programs. Indexes to the numerous domains and functions defined in these chapters are provided at the end of the thesis, and should prove useful aids to the reader. Chapter 7 concludes with some general comments about the definition and gives a comparison of our definition to the one done by Schaffert.

2. Overview

The basic unit of compilation in CLU is a *module*, an implementation of an abstraction. Our definition consists of two major parts. The first part, defining what constitutes a legal module, captures the notion of *compilation*, while the second part, defining the meaning of legal modules, captures the notion of *execution* (or *evaluation*). This chapter gives an overview of these two parts.

2.1 Legality

For a module to be legal, it must satisfy certain syntactic and semantic constraints. Checking the context-free syntactic constraints is part of parsing, and will not be dealt with. Instead we define an *abstract syntax*, in terms of a set of recursively defined domains, to represent all possible parse trees. The abstract syntax retains the basic syntactic structure of the program text but various details, such as formatting and the presence of comments, are not represented. The precise relationship between the abstract syntax and actual text is defined in an appendix. We group the checking of context-sensitive syntactic constraints along with type-checking under the term *legality-checking*.

2.1.1 Syntactic Transformations

Among other things, legality-checking involves the evaluation of constants, the substitution of actual values for equated identifiers, the expansion of abbreviated forms of invocation, and the resolution of external references. These same computations are necessary to define the meaning of a module. If we are to separate the legality of a module from its meaning, we must retain the relevant information from the checking phase and not recompute it when defining the meaning. This implies that, as part of legality-checking, we must transform the original parse tree into a new (and simpler) form.

Some of the declarative information in a module is not needed when defining the meaning of the module. For example, much of the module heading exists solely for the purpose of type-checking. Further, the declarative information that *is* necessary for

defining the meaning is usually scattered throughout the module, and must be collected and put in a more useful form. For example, variable declarations do not cause any immediate action during evaluation, but variables local to a given scoping unit must be removed when that unit is exited. Thus at some point it is necessary to collect all of the variables declared in each scoping unit.

Since all of the declarative information is static and need only be processed once, we prefer to include all of the processing as part of legality-checking. Hence "legality-checking" involves not only checking the legality of a module, but also removing information irrelevant to evaluation, and putting information necessary to evaluation in its most usable form. However, not all of the transformations we wish to perform are mappings into a subset of the abstract syntax. Therefore we define a new set of domains, called the *transformed syntax*, for representing the modified parse trees. For each domain of the abstract syntax, we define a function that both checks an element of the domain and constructs a new element in the transformed syntax.

2.1.2 Compilation Environments

The legality of a program fragment generally depends on the specific context in which the fragment appears. Therefore, legality-checking is always done with respect to a particular *compilation environment*, or CE. Part of the CE is a user-supplied mapping from identifiers to abstractions and constants, used to resolve external references; although this mapping is called the CE in [Liskov78], we will use the term CE for the complete environment. In addition, the CE contains the current declarations of local identifiers, interface information derived from the module heading, a flag indicating if the body of a loop statement is being checked (to determine the legality of break and continue statements), and information about all exception handlers surrounding the current statement.

The CE also contains part of the CLU *library*, the repository of all information about abstractions. For each abstraction there is a *description unit* (DU) containing all system-maintained information about that abstraction. For our purposes there are only two

pieces of information a DU contains: an *interface specification* representing all information needed to type-check uses of the abstraction, and a list of *implementations*. Since this information can expand over time (DUs are initially empty) and we have only static mathematical objects to work with, a DU is represented simply by a unique id. The library is then represented by a pair of mappings, one from DUs to interface specifications, and one from DUs to implementations. The CE contains only the first mapping.

2.1.3 Interface Specifications

In general, before a module can be compiled, the library must contain an interface specification for every abstraction the module uses. For our definition to be complete then, we need to define what legal interface specifications are and how interfaces are entered into the library. Although a specific textual form for interfaces is not given in [Liskov78], the interface for an abstraction can be derived from any legal module implementing that abstraction. Therefore, rather than introducing a textual form for interfaces, we will view a request to compile a module as if it were a request to first derive the interface and (if necessary) enter the interface into the library, and then actually compile the module.

Thus we allow a module to be compiled even though the library does not contain the interface of the particular abstraction being implemented; the interface is installed as a side-effect of compilation. More generally, a group of modules can be compiled simultaneously even though interfaces do not exist for any of the corresponding abstractions.

With this view of compilation, legality-checking takes on the following form. First, interfaces are derived from the headings of the modules to be compiled. These interfaces must be identical (up to renaming of formal parameters) to the interfaces already in the library, if any. The derived interfaces are then installed temporarily in the library and the modules are checked in their entirety. The derived interfaces remain in the library if all of the modules are legal; otherwise they are removed.

Although it is fairly easy to derive and check the interface of almost any reasonable module, it is possible to construct nonsensical yet legal modules for which the process is not so easy. Unfortunately, it is extremely difficult to devise simple rules that make nonsensical modules illegal without also making some sensible modules illegal, and no such rules exist in CLU. Hence we must deal with a few problems.

In particular, certain kinds of cyclic and self references complicate the way interfaces must be derived. For example, to derive the interface of *F* in

```
P = proc () returns (A[false]); ... end P;
F = proc () returns (A[F = P]); ... end F;
```

one must evaluate the expression "*F = P*". This expression is an abbreviation for the invocation "*T\$equal(F, P)*", where *T* is the type of *F*. If we take the stand that illegal expressions should never be evaluated, then to type-check "*F = B*" we must know the exact type of *F*. However, the type of *F* depends on the value of the very expression we are attempting to evaluate.

Although this is a bizarre example and would never occur in a real program, it is in fact legal. We can reason as follows. *P* and *F* are distinct procedures, and distinct procedures are never considered equal. Therefore the invocation evaluates to **false** if it is legal. But then *P* and *F* are of the same type so the invocation is actually legal. (The invocation would be illegal if *P* were written with "*A[true]*".)

As a slightly more reasonable example, consider a two-person game where players operate by different rules. One might try to represent alternating moves in the game as follows:

```
offense = cluster [t: type] is best_replies, ... where t has ... ;
...
best_replies = iter (x: cvt) yields (defense[t]); ... end best_replies;
end offense;

defense = cluster [u: type] is best_replies, ... where u has ... ;
...
best_replies = iter (x: cvt) yields (offense[u]); ... end best_replies;
end defense;
```

The interface of *defense* is needed to check the interface of *offense* (specifically to check

"defense[t]"), and vice versa (to check "offense[u]"). Initially we can assume that "defense[t]" and "offense[u]" are legal type specifications; then after the derived interfaces are installed we can actually check the legality of these constructs.

As these examples suggest, we can derive an interface and check its legality, but two passes are required to guarantee that no errors are missed. In the first pass certain constructs are simply assumed to be legal, and must be evaluated with incomplete type information. Then these constructs are rechecked when checking the entire module, this time with complete information, to ensure there are no inconsistencies.

In more detail, we begin legality-checking by installing one of two special interfaces for each abstraction being implemented. The special interface `type` is used when the implementing module is a cluster; every use of the abstraction is considered a legal type specification, regardless of the number and types of actual parameters supplied. In addition, every operation name qualified by such a type specification is considered legal. The special interface `routine` is used when the implementing module is a procedure or iterator; every use of the abstraction is considered a legal routine name, regardless of the number and types of actual parameters supplied.

We also define a special type, `routine`, to be used as the syntactic type of every routine name (and every operation name) that is assumed legal. (This does not mean that "routine" is a reserved word; a use of "routine" in a program will not refer to this special type.) The type `routine` is a "wild card" in that it is considered equal to (and hence includes and is included in) all procedure and iterator types. `Routine` is defined to have the same operations that procedure and iterator types have. Returning to the invocation "F = P" in the first example above, both F and P would be assumed to be legal names of syntactic type `routine`, so the invocation would expand to "`routine$equ(F, P)`" and then evaluate to `false`.

The special interfaces and the type `routine` are used only when deriving interfaces. The type `routine` is used only when an abstraction has a special interface, and the special interfaces disappear when the derived interfaces are installed.

2.2 Meaning

Our definition of the meaning of a legal program can be summarized as follows. The CLU *universe* is defined to be the set of all potentially existing *objects*. A particular set of objects, each object having a particular *individual state*, is termed a *universal state*. An *execution environment* is defined to be a universal state together with a set of *variable bindings* represented as a mapping from identifiers to objects. The meaning of an expression or statement is a function that takes an environment and produces a *result*, consisting of a *termination condition*, a list of zero or more objects, and a new environment. The meaning of a procedure generator or iterator generator is a function that takes a list of actual parameters, a list of arguments, and an environment, and produces a result. The meaning of parameters is given in terms of "textual" substitution. A non-parameterized routine is viewed as being a generator with an empty parameter list. The meaning of a cluster is a function that takes a list of actual cluster parameters and an operation name, and produces the meaning of that operation.

We now discuss these points in more detail.

2.2.1 Objects

Every object has an *identity*, distinct from the identity of all other objects, and a set of *properties*. Objects with only time-invariant properties are called *constant*; the properties associated with a constant object comprise that object's *value*. Objects with time-varying properties are called *mutable*; the current "values" of the properties of a mutable object are collectively termed the *state* of that object.

One time-invariant property associated with every object is membership in a type. Were it not for the necessity of defining the parameterized procedure force, which requires testing the type of an object, there would be no need to include any type information in our representation of objects. However, since this information must be kept for at least some objects, we choose to keep it for all objects. One component of every object is thus a *type descriptor*, a canonical name for the type of which the object is a

member.

The presence of a type descriptor in every object simplifies the task of making objects distinguishable. In particular, since every constant object has a unique value, the value can serve to represent the object without introducing explicit identities. For example, the constant objects obtained from the invocations

```
oneof(a, b: int)$make_a(3)
```

```
oneof(a, c: int)$make_a(3)
```

can (only) be distinguished by their types:

```
(tag: "a", val: (val: 3, type: int), type: oneof(a: int, b: int))
```

```
(tag: "a", val: (val: 3, type: int), type: oneof(a: int, c: int))
```

However, it is not sufficient to represent a mutable object by its state, as different mutable objects can have identical state. Rather, the identity of a mutable object is explicitly represented by a unique id.

Although we would like to represent a mutable object directly by its identity and state, this cannot be done. The problem is that we want to be able to change the state of an object without having to alter the representation of any other object. To illustrate, suppose we have two arrays, represented (approximately) as

```
(id: A, low: 1, elts: (), type: array(int))
```

```
(id: B, low: 1, elts: ( (id: A, low: 1, elts: (), type: array(int)) ),  
type: array(array(int)))
```

The array B contains the array A as an element. Now suppose we change the low bound of A:

```
(id: A, low: 2, elts: (), type: array(int))
```

```
(id: B, low: 1, elts: ( (id: A, low: 1, elts: (), type: array(int)) ),  
type: array(array(int)))
```

Since we are dealing with static mathematical values there is no sharing, and the change to A is not reflected in the "copy" of A contained in B.

Our solution to this problem is to represent a mutable object with just a unique id and a type descriptor. The above example would then look something like

```
(id: A, type: array(int))
(id: B, type: array(array(int)))
```

The association between mutable objects and the rest of their state is given by a mapping (or mappings) as part of the universal state. For example,

```
state(A) = (low: 2, elts: ())
state(B) = (low: 1, elts: ( (id: A, type: array(int)) ))
```

In fact, by considering constant objects as always in existence, the universal state can be represented simply as a list of mutable objects (i.e., unique id-type descriptor pairs) together with one or more state mappings.

In dealing with parameters, it will be convenient to treat actual parameters uniformly as objects. The only actual parameters not normally thought of as objects are types, but there is no difficulty in treating them as such. A type object is represented by a pair of type descriptors, one for the type itself and one for the type of the object as a whole. For example,

```
(val: array(int), type: type)
```

2.2.2 Variables

We would like to model variables with a mapping from identifiers to objects. (In particular, we wish to avoid using the standard "store" semantics [Strachey73], where variables name memory locations that contain pointers to locations containing objects.) Because of the representation we have chosen for objects, there are no difficulties with this model; having several variables denote the same object is essentially the same as having several objects contain the same object.

Uninitialized variables are treated in the following manner. Initially all variables denote a unique "bad" object, which otherwise cannot be produced in a legal computation. Whenever a variable is referenced, the denoted object is checked to ensure that it is not the "bad" object. At the end of each scoping unit, all variables declared local to that unit are again made uninitialized by mapping them back to the "bad" object.

2.2.3 Termination and Exception Handling

A *continuation* is a function that defines the meaning of the "rest" of the program, starting from a particular point in the text. Continuations are normally used when non-sequential control flow is possible [Wadsworth79]. For example, continuations can be defined at every labelled point in a program; the meaning of "go to L" is then defined as the application of the continuation for L to the current environment. However, since only well-structured, forward transfers of control occur in CLU, we have chosen not to use continuations. Instead, we "tag" the outcome of every evaluation with a *termination condition*.

The basic idea is that one condition, *normal*, implies that control should proceed sequentially, while all other conditions imply a transfer of control. The equations of the definition are written so that results with non-normal termination conditions propagate through all intermediate evaluations until the appropriate point of control is reached. For example, the result of a *return* statement propagates to the procedure or iterator boundary and then changes to a result with a normal termination condition. Similarly, the result of a *signal* statement propagates to the procedure or iterator boundary and then changes to look like the result of an *exit* statement; the result then propagates to the appropriate handler in the caller.

For this technique to work well, it is necessary that expressions and statements evaluate to elements of the same domain, so that results can propagate freely. The result of such an evaluation therefore is defined to be a triple consisting of a termination condition, a list of zero or more objects, and an environment. Normally, expression evaluation produces a result with just one object and statement evaluation produces a result with no objects.

Since expression evaluation cannot alter any variable bindings (but statement evaluation can), one might wish to make the result of expression evaluation include just a universal state instead of a complete environment. Although this would obviate the fact that expression evaluation does not alter the variable bindings for subsequent evaluations, it would not obviate the fact that variable bindings for subexpression evaluations are not

affected. Since the proofs of these facts are trivial, but having two result forms would complicate the definition, we prefer to use a single form of result.

2.2.4 Iterators

There are basically two ways to view an iterator. The first way is that an iterator actually yields values to a `for` statement. In order to define iterators in this way, an iterator must actually yield an extra value, namely a continuation, so that the iterator can be resumed. In the second view a *closure*, consisting of the loop body and the variable bindings active for that body, is passed to the iterator. At each `yield` statement the loop body is evaluated with its active variable bindings to produce a new universal state and new variable bindings. The new bindings replace the previous bindings in the closure, and the iterator continues with its own active variable bindings and the new universal state. When the iterator terminates it returns the variable bindings produced by the last loop cycle, and the caller continues with those bindings.

We are not using continuations to define any other constructs of CLU, so it seems best to take the second view of iterators. Further, defining iterators in this fashion involves much less interaction with other clauses of the definition than would be the case with the first view, and works out much simpler overall.

The closure for a `for` statement is kept as a component of the environment. However, iterators can invoke other iterators, so the environment actually contains a stack of closures. The caller pushes on a closure before invoking the iterator. At each `yield` statement the iterator pops off the top closure, evaluates the loop body, and then pushes a new closure back on the stack. When the iterator terminates, the caller pops off the top closure.

Since the iterator controls evaluation of the loop body, the `for` statement automatically terminates when the iterator terminates. On the other hand, if the loop body executes a `return` or `signal` statement or terminates in an exceptional condition, this information must be propagated through the iterator and back to the caller. The information must be treated specially; for example, the result of a `return` statement executed in the loop body

should not be changed at the iterator boundary to look like a normal result, as would happen for a return statement executed in the iterator. Thus, special termination conditions are used in these cases.

2.2.5 Modules

Rather than distinguishing between parameterized and non-parameterized modules, we prefer to view every module as having a parameter list, though the list can be empty. The meaning of a procedure is then a function that takes a list of actual parameter objects (treating types as objects as previously discussed), a list of argument objects, and an execution environment, and produces a result (a termination condition, a list of objects, and a new environment). The meaning of parameters is given by a rewriting rule: the actual parameters are substituted for the formal parameters in the parse tree before the procedure body is evaluated. Hence there is no need for parameter bindings in the execution environment.

Because of the way we have defined iterators, they have the same functionality as procedures. The iterator and its caller modify the environment rather than explicitly passing extra values back and forth.

The meaning of a cluster is a function that takes a list of actual cluster parameters and an operation name, and produces the meaning of that operation. The actual parameters are substituted for the formal cluster parameters before the meaning of the operation is derived; the meaning of the operation is then defined as for any other procedure or iterator. The function produces the meanings of all routines in the cluster, including "hidden" operations; legality-checking ensures that only the cluster can directly name the hidden operations.

3. Theory and Notation

This chapter describes the most basic elements of the lattice-theoretic approach to the theory of computation, and introduces the notation used in the remainder of the thesis. Our goal here is to say just enough about the underlying theory for the language definition to make sense; many aspects will be glossed over or ignored. Motivation for and detailed discussion of the lattice-theoretic approach can be found in [Scott72].

3.1 Domains

A *domain* is a non-empty set of elements. (A domain must also have a partial ordering defined on its elements, but the ordering will not concern us here.) Every domain D has a distinguished element, \perp_D , called *bottom*. Bottom is used to represent the value of meaningless, illegal, nonterminating, or otherwise undefined computations. Legality-checking never involves such a computation, and legality-checking guarantees that bottom is produced only by nonterminating computations during program execution.

Domains are constructed in the following ways:

- 1) Given a countable set of elements, a *primitive* domain is formed by adjoining to the set a distinguished element to serve as bottom.
- 2) Given domains D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n , the *product* domain

$$\prod_{i=1}^n D_i = D_1 \times D_2 \times \dots \times D_n$$

is defined to be the set of all n -tuples of the form

$$\langle d_1; d_2; \dots; d_n \rangle$$

where each d_i is an element of D_i . A product domain is essentially a form of constant record. The element

$$\langle \perp_{D_1}; \perp_{D_2}; \dots; \perp_{D_n} \rangle$$

is distinguished as bottom for the product domain. When all of the D_i are the same domain D , we write $\prod_{i=1}^n D_i$ as D^n , the set of all lists of length n of elements of D . We treat D and D^1 as the same domain. We define D^0 to be the set $\{\perp_D, \langle \rangle\}$ for all domains D . The 0-tuple $\langle \rangle$ is written as "nil".

3) Given domains D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n , the *sum domain*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D_i = D_1 + D_2 + \dots + D_n$$

is defined to be the set of all pairs of the form

$$\langle i; d_i \rangle$$

where d_i is a proper element (i.e., not bottom) of D_i . In addition, the sum domain contains a distinguished element as bottom. A sum domain is similar to a oneof type.

4) Given a domain D , the domain D^* of all lists of elements of D is defined by

$$D^* = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D^n = D^0 + D^1 + \dots + D^n + \dots$$

Similarly, the domain D^+ of all non-empty lists is defined by

$$D^+ = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D^n = D^1 + D^2 + \dots + D^n + \dots$$

5) Given domains D_1 and D_2 , the *function domain*

$$D_1 \rightarrow D_2$$

is defined to be the set of all continuous functions from D_1 to D_2 . We will not define continuity here (see [Scott72]), but simply note that all of the functions used in this thesis are continuous. The function

$$\lambda d_1. \perp_{D_2}$$

is distinguished as bottom for the function domain.

We define 'x' to have precedence over '+' and '→', and '+' to have precedence over '→'.

For example, we write

$$\text{Expression} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Expr} \times \text{CE}$$

instead of

$$[\text{Expression} \times \text{CE}] \rightarrow [\text{Expr} \times \text{CE}]$$

3.2 Notation

In general each domain is given a name, consisting of letters and underscores. As an exception, the domains $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D^n$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D^n$ are named D^* and D^+ , respectively, for any domain D . Domain names are written with at least the first letter capitalized.

An arbitrary element of a domain is written as the domain name all in lower case, with a digit added if necessary to distinguish it from other names. Thus,

d, d_1, d_2 are names for arbitrary elements of D
 d^*, d_1^*, d_2^* are names for arbitrary elements of D^*
 d^+, d_1^+, d_2^+ are names for arbitrary elements of D^+

Names for specific functions appear in italics.

For any name D , the boldface name D stands for a two-element domain whose (only) proper element is written as the boldface name d . For example, the domain **True** consists of two elements, \perp_{True} and **true**. In addition, a boldface operator (+, -, etc.) or punctuation symbol ((), [], etc.) names, according to context, either a two-element domain or the proper element of that domain.

A typical element of a product domain $\prod_{i=1}^n D_i$ is usually written as

$\langle d_1; d_2; \dots; d_n \rangle$

However, when all of the components come from syntactic domains, boldface elements and spaces serve to separate the various components, and elements are written as

$[[d_1 d_2 \dots d_n]]$

For example, a typical element of the domain

Begin \times **Body** \times **End**

is written as

$[[\text{begin body end}]]$

The syntactic domains are those used in the abstract syntax, the transformed syntax, and interface specifications. They are defined in the next chapter.

We will often want to extract specific components from elements of product domains. Therefore, each component is given a name. Occasionally we will list these names explicitly in the domain definition, as in

vars: $\text{Idn}^* \times \text{body} : \text{Unit} \times \text{env} : \text{Env}$

When names are not explicitly given, they are taken to be the component domain names in lower case, except that * and + are changed to 's', and a digit is added if necessary to distinguish components of the same domain. For example, the component names of

$\text{Obj}^* \times \text{Obj}^* \times \text{Env}$

are

$\text{objs1} \quad \text{objs2} \quad \text{env}$

Given an expression representing an element of a domain, a component is selected by appending a period, followed by the component name. For example,

$d.\text{objs2}$

To "replace" a component named *name* of an element *elt* with a new value *val*, we use the notation

$\text{elt} [\text{val} \bullet \text{name}]$

Note that this does not change *elt*, it merely stands for some other element:

$\langle d_1; \dots; d_n \rangle [\text{val} \bullet d_i] = \langle d_1; \dots; d_{i-1}; \text{val}; d_{i+1}; \dots; d_n \rangle$

We define

$\text{let name} = \text{val in exp}$

to be

$(\lambda \text{name}. \text{exp}) \text{val}$

That is, substitute *val* for every free occurrence of *name* in *exp*. Often only names for the components of *val* are needed, so we define both

$\text{let } \langle d_1; \dots; d_n \rangle = \text{val in exp}$

and

$\text{let } [d_1 \dots d_n] = \text{val in exp}$

to be

$\text{let } d_1 = \text{val}.d_1 \text{ in } \dots \text{let } d_n = \text{val}.d_n \text{ in exp}$

We build the naming of components into λ -expressions by defining

$\lambda(d_1, \dots, d_n). \text{exp}$

to be

$\lambda t. (\text{let } \langle d_1; \dots; d_n \rangle = t \text{ in exp})$

and when applying a function to an element of a product domain we write $g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ instead of $g(\langle x_1; \dots; x_n \rangle)$.

The form

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv \text{exp}$$

means that g is defined to be

$$\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n). \text{exp}$$

When defining functions recursively, we use the form

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv \text{rec } h(g, x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

This means that one should compute g as the least fixed point of

$$\lambda f. [\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n). h(f, x_1, \dots, x_n)]$$

Least fixed points are defined in [Scott72].

3.3 Functions

Some of the functions defined below return boolean values. The domain **Bool** is defined to be the sum domain **True + False**.

A domain D is said to be *function-free* if no element of D is, or contains, a function.

For every function-free domain D we define the function

$$\text{Equal}: \quad D \times D \rightarrow \text{Bool} \quad \text{written } d1 = d2$$

as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} d1 = d2 &\equiv \text{true} && \text{if } d1 \text{ and } d2 \text{ are the same proper element} \\ d1 = d2 &\equiv \text{false} && \text{if } d1 \text{ and } d2 \text{ are distinct proper elements} \\ d1 = d2 &\equiv \perp_{\text{Bool}} && \text{if } d1 \text{ or } d2 \text{ is } \perp_D \end{aligned}$$

For every sum domain $D = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i$ we define the functions

$$\begin{aligned} A_i\text{-injection}: \quad A_i &\rightarrow D && \text{written } a_i \text{ in } D \\ A_i\text{-projection}: \quad D &\rightarrow A_i && \text{written } d \text{ to } A_i \\ A_i\text{-inspection}: \quad D &\rightarrow \text{Bool} && \text{written } d \text{ is } A_i \end{aligned}$$

as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_i \text{ in } D &\equiv \langle i; a_i \rangle && \text{when } a_i \text{ is a proper element} \\ \perp_{A_i} \text{ in } D &\equiv \perp_D \\ \langle i; a_i \rangle \text{ to } A_i &\equiv a_i \\ \langle j; a_j \rangle \text{ to } A_i &\equiv \perp_{A_i} && \text{when } i \neq j \\ \perp_D \text{ to } A_i &\equiv \perp_{A_i} \\ \langle j; a_j \rangle \text{ is } A_i &\equiv i = j \end{aligned}$$

$$\perp_D \text{ is } A_1 \quad \square \quad \perp_{\text{Bool}}$$

These functions are roughly the same as the ones of *make_*, *value_*, and *is_* operations in CLU.

We leave off the explicit injection when an element is in boldface, since the injection is obvious. In addition, we write *true* and *false* instead of (*true in Bool*) and (*false in Bool*).

For every domain D , we define the function

$$\text{Cond:} \quad \text{Bool} \times D \times D \rightarrow D \quad \text{written} \quad \text{if } \text{bool} \text{ then } d1 \text{ else } d2$$

as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } \mathbf{true} \text{ then } d1 \text{ else } d2 & \quad \square \quad d1 \\ \text{if } \mathbf{false} \text{ then } d1 \text{ else } d2 & \quad \square \quad d2 \\ \text{if } \perp_{\text{Bool}} \text{ then } d1 \text{ else } d2 & \quad \square \quad \perp_D \end{aligned}$$

For every domain D^* we define the functions

<i>Head</i> :	$D^* \rightarrow D$	get first element
<i>Tail</i> :	$D^* \rightarrow D^*$	get all but first element
<i>Cons</i> :	$D \times D^* \rightarrow D^*$	add element at front
<i>Append</i> :	$D^* \times D \rightarrow D^*$	add element at end
<i>Concat</i> :	$D^* \times D^* \rightarrow D^*$	concatenate
<i>Empty</i> :	$D^* \rightarrow \text{Bool}$	test for empty list
<i>Same_size</i> :	$D^* \times D^* \rightarrow \text{Bool}$	test for equal length
<i>Delist</i> :	$[D^*]^* \rightarrow D^*$	remove one level of nesting

The definitions of all but the last of these should be obvious. If we let $X = D^*$, then *Delist* is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Delist}(x^*) & \quad \square \quad \text{rec. if } \text{Empty}(x^*) \\ & \quad \text{then nil in } D^* \\ & \quad \text{else } \text{Concat}(\text{Head}(x^*), \text{Delist}(\text{Tail}(x^*))) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for example (leaving out a number of injections),

$$\text{Delist}(\langle \langle \langle 1; 2 \rangle; \langle 3; 4 \rangle \rangle; \langle \langle 5; 6; 7 \rangle; \langle 8; 9 \rangle \rangle \rangle) = \langle \langle 1; 2 \rangle; \langle 3; 4 \rangle; \langle 5; 6; 7 \rangle; \langle 8; 9 \rangle \rangle$$

Occasionally it will be useful to treat elements of a domain D^* as if they represent unordered sets. For every function-free domain D^* , we define the functions

Element: $D \times D^* \rightarrow \text{Bool}$ written $d \in d^*$
Subset: $D^* \times D^* \rightarrow \text{Bool}$ written $d1^* \subset d2^*$

as follows:

$d \in d^* = \text{rec if Empty}(d^*)$
 then false
 else $d = \text{Head}(d^*) \vee d \in \text{Tail}(d^*)$

$d1^* \subset d2^* = \text{rec if Empty}(d1^*)$
 then true
 else $\text{Head}(d1^*) \in d2^* \wedge \text{Tail}(d1^*) \subset d2^*$

Note that $d1^* \subset d2^*$ simply checks that every element of $d1^*$ is an element of $d2^*$; duplicate elements are allowed in both lists.

Often when dealing with lists of elements from a product domain $D = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$, we will want to collect into a list the i th component of each element. For every domain D^* , where $D = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$, we define the functions

A_i -collection: $D^* \rightarrow A_i^*$ written $d^* \downarrow a_i$

as follows:

$d^* \downarrow a_i = \text{rec if Empty}(d^*)$
 then nil in A_i^*
 else $\text{Cons}(\text{Head}(d^*).a_i, \text{Tail}(d^*) \downarrow a_i)$

Sometimes we will want to "change" the value produced by a function for some particular argument. For example, we will use a function from identifiers to objects to represent variable bindings, and assignment to a variable will correspond to changing the object produced by the function for that variable. However, since we cannot change functions, we construct new ones instead. For every function domain $F = A \rightarrow B$, where A is a function-free domain, we define the function

Rebind: $F \times A \times B \rightarrow F$ written $f[a \leftarrow b]$

as follows:

$$f[a \leftarrow b] = \lambda a. \text{ if } a = a \\ \text{ then } b \\ \text{ else } f(a)$$

Occasionally we will want to take an element of one sum domain and treat it as an element of some other sum domain. For example, we might want to treat an element of a domain D^+ as an element of D^* . For any two sum domains

$$D = \left[\sum_{i=1}^m B_i \right] + \left[\sum_{i=1}^n A_i \right] + \left[\sum_{i=1}^s C_i \right] \quad T = \left[\sum_{i=1}^r E_i \right] + \left[\sum_{i=1}^n A_i \right] + \left[\sum_{i=1}^v F_i \right]$$

we define the function

Coercion: $D \rightarrow T$ written $d \text{ to_in } T$

as follows:

$$d \text{ to_in } T = \text{ if } d \text{ is } A_1 \\ \text{ then } d \text{ to } A_1 \text{ in } T \\ \text{ else if } d \text{ is } A_2 \\ \text{ then } d \text{ to } A_2 \text{ in } T \\ \dots \\ \text{ else if } d \text{ is } A_n \\ \text{ then } d \text{ to } A_n \text{ in } T \\ \text{ else } \perp_T$$

We leave off explicit coercions from D^+ to D^* for every domain D .

3.4 Further Notation

We define

$$\text{res } \langle \text{term}; \text{obj}^*; \text{env} \rangle = t \text{ in } e$$

to be an abbreviation for

$$\text{if } t.\text{term} \text{ is Normal} \\ \text{ then let } \langle \text{term}; \text{obj}^*; \text{env} \rangle = t \text{ in } e \\ \text{ else } t$$

when t and e are (or produce) elements of the domain **Result** (defined in Chapter 6). It is this form that allows results with non-normal termination conditions to propagate through intermediate evaluations. Use of this form also has the desirable effect of allowing the result of a nonterminating computation (i.e., \perp_{Result}) to propagate through.

We abbreviate

let $a_1 = t_1$ in
 ...
 let $a_i = t_i$ in
 res $a_{i+1} = t_{i+1}$ in
 ...
 res $a_j = t_j$ in
 let $a_{j+1} = t_{j+1}$ in
 ...
 let $a_n = t_n$ in e

to

let $a_1 = t_1$
 ...
 $a_i = t_i$
 res $a_{i+1} = t_{i+1}$
 ...
 $a_j = t_j$
 let $a_{j+1} = t_{j+1}$
 ...
 $a_n = t_n$
 in
 e

To decompose elements of a sum domain $D = \sum_{j=1}^n A_j$ we define

case d

elem a_j of A_j
 then e_j
 ...
 elem a_k of A_k
 then e_k
 else e

to be

if (d is A_j)
 then let $a_j = (d \text{ to } A_j)$ in e_j
 ...
 else if (d is A_k)
 then let $a_k = (d \text{ to } A_k)$ in e_k
 else e

and

case d

elem a_j of A_j
 then e_j
 ...
 elem a_k of A_k
 then e_k
 end

to be

if (d is A_j)
 then let $a_j = (d \text{ to } A_j)$ in e_j
 ...
 else if (d is A_k)
 then let $a_k = (d \text{ to } A_k)$ in e_k
 else \perp

There need not be an elem arm for every domain A_i . As for let expressions, the a_i can be $\langle c_1; \dots; c_n \rangle$ and $\llbracket c_1 \dots c_n \rrbracket$ forms as well as simple identifiers.

Many of the functions we will define take an element of a sum domain as their first argument, and perform different computations based on the particular form of that argument. In defining a function whose first argument belongs to a sum domain $D = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i$, we usually abbreviate

$$\begin{array}{l}
 g(d, \dots) = \text{case } d \\
 \quad \text{elem } a_1 \text{ of } A_1 \\
 \quad \quad \text{then } e_1 \\
 \quad \quad \dots \\
 \quad \quad \text{elem } a_n \text{ of } A_n \\
 \quad \quad \quad \text{then } e_n \\
 \quad \text{end}
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{to} \quad
 \begin{array}{l}
 g[a_1](\dots) = e_1 \\
 \dots \\
 g[a_n](\dots) = e_n
 \end{array}$$

Similarly, we will sometimes treat a product domain $D = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$ as if it were a "unary sum domain" $\sum D$, and abbreviate

$$\begin{array}{l}
 g(d, \dots) = \text{let } [a_1 \dots a_n] = d \\
 \quad \text{in} \\
 \quad e
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{to} \quad
 g[a_1 \dots a_n](\dots) = e$$

4. Syntactic Domains

This chapter defines all of the *syntactic* domains used in the thesis, domains whose elements either directly represent pieces of program text, or have a natural textual representation. First we present our abstract syntax for CLU, and then the transformed syntax. The relationship between these two sets of domains should be fairly obvious and will not be elaborated on here; the precise relationship is defined in the next chapter. Finally, we give the forms of interface specifications used in the library.

To improve the readability of these domain definitions, we introduce a BNF-like notation. All domain names appear in lower case, "x" symbols are omitted when forming product domains, and "]" symbols are used in place of "+" symbols when forming sum domains. This allows us to write

```
when_arm ::= when name+ ( decl* ) : body
          | when name+ ( * ) : body
```

instead of

```
When_arm:    When x Name+ x ( x Decl* x ) x : x Body
            + When x Name+ x ( x * x ) x : x Body
```

This notation will be used only in this chapter.

4.1 Abstract Syntax

Two of the domains below merit special comment: *Constant* and *Equate*. These two domains contain extra alternatives that would not be found in a normal syntax. The extra alternatives represent the overlap that exists between the normal alternatives. In particular, the forms "idn" and "idn[constant⁺]" occur as both expressions and type_specs, and an idn can additionally occur as a type_set. For example, in the equate $x = y$ it is possible for y to name a type, a type_set, or an object of some built-in type. Deciding which domain such a form actually belongs in requires semantic information; therefore the decision is made as part of legality-checking, not parsing.


```

full_module ::= equate* module

module      ::= procedure
              | iterator
              | cluster

procedure   ::= idn = proc [ decl* ] ( decl* ) returns ( type_spec* )
              signals ( cond_spec* ) where restriction*
              equate*
              statement*
              end idn

iterator    ::= idn = iter [ decl* ] ( decl* ) yields ( type_spec* )
              signals ( cond_spec* ) where restriction*
              equate*
              statement*
              end idn

cluster     ::= idn = cluster [ decl* ] is idn+ where restriction*
              equate*
              routine+
              end idn

decl        ::= idn+ : type_spec

cond_spec   ::= name ( type_spec* )

restriction ::= idn has oper_decl+
              | idn in type_set

type_set    ::= ( idn | idn has oper_decl+ equate* )
              | idn

oper_decl   ::= oper_name+ : type_spec

oper_name   ::= name [ constant+ ]

```

```

routine ::= procedure
        | iterator

constant ::= expression
         | idn
         | idn [ constant+ ]
         | type_spec

body ::= equate* statement*

equate ::= idn = constant
        | idn = idn
        | idn = type_set
        | rep = type_spec

type_spec ::= null
          | bool
          | int
          | real
          | char
          | string
          | any
          | rep
          | cvt
          | type
          | array [ type_spec ]
          | record [ field_spec+ ]
          | oneof [ field_spec+ ]
          | proctype ( type_spec* ) returns ( type_spec* ) signals ( cond_spec* )
          | itertype ( type_spec* ) yields ( type_spec* ) signals ( cond_spec* )
          | idn [ constant+ ]
          | idn

field_spec ::= name+ : type_spec

```

```

statement ::= decl
| idn : type_spec := expression
| decl+ := invocation
| idn+ := invocation
| idn+ := expression+
| invocation
| expression . name := expression
| expression [ expression ] := expression
| If expression then body
  elseif_arm+
  end
| If expression then body
  elseif_arm+
  else body
  end
| while expression do body end
| return ( expression* )
| yield ( expression* )
| signal name ( expression* )
| exit name ( expression* )
| break
| continue
| begin body end
| tagcase expression
  tag_arm+
  end
| tagcase expression
  tag_arm+
  others : body
  end
| for decl* In invocation do body end
| for idn* In invocation do body end
| statement except when_arm+ end
| statement except when_arm+
  others_arm
  end

```

```

elseif_arm ::= elseif expression then body

tag_arm ::= tag name+ : body
      | tag name+ ( idn : type_spec ) : body

when_arm ::= when name+ ( decl* ) : body
      | when name+ ( * ) : body

others_arm ::= others : body
      | others ( idn : type_spec ) : body

expression ::= nil
      | bool
      | int
      | real
      | char
      | string
      | type_spec $ name [ constant+ ]
      | idn [ constant+ ]
      | idn
      | invocation
      | type_spec $ ( field+ )
      | type_spec $ [ expression+ ]
      | type_spec $ [ expression : expression+ ]
      | force [ type_spec ]
      | up ( expression )
      | down ( expression )
      | expression . name
      | expression [ expression ]
      | ~ expression
      | - expression
      | expression bin_op expression
      | expression cand expression
      | expression cor expression

invocation ::= expression ( expression+ )

field ::= name+ : expression

```

```

bool      ::= true
           | false

real      ::= int e int

char      ::= char int

string    ::= char*

bin_op    ::= **
           | //
           | /
           | *
           | ||
           | +
           | -
           | &
           | |
           | <
           | <=
           | =
           | >=
           | >
           | ~<
           | ~<=
           | ~=
           | ~>=
           | ~>

```

The domain `Int` is the primitive domain obtained from the mathematical integers. An element `[[int] e int2]` of `Real` represents the real number `int1*10int2`. The parser produces exact values for literals and, in the case of reals, normalizes the values according to some scheme. For simplicity, the domains `Name` and `Idn` are both considered isomorphic to the domain `String`, although the parser guarantees that the actual elements used consist of lowercase letters, digits, and underscores (no leading digit).

4.2 Transformed Syntax

A domain name of the form "d_xxx" should be read "xxx descriptor".

mod ::= **du** = **mod_form**

mod_form ::= **op_form**
| **type_form**

op_form ::= **routine** [**idn***] (**idn***) **unit end**

unit ::= **stmt*** **local idn***

type_form ::= **cluster** [**idn***] **oper*** **end**

oper ::= **idn** = **op_form**

d_type ::= **any**
| **type**
| **d_record**
| **d_oneof**
| **d_proc**
| **d_iter**
| **routine**
| **d_mod**
| **idn**
| **bad**

d_record ::= **record** [**d_comp***]

d_oneof ::= **oneof** [**d_comp***]

d_comp ::= **name** ; **d_type**

d_proc ::= **proctype** (**d_type***) **returns** (**d_type***) **signals** (**d_cond***)

d_iter ::= **itertype** (**d_type***) **yields** (**d_type***) **signals** (**d_cond***)

```

d_cond ::= name ( d_type* )

d_mod ::= du [ obj* ]

stmt ::= none
      | idn* := expr*
      | idn* := invoke
      | invoke
      | if expr then unit
        elseif*
        else unit
        end
      | while expr do unit end
      | return ( expr* )
      | yield ( expr* )
      | signal name ( expr* )
      | exit name ( expr* )
      | break
      | continue
      | begin unit end
      | tagcase expr
        tag*
        end
      | for idn* in invoke do unit end
      | stmt except catch* end

elseif ::= elseif expr then unit

tag ::= tag name* ( idn ) : unit
     | tag name* : unit
     | others : unit

catch ::= when name* ( idn* ) : unit
       | when name* ( * ) : unit
       | others : unit
       | others ( idn ) : unit

```

```
expr ::= obj
      | idn
      | invoke
      | d_type $ ( comp* )
      | d_type $ [ expr : expr* ]
      | up [ d_type ] ( expr )
      | down ( expr )
      | expr cand expr
      | expr cor expr
```

```
invoke ::= expr ( expr* )
```

```
comp ::= name : expr
```

```
obj ::= val : d_type
```

```
val ::= nil
      | bool
      | int
      | real
      | char
      | string
      | array
      | record
      | oneof
      | d_mod
      | d_oper
      | d_type
      | idn
      | obj
      | bad
```

```
oneof ::= name : obj
```

```
d_oper ::= d_type $ name [ obj* ]
```

```
tset ::= idn has op_decl*
```


`op_decl ::= name [obj*] : d_type`

The domains `Bool`, `Int`, `Real`, `Char`, and `String` are defined in the previous section. `Array` and `Record` are unique id domains, and are isomorphic to the natural numbers. The domain `DU` is isomorphic to the domain `Idn`; a particular element of `DU` is written simply as `duidn`, without an explicit injection into `DU`.

4.3 Interface Specifications

The domain `DU_spec`, representing interface specifications, is defined as follows:

```

du_spec    ::= r_spec
              | routine
              | t_spec
              | type
              | none

r_spec     ::= [ d_parm* ] : d_type

t_spec     ::= [ d_parm* ] : op_spec*

op_spec    ::= name [ d_parm* ] : d_type

d_parm     ::= idn : constraint

constraint ::= d_type
              | op_decl*

```

The domains `D_type` and `Op_decl` are defined in the previous section.

The domain `R_spec` is used for procedural and control abstractions; the `d_type` in an `r_spec` names a procedure or iterator type. `T_spec` is used for data abstractions; the `op_specs` represent the primitive operations. `Type` and `Routine` are used only when deriving interface specifications, as described in Chapter 2.

Formal parameters are described by an `idn` and a constraint. The `idn` is needed because it can appear elsewhere in the interface specification, and such instances have to be replaced by an actual parameter to type-check instantiations of the abstraction. The constraint associated with a type parameter is a list of `op_decls` representing the operations any actual parameter must have; the constraint for any other parameter is simply the declared type of that parameter.

To simplify our definition, the `d_parm` list in an `op_spec` contains both the cluster parameters and the operation parameters, in that order; the constraint associated with a cluster type parameter in this list contains the union of the restrictions imposed by the cluster and the operation.

Not all `t_specs` and `r_specs` represent legal interface specifications; only those produced by legality-checking are considered legal. Even the interface specifications of the built-in types and the array type generator can be produced this way. However, interface specifications for the `record`, `oneof`, `proctype`, and `itertype` type generators cannot be represented as elements of `T_spec` because of the unusual form of their parameters. These generators are handled specially, as described in section 5.3.

5. Legality

To minimize the number of forward references, we define legality-checking from the bottom up. We begin by defining the domain CE of compilation environments. Then, in order, we define the legality of type specifications, constants, expressions, equates, statements, interface specifications, and modules. In general, for each domain Xxx of the abstract syntax, we define a function:

$$C_{xxx}: \text{Xxx} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Xxx}' \times \text{CE}$$

where Xxx' is the corresponding domain in the transformed syntax.

5.1 Environments

Compilation environments are defined as follows:

CE:	info: Info_map × specs: Spec_map × up: D_type × parms: Obj* × down: D_type × locals: Idn* × used: Idn* × results: D_type* × cvts: Bool* × sigs: Sig* × iter: Bool × cluster: Bool × loop: Bool × handles: Handle* × err: Bool
Info_map:	Idn → Info
Info:	D_type + Constraint + Op_decl* + DU + R_spec + Routine + Obj + Tset + None
Spec_map:	DU → DU_spec
Sig:	d_cond: D_cond × cvts: Bool*
Handle:	Name* + D_hand + Others
D_hand:	names: Name* × d_types: D_type*

The meanings of the various components of a CE are:

- info - a mapping that holds information about the current bindings of all idns. The initial mapping is provided by the user; any idns bound in the initial mapping can (but need not) be used as external references. The meanings of the various alternatives of the domain Info are:
 - d_type - the declared type of a variable.
 - constraint - the constraint associated with a parameter. A non-type parameter always has this form of info; a type parameter only has this form of info after interface specifications are derived.
 - op_decl* - a list for accumulating the restrictions on a type parameter, used only when deriving interface specifications. A type parameter with this form of info is allowed to have any and all operations.
 - du - the DU bound to an external reference.
 - r_spec - the interface specification of a cluster routine. When a cluster routine is referred to with an idn rather than a name qualified by the abstract type, the use of the idn is checked with respect to this r_spec, not with respect to the library. Although both forms of reference transform to the same value when legal, they are checked differently to allow unqualified references to hidden operations. (Hidden operations are not specified in the interface of the abstraction.)
 - routine - used for cluster routines when deriving interface specifications. An idn with this form of info is considered a legal routine name, regardless of the number and types of parameters supplied.
 - obj - the evaluated constant bound to an equated identifier or external reference. Note that types are included here as objects.
 - tset - the evaluated type_set bound to an equated identifier or external reference.

- none** - the info for all undefined idns.
- specs** - the part of the library mapping DUs to their interface specifications.
- up** - the abstract type when checking a cluster, otherwise the bad type.
- parms** - the abstract type has the form "du[obj]*"; this component contains the list obj*.
- down** - the representation type when checking a cluster, otherwise the bad type.
- locals** - a list of all currently defined local idns. At the end of each scoping unit, all idns local to that unit are made undefined (i.e. their info is set to none), and thus cannot be used subsequently as external references.
- used** - a list of all idns seen so far in the full_module. Every time an idn is encountered, the idn is added to this list. An idn cannot be defined locally if it has been used as an external reference or if it is already defined. In both of these cases (but no others), the idn will have info other than none and already will be in the list of used idns.
- results** - the return or yield types of the routine being checked. If cvt was used as the type specification for a result, the representation type is used in this list.
- cvts** - a list of flags indicating which positions in the results list were declared with cvt.
- sigs** - for each exceptional condition listed in the signals clause of the routine being checked, this list contains an element describing the exception name, the types of results (with cvt replaced by the representation type), and flags indicating the positions declared with cvt. The list also contains an element for the *failure* exception.
- iter** - true when checking an iterator.
- cluster** - true when checking a cluster.
- loop** - true when checking the body of a loop statement.
- handles** - a list describing all exception handlers surrounding the current statement, in order from innermost to outermost handler. The meanings of the three kinds of handles are:

- name*** - a list of exception names, used for when arms that discard results.
- d_hand** - a list of exception names and a (possibly empty) list of variable types, used for all other when arms.
- others** - used for both forms of others arms. For any given except statement, the handles for the when arms appear in the handles list before the others handle.
- err** - true if any errors have been detected.

Only certain info_maps can be provided legally by the user for use in the CE. The legal info_maps are those which can be generated with the function *New_info_map* using legal info_maps and legal spec_maps, but arbitrary equates, as arguments. As a basis, any info_map mapping idns solely to DUs (and none) is considered legal. Legal spec_maps are discussed in Section 5.8.

```

New_info_map(info_map, spec_map, equate*) =
  let ce1 = Create_cc(info_map, spec_map)
      ce2 = C_equates(equate*, ce1)
  in
  if ce2.err
  then info_map
  else ce2.info

```

C_equates is defined in section 5.5.

```

Create_cc(info_map, spec_map) =
  <info_map; spec_map; bad in D_type; ⊥_Obj; bad in D_type; nil in Idn*;
  nil in Idn*; ⊥_D_type; ⊥_Bool; ⊥_Sig; ⊥_Bool; false; false;
  nil in Handle*; false>

```

The bottoms indicate components that will be filled in before being used.


```

C_type_spec[rep](ce) = if ce.down is Bad
                       then Bad_type(ce)
                       else <ce.down; ce>

```

Rep cannot be used unless it has been defined in an equate.

```

C_type_spec[cvt](ce) = Bad_type(ce)

```

```

C_type_spec[type](ce) = Bad_type(ce)

```

Cvt and type can appear only as top-level type specifications in module headings, so we will check specially for them there and otherwise consider them illegal.

```

C_type_spec[array[type_spec]](ce) =
  let <d_type; cel> = C_type_spec(type_spec, ce)
      obj*          = [(d_type in Val; (type in D_type)] in Obj*
  in
  if d_type is Bad
  then Bad_type(ce)
  else <[du_array [obj*]] in D_type; cel>

```

```

C_type_spec[record[field_spec*]](ce) =
  let <d_comp*; cel> = C_field_spec(field_spec*, ce)
      d_comp1*      = Order(d_comp*↓name, d_comp*)
  in
  if Duplicates(d_comp*↓name) ∨ Has_bad(d_comp*↓d_type)
  then Bad_type(ce)
  else <[record[d_comp1*]] in D_type; cel>

```

The order and grouping of selectors in the text does not matter, but the selectors must be distinct.


```

C_type_spec[[oneof[field_spec*]]](ce) =
  let <d_comp*; ce1> = C_field_specs(field_spec*, ce)
      d_comp1*      = Order(d_comp*↓name, d_comp*)
  in
  if Duplicates(d_comp*↓name) ∨ Has_bad(d_comp*↓d_type)
  then Bad_type(ce1)
  else <[[oneof[d_comp1*]] in D_type; ce1>

```

The order and grouping of tags in the text does not matter, but the tags must be distinct.

Order: Name* × D* → D*

Order is defined for all domains D. The names are permuted to be in increasing lexicographic order, the D-list is permuted in the same way, and the permuted D-list is returned. The two argument lists must be the same length.

```

C_field_specs(field_spec*, ce) = rec
  let [[name*: type_spec]] = Head(field_spec*)
      <d_type; ce1>         = C_type_spec(type_spec, ce)
      d_comp1*             = Get_d_comps(name*, d_type)
      <d_comp2*; ce2>      = C_field_specs(Tail(field_specs*), ce1)
  in
  if Empty(field_spec*)
  then <nil in D_comp*; ce>
  else <Append(d_comp1*, d_comp2*); ce2>

```

```

Get_d_comps(name*, d_type) = rec
  let d_comp = [[Head(name*); d_type]]
      d_comp* = Get_d_comps(Tail(name*), d_type)
  in
  if Empty(name*)
  then nil in D_comp*
  else Cons(d_comp, d_comp*)

```

$Duplicates(d^*) = \underline{rec}$ if $Empty(d^*)$
 then false
 else $Head(d^*) \in Tail(d^*) \vee Duplicates(Tail(d^*))$

$Duplicates$ is defined for all function-free domains D .

$C_type_spec[\underline{proctype}(type_spec^*) \text{ returns } (type_spec^*) \text{ signals } (cond_spec^*)](ce) =$
 let $\langle d_type1^*; ce1 \rangle = C_type_specs(type_spec1^*, ce)$
 $\langle d_type2^*; ce2 \rangle = C_type_specs(type_spec2^*, ce)$
 $\langle d_cond^*; ce3 \rangle = C_cond_specs(cond_spec^*, ce)$
 in
 $C_proc_type(d_type1^*, d_type2^*, d_cond^*, ce3)$

$C_proc_type(d_type1^*, d_type2^*, d_cond^*, ce) =$
 let $name^* = d_cond^*.name$
 $d_cond1^* = Order(name^*, d_cond^*)$
 in
 if $Has_bad(d_type1^*) \vee Has_bad(d_type2^*) \vee Duplicates(name^*) \vee$
 $(\text{"failure"} \in name^* \vee Has_bad(Delst(d_cond^*.d_types)))$
 then $Bad_type(ce)$
 else $\langle \underline{proctype}(d_type1^*) \text{ returns } (d_type2^*) \text{ signals } (d_cond1^*) \rangle$ in D_type ;
 ce

The order in which signals are listed in the text is unimportant. A given name can only be used once as a signal name, and "failure" cannot be specified explicitly.

$C_type_spec[\underline{itertype}(type_spec1^*) \text{ yields } (type_spec2^*) \text{ signals } (cond_spec^*)](ce) =$
 let $\langle d_type1^*; ce1 \rangle = C_type_specs(type_spec1^*, ce)$
 $\langle d_type2^*; ce2 \rangle = C_type_specs(type_spec2^*, ce)$
 $\langle d_cond^*; ce3 \rangle = C_cond_specs(cond_spec^*, ce)$
 in
 $C_iter_type(d_type1^*, d_type2^*, d_cond^*, ce3)$

```

C_iter_type(d_type1*, d_type2*, d_cond*, ce) =
  let name* = d_cond*↓name
      d_cond1* = Order(name*, d_cond*)
  in
  if Has_bad(d_type1*) ∨ Has_bad(d_type2*) ∨ Duplicates(name*) ∨
     ("failure" in Name) ∈ name* ∨ Has_bad(Delist(d_cond*↓d_types))
  then Bad_type(ce)
  else <[[itertype (d_type1*) yields (d_type2*) signals (d_cond1*)]] in D_type;
       ce>

```

The order in which signals are listed in the text is unimportant. A given name can only be used once as a signal name, and "failure" cannot be specified explicitly.

```

C_cond_specs(cond_spec*, ce) = rec
  let [[name(type_spec*)]] = Head(cond_spec*)
      <d_type*; ce1> = C_type_specs(type_spec*, ce)
      d_cond = [[name(d_type*)]]
      <d_cond*; ce2> = C_cond_specs(Tail(cond_spec*), ce1)
  in
  if Empty(cond_spec*)
  then <nil in D_cond*; ce>
  else <Cons(d_cond, d_cond*); ce2>

```

```

C_type_spec[[idn[constant*]]](ce) =
  let <obj; ce1> = C_constant([[idn[constant*]]] in Constant, ce)
  in
  if obj.d_type is Type
  then <obj.val to D_type; ce1>
  else Bad_type(ce1)

```

Since this construct can denote various things, including types, when used as a constant, we simply check the construct as an arbitrary constant and then make sure the resulting object is a type. In this way the basic checking is centralized in one place.

```
C_type_spec[[idn]](ce) ≡ let <obj; cel> = C_constant(idn in Constant, ce)  
  in  
  if obj.d_type is Type  
    then <obj.val to D_type; cel>  
    else Bad_type(cel)
```

Again, we check the construct as a constant and then make sure the resulting object is a type.

force have implementations. *Primitive_env*, *Term*, and *Env* are defined in Chapter 6.

```
C_constant[[type_spec]](ce) = let <d_type; cel> = C_type_spec(type_spec, ce)
                               in
                               <[[d_type in Val]: (type in D_type)]; cel>
```

```
C_constant[[idn]](ce) =
  let cel = ce[Cons(idn, ce.used) • used]
  in
  case ce.info(idn)
  elem constraint of Constraint
    then case constraint
      elem d_type of D_type
        then <[[idn in Val]: d_type]; cel>
        else <[[idn in D_type in Val]: (type in D_type)]; cel>
  elem op_decl* of Op_decl*
    then <[[idn in D_type in Val]: (type in D_type)]; cel>
  elem du of DU
    then C_du_parms(du, nil in Obj*, cel)
  elem [[d_parm*]: d_type] of R_spec
    then let name = Make_name(idn)
         in
         C_op_parms([[name[d_parm*]: d_type]], nil in Obj*, cel)
  elem routine of Routine
    then let name = Make_name(idn)
         d_oper = [[ce.up$name[]]]
         in
         <[[d_oper in Val]: (routine in D_type)]; cel>
  elem obj of Obj
    then <obj; cel>
  else Bad_obj(ce)
```

To be a legal constant, an *idn* must either be a formal parameter, or else name a non-parameterized abstraction, a cluster routine, or an evaluated constant.

Make_name: Idn \rightarrow Name

Make_name returns the name corresponding to the given idn. (Idn and Name are isomorphic domains.)

```

C_constant[[idn[constant*]]](ce) =
  let cel      = ce[Cons(idn, ce.used) * used]
      <obj*; ce2> = C_constants(constant*, cel)
  in
  case ce.info(idn)
  elem du of DU
    then C_du_parms(du, obj*, ce2)
  elem [[d_parm*]: d_type] of R_spec
    then let name = Make_name(idn)
         in
           C_op_parms([[name[d_parm*]: d_type], obj*, ce2])
  elem routine of Routine
    then let name = Make_name(idn)
         d_oper = [[ce.up$name[obj*]]]
         in
           <[[d_oper in Val]: (routine in D_type)]; cel>
  elem obj of Obj
    then C_constant[[idn[constant*]] in Expression in Constant, ce)
  else Bad_obj(ce2)

```

The idn must name a parameterized abstraction, a cluster routine, or an evaluated constant. If the idn names an object (evaluated constant), then this construct can be legal only if it is an abbreviation for an invocation of a "fetch" operation. Rather than checking the invocation explicitly here, we simply check the construct as a constant expression.

```

C_du_parms(du, obj*, ce) =
  let d_mod = [du[obj*]]
  in
  case ce.specs(du)
  elem [[d_parm*]: d_type] of R_spec
    then if C_parms(obj*, d_parm*, ce)
      then let d_type1 = Subst(obj*, d_parm*!idn, d_type)
        in
        <[(d_mod in Val): d_type1]; ce>
      else Bad_obj(ce)
  elem routine of Routine
    then <[(d_mod in Val): (routine in D_type)]; ce>
  elem [[d_parm*]: op_spec*] of T_spec
    then if C_parms(obj*, d_parm*, ce)
      then <[(d_mod in D_type in Val): (type in D_type)]; ce>
      else Bad_obj(ce)
  elem type of Type
    then <[(d_mod in D_type in Val): (type in D_type)]; ce>
    else Bad_obj(ce)

```

If the DU is for a procedural or control abstraction and the parameters are legal (or assumed legal), a routine object is returned. If the DU is for a data abstraction and the parameters are legal (or assumed legal), a type object is returned. Otherwise, the reference is illegal.

```

C_op_parms([name[d_parm*]: d_type](obj*, ce) =
  let obj1* = Concat(ce.parms, obj*)
  in
  if C_parms(obj1*, d_parm*, ce)
  then let d_type1 = Subst(obj1*, d_parm*!idn, d_type)
    d_oper = [ce.up$name[obj*]]
    in
    <[(d_oper in Val): d_type1]; ce>
  else Bad_obj(ce)

```

The cluster parameters are added in for type-checking but they are not included in the d_oper's actual parameter list.


```

C_parms(obj*, d_parm*, ce) =
  if obj*↓d_type = Parm_types(d_parm*)
  then let constraint* = Subst(obj*, d_parm*↓idn, d_parm*↓constraint)
      in
      C_constraints(obj*, constraint*, ce)
  else false

```

The types of the actual parameters must match exactly the types of the formal parameters, and all restrictions on type parameters must be satisfied.

```

Parm_types(d_parm*) = rec if Empty(d_parm*)
  then nil in D_type*
  else let d_type* = Parm_types(Tail(d_parm*))
      in
      case Head(d_parm*).constraint
      elem d_type of D_type
      then Cons(d_type, d_type*)
      else Cons(type in D_type, d_type*)

```

```

C_constraints(obj*, constraint*, ce) = rec
  if Empty(obj*)
  then true
  else if C_constraints(Tail(obj*), Tail(constraint*), ce)
  then case Head(constraint*)
      elem op_decl* of Op_decl*
      then let [[val: d_type]] = Head(obj*)
          in
          C_op_decls(val to D_type, op_decl*, ce)
  else true
else false

```

C_parms checks that the actual parameters are of the correct type, so if the constraint is an *op_decl* list then the corresponding actual parameter is guaranteed to be a type object.

```

C_op_decls(d_type, op_decl*, ce) ≡ rec
  if Empty(op_decl*)
  then true
  else let [[name[Obj*]: d_type1]] = Head(op_decl*)
        d_type2                = Get_op_type([[d_type$name[Obj*]]], ce)
        in
        Includes(d_type2, d_type1) ∧ C_op_decls(d_type, Tail(op_decl*), ce)

```

We use *Includes* instead of strict equality because *Get_op_type* can return the type routine (if interface specifications are being derived).

```

Get_op_type[[d_type$name[obj*]]](ce) =
  case d_type
  elem [[record[d_comp*]]] of D_record
  then let op_spec* = Record_op_specs(d_type to D_record)
        objl* = Make_objs(d_comp* d_type)
        in
        C_op_type(name, Concat(objl*, obj*), op_spec*, ce)
  elem [[oneof[d_comp*]]] of D_oneof
  then let op_spec* = Oneof_op_specs(d_type to D_oneof)
        objl* = Make_objs(d_comp* d_type)
        in
        C_op_type(name, Concat(objl*, obj*), op_spec*, ce)
  elem d_proc of D_proc
  then C_op_type(name, obj*, Proctype_op_specs(d_proc), ce)
  elem d_iter of D_iter
  then C_op_type(name, obj*, Itertype_op_specs(d_iter), ce)
  elem routine of Routine
  then C_op_type(name, obj*, Routine_op_specs(), ce)
  elem [[du[objl*]]] of D_mod
  then case ce.specs(du)
        elem [[d_parm*]: op_spec*] of T_spec
        then C_op_type(name, Concat(objl*, obj*), op_spec*, ce)
        else routine in D_type
  elem idn of Idn
  then case ce.info(idn)
        elem constraint of Constraint
        then C_parm_op(name, obj*, constraint to Op_decl*)
        else routine in D_type
  else bad in D_type

```

Record_op_specs: D_record → Op_spec*

Oneof_op_specs: D_oneof → Op_spec*

Proctype_op_specs: D_proc → Op_spec*

Itertype_op_specs: D_iter → Op_spec*

Although interface specifications for the **record**, **oneof**, **proctype**, and **iter** type generators cannot be represented as elements of **T_spec**, interface specifications for the operations of any particular instantiation can be represented

as elements of *Op_spec*, as defined in Chapter 6.

Routine_op_specs: \rightarrow *Op_spec**

Routine_op_specs returns the *op_specs* for the special type routine, as defined in Chapter 6.

```

Make_objs(d_type*) = rec if Empty(d_type*)
    then nil in Obj*
    else let d_type = Head(d_type*)
        obj = [(d_type in Val): (type in D_type)]
        in
        Constobj, Make_objs(Tail(d_type*))
  
```

```

C_op_type(name, obj*, op_spec*, ce) = rec
  let [name1[d_parm*]: d_type] = Head(op_spec*)
  in
  if Empty(op_spec*)
    then bad in D_type
  else if name = name1
    then if C_parmstobj*, d_parm*, ce)
        then Subst(obj*, d_parm*|idn, d_type)
        else bad in D_type
    else C_op_type(name, obj*, Tail(op_spec*), ce)
  
```

```

C_parm_op(name, obj*, op_decl*) = rec let [name1[obj1*]: d_type] = Head(op_decl*)
  in
  if Empty(op_decl*)
    then bad in D_type
  else if name = name1  $\wedge$  obj* = obj1*
    then d_type
  else C_parm_op(name, obj*, Tail(op_decl*))
  
```

```

Const_exprs(expr*) = rec if Empty(expr*)
  then true
  else Const_expr(Head(expr*))  $\wedge$  Const_exprs(Tail(expr*))
  
```

Const_expr[Obj] = \neg (obj.val is Idn)

Parameters are allowed only as top-level constants; they cannot be used as arguments in invocations of constant expressions.

Const_expr[Idn] = false

Const_expr[d_type\$(comp*)] = Const_expr[d_type\$(expr: expr*)] = false

Const_expr[up[d_type](expr)] = Const_expr[down(expr)] = false

Const_expr[expr1 cand expr2] = Const_expr[expr1 cor expr2] = false

Const_expr[expr(expr*)] =

if Const_exprs(expr*)

then case expr

elem [val: d_type] of Obj

then case val

elem [d_type\$name[Obj*]] of D_oper

then Const_type(d_type) \wedge

Const_types(Return_types(d_type))

else false

else false

else false

Return_types(d_type) =

case d_type

elem [proctype (d_type1*) returns (d_type2*) signals (d_cond*)] of D_proc

then d_type2*

end

Const_types(d_type*) = rec if Empty(d_type*)

then true

else Const_type(Head(d_type*)) \wedge

Const_types(Tail(d_type*))

Const_type[Any] = Const_type[type] = Const_type[bad] = false

Const_type[Idn] = Const_type[d_record] = false

$Const_type[d_oneof] = true$

$Const_type[d_proc] = Const_type[d_iter] = Const_type[routine] = true$

$Const_type[d_mod] = let [du[Obj^*]] = d_mod$

in

$du \in \langle du_{null}; du_{bool}; du_{int}; du_{real}; du_{char}; du_{string} \rangle$

Subst:

$Obj^* \times Idn^* \times D \rightarrow D$

Subst is used to substitute actual parameters for formal parameters, and is defined for every domain D . $Subst(obj^*, idn^*, d)$ performs a simultaneous substitution on its third argument as follows. Let obj be an element of obj^* and let idn be the corresponding element of idn^* (the two lists must be of equal length). Then *Subst* replaces all occurrences of

$idn \text{ in } D_type \text{ in } Val$

and

$idn \text{ in } Val$

with

$obj.val$

We need to substitute for two different forms because formal type parameters appear differently than other formal parameters. However, no idn will occur in both forms.

5.4 Expressions

The major functions defined in this section are:

C_expression: Expression \times CE \rightarrow Expr \times CE
C_expressions: Expression* \times CE \rightarrow Expr* \times CE
C_invocation: Invocation \times CE \rightarrow Expr \times CE
Type_of: Expr \times CE \rightarrow D_type
Types_of: Expr* \times CE \rightarrow D_type*
Includes: D_type \times D_type \rightarrow Bool
Include: D_type* \times D_type* \rightarrow Bool

Type_of and *Types_of* are used to obtain the syntactic types of expressions. *Includes* and *Include* define the type inclusion rule.

Bad_expr(ce) = $\langle \llbracket (\text{bad in Val}): (\text{bad in D_type}) \rrbracket \text{ in Expr}; \text{ce} \langle \text{true } \bullet \text{ err} \rangle \rangle$

C_expressions(expression*, ce) = *rec*
 let $\langle \text{expr}; \text{ce1} \rangle = \text{C_expression}(\text{Head}(\text{expression}^*), \text{ce})$
 $\langle \text{expr}^*; \text{ce2} \rangle = \text{C_expressions}(\text{Tail}(\text{expression}^*), \text{ce1})$
 in
 if *Empty*(expression*)
 then $\langle \text{nil in Expr}^*; \text{ce} \rangle$
 else $\langle \text{Cons}(\text{expr}, \text{expr}^*); \text{ce2} \rangle$

C_expression[[nil]](ce) = let d_type = $\llbracket \text{du}_{\text{nil}} \rrbracket$ in D_type
 in
 $\langle \llbracket (\text{nil in Val}): \text{d_type} \rrbracket \text{ in Expr}; \text{ce} \rangle$

C_expression[[bool]](ce) = let d_type = $\llbracket \text{du}_{\text{bool}} \rrbracket$ in D_type
 in
 $\langle \llbracket (\text{bool in Val}): \text{d_type} \rrbracket \text{ in Expr}; \text{ce} \rangle$

C_expression[[int]](ce) = if *L_int*(int)
 then let d_type = $\llbracket \text{du}_{\text{int}} \rrbracket$ in D_type
 in
 $\langle (\text{int in Val}): \text{d_type} \rrbracket \text{ in Expr}; \text{ce} \rangle$
 else *Bad_expr*(ce)

```

C_expression[real](ce) = if L_real(real)
    then let d_type = [dureal] in D_type
        real = Approx(real)
    in
        <(real in Val): d_type] in Expr; ce>
    else Bad_expr(ce)

```

```

C_expression[char](ce) = if L_char(char)
    then let d_type = [duchar] in D_type
        in
        <(char in Val): d_type] in Expr; ce>
    else Bad_expr(ce)

```

```

C_expression[string](ce) = if L_string(string)
    then let d_type = [dustring] in D_type
        in
        <[(string in Val): d_type] in Expr; ce>
    else Bad_expr(ce)

```

The parser produces elements of the domains Int, Real, Char, and String that correspond exactly to the literals used in the text. However, an implementation can impose certain restrictions as to which elements are actually legal and, in the case of reals, need only provide approximations to the requested values. These restrictions are embodied in the functions *L_int*, *L_real*, *L_char*, *L_string*, and *Approx*, as defined in Chapter 6.

```

C_expression[type_spec$name[constant*]](ce) =
    let <d_type; ce1> = C_type_spec(type_spec, ce)
        <obj*; ce2> = C_constants(constant*, ce)
    in
        C_d_oper([d_type$name[obj*]], ce2)

```

```

C_d_oper(d_oper, ce) = let d_type = Get_op_type(d_oper, ce)
    in
        if d_type is Bad
        then Bad_expr(ce)
        else <[(d_oper in Val): d_type] in Expr; ce>

```



```

C_expression[idn[constant+]](ce) =
  let info = ce.info(idn)
  in
  if  $\neg$ (info is D_type  $\vee$  info is Obj)
  then let <obj; cel> = C_constant[idn[constant+]] in Constant, ce)
  in
  if obj.d_type is Type
  then Bad_expr(cel)
  else <obj in Expr; cel>
else if constant+ is Constant
  then let expression1 = idn in Expression
  in
  case constant+ to Constant
  elem expression2 of Expression
  then C_expression([Expression1][Expression2]) in Expression, ce)
  elem type_spec of Type_spec
  then Bad_expr(ce)
  else let expression2 = constant+ to Constant to_in Expression
  in
  C_expression([Expression1][Expression2]) in Expression, ce)
else Bad_expr(ce)

```

If the *idn* is not a variable and does not name an object, the construct is checked as a constant expression. Otherwise the construct is only legal if it is an abbreviation for an invocation of a "fetch" operation, in which case there can be only one constant and that constant cannot be a *type_spec*.

```

C_expression[idn](ce) = if ce.info(idn) is D_type
  then <idn in Expr; ce>
  else let <obj; cel> = C_constant(idn in Constant, ce)
  in
  if obj.d_type is Type
  then Bad_expr(cel)
  else <obj in Expr; cel>

```

The *idn* must be either a variable, a non-type parameter, or a constant expression to be legal.


```

C_d_cond(d_cond, handle*) = rec
  if Empty(handle*)
  then true
  else case Head(handle*)
    elem name* of Name*
      then d_cond.name ∈ name* ∨ C_d_cond(d_cond, Tail(handle*))
    elem <name*; d_type*> of D_hand
      then if d_cond.name ∈ name*
        then d_cond.d_types = d_type*
        else C_d_cond(d_cond, Tail(handle*))
    elem others of Others
      then true
  end

```

A handler need not exist for every exceptional condition raised by an invocation. If a handler does not throw results away then the types of the results must equal the types of the receiving variables.

```

C_expression[[type_spec$(field*)]](ce) =
  let <d_type; ce1> = C_type_spec(type_spec, ce)
      <comp*; ce2> = C_fields(field*, ce1)
      compl*      = Order(comp*↓name, comp*)
      name*       = compl*↓name
      d_type*     = Types_of(compl*↓expr, ce2)
  in
  case d_type
  elem [[record[d_comp*]]] of D_record
    then if d_comp*↓name = name* ∧ Include(d_comp*↓d_type, d_type*)
      then <[[d_type$(comp*)]] in Expr; ce2>
      else Bad_expr(ce2)
  else Bad_expr(ce2)

```

The order and grouping of components in the text does not matter but every component must be initialized with an object of the proper type. The components are reordered only for type-checking; they appear in the transformed expression in the order in which they were written.

$C_fields(field^*, ce) = \underline{rec}$

```

  let [[name+: expression]] = Head(field*)
    <expr; ce1>           = C_expression(expression, ce)
    comp1*              = Get_comps(name+, expr)
    <comp2*; ce2>       = C_fields(Tail(field*), ce)

```

in

```

  if Empty(field*)
  then <nil in Comp*; ce>
  else <Concat(comp1*, comp2*); ce2>

```

$Get_comps(name^*, expr) = \underline{rec}$ let comp = [[Head(name⁺): expr]]
 comp^{*} = Get_comps(Tail(name⁺); expr)

in

```

  if Empty(name*)
  then nil in Comp*
  else Const(comp, comp*)

```

$C_expression[type_spec\$(expression^*)](ce) =$
 $C_expression[type_spec\$(1 \text{ in Int in Expression}): expression^*](ce)$

$C_expression[type_spec\$(expression: expression^*)](ce) =$

```

  let <d_type; ce1> = C_type_spec(type_spec, ce)
    <expr; ce2>    = C_expression(expression, ce)
    <expr*; ce3> = C_expressions(expression*, ce2)
    d_type*      = Types_of(expr*, ce3)

```

in

case d_type

elem [[du[Obj^{*}]]] of D_mod

then if du = du_{array}

then let obj = obj^{*} to Obj

in

if Integer(expr, ce3) \wedge Includes_all(obj.val to D_type, d_type^{*})

then <[d_type\$(expr: expr^{*})] in Expr; ce3>

else Bad_expr(ce3)

else Bad_expr(ce3)

else Bad_expr(ce3)

```

C_expression[[force[type_spec]]](ce) =
  let <d_type; cel> = C_type_spec type_spec, ce)
    obj*      = [[(d_type in Val): (type in D_type)] in Obj*
    d_mod     = [[duforce [obj*]]]
    d_type1*  = any in D_type in D_type*
    d_type2*  = d_type in D_type*
    d_cond*   = [["wrong_type" in Name)(nil in D_type*)] in D_cond*
    d_proc    = [[proctype (d_type1*) returns (d_type2*) signals (d_cond*)]]
  in
  if d_type is Bad
  then Bad_expr(ce)
  else <[[(d_mod in Val): (d_proc in D_type)]]; cel>

```

```

C_expression[[up(expression)]](ce) = let <expr; cel> = C_expression(expression, ce)
                                     d_type     = Type_of(expr, ce)
                                     in
                                     if ce.cluster ^ Includes(ce.down, d_type)
                                     then <[[up[ce.up](expr)]] in Expr; cel>
                                     else Bad_expr(ce)

```

We explicitly include the abstract type in the transformed expression because it is needed to define evaluation.

```

C_expression[[down(expression)]](ce) = let <expr; cel> = C_expression(expression, ce)
                                     d_type     = Type_of(expr, ce)
                                     in
                                     if ce.cluster ^ d_type = ce.up
                                     then <[[down(expr)]] in Expr; cel>
                                     else Bad_expr(ce)

```

```

C_expression[[expression.name]](ce) =
  C_op_call(Add_get_(name), expression in Expression+, ce)

```

Add_get_: Name → Name

Add_get_ simply adds "get_" to the beginning of the name.

```

C_expression[expression1[expression2]](ce) =
  let expression+ = <expression1; expression2> in Expression+
  in
  C_op_call("fetch" in Name, expression+, ce)

C_expression[~ expression](ce) =
  C_op_call("not" in Name, expression in Expression+, ce)

C_expression[- expression](ce) =
  C_op_call("minus" in Name, expression in Expression+, ce)

C_expression[expression1 bin_op expression2](ce) =
  if bin_op = Base_op(bin_op)
  then let expression+ = <expression1; expression2> in Expression+
  in
  C_op_call(Op_name(bin_op), expression+, ce)
  else let bin_op1 = Base_op(bin_op)
  expression3 = [expression1 bin_op1 expression2] in Expression
  in
  C_expression([~ expression3] in Expression, ce)

Op_name[**] = "power" in Name      Base_op[**] = [**] in Bin_op
Op_name[//] = "mod" in Name       Base_op[//] = [//] in Bin_op
Op_name[/] = "div" in Name        Base_op[/] = [/] in Bin_op
Op_name[*] = "mul" in Name        Base_op[*] = [*] in Bin_op
Op_name[||] = "concat" in Name    Base_op[||] = [||] in Bin_op
Op_name[+] = "add" in Name        Base_op[+] = [+] in Bin_op
Op_name[-] = "sub" in Name        Base_op[-] = [-] in Bin_op
Op_name[<] = "lt" in Name         Base_op[<] = [<] in Bin_op
Base_op[~<] = [~<] in Bin_op
Op_name[<=] = "le" in Name        Base_op[<=] = [≤] in Bin_op
Base_op[~<=] = [~<=] in Bin_op
Op_name[=] = "equal" in Name      Base_op[=] = [=] in Bin_op
Base_op[~<=] = [~<=] in Bin_op
Op_name[>=] = "ge" in Name        Base_op[>=] = [≥] in Bin_op
Base_op[~>=] = [~>=] in Bin_op
Op_name[>] = "gt" in Name         Base_op[>] = [>] in Bin_op
Base_op[~>] = [~>] in Bin_op
Op_name[&] = "and" in Name        Base_op[&] = [&] in Bin_op

```

$Op_name[\]$ = "or" in Name $Base_op[\]$ = $[\]$ in Bin_op

$C_op_call(name, expression^+, ce)$ = let $\langle expr; cel \rangle = C_op_inv(name, expression^+, ce)$
in
case expr
elem invoke of Invoke
then if $Result_types(invoke, cel)$ is D_type
then $\langle expr; cel \rangle$
else $Bad_expr(cel)$
else $Bad_expr(cel)$

$C_op_inv(name, expression^+, ce)$ = let $\langle expr^*; cel \rangle = C_expressions(expression^+, ce)$
 $d_type = Type_of_Head(expr^*), cel)$
 $\langle expr; ce2 \rangle = C_d_oper([d_type\#name[\]], cel)$
in
 $C_call(expr, Tail(expr^*), ce2)$

For abbreviated forms of invocation, the exact operation to invoke is determined by the type of the first argument.

$C_expression[\text{expression1 and expression2}](ce)$ =
let $\langle expr1; cel \rangle = C_expression(expression1, ce)$
 $\langle expr2; ce2 \rangle = C_expression(expression2, ce)$
in
if $Boolean(expr1, ce2) \wedge Boolean(expr2, ce2)$
then $\langle [expr1 \text{ and } expr2] \text{ in } Expr; ce2 \rangle$
else $Bad_expr(ce2)$

$C_expression[\text{expression1 cor expression2}](ce)$ =
let $\langle expr1; cel \rangle = C_expression(expression1, ce)$
 $\langle expr2; ce2 \rangle = C_expression(expression2, ce)$
in
if $Boolean(expr1, ce2) \wedge Boolean(expr2, ce2)$
then $\langle [expr1 \text{ cor } expr2] \text{ in } Expr; ce2 \rangle$
else $Bad_expr(ce2)$

$Boolean(expr, ce) = Type_of(expr, ce) = ([du_{000}, [\] \text{ in } D_type)$

$Booleans(expr^*, ce) = \underline{rec}$ if $Empty(expr^*)$
 then true
 else $Boolean(Head(expr^*), ce) \wedge Booleans(Tail(expr^*), ce)$

$Integer(expr, ce) = Type_of(expr, ce) = ([du_{int} []] \text{ in } D_type)$

$Type_of[Obj](ce) = obj.d_type$

$Type_of[Idn](ce) = ce.info(idn) \text{ to } D_type$

$Type_of[d_type\$ (comp^*)](ce) = d_type$

$Type_of[d_type\$ [expr: expr^*]](ce) = d_type$

$Type_of[up[d_type](expr)](ce) = d_type$

$Type_of[down(expr)](ce) = ce.down$

$Type_of[expr1 \text{ and } expr2](ce) = [du_{bool} []] \text{ in } D_type$

$Type_of[expr1 \text{ cor } expr2](ce) = [du_{bool} []] \text{ in } D_type$

$Type_of[Invoke](ce) = Result_types(Invoke, ce) \text{ to } D_type$

$Types_of(expr^*, ce) = \underline{rec}$ if $Empty(expr^*)$
 then nil in D_type^*
 else $Cons(Type_of(Head(expr^*), ce),$
 $Types_of(Tail(expr^*), ce))$

$Result_types[Expr(expr^*)](ce) = Return_types(Type_of(expr, ce))$

$Includes_all(d_type, d_type^*) = \text{if } Empty(d_type^*)$
 then true
 else $Includes(d_type, Head(d_type^*)) \wedge$
 $Includes_all(d_type, Tail(d_type^*))$

Include(d_type1, d_type2*) = rec if Empty(d_type1*) ∨ Empty(d_type2*)
 then d_type1* = d_type2*
 else Includes(Head(d_type1*), Head(d_type2*)) ∧
 Include(Tail(d_type1*), Tail(d_type2*))*

The two lists must be the same length and each type in the first list must include the corresponding type in the second list.

*Includes(d_type1, d_type2) = if d_type1 is Bad ∨ d_type2 is Bad
 then false
 else if d_type1 = d_type2 ∨ d_type1 is Any
 then true
 else if d_type1 is Routine
 then d_type2 is D_proc ∨ d_type2 is D_iter
 else if d_type2 is Routine
 then d_type1 is D_proc ∨ d_type1 is D_iter
 else false*

The type **bad** does not include and is not included in any type. The type **routine** includes and is included in every procedure and iterator type.

5.5 Equates

In this section we define the legality and meaning of equates with the function

$$C_equates: \text{Equate}^* \times CE \rightarrow CE$$

We also define the function

$$Add_info: \text{Idn} \times \text{Info} \times CE \rightarrow CE$$

which is used to define local identifiers.

Euates are checked as follows. We define a function domain Perm, consisting of permutation functions for the domain Equate^* . That is, elements of this domain have functionality

$$\text{Equate}^* \rightarrow \text{Equate}^*$$

and each element of the domain satisfies

$$\text{perm}(\text{equate}^*) \subset \text{equate}^* \wedge \text{equate}^* \subset \text{perm}(\text{equate}^*) \wedge \\ \text{Same_size}(\text{equate}^*, \text{perm}(\text{equate}^*))$$

for all elements of Equate^* . To check a list of equates, we collect all permutations of the list that are legal when checked in linear order. If at least one such permutation exists, then the equates are legal. The meaning of the equates is then obtained by evaluating one such permuted list; since all legal permutations will have the same meaning, the particular one we choose does not matter.

```
C_euates(equate*, ce) =
  let cel = ce[false ● err]
      ce* = {ce2 | ∃perm[ce2 = E_euates(perm(equate*), cel) ∧ ¬ce2.err]}
  in
  if Empty(ce*)
  then ce[true ● err]
  else Head(ce*)(ce.err ● err)
```

The use of set notation is informal here, as is the use of existential quantification. However, it should be clear that one could define a computable function to do the same thing.

```

E_equates(equate*, ce) = rec if Empty(equate*)
    then ce
    else let cel = E_equate(Head(equate*), ce)
        in
            E_equates(Tail(equate*), cel)

E_equate[idn = constant](ce) = let <obj; cel> = C_constant(constant, ce)
    in
        Add_info(idn, obj in Info, cel)

E_equate[idn1 = idn2](ce) = case ce.info(idn2)
    elem tset of Tset
    then let cel = ce[Cons(idn2, ce.used) * used]
        in
            Add_info(idn1, tset in Info, cel)
    else let <obj; cel> = C_constant(idn2 in Constant, ce)
        in
            Add_info(idn1, obj in Info, cel)

E_equate[idn = type_set](ce) = let <tset; cel> = C_type_set(type_set, ce)
    in
        Add_info(idn, tset in Info, cel)

```

The definition of *C_type_set* is deferred to section 5.7.

```

E_equate[rep = type_spec](ce) = let <d_type; cel> = C_type_spec(type_spec, ce)
    in
        if ce.down is Bad ^ ce.cluster
        then cel[d_type * down]
        else cel[true * err]

```

The representation type can be defined only within a cluster, and only once within a cluster.

```

Add_info(idn, info, ce) = let cel = ce[Const(idn, ce.used) @ used]
  in
  if ce.info(idn) is None ∨ ¬(idn ∈ ce.used)
  then let info_map = ce.info[idn ← info]
    in
    ce[info_map @ info][Const(idn, ce.locals) @ locals]
  else ce[true @ err]

```

An idn cannot be defined locally if it has been used as an external reference or if it is already defined locally.

5.6 Statements

The major functions defined in this section are:

```

C_statement:  Statement × CE → Stmt × CE
C_body:      Body /× CE → Unit × CE
C_xbody:     Decl* × Body × CE → D_type* × Unit × CE
Restore:     CE × CE → Idn* × CE

```

C_xbody is used to check those tag, when, and others arms in which variable declarations appear prior to the body. *Restore* is used to reset the CE at the end of a scoping unit, and to generate a list of all variables defined in that unit; the arguments are the CEs before and after checking the unit, respectively.

```

C_body[Equate* statement*](ce) =
  let cel          = C_equate(equate*, ce)
    <stmt*; ce2>   = C_statement(statement*, cel)
    <idn*; ce3>    = Restore(ce, ce2)
  in
  <[stmt* local idn*]; ce3>

```

```

Restore(cel, ce2) = Fix_info(ce2.locals, ce2.info, ce[ce2.used @ used][ce2.err @ err])

```

```

Fix_info(idn*, info_map, ce) = rec
  if Empty(idn*)
  then <nil in Idn*; ce>
  else let idn      = Head(idn*)
        <idn1*; ce1> = Fix_info(Tail(idn*), info_map, ce)
      in
    if idn ∈ ce.locals
    then <idn1*; ce1>
    else let info_map1 = ce1.info[idn ← none in Info]
          ce2          = ce1[info_map1 • info]
        in
      if info_map(idn) is D_type
      then <Const(idn, idn1*); ce2>
      else <idn1*; ce2>

```

Only those idns defined local to the given scoping unit are made undefined, not those defined in a surrounding unit.

```
Bad_stmt(ce) = <none in Stmt; ce[true • err]>
```

```

C_statements(statement*, ce) = rec
  let <stmt; ce1> = C_statement(Head(statement*), ce)
      <stmt*; ce2> = C_statements(Tail(statement*), ce)
  in
  if Empty(statement*)
  then <nil in Stmt*; ce>
  else <Const(stmt, stmt*); ce2>

```

```
C_statements[Decl](ce) = <none in Stmt; C_decls(decl in Decl*, ce)>
```

Declarations will not cause any immediate action during execution.

```

C_decls(decl*, ce) = rec let [idn+: type_spec] = Head(decl*)
                          <d_type; ce1>     = C_type_spec(type_spec, ce)
                          ce2              = Add_info(idn+, d_type in Info, ce)
  in
  if Empty(decl*)
  then ce
  else C_decls(Tail(decl*), ce2)

```

```

Add_info(idn*, info, ce) = rec if Empty(idn*)
    then ce
    else let cel = Add_info(Hred(idn*), info, ce)
        in
        Add_info(Fail(idn*), info, cel)

```

```

C_statement([idn: type_spec := expression])(ce) =
    let <d_type; ce1> = C_type_spec(type_spec, ce)
        ce2          = Add_info(idn, d_type in info, ce1)
        <expr; ce3>  = C_expression(expression, ce2)
    in
    if Includes(d_type, Type_of(expr, ce3))
    then <[idn in Idn*] := (expr in Expr*) in Stmt; ce3>
    else Bad_stmt(ce3)

```

```

C_statement([decl+ := invocation])(ce) =
    let cel = C_decls(decl+, ce)
        idn+ = Delist(decl+ ↓ idns) to_in Idn+
    in
    C_statement([idn+ := invocation] in Statement, ce)

```

```

C_statement([idn+ := invocation])(ce) =
    let <d_type*; ce1> = Get_decls(idn+, ce)
        <expr; ce2>   = C_invocation(invocation, ce1)
    in
    case expr
    elem invoke of Invoke
        then if ~Duplicates(idn+) ∧ Include(d_type*, Result_types(invoke, ce2))
            then <[idn+ := invoke] in Stmt; ce2>
            else Bad_stmt(ce2)
    else Bad_stmt(ce2)

```

```

C_statement([idn+ := expression+])(ce) =
  let <d_type1*; ce1> = Get_decls(idn+, ce)
      <expr*; ce2> = C_expressions(expression+, ce)
      d_type2* = Types_ofexpr*, ce2)
  in
  if ¬Duplicates(idn+) ∧ Includes(d_type1*, d_type2*)
  then <[idn+ := expr*] in Stmt; ce2>
  else Bad_stmt(ce2)

```

Each expression must evaluate to a single object.

```

Get_decls(idn*, ce) = rec
  if Empty(idn*)
  then <nil in D_type*; ce>
  else let <d_type*; ce1> = Get_decls(Tail(idn*), ce)
        in
        case ce.info(Head(idn*))
        elem d_type of D_type
          then <Cons(d_type, d_type*); ce1>
          else <Cons(bad in D_type, d_type*); ce1 true ● err!>

```

The idns must be declared variables.

```

C_statement([invocation])(ce) = let <expr; ce1> = C_invocation(invocation, ce)
                                in
                                case expr
                                  elem invoke of Invoke
                                    then <invoke in Stmt; ce1>
                                    else Bad_stmt(ce1)

```

```

C_statement([expression1.name := expression2])(ce) =
  let expression* = <expression1; expression2> in Expression+
      <expr; ce1> = C_op_inv(Add_set_(name), expression*, ce)
  in
  case expr
    elem invoke of Invoke
      then <invoke in Stmt; ce1>
      else Bad_stmt(ce1)

```

Add_set_: Name → Name

Add_set_ simply adds "set_" to the beginning of the name.

C_statement[[expression1][expression2] := expression3](ce) =
 let expression⁺ = <expression1; expression2; expression3> in Expression⁺
 <expr; cel> = *C_op_inv*("store" in Name, expression⁺, ce)
 in
 case expr
 elem invoke of Invoke
 then <invoke in Stmt; cel>
 else *Bad_stmt*(cel)

C_statement[[if expression then body elseif_arm^{*} end]](ce) =
 let body1 = [(nil in Equate^{*}) (nil in Statement^{*})]
 in
C_statement[[if expression then body elseif_arm^{*} else body1 end]](ce)

C_statement[[if expression then body1 elseif_arm^{*} else body2 end]](ce) =
 let <expr; cel> = *C_expression*(expression, ce)
 <unit1; ce2> = *C_body*(body1, ce1)
 <elseif^{*}; ce3> = *C_elseif*(elseif_arm^{*}, ce2)
 <unit2; ce4> = *C_body*(body2, ce3)
 in
 if *Boolean*(expr, ce4) ∧ *Booleans*(elseif^{*} ↓ expr, ce4)
 then <[[if expr then unit1 elseif^{*} else unit2 end]] in Stmt; ce4>
 else *Bad_stmt*(ce4)

C_elseif(elseif_arm^{*}, ce) = *rec*
 let [[elseif expression then body]] = *Head*(elseif_arm^{*})
 <expr; cel> = *C_expression*(expression, ce)
 <unit; ce2> = *C_body*(body, ce1)
 elseif = [[elseif expr then unit]]
 <elseif^{*}; ce3> = *C_elseif*(*Tail*(elseif_arm^{*}), ce2)
 in
 if *Empty*(elseif_arm^{*})
 then <nil in Elseif^{*}; ce>
 else <*Const*(elseif, elseif^{*}); ce3>


```

C_statement[while expression do body end](ce) =
  let <expr; cel> = C_expression(expression, ce)
    <unit; ce2> = C_body(body, ce[true @ loop])
    ce3       = ce2[ce.loop @ loop]
  in
  if Boolean(expr, ce3)
  then <[while expr do unit end] in Stmt; ce3>
  else Bad_stmt(ce3)

```

Break and continue statements are allowed in the body.

```

C_statement[return(expression*)](ce) =
  let <expr*; cel> = C_expressions(expression*, ce)
    d_type*      = Types_of(expr*, ce)
  in
  if (ce.iter ^ Empty(expression*)) v (~ce.iter ^ Include(ce.results, d_type*))
  then let expr1* = C_cvts(expr*, ce.cvts, ce)
    in
    <[return(expr1*)] in Stmt; cel>
  else Bad_stmt(ce)

```

An iterator cannot return any objects. *C_cvts* is used to make explicit all implicit ups.

```

C_statement[yield(expression*)](ce) =
  let <expr*; cel> = C_expressions(expression*, ce)
    d_type*      = Types_of(expr*, ce)
  in
  if ce.iter ^ Include(ce.results, d_type*)
  then let expr1* = C_cvts(expr*, ce.cvts, ce)
    in
    <[yield(expr1*)] in Stmt; cel>
  else Bad_stmt(ce)

```

Only iterators can yield objects.

```

C_statement([signal name(expression*)])(ce) =
  let <expr*; cel> = C_expression(expression*, ce)
      d_type*      = Types_of(expr*, ce)
      <bool; bool*> = C_sig(name, d_type*, ce.sigs)
  in
  if bool
  then let expr1* = C_cuts(exprs*, bool*, ce)
        in
        <[signal name(expr1*)] in Stmt; cel>
      else Bad_stmt(cel)

```

```

C_sig(name, d_type*, sig*) = rec
  let <d_cond; bool*> = Head(sig*)
      [name(d_type*)] = d_cond
  in
  if Empty(sig*)
  then <false; nil in Bool*>
  else if name = name1
  then <Include(d_type*, d_type*); bool*>
  else C_sig(name, d_type*, Tail(sig*))

```

```

C_cuts(exprs*, bool*, ce) = rec
  let expr = Head(exprs*)
      expr1* = C_cuts(Tail(exprs*), Tail(bool*), ce)
  in
  if Empty(exprs*)
  then nil in Expr*
  else if Head(bool*)
  then Cons([up[ce.up](expr)] in Expr, expr1*)
  else Cons(expr, expr1*)

```

```

C_statement[exit name(expression*)](ce) =
  let <expr*; cel> = C_expressions(expression*, ce)
    d_type*      = Types_of(expr*, cel)
  in
  if C_exit(name, d_type*, cel.handle)
  then <[exit name(expr*)] in Stmt; cel>
  else Bad_stmt(cel)

C_exit(name, d_type*, handle*) = rec
  if Empty(handle*)
  then false
  else case Head(handle*)
    elem name* of Name*
      then  $\neg(\text{name} \in \text{name}^*) \wedge \text{C\_exit}(\text{name}, \text{d\_type}^*, \text{Tail}(\text{handle}^*))$ 
    elem <name*; d_type1*> of D_hand
      then if name  $\in$  name*
            then d_type* = d_type1*
            else C_exit(name, d_type*, Tail(handle*))
    elem others of Others
      then false
  end

```

Local exits must have corresponding handlers, the result objects cannot be thrown away, and the types of the results must equal the types of the receiving variables.

```

C_statement[break](ce) = if ce.loop
  then <break in Stmt; ce>
  else Bad_stmt(ce)

```

A break statement is legal only in the body of a loop statement.

```

C_statement[continue](ce) = if ce.loop
  then <continue in Stmt; ce>
  else Bad_stmt(ce)

```

A continue statement is legal only in the body of a loop statement.

```

C_statement[[begin body end]](ce) = let <unit; cel> = C_body(body, ce)
                                     in
                                     <[[begin unit end]] in Stmt; cel>

```

```

C_statement[[tagcase expression tag_arm* end]](ce) =
  let <expr; cel> = C_expression(expression, ce)
      <tag*; name*; d_comp1*; ce2> = C_tag_arms(tag_arm*, ce)
      name1* = Order(name*, name*)
  in
  case Type_of(expr, ce2)
  elem [[oneof[d_comp2*]]] of D_oneof
    then if name1* = d_comp2*↓name ∧ d_comp1* ⊂ d_comp2*
          then <[[tagcase expr tag* end]] in Stmt; ce2>
          else Bad_stmt(ce2)
    else Bad_stmt(ce)

```

The name list returned by *C_tag_arms* contains every name appearing on an arm; the *d_comp* list contains a name-*d_type* pair for every name appearing on an arm with a variable. Each tag name must appear exactly once on some arm, and only tag names can appear. If an arm has a variable to receive the value part of the object, the type of the variable must equal the value type corresponding to every tag on the arm.

```

C_statement[[tagcase expression tag_arm+ others; body end]](ce) =
  let <expr; ce1> = C_expression(expression, ce)
      <tag1*; name1*; d_comp1*; ce2> = C_tag_arms(tag_arm+, ce1)
      <unit; ce3> = C_body(body, ce2)
      tag2* = Append(tag1*, [others: unit] in Tag)
  in
  case Type_ofexpr, ce3)
  elem [[oneof[d_comp2*]]] of D_oneof
    then if name1* < d_comp2*↓name ∧ ¬Duplicates(name1*) ∧
          ¬Same_size(name1*, d_comp2*↓name) ∧ d_comp1* < d_comp2*
          then <[[tagcase expr tag2* end]] in Stmt; ce3>
          else Bad_stmt(ce3)
    else Bad_stmt(ce1)

```

Each tag name can appear at most once, but at least one tag must be missing.

```

C_tag_arms(tag_arm*, ce) = rec
  let <tag; name1*; d_comp1*; ce1> = C_tag_arm(Head(tag_arm*), ce)
      <tag1*; name2*; d_comp2*; ce2> = C_tag_arms(Tail(tag_arm*), ce)
  in
  if Empty(tag_arm*)
  then <nil in Tag*; nil in Name*; nil in D_comp*; ce>
  else <Cons(tag, tag1*); Concat(name1*, name2*); Concat(d_comp1*, d_comp2*);
      ce2>

```

```

C_tag_arm[[tag name+: body]](ce) =
  let <unit; ce1> = C_body(body, ce)
  in
  <[[tag name+: unit]] in Tag; name+; nil in D_comp*; ce1>

```

```

C_tag_arm[[tag name+ (idn: type_spec): body]](ce) =
  let decl* = [(idn in Idn+): type_spec] in Decl*
      <d_type*; unit; ce1> = C_xbody(decl*, body, ce)
      d_comp* = Get_d_comps(name+, d_type* to D_type)
  in
  <[[tag name+ (idn): unit]] in Tag; name+; d_comp*; ce1>

```

```

C_xbody(decl*)[[equate* statement*]](ce) =
  let cel          = C_equates(equate*, ce)
      ce2          = C_decls(decl*, cel)
      <d_type*; ce3> = Get_decls(Delist(decl*↓idns), ce2)
      <stmt*; ce4>  = C_statements(statement*, ce3)
      <idn*; ce5>  = Restore(ce, ce4)
  in
  <d_type*; [[stmt* local idn*]]; ce5>

```

The declarations can make use of the equates in the body.

```

C_statement[[for decl* in invocation do body end]](ce) =
  let statement* = Make_statements(decl*)
      idn*       = Delist(decl*↓idns)
      statement  = [[for idn* in invocation do body end]] in Statement
      body1     = [(nil in Equate*) Append(statement*, statement)]
  in
  C_statement([[begin body1 end]] in Statement, ce)

```

```

Make_statements(decl*) = rec
  if Empty(decl*)
  then nil in Statement*
  else Cons(Head(decl*) in Statement, Make_statements(Tail(decl*)))

```

```

C_statement[[for idn* in invocation do body end]](ce) =
  let <d_type*; ce1> = Get_decls(idn*, ce)
      <expr; ce2>    = C_iter_inv(invocation, ce1)
      <unit; ce3>   = C_body(body, ce2[true • loop])
      ce4           = ce3[ce2.loop • loop]
  in
  case expr
  elem invoke of Invoke
  then let [[expr1(expr*)]] = invoke
        in
        if Include(d_type*, Yield_types(Type_of(expr1, ce4)))
        then <[[for idn* in invoke do unit end]] in Stmt; ce4>
        else Bad_stmt(ce4)
  else Bad_stmt(ce4)

```

```

C_iter_inv[expression(expression*)](ce) =
  let <expr; ce1> = C_expression(expression, ce)
      <expr*; ce2> = C_expressions(expression*, ce1)
      d_type*     = Types_ofexpr*, ce2)
  in
  case Type_ofexpr, ce2)
  elem [Itertype (d_type1*) yields (d_type2*) signals (d_cond*)] of D_iter
    then if Include(d_type1*, d_type2*)  $\wedge$  C_d_conds(d_cond*, ce2.handles)
          then <Expr(expr*) in Expr; ce2>
          else Bad_expr(ce2)
    else Bad_expr(ce2)

```

For an iterator invocation to be legal, the arguments must be of the correct types and all exceptional conditions that can be raised must be legal with respect to the surrounding handlers.

```

Yield_types(d_type) =
  case d_type
  elem [Itertype (d_type1*) yields (d_type2*) signals (d_cond*)] of D_iter
    then d_type2*
  end

```

```

C_statement[statement except when_arm* end](ce) =
  let <catch*; name*; handle*; ce1> = C_when_arms(when_arm*, ce)
      ce2                             = cellConcat(handle*, ce.handles)  $\bullet$  handles]
      <stmt; ce3>                     = C_statement(statement, ce2)
      ce4                             = ce[ce.handles  $\bullet$  handles]
  in
  if  $\neg$ Duplicates(name*)  $\wedge$  C_d_cond(Fail(), handle*)
    then <[stmt except catch* end] in Stmt; ce4>
    else Bad_stmt(ce4)

```

The name list returned by *C_when_arms* contains every name appearing on an arm; the handle list contains an element for every arm. A given exception name can only be listed once in an *except* statement. An exception can be listed even if the exception cannot occur during evaluation. The *failure* exception can be raised at any time, and must be legal with respect to every *except* statement.

```

C_statement[statement except when_arm* others_arm end](ce) =
  let <catch1*; name*; handle1*; ce1> = C_when_arm(when_arm*, ce)
      <catch; ce2> = C_others_arm(others_arm, ce)
      catch2*
      handle2*
      ce3
      <stmt; ce4>
      ce5
  in
    Append(catch1*, catch)
    Append(handle1*, others in Handle)
    ce2[Concat(handle2*, ce_handles @ handles)]
    C_statement(statement, ce3)
    ce4[ce_handles @ handles]

```

```

in
  if  $\neg$ Duplicates(name*)  $\wedge$  C_d_cond(Fail(), handle1*)
  then <[stmt except catch2* end] in Stmt; ce5>
  else Bad_stmt(ce5)

```

```

Fail() = let name = "failure" in Name
         d_type* = [Edu_string []] in D_type in D_type*
in
  [Name(d_type*)]

```

```

C_when_arms(when_arm*, ce) = rec
  let <catch; name1*; handle; ce1> = C_when_arm(Head(when_arm*), ce)
      <catch*; name2*; handle*; ce2> = C_when_arms(Tail(when_arm*), ce)
  in
    if Empty(when_arm*)
    then <nil in Catch*; nil in Name*; nil in Handle*; ce>
    else <Cons(catch, catch*); Concat(name1*, name2*); Cons(handle, handle*); ce2>

```

```

C_when_arm[when name*(decl*): body](ce) =
  let <d_type*; unit; ce1> = C_xbody(decl*, body, ce)
      handle
      = <name*; d_type*> in Handle
      idn*
      = Delst(decl*; idns)
  in

```

```

  <[when name*(idn*): unit] in Catch; name*; handle; ce1>

```

```

C_when_arm[when name*(*) : body](ce) =
  let <unit; ce1> = C_body(body, ce)
  in

```

```

  <[when name*(*) : unit] in Catch; name*; name* in Handle; ce1>

```



```

C_others_arm[[others: body]](ce) ≡ let <unit; cel> = C_body(body, ce)
                                in
                                <[[others: unit]] in Catch; cel>

```

```

C_others_arm[[others (idn: type_spec): body]](ce) ≡
  let decl*                = [[(idn in Idn+): type_spec]] in Decl*
    <d_type*; unit; cel> = C_xbody(decl*, body, ce)
    catch                 = [[others (idn): unit]] in Catch
  in
  if (d_type* to D_type) = ([[du_string []]] in D_type)
  then <catch; cel>
  else <catch; cel[true @ err]>

```

5.7 Interface Specifications

The major functions defined in this section are:

```

Derive_specs: Full_module* × CE → DU_spec* × CE
Same_spec:   DU_spec × DU_spec → Bool
  
```

Interface specifications are obtained in the following manner. First, the existing interfaces for the abstractions being implemented are collected, and the special interfaces `type` and `routine` are installed. Then an external and an internal interface are derived from each module. The external and internal interfaces are identical for a routine; the internal interface for a cluster contains the interfaces of all cluster routines, while the external interface contains only the interfaces of the primitive operations. The external interface of each module is checked against the interface for the corresponding abstraction, if that abstraction has an interface. After all interfaces are derived, the external interfaces are installed; the internal interfaces are returned for use when checking the modules in their entirety, as defined in the next section.

```

Derive_specs(full_module*, ce) =
  let du_spec* = Get_du_specs(full_module*↓module, ce)
      cel      = Init_specs(full_module*↓module, ce)
  in
  Get_specs(full_module*, du_spec*, cel)
  
```

```

Get_du_specs(module*, ce) = rec
  if Empty(module*)
  then nil in DU_spec*
  else let du_spec* = Get_du_specs(Tail(module*), ce)
        idn       = Get_mod_idn(Head(module*))
        in
        case ce.info(idn)
        elem du of DU
          then Cons(ce.specs(du), du_spec*)
          else Cons(none in DU_spec, du_spec*)

```

Get_du_specs collects the existing interface of each abstraction.

```

Init_specs(module*, ce) = rec
  if Empty(module*)
  then ce
  else let cel = Init_specs(Tail(module*), ce)
        idn = Get_mod_idn(Head(module*))
        in
        if Head(module*) is Cluster
          then New_spec(idn, type in DU_spec, cel)
          else New_spec(idn, routine in DU_spec, cel)

```

Init_specs installs the special interfaces.

```

Get_mod_idn[procedure] = procedure.idn1

```

```

Get_mod_idn[iterator] = iterator.idn1

```

```

Get_mod_idn[cluster] = cluster.idn1

```

```

New_spec(idn, du_spec, ce) = case ce.info(idn)
  elem du of DU
    then let spec_map = ce.specs(du ← du_spec)
          in
          ce(spec_map @ specs)
    else ce[true @ err]

```

```

Get_specs(full_module*, du_spec*, ce) = rec
  let [Equate* module] = Head(full_module*)
      ce1 = C_equate(equate*, ce)
      <du_spec1; du_spec2; ce2> = Get_spec(module, ce1)
      ce3 = ce(ce2.err @ err)
      <du_spec1*; ce4> = Get_specs(Tail(full_module*), Tail(du_spec*), ce3)
      ce5 = New_spec(Get_mod_tail(module), du_spec2, ce4)
      du_spec2* = Cons(du_spec1, du_spec1*)
  in
  if Empty(full_module*)
  then <nil in DU_spec*; ce>
  else if Same_spec(Head(du_spec*), du_spec2)
  then <du_spec2*; ce5>
  else <du_spec2*; ce5(true @ err)>

```

The first `du_spec` returned by `Get_spec` is the internal interface; the second `du_spec` is the external interface. `Get_specs` installs the external interfaces and returns the internal interfaces.

```

Get_spec[procedure](ce) = let <r_spec; ce1> = Get_r_spec(procedure in Routine, ce)
  in
  <r_spec in DU_spec; r_spec in DU_spec; ce1>

```

```

Get_spec[iterator](ce) = let <r_spec; ce1> = Get_r_spec(iterator in Routine, ce)
  in
  <r_spec in DU_spec; r_spec in DU_spec; ce1>

```

```

Get_spec[idn1 = cluster [decl*] is idn* where restriction*
      equate*
      routine*
      end idn2](ce) =
  let cel          = Set_op_names(routine*, ce[true • cluster])
      ce2          = C_defs(decl*, equate*, ce1)
      ce3          = C_restrictions(restriction*, ce2)
      idn*         = Delist(decl* ↓ idns)
      d_parm*     = Get_d_parms(idn*, ce3)
      ce4          = Add_up_type(idn1, idn*, ce3)
      <op_spec1*; ce5> = Get_op_specs(routine*, idn*, ce4)
      op_spec2*   = Get_ext_specs(op_spec1*, idn*)
      op_spec3*   = Order(op_spec2* ↓ name, op_spec2*)
  in
  <[[d_parm*]: op_spec1*] in DU_spec; [[d_parm*]: op_spec3*] in DU_spec; ce5>

```

```

Set_op_names(routine*, ce) = rec
  if Empty(routine*)
  then ce
  else let idn = Get_op_idn(Head(routine*))
        cel = Add_info(idn, routine in Info, ce)
        in
        Set_op_names(Tail(routine*), cel)

```

```
Get_op_idn[procedure] = procedure.idn1
```

```
Get_op_idn[iterator] = iterator.idn1
```

```

Add_up_type(idn, idn*, ce) =
  if Empty(idn*)
  then let <d_type; cel> = C_type_spec(idn in Type_spec, ce)
        in
        cel[d_type • up][nil in Obj* • parms]
  else let constant* = Make_constants(idn*) to_in Constant*
        <d_type; cel> = C_type_spec(idn, constant* in Type_spec, ce)
        <obj*; ce2> = C_constants(constant*, cel)
        in
        ce2[d_type • up][obj* • parms]

```

```

Make_constants(idn*) = rec if Empty(idn*)
                        then nil in Constant*
                        else Cons(Head(idn*) in Constant,
                                   Make_constants(Tail(idn*)))

```

```

Get_op_specs(routine*, idn*, ce) = rec
  let <r_spec; cel> = Get_r_spec(Head(routine*), ce)
      [[d_parm1*]: d_type] = r_spec
      name = Make_name(Get_op_idn(Head(routine*)))
      d_parm2* = Get_d_parms(idn*, ce)
      d_parm3* = Concat(d_parm2*, d_parm1*)
      op_spec = [name[d_parm3*]: d_type]
      <op_spec*; ce2> = Get_op_specs(Tail(routine*), idn*, ce(ce1.err • err))
  in
  if Empty(routine*)
  then <nil in Op_spec*; ce>
  else <Cons(op_spec, op_spec*); ce2>

```

The interface of each cluster routine is first derived essentially as if the routine were a module, and then the d_parms for the cluster parameters are added to the interface.

```

Get_ext_specs(op_spec*, idn*) = rec
  if Empty(op_spec*)
  then nil in Op_spec*
  else let [[name[d_parm*]: d_type]] = Head(op_spec*)
        in
        if Make_idn(name) ∈ idn*
        then Cons(Head(op_spec*), Get_ext_specs(Tail(op_spec*), idn*))
        else Get_ext_specs(Tail(op_spec*), idn*)

```

The check that each idn names an operation, and that no idn is listed twice, is made later as part of the check of the entire module.

Make_idn: Name → Idn

Make_idn returns the idn corresponding to the given name.

Get_r_spec[[idn1 = proc [decl1*] (decl2*) returns (type_spec*) signals (cond_spec*)
where restriction*

```

  equate*
  statement*
  end idn2]](ce) =
let cel          = C_defs(decl1*, equate*, ce)
  ce2           = C_restrictions(restriction*, cel)
  d_parm*      = Get_d_parms(Delist(decl1* ↓ idns), ce2)
  ce3          = C_head_decls(decl2*, ce2)
  <d_type1*; ce4> = Get_decls(Delist(decl2* ↓ idns), ce3)
  <d_type2*; ce5> = C_head_types(type_spec*, ce4)
  <d_cond*; ce6>  = C_head_conds(cond_spec*, ce5)
  <d_type; ce7>   = C_proc_type(d_type1*, d_type2*, d_cond*, ce6)
  name         = Make_name(idn1)
in
<[[name[d_parm*]: d_type]]; ce7>

```

Get_r_spec is used for all procedures and iterators, including cluster routines. The use of *cvt* is controlled by a flag in the CE. The environment is not restored at the end so that any restrictions on cluster parameters imposed by the routine can be collected by *Get_op_specs*.

Get_r_spec[idn1 = iter [decl1*] (decl2*) yields (type_spec*) signals (cond_spec*)
 where restriction*

```

    equate*
    statement*
    end idn2](ce) =
  let ce1          = C_defs(decl1*, equate*, ce)
      ce2          = C_restriction(restriction*, ce1)
      d_parm*     = Get_d_parms(Decls(decl1*+idns), ce2)
      ce3         = C_head_decls(decl2*, ce2)
      <d_type1*; ce4> = Get_decls(Decls(decl2*+idns), ce3)
      <d_type2*; ce5> = C_head_types(type_spec*, ce4)
      <d_cond*; ce6> = C_head_conds(cond_spec*, ce5)
      <d_type; ce7>  = C_iter_type(d_type1*, d_type2*, d_cond*, ce6)
      name         = Make_name(idn1)
  in
  <[name[d_parm*]: d_type]; ce7>

```

```

C_defs(decl*, equate*, ce) =
  let ce1 = ce[false ● err]
      ce* = (ce2 | ∃(equate1*, equate2*, perm)
             [equate* = perm(Concat(equate1*, equate2*)) ∧
              ce2 = C_defs(equate1*, decl*, equate2*, ce1) ∧
              ¬ce2.err])
  in
  if Empty(ce*)
  then ce[true ● err]
  else Head(ce*)[ce.err ● err]

```

Since parameter declarations can involve equated identifiers, we treat the list of declarations essentially as a single equate, and look for a legal reordering of all equates.

```

C_defs(equate1*, decl*, equate2*, ce) = let ce1 = C_equates(equate1*, ce)
                                         ce2 = C_perm_decls(decl*, ce1)
  in
  C_equates(equate2*, ce2)

```



```

C_parm_decls(decl*, ce) = rec
  let [idn*: type_spec] = Head(decl*)
      cel                = C_parm_decls(Tail(decl*), ce)
  in
  if Empty(decl*)
  then ce
  else if type_spec is Type
  then Add_info(idn*, nil in Op_decl* in Info, cel)
  else let <d_type; ce2> = C_type_spec(type_spec, ce)
      in
      case d_type
      elem [du[obj*]] of D_mod
        then if du ∈ <dunull; dubool; duint; dureal; duchar; dustring>
            then Add_info(idn*, d_type in Constraint in Info, ce2)
            else ce2[true • err]
        else ce2[true • err]

```

```

Get_d_parms(idn*, ce) = rec
  let idn      = Head(idn*)
      d_parm*  = Get_d_parms(Tail(idn*), ce)
  in
  if Empty(idn*)
  then nil in D_parm*
  else case ce.info(idn)
      elem op_decl* of Op_decl*
        then Cons([idn: (op_decl* in Constraint)], d_parm*)
      elem constraint of Constraint
        then Cons([idn: constraint], d_parm*)
      else Cons([idn: (bad in D_type in Constraint)], d_parm*)

```

```

C_restrictions(restriction*, ce) = rec
  if Empty(restriction*)
  then ce
  else let cel = C_restriction(Head(restriction*), ce)
      in
      C_restrictions(Tail(restriction*), cel)

```

```

C_restriction[idn has oper_decl*](ce) =
  let <op_decl*; ce1> = C_oper_decls(oper_decl*, ce)
  in
  Add_op_decls(idn, op_decl*, ce1)

```

```

C_restriction[idn in type_set](ce) =
  let <tset; ce1> = C_type_set(type_set, ce)
  [idn1 has op_decl*] = tset
  obj = E(idn in D_type in Val): (type in D_type)]
  op_decl1* = Subst(obj in Obj*, idn1 in Idn*, op_decl*)
  in
  Add_op_decls(idn, op_decl1*, ce1)

```

```

C_type_set[idn1 | idn2 has oper_decl* equate*](ce) =
  let ce1 = Add_info(idn1, nil in Op_decl* in Info, ce)
  ce2 = C_equates(equate*, ce1)
  <op_decl*; ce3> = C_oper_decls(oper_decl*, ce2)
  info = op_decl* in Constraint in Info
  ce4 = Add_info(idn1, info, ce3.err = err)
  ce5 = C_equates(equate*, ce4)
  <op_decl1*; ce6> = C_oper_decls(oper_decl*, ce5)
  tset = [idn1 has op_decl*]
  <idn*; ce7> = Restore(ce, ce6)
  in
  if idn1 = idn2
  then <tset; ce7>
  else <tset; ce7[(true = err)]>

```

A `type_set` is treated much like a module heading. First the `oper_decls` are evaluated assuming the dummy parameter has any and all operations, and then the `oper_decls` are rechecked with complete information about the operations.

```

C_type_set[idn](ce) = let cel = ce[Cons(idn, ce.used) • used]
  in
  case ce.info(idn)
  elem tset of Tset
  then <tset; cel>
  else <[idn has (nil in Op_decl*)]; cel[true • err]>

```

```

C_oper_decls(oper_decl*, ce) = rec
  let [oper_name*: type_spec] = Head(oper_decl*)
    <d_type; cel> = C_type_spec(type_spec, ce)
    <op_decl1*; ce2> = C_oper_names(oper_name*, d_type, cel)
    <op_decl2*; ce3> = C_oper_decls(Tail(oper_decl*), ce2)
  in
  if Empty(oper_decl*)
  then <nil in Op_decl*; ce>
  else if d_type is D_proc ∨ d_type is D_iter
  then <Concat(op_decl1*, op_decl2*); ce3>
  else <op_decl2*; ce3[true • err]>

```

```

C_oper_names(oper_name*, d_type, ce) = rec
  let [name[constant*]] = Head(oper_name*)
    <obj*; cel> = C_constants(constant*, ce)
    op_decl = [name[obj*]: d_type]
    <op_decl*; ce2> = C_oper_names(Tail(oper_name*), d_type, cel)
  in
  if Empty(oper_name*)
  then <nil in Op_decl*; ce>
  else if L_parms(obj*, ce2)
  then <Cons(op_decl, op_decl*); ce2>
  else <op_decl*; ce2[true • err]>

```

References to type parameters and to the abstractions being implemented are not allowed in the evaluated constants of restriction `oper_names`. `L_parms` performs this check.

```

Add_op_decls(idn, op_decl*, ce) =
  case ce.info(idn)
  elem op_decl1* of Op_decl*
  then let info_map = ce.info(idn ← Concat(op_decl*, op_decl1*))
  in
  ce[info_map • info]
  else ce[true • err]

```

```

C_head_decls(decl*, ce) = rec
  if Empty(decl*)
  then ce
  else let [(idn*: type_spec)] = Head(decl*)
  <d_type; ce1> = C_head_type(type_spec, ce)
  ce2 = Add_info(idn*, d_type in info, ce1)
  in
  C_head_decls(Tail(decl*), ce2)

```

```

C_head_type(type_spec*, ce) = rec
  let <d_type; ce1> = C_head_type(Head(type_spec*), ce)
  <d_type*; ce2> = C_head_type(Tail(type_spec*), ce1)
  in
  if Empty(type_spec*)
  then <nil in D_type*; ce>
  else <Cons(d_type, d_type*); ce2>

```

```

C_head_type(type_spec, ce) = if type_spec is Cvt ∧ ¬(ce.up is Bad)
  then <ce.up; ce>
  else C_type_spec(type_spec, ce)

```

Cvt is permitted as a top-level type specification in declaring arguments and results (including yield and signal results) of cluster routines. When deriving interfaces, cvt is replaced by the abstract type.

```

C_head_conds(cond_spec*, ce) = rec
  let [[name(type_spec*)]] = Head(cond_spec*)
      <d_type*; ce1>         = C_head_types(type_spec*, ce)
      d_cond                = [[name(d_type*)]]
      <d_cond*; ce2>        = C_head_conds(Tail(cond_spec*), ce)
  in
  if Empty(cond_spec*)
  then <nil in D_cond*; ce>
  else <Cons(d_cond, d_cond*); ce2>

```

```

Same_spec(du_spec1, du_spec2) =
  if du_spec1 is R_spec ^ du_spec2 is R_spec
  then Same_r_spec(du_spec1 to R_spec, du_spec2 to R_spec)
  else if du_spec1 is T_spec ^ du_spec2 is T_spec
  then Same_t_spec(du_spec1 to T_spec, du_spec2 to T_spec)
  else du_spec1 is None

```

The first argument is the interface from the library; the second argument is the derived interface.

```

Same_r_spec(r_spec1, r_spec2) =
  let [[d_parm1*]: d_type1]] = r_spec1
      [[d_parm2*]: d_type2]] = r_spec2
      idn1*                  = d_parm1* ↓ idn
      constraint1*          = d_parm1* ↓ constraint
      idn2*                  = d_parm2* ↓ idn
      constraint2*          = Replace(idn1*, idn2*, d_parm2* ↓ constraint)
  in
  if Same_size(idn1*, idn2*)
  then Same_constraints(constraint1*, constraint2*) ^
       d_type1 = Replace(idn1*, idn2*, d_type2)
  else false

```

Replace: $Idn^* \times Idn^* \times D \rightarrow D$

Replace is defined for all domains D . *Replace* does a simple substitution in the third argument, replacing each occurrence of an idn from the second list with the corresponding idn from the first (the two lists must be of equal length).

```

Same_constraints(constraint1*, constraint2*) = rec
  if Empty(constraint1*) ∨ Empty(constraint2*)
    then constraint1* = constraint2*
  else if Same_constraints(Tail(constraint1*), Tail(constraint2*))
    then case Head(constraint1*)
      elem op_decl1* of Op_decl*
        then case Head(constraint2*)
          elem op_decl2* of Op_decl*
            then op_decl1* ⊂ op_decl2* ∧ op_decl2* ⊂ op_decl1* ∧
              Same_size(op_decl1*, op_decl2*)
            else false
          else Head(constraint1*) = Head(constraint2*)
    else false
  else false

```

Corresponding type parameters must have identical op_decls, though the order in which the op_decls are listed is unimportant. Other corresponding parameters must be of the same type.

```

Same_t_spec(t_spec1, t_spec2) =
  let [[d_parm1*]: op_spec1*] = t_spec1
      [[d_parm2*]: op_spec2*] = t_spec2
      idn1*                    = d_parm1* ↓ idn
      constraint1*             = d_parm1* ↓ constraint
      idn2*                    = d_parm2* ↓ idn
      constraint2*             = Replace(idn1*, idn2*, d_parm2* ↓ constraint)
  in
  if Same_size(idn1*, idn2*)
    then Same_constraints(constraint1*, constraint2*) ∧
      Same_op_specs(op_spec1*, op_spec2*)
    else false

```

```

Same_op_specs(op_spec1*, op_spec2*) = rec
  let [[name1[d_parm1*]: d_type1] = Head(op_spec1*)
      [[name2[d_parm2*]: d_type2] = Head(op_spec2*)
  in
  if Empty(op_spec1*) ∨ Empty(op_spec2*)
  then op_spec1* = op_spec2*
  else name1 = name2 ∧
       Same_r_spec([[d_parm1*]: d_type1], [[d_parm2*]: d_type2]) ∧
       Same_op_specs(Tail(op_spec1*), Tail(op_spec2*))

```

```

L_parms(obj*, ce) = rec if Empty(obj*)
  then true
  else if L_parms(Tail(obj*), ce)
  then let [[val: d_type] = Head(obj*)
  in
  if d_type is Type
  then L_type(val to D_type, ce)
  else true
  else false

```

Illegal references can occur only in types.

```

L_type(d_type, ce) =
  case d_type
  elem [[record[d_comp*]]] of D_record
    then L_types(d_comp*↓d_type, ce)
  elem [[oneof[d_comp*]]] of D_oneof
    then L_types(d_comp*↓d_type, ce)
  elem [[proctype (d_type1*) returns (d_type2*) signals (d_cond*)]] of D_proc
    then L_types(d_type1*, ce) ∧ L_types(d_type2*, ce) ∧
      L_types(Delist(d_cond*↓d_types), ce)
  elem [[itertype (d_type1*) returns (d_type2*) signals (d_cond*)]] of D_iter
    then L_types(d_type1*, ce) ∧ L_types(d_type2*, ce) ∧
      L_types(Delist(d_cond*↓d_types), ce)
  elem [[du[Obj*]]] of D_mod
    then ¬(ce.spees(du) is Type) ∧ L_parms(obj*, ce)
  elem idn of Idn
    then false
  else true

```

Formal type parameters and instantiations of the (data) abstractions being implemented are illegal.

```

L_types(d_type*, ce) = rec if Empty(d_type*)
  then true
  else L_type(Head(d_type*), ce) ∧
      L_types(Tail(d_type*), ce)

```


5.8 Modules

The major function defined in this section is:

Compile: $\text{Full_module}^* \times \text{Info_map} \times \text{Library} \rightarrow \text{Library}$

The domain *Spec_map* was defined in section 5.1. The domain *Library* is defined as:

Library: $\text{specs: Spec_map} \times \text{imps: Imps_map}$

Imps_map: $\text{DU} \rightarrow \text{Imp}^*$

The domain *Imp* is defined in the next chapter.

To check a set of *full_modules*, we first derive interfaces as defined in the previous section. Then each module is checked in its entirety as follows. For procedure and iterator modules, the formal parameters are bound to their constraints as listed in the internal interface, and the header is reevaluated to ensure that the interface is consistent. The body of the routine is then checked. For cluster modules, the formal cluster parameters are bound to their constraints and the idns naming cluster routines are bound to the interfaces for those routines; then each routine is checked, as for procedure and iterator modules.

```

Compile(full_module*, info_map, library) =
  let ce1                = Create_cetinfo_map, library.specs)
    <du_spec*; ce2> = Derive_specs(full_module*, ce1)
    <mod*; ce3>        = C_full_modules(full_module*, du_spec*, ce2)
  in
  if ce3.err
  then library
  else <ce3.specs; Addimps(mod*, library.imps)>

```

```

Addimps(mod*, imps_map) = rec
  if Empty(mod*)
  then imps_map
  else let [[du = mod_form]] = Head(mod*)
        imp                = Meaning(mod_form)
        imp*               = Cons(imp, imps_map(du))
        in
        Addimps(Tail(mod*), imps_map[du ← imp*])

```

Meaning is defined in the next chapter.

```

C_full_modules(full_module*, du_spec*, ce) = rec
  let [[equate* module]] = Head(full_module*)
      ce1                 = C_equates(equate*, ce)
      ce2                 = Install_specHead(du_spec*, ce1)
      <mod_form; ce3>     = C_module(module, ce2)
      du                  = Get_du(Get_mod_idn(module), ce3)
      mod                 = [[du = mod_form]]
      ce4                 = ce[ce3.err @ err]
      <mod*; ce5>        = C_full_modules(Tail(full_module*), Tail(du_spec*), ce4)
  in
  if Empty(full_module*)
  then <nil in Mod*; ce>
  else <Cons(mod, mod*); ce5>

```

```

Install_spec[[d_parm*]: d_type](ce) = Add_parms(d_parm*, ce)

```

```

Install_spec[[d_parm*]: op_spec*](ce) = let cel = Add_parms(d_parm*, ce)
                                        in
                                        Add_ops(op_spec*, cel)

```

```

Install_spec[[routine]](ce) = Install_spec[[type]](ce) = ⊥ce

```

The special interfaces exist only when deriving interfaces.

```

Install_spec[[none]](ce) = ce

```



```

C_module[idn1 = cluster [decl*] is idn* where restriction*
  equate+
  routine+
  end idn2](ce) =
  let idn*      = Delist(decl* ↓ idns)
      ce1      = Add_up_type(idn1, idn*, ce[true • cluster])
      ce2      = C_equates(equate+, ce1)
      ce3      = L_parm_decls(decl*, ce2)
      ce4      = L_restrictions(restriction*, ce3)
      ce5      = Erase(idn*, ce4)
      <oper*; ce6> = C_routines(routine+, ce5)
      mod_form = [cluster [idn*] oper* end] in Mod_form
  in
  if idn1 = idn2 ∧ ¬(ce2.down is Bad) ∧ ¬Duplicates(idn*) ∧ idn* ⊂ oper* ↓ idn
  then <mod_form; ce6>
  else <mod_form; ce6[true • err]>

```

A rep equate must occur in the list of equates. The cluster parameter bindings are removed before checking the routines; new bindings for these parameters are obtained from the interface of each routine.

```

Erase(idn*, ce) = rec if Empty(idn*)
  then ce
  else let info_map = ce.info[Head(idn*) ← none in Info]
       in
       Erase(Tail(idn*), ce[info_map • info])

```

```

C_routines(routine*, ce) = rec let routine      = Head(routine*)
                               idn                = Get_op_idn(routine)
                               cel                = Install_op_spec(idn, ce)
                               <op_form; ce2>    = C_routines(routine, cel)
                               oper              = [idn = op_form]
                               <idn*; ce3>      = Restore(ce, ce2)
                               <oper*; ce4>     = C_routines(Tail(routine*), ce3)
                               in
                               if Empty(routine*)
                               then <nil in Oper*; ce>
                               else <Cons(oper, oper*); ce4>

```

```

Install_op_spec(idn, ce) = case ce.info(idn)
                          elem [[d_parm*]; d_type] of R_spec
                          then Add_parms(d_parm*, ce)
                          else ce[true = err]

```

```

L_parm_decls(decl*, ce) = rec
  if Empty(decl*)
  then ce
  else let [[idn*: type_spec] = Head(decl*)
           in
           if type_spec is Type
           then L_parm_decls(Tail(decl*), ce)
           else let <d_type; cel> = C_type_spec(type_spec, ce)
                  in
                  L_parm_decls(Tail(decl*), cel)

```

The type specifications used to declare parameters are rechecked solely to gather uses of external references.

C_routine [idn1 = proc [decl1*] (decl2*) returns (type_spec*) signals (cond_spec*)
 where restriction*

```

    equate*
    statement*
    Ⓞ idn2 ](ce) =
let  cel          = ce[false Ⓞ iter][Cons(idn1, ce.used) Ⓞ used]
    ce2          = C_equate(equate*, cel)
    ce3          = L_parm_decls(decl1*, ce2)
    <expr*; ce4> = C_cvt_decls(decl2*, ce3)
    <d_type*; bool*; ce5> = C_cvt_types(type_spec*, ce4)
    <sig*; ce6>    = C_cvt_conds(cond_spec*, ce5)
    sig1*        = Append(sig*, <Fail(); false in Bool*>)
    ce7          = L_restrictions(restriction*, ce6)
    ce8          = ce^d_type* Ⓞ results][bool* Ⓞ cvts][sig1* Ⓞ sigs]
    idn1*        = Delist(decl1* ↓ idns)
    idn2*        = Delist(decl2* ↓ idns)
    <stmt1*; ce9> = C_statements(statement*, ce8)
    stmt2*       = Cons([idn2* := expr*] in Stmt, stmt1*)
    stmt3*       = if Empty(type_spec*)
                    then stmt2*
                    else Append(stmt2*,
                                [return(nil in Expr*)] in Stmt)

    <idn3*; ce10> = Restore(ce, ce9)
    unit         = [stmt3* local idn3*]
    op_form      = [routine [idn1*] (idn2*) unit end]
in
if idn1 = idn2
then <op_form; ce10>
else <op_form; ce10[true Ⓞ err]>

```

C_routine is used for all procedures and iterators, including cluster routines. The use of *cvt* is controlled by a flag in the CE. In checking the argument declarations, a multiple assignment is constructed to make explicit all implicit downs. During execution a formal argument declared with *cvt* initially will be assigned an object of the abstract type, and then is reassigned an object of the representation type when the multiple assignment is performed.

C_routine[*idn1* = *iter* [*decl1**] (*decl2**) yields (*type_spec**) signals (*cond_spec**)
 where *restriction**

```

    equate*
    statement*
    end idn2](ce) =
  let ce1           = ce true • iter[(Cons(idn1, ce.used) • used)]
      ce2           = C_equate(equate*, ce1)
      ce3           = L_parm_decls(decl1*, ce2)
      <expr*; ce4>   = C_cvt_decls(decl2*, ce3)
      <d_type*; bool*; ce5> = C_cvt_types(type_spec*, ce4)
      <sig*; ce6>    = C_cvt_conds(cond_spec*, ce5)
      sig1*         = Append(sig*, <Fail()>; false in Bool>)
      ce7           = L_restrictions(restriction*, ce6)
      ce8           = ce7 d_type* • results[(bool* • cvts[(sig1* • sigs)]
      idn1*         = Delist(decl1*; idns)
      idn2*         = Delist(decl2*; idns)
      <stmt1*; ce9>   = C_statements(statement*, ce8)
      stmt2*        = Cons[(idn2* := expr*] in Stmt, stmt1*)
      stmt3*        = Append(stmt2*, [return(nil in Expr*)] in Stmt)
      <idn3*; ce10>  = Restore(ce, ce9)
      unit          = [stmt3* local idn3*]
      op_form       = [routine [idn1*] (idn2*) unit end]
  in
  if idn1 = idn2
  then <op_form; ce10>
  else <op_form; ce10(true • err)>

```

```

L_restrictions(restriction*, ce) = rec
  if Empty(restriction*)
  then ce
  else let ce1 = L_restriction(Head(restriction*), ce)
      in
      L_restrictions(Tail(restriction*), ce1)

```

```

L_restriction[idn has oper_decl*](ce) =
  let <op_decl*; cel> = C_oper_decls(oper_decl*, ce)
  in
  cel

```

The check that the idn is a type parameter was performed when deriving interfaces.

```

L_restriction[idn in type_set](ce) = let <aset; cel> = C_type_set(type_set, ce)
  in
  cel

```

```

C_cut_decls(decl*, ce) = rec
  let [idn*: type_spec] = Head(decl*)
      <d_type; bool; cel> = C_cut_type(type_spec, ce)
      ce2 = Add_info(idn*, d_type in Info, cel)
      expr1* = Get_exprs(idn*, bool)
      <expr2*; ce3> = C_cut_decls(Tail(decl*), ce2)
  in
  if Empty(decl*)
  then <nil in Expr*; ce>
  else <Concat(expr1*, expr2*); ce3>

```

```

Get_exprs(idn*, bool) = rec if Empty(idn*)
  then nil in Expr*
  else let expr* = Get_exprs(Tail(idn*), bool)
        expr = Head(idn*) in Expr
  in
  if bool
  then Cons([down(expr)] in Expr, expr*)
  else Cons(expr, expr*)

```



```

C_cvt_types(type_spec*, ce) = rec
  let <d_type; bool; ce1> = C_cvt_type(Head(type_spec*), ce)
      <d_type*; bool*; ce2> = C_cvt_types(Tail(type_spec*), ce1)
  in
  if Empty(type_spec*)
  then <nil in D_type*; nil in Bool*; ce>
  else <Cons(d_type, d_type*); Cons(bool, bool*); ce2>

```

```

C_cvt_type(type_spec, ce) = if type_spec is Cvt  $\wedge$   $\neg$ (ce.down is Bad)
  then <ce.down; true; ce>
  else let <d_type; ce1> = C_type_spec(type_spec, ce)
        in
        <d_type; false; ce1>

```

Cvt is permitted as a top-level type specification in declaring arguments and results (including yield and signal results) of cluster routines. When checking the entire module, cvt is replaced by the representation type.

```

C_cvt_conds(cond_spec*, ce) = rec
  let [name(type_spec*)] = Head(cond_spec*)
      <d_type*; bool*; ce1> = C_cvt_types(type_spec*, ce)
      d_cond                = [name(d_type*)]
      sig                    = <d_cond; bool*>
      <sig*; ce2>           = C_cvt_conds(Tail(cond_spec*), ce1)
  in
  if Empty(cond_spec*)
  then <nil in Sig*; ce>
  else <Cons(sig, sig*); ce2>

```

6. Meaning

In this chapter we define the meaning of legal modules. Again, to minimize the number of forward references, we proceed from the bottom up. We begin by defining execution environments, and then we define the meaning of expressions, statements, and finally modules.

6.1 Environments

The domain Env of execution environments is defined as follows:

Env :	$imps: Imp_map \times vars: V_map \times loops: Loop^* \times array: Array \times$ $arrays: A_map \times record: Record \times records: R_map$
Imp_map :	$DU \rightarrow Imp$
Imp :	$Op + Type$
Op :	$Obj^* \times Obj^* \times Env \rightarrow Result$
$Type$:	$Obj^* \times Name \rightarrow Op$
V_map :	$Idn \rightarrow Obj$
$Loop$:	$vars: Idn^* \times body: Unit \times env: Env$
A_map :	$Array \rightarrow Int \times Obj^*$
R_map :	$Record \rightarrow Obj^*$
$Result$:	$term: Term \times objs: Obj^* \times env: Env$
$Term$:	$Normal + Return + Break + Continue + Signal + Exit + Loop_term$
$Signal$:	$signal: Signal \times name: Name$
$Exit$:	$exit: Exit \times name: Name$
$Loop_term$:	$Return + Signal + Exit$

The meaning of the various components of an execution environment are:

- imps** - a mapping from DUs to implementations. This is not the mapping that is part of the library; rather, it is generated from the library by selecting a specific implementation for each abstraction used in the program.
- vars** - a mapping that defines the current object denoted by each variable. Uninitialized variables denote the bad object.
- loops** - a stack of closures for for statements. Each closure consists of the list of loop variables, the loop body, and the variable bindings active for the loop body.
- array** - a seed for generating new unique ids for array objects. Every id less than this seed is the id of an existing array object. (The domain Array is isomorphic to the natural numbers.)
- arrays** - a mapping that defines the current state (low bound and elements) of each existing array object.
- record** - a seed for generating new unique ids for record objects. Every id less than this seed is the id of an existing record object. (The domain Record is isomorphic to the natural numbers.)
- records** - a mapping that defines the current state of each existing record object. The components are in increasing lexicographic order according to their selector names.

We note that in a more "standard" semantic definition one would not coalesce all of this information into a single domain. Rather, the domain Env would consist solely of variable bindings (i.e., $\text{Env} = \text{V_map}$) and a separate domain, State, would be defined to consist of the other information. The principal advantage of such a scheme is that, although all of the information is needed to define the meaning of most constructs, certain evaluations (e.g., expression evaluation) cannot change (and therefore need not return) any variable bindings. However, as discussed in section 2.2.3, using a single domain greatly simplifies the task of propagating exceptions.

Primitive_env() = \langle *Primitiveimps()*; \perp_{V_map} ; \perp_{Loops} ; \perp_{Array} ; \perp_{A_map} ; \perp_{Record} ; \perp_{R_map} \rangle

Primitive_env is used in the evaluation of constant expressions. Constant expressions do not contain variables and do not create or manipulate mutable objects.

Primitiveimps: \rightarrow *Imp_map*

Primitiveimps returns an *imp_map* with implementations for the built-in types, the array type generator, and the procedure generator *forall*. Implementations of the record, *oneof*, *proctype*, and *ltertype* type generators, and the type routine will be handled specially, similar to the way their interfaces were handled in section 5.3.

6.2 Expressions

The major functions defined in this section are:

E_expr: $\text{Expr} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Result}$
E_exprs: $\text{Expr}^* \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Result}$
E_invoke: $\text{Invoke} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Result}$

E_exprs(*expr*^{*}, *env*) = rec
 if *Empty*(*expr*^{*})
 then \langle normal in Term; nil in Obj^{*}; *env* \rangle
 else *res* \langle term1; obj1^{*}; *env*1 \rangle = *E_expr*(*Head*(*expr*^{*}), *env*)
 \langle term2; obj2^{*}; *env*2 \rangle = *E_exprs*(*Tail*(*expr*^{*}), *env*1)
 in
 \langle normal in Term; *Concat*(obj1^{*}, obj2^{*}); *env*2 \rangle

The *res* notation, defined in section 3.4, is used to propagate exceptions (and bottom, in the event of nontermination).

E_expr[*obj*](*env*) = \langle normal in Term; *obj* in Obj^{*}; *env* \rangle

Actual parameters are substituted for formal parameters throughout a module before evaluation takes place, as defined in section 6.4.

$E_expr[\llbracket idn \rrbracket](env) = let\ obj = env.vars(idn)$
 in
 if $obj = \llbracket (bad\ in\ Val): (bad\ in\ D_type) \rrbracket$
 then $Failure("uninitialized\ variable" in\ String, env)$
 else $\langle normal\ in\ Term; obj\ in\ Obj^*; env \rangle$

$Failure(string, env) = let\ term = \langle exit; "failure" in\ Name \rangle in\ Term.$
 d_type = $\llbracket du_{string} \rrbracket in\ D_type$
 obj = $\llbracket (string\ in\ Val): d_type \rrbracket$
 in
 $\langle term; obj\ in\ Obj^*; env \rangle$

$E_expr[\llbracket invoke \rrbracket](env) = E_invoke(invoke, env)$

$E_invoke[\llbracket expr(expr^*) \rrbracket](env) =$
 res $\langle term1; obj1^*; env1 \rangle = E_expr(expr, env)$
 $\langle term2; obj2^*; env2 \rangle = E_exprs(expr^*, env1)$
 let $\langle op; obj3^* \rangle = Get_op(obj1^* to\ Obj, env2)$
 v_map = $\lambda idn. \llbracket (bad\ in\ Val): (bad\ in\ D_type) \rrbracket$
 $\langle term3; obj4^*; env3 \rangle = op(obj3^*, obj2^*, env2[v_map \circ vars])$
 in
 $Pass(term3, obj4^*, env3[env2.vars \circ vars])$

Get_op returns the implementation and the actual parameters for the routine.
 $Pass$ is used to propagate bottom in case the routine does not terminate. (The *res* notation cannot be used because the variable bindings must be restored.)

$Pass(term, obj^*, env) = if\ term\ is\ Normal\ \vee\ true$
 then $\langle term; obj^*; env \rangle$
 else \perp_{Result}

If the termination condition is bottom, then bottom is produced; otherwise the usual result is formed.

Get_op(obj, env) = case obj.val

```

elem [duObj*] of D_mod
  then <env.imp(du) to Op; obj*>
elem [d_typeNameObj*] of D_oper
  then case d_type
    elem d_record of D_record
      then <Record_op(d_record, name); obj*>
    elem d_onest of D_onest
      then <Oneof_op(d_onest, name); obj*>
    elem d_proc of D_proc
      then <Proctype_op(d_proc, name); obj*>
    elem d_iter of D_iter
      then <Itertype_op(d_iter, name); obj*>
    elem routine of Routine
      then <Routine_op(name); obj*>
    elem [duObj*] of D_mod
      then let type = env.imp(du) to Type
         in
           <type(obj*, name); obj*>
  end
end
end

```

Record_op: D_record × Name → Op

Oneof_op: D_onest × Name → Op

Proctype_op: D_proc × Name → Op

Itertype_op: D_iter × Name → Op

Implementations of the record, onest, proctype, and itertype type generators cannot be represented as elements of the domain Type, but implementations for the operations of any particular instantiation can be represented as elements of Op, as defined in section 6.5.

Routine_op: Name → Op

Routine_op represents the implementation of the type routine, as defined in section 6.5.

```

E_expr[[d_type$(comp*)]](env) =
  res <term; obj*; env1> = E_exprs(comp*↓expr, env)
  let obj1*              = Order(comp*↓name, obj*)
      record              = env1.record
      r_map               = env1.records[record ← obj1*]
      env2                = env1[New_record(record) ● record]r_map ● records]
  in
  <normal in Term; [(record in Val): d_type] in Obj*; env2>

```

New_record: Record → Record

New_record returns the successor to the given element. (The domain Record is isomorphic to the natural numbers.)

```

E_expr[[d_type$(expr: expr*)]](env) =
  res <term1; obj1*; env1> = E_expr(expr, env)
      <term2; obj2*; env2> = E_exprs(expr*, env1)
  let obj                    = obj1* to Obj
      int                    = obj.val to Int
      array                  = env2.array
      a_map                  = env2.arrays[array ← <int; obj2*>]
      env3                   = env2[New_array(array) ● array]a_map ● arrays]
  in
  if L_state(int, obj2*)
  then <normal in Term; [(array in Val): d_type] in Obj*; env3>
  else Failure(? in String, env2)

```

The string argument to *Failure* is arbitrary. *L_state* is defined in section 6.5.

New_array: Array → Array

New_array returns the successor to the given element. (The domain Array is isomorphic to the natural numbers.)

```

E_expr[[up[d_type](expr)]](env) =
  res <term; obj*; env1> = E_expr(expr, env)
  let val                = obj* to Obj in Val
  in
  <normal in Term; [val: d_type] in Obj*; env1>

```

$$E_expr[\text{down}(expr)](env) = res \langle \text{term}; \text{obj}^*; \text{env} \rangle = E_expr(expr, env)$$

$$\text{let } obj = \text{obj}^* \text{ to Obj}$$

$$\text{in}$$

$$\langle \text{normal in Term}; \text{obj.val to Obj in Obj}^*; \text{env} \rangle$$

If the type `any` could not be used as a representation type, then `up` could simply replace the `d_type` component of the object with the abstract type instead of adding a new layer; `down` would then replace the `d_type` component with the representation type instead of removing a layer. However, if the representation type were `any`, then information would be lost by such an "optimization", and correct invocations of `force` on down'd objects would fail.

$$E_expr[\text{expr1 and expr2}](env) = res \langle \text{term}; \text{obj}^*; \text{env} \rangle = E_expr(expr1, env)$$

$$\text{let } obj = \text{obj}^* \text{ to Obj}$$

$$\text{in}$$

$$\text{if } \text{obj.val to Bool}$$

$$\text{then } E_expr(expr2, env)$$

$$\text{else } \langle \text{normal in Term}; \text{obj}^*; \text{env} \rangle$$

$$E_expr[\text{expr1 cor expr2}](env) = res \langle \text{term}; \text{obj}^*; \text{env} \rangle = E_expr(expr1, env)$$

$$\text{let } obj = \text{obj}^* \text{ to Obj}$$

$$\text{in}$$

$$\text{if } \text{obj.val to Bool}$$

$$\text{then } \langle \text{normal in Term}; \text{obj}^*; \text{env} \rangle$$

$$\text{else } E_expr(expr2, env)$$

6.3 Statements

The major functions defined in this section are:

$E_stmt: \text{ Stmt} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Result}$

$E_unit: \text{ Unit} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Result}$

$Assn1: \text{ Idn} \times \text{Obj} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Env}$

$Assn: \text{ Idn}^* \times \text{Obj}^* \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Env}$

$Assn1$ and $Assn$ are used for assigning objects to variables.

$$E_unit[\text{stmt}^* \text{ local idn}^*](env) = \text{let } \langle \text{term}; \text{obj}^*; \text{env1} \rangle = E_stmts(\text{stmt}^*, env) \\ \text{env2} = Unassn(\text{idn}^*, \text{env1}) \\ \text{in} \\ Pass(\text{term}, \text{obj}^*, \text{env2})$$

Pass is used to propagate bottom in case evaluation of the body does not terminate.

$$E_stmts(\text{stmt}^*, env) = \text{rec if } Empty(\text{stmt}^*) \\ \text{then } \langle \text{normal in Term}; \text{nil in Obj}^*; env \rangle \\ \text{else } \text{res } \langle \text{term}; \text{obj}^*; \text{env1} \rangle = E_stmt(Head(\text{stmt}^*), env) \\ \text{in} \\ E_stmts(Tail(\text{stmt}^*), env1)$$

$$Unassn(\text{idn}^*, env) = \text{rec if } Empty(\text{idn}^*) \\ \text{then } env \\ \text{else } \text{let } \text{obj} = [(bad \text{ in Val}); (bad \text{ in D_type})] \\ \text{env1} = Assn(Head(\text{idn}^*), \text{obj}, env) \\ \text{in} \\ Unassn(Tail(\text{idn}^*), env1)$$

Variable bindings are removed by rebinding the variables to the bad object.

$$Assn(\text{idn}, \text{obj}, env) = \text{let } v_map = env.vars[\text{idn} \leftarrow \text{obj}] \\ \text{in} \\ env[v_map \circ vars]$$

$$E_stmt[\text{none}](env) = \langle \text{normal in Term}; \text{nil in Obj}^*; env \rangle$$

$$E_stmt[\text{idn}^* := \text{expr}^*](env) = \text{res } \langle \text{term}; \text{obj}^*; \text{env1} \rangle = E_expr(\text{expr}^*, env) \\ \text{let } \text{env2} = Assn(\text{idn}^*, \text{obj}^*, \text{env1}) \\ \text{in} \\ \langle \text{normal in Term}; \text{nil in Obj}^*; \text{env2} \rangle$$

$$E_stmt[\text{idn}^* := \text{invoke}](env) = \text{res } \langle \text{term}; \text{obj}^*; \text{env1} \rangle = E_invoke(\text{invoke}, env) \\ \text{let } \text{env2} = Assn(\text{idn}^*, \text{obj}^*, \text{env1}) \\ \text{in} \\ \langle \text{normal in Term}; \text{nil in Obj}^*; \text{env2} \rangle$$

```

Assn(idn*, obj*, env) = rec if Empty(idn*)
                        then env
                        else let env1 = Assn(Head(idn*), Head(obj*), env)
                        in
                        Assn(Tail(idn*), Tail(obj*), env1)

```

```

E_stmt[Invoke](env) = res <term; obj*; env1> = E_invoke(Invoke, env)
in
<normal in Term; nil in Obj*; env1>

```

The objects returned by the invocation are disabled.

```

E_stmt[If expr then unit1 elseif* else unit2 end](env) =
  res <term; obj*; env1> = E_expr(expr, env)
  let obj      = obj* to Obj
  in
  if obj.val to Bool
  then E_unit(unit1, env1)
  else E_elseifs(elseif*, unit2, env1)

```

```

E_elseifs(elseif*, unit, env) = rec
  if Empty(elseif*)
  then E_unit(unit, env)
  else let [elseif expr then unit1] = Head(elseif*)
  res <term; obj*; env1> = E_expr(expr, env)
  let obj      = obj* to Obj
  in
  if obj.val to Bool
  then E_unit(unit1, env1)
  else E_elseifs(Tail(elseif*), unit, env)

```

```

E_stmt[while expr do unit end](env) = rec
  res <term1; obj1*; env1> = E_expr(expr, env)
  let obj                = obj1* to Obj
  in
  if obj.val to Bool
  then let <term2; obj2*; env2> = E_unit(unit, env1)
        in
        if term2 is Normal ∨ term2 is Continue
          then E_stmt[while expr do unit end] in Stmt, env2)
        else if term2 is Break
          then <normal in Term; nil in Obj*; env2>
          else <term2; obj2*; env2>
  else <normal in Term; nil in Obj*; env1>

```

Executing a **break** statement in the body terminates the **while** statement. Executing a **continue** statement in the body causes the rest of the body to be skipped for that cycle, but execution of the **while** statement continues. Other non-normal terminations of the body terminate the **while** statement.

```

E_stmt[return(expr*)](env) = res <term; obj*; env1> = E_exprs(expr*, env)
  in
  <return in Term; obj*; env1>

```

```

E_stmt[signal name(expr*)](env) = res <term; obj*; env1> = E_exprs(expr*, env)
  let term1                = <signal; name> in Term
  in
  <term1; obj*; env1>

```

```

E_stmt[exit name(expr*)](env) = res <term; obj*; env1> = E_exprs(expr*, env)
  let term1                = <exit; name> in Term
  in
  <term1; obj*; env1>

```

```

E_stmt[break](env) = <break in Term; nil in Obj*; env>

```

```

E_stmt[continue](env) = <continue in Term; nil in Obj*; env>

```

```

E_stmt[begin unit end](env) = E_unit(unit, env)

```

$E_stmt[\text{tagcase expr tag}^* \text{end}](env) = res \langle term; obj^*; env \rangle = E_expr(expr, env)$
 $let \langle val; type \rangle = obj^* \text{ to Obj}$
 $Ename: obj \quad = \text{val to Oneof}$
 in
 $E_tags(tag^*, name, obj, env)$

$E_tags(tag^*, name, obj, env) = \text{if } Match_tag(Head(tag^*), name)$
 $\text{then } E_tag(Head(tag^*), obj, env)$
 $\text{else } E_tag(Tail(tag^*), name, obj, env)$

Legality-checking has ensured that one of the arms will be executed.

$Match_tag[\text{tag name}^* (idn): unit](name) = name \in name^*$

$Match_tag[\text{tag name}^*: unit](name) = name \in name^*$

$Match_tag[\text{others}: unit](name) = \text{true}$

$E_tag[\text{tag name}^* (idn): unit](obj, env) = \text{let } env1 = Add(idn, obj, env)$
 in
 $E_unit(unit, env1)$

$E_tag[\text{tag name}^*: unit](obj, env) = E_unit(unit, env)$

$E_tag[\text{others}: unit](obj, env) = E_unit(unit, env)$

$E_stmt[\text{for idn}^* \text{ in invoke do unit end}](env) =$
 $let \text{ loop}^* = \langle Conf(idn^*; unit; env.vars), env.loops \rangle$
 $\langle term; obj^*; env \rangle = E_invoke(invoke, env(loop^* \circ loops))$
 $\langle idn^*; unit; v_map \rangle = Head(env.loops)$
 in
 $Pass(term, obj^*, env)(v_map \circ vars)(Tail(env.loops) \circ loops)$

The invoked iterator handles termination, including execution of break and continue statements. Pass is used to propagate bottom in case the iterator (or some cycle of the loop body) does not terminate.

```

E_stmt[yield(expr*)](env) =
  res <term1; obj1*; env1> = E_exprs(expr*, env)
  let <idn*; unit; v_map> = Head(env1.loops)
      env2 = env1[v_map @ vars][Tail(env1.loops) @ loops]
      env3 = Assn(idn*, obj1*, env2)
      <term2; obj2*; env4> = E_unit(unit, env3)
      loop* = Const<idn*; unit; env4.vars>, env4.loops)
      env5 = env1[env1.vars @ vars][loop* @ loops]
  in
  if term2 is Normal v term2 is Continue
    then <normal in Term; nil in Obj*; env5>
  else if term2 is Break
    then <return in Term; nil in Obj*; env5>
  else <term2 to_in Loop_term in Term; obj2*; env5>

```

Executing a **break** statement in the body terminates the iterator (and the invoking **for** statement). Executing a **continue** statement in the body causes the rest of the body to be skipped for that cycle, but execution of the iterator continues. Other non-normal terminations of the body terminate the iterator, but the result must be propagated specially through the iterator and back to the caller.

```

E_stmt[stmt except catch* end](env) = let <term; obj*; env1> = E_stmt(stmt, env)
  in
  case term
  elem <exit; name> of Exit
    then E_catches(catch*, name, obj*, env1)
  else <term; obj*; env1>

```

```

E_catchstcatch*, name, obj*, env) = rec
  if Empty(catch*)
    then let term = <exit; name> in Term
      in
        <term; obj*; env>
  else if Match_catch(Head(catch*), name)
    then E_catch(Head(catch*), name, obj*, env)
  else E_catchstTail(catch*), name, obj*, env)

```

Exits not caught by any handler of the **except** statement are propagated through unchanged.

```
Match_catch[[when name* (idn*): unit]](name) = name ∈ name*
```

```
Match_catch[[when name* (*): unit]](name) = name ∈ name*
```

```
Match_catch[[others: unit]](name) = true
```

```
Match_catch[[others (idn): unit]](name) = true
```

```

E_catch[[when name* (idn*): unit]](name, obj*, env) =
  let env1 = Assn(idn*, obj*, env)
  in
    E_unit(unit, env1)

```

```
E_catch[[when name* (*): unit]](name, obj*, env) = E_unit(unit, env)
```

```
E_catch[[others: unit]](name, obj*, env) = E_unit(unit, env)
```

```

E_catch[[others (idn): unit]](name, obj*, env) =
  let d_type = [[du_string []] in D_type
    obj = [(Make_string(name) in Val): d_type]
    env1 = Assn(idn, obj, env)
  in
    E_unit(unit, env1)

```

The `idn` is bound to a string representing the exception name.

Make_string: Name \rightarrow String

Make_string returns the string corresponding to the given name. (Name and String are isomorphic domains.)

6.4 Modules

The major function defined in this section is:

Meaning: Mod_form \rightarrow Imp

Meaning[op_form] = $(\lambda(\text{obj1}^*, \text{obj2}^*, \text{env}). E_op(\text{op_form}, \text{obj1}^*, \text{obj2}^*, \text{env})) \text{ in Imp}$

Meaning[type_form] = $(\lambda(\text{obj}^*, \text{name}). M_type(\text{type_form}, \text{obj}^*, \text{name})) \text{ in Imp}$

M_type[cluster [idn*] oper* end](obj*, name) =
 let op_form1 = *Find_op*(name, oper*)
 op_form2 = *Subst*(obj*, idn*, op_form1)
 in
 $\lambda(\text{obj1}^*, \text{obj2}^*, \text{env}). E_op(\text{op_form2}, \text{obj1}^*, \text{obj2}^*, \text{env})$

Find_op(name, oper*) = rec let [idn = op_form] = *Head*(oper*)
 in
 if *Make_idn*(name) = idn
 then op_form
 else *Find_op*(name, *Tail*(oper*))

Legality-checking has ensured that the operation exists.

```

E_op[ routine [idn1*] (idn2*) unit end](obj1*, obj2*, env) =
  let unit1 = Subst(obj1*, idn1*, unit)
      env1 = Assn(idn2*, obj2*, env)
      <term; obj3*; env2> = E_unit(unit1, env1)
  in
  case term
  elem normal of Normal
    then Failure("no return values" in String, env2)
  elem return of Return
    then <normal in Term; obj3*; env2>
  elem <signal name> of Signal
    then let exit = <exit; name>
         in
         <exit in Term; obj3*; env2>
  elem <exit; name> of Exit
    then if name = ("failure" in Name)
         then <term; obj3*; env2>
         else let string = Concat("unhandled exception: " in String,
                                   Make_string_name)
              in
              Failure(string, env2)
  elem loop_term of Loop_term
    then <loop_term to_in Term; obj3*; env2>
  end

```

As part of legality-checking, return statements are added to the ends of routines that do not return any objects; thus a routine body which evaluates to a normal result is in error. Signals are changed to exits at the routine boundary. Failure exits propagate through; any other exit is changed to a failure exit with the original exit name as the result object. A non-normal termination of a for loop body is passed back to the caller.

6.5 Built-in Abstractions

In this section we define the built-in procedure generator `force` and the operations of the built-in types and type generators. Each routine is defined either by giving a routine heading and an element of the domain `Op`, or by giving a routine written in CLU. The implementations are not necessarily the most efficient possible. We do not define any operations related to input/output, since I/O is not part of the language proper.

Several routines can signal *failure*, but the exact string returned can vary from one system implementation to another. We indicate these strings with a question mark, as in

Failure(? in String, env)

When computing with integers, the operators '+', '-', '*', 's', etc. have their usual mathematical meaning. We also use the operators '/' and '//', defined by

$$x/y = q \wedge x//y = r \quad \text{iff} \quad (0 \leq r < |y|) \wedge (x = y*q + r)$$

When computing with reals, we will treat $\llbracket \text{int1} \text{ e int2} \rrbracket$ as the real number $\text{int1} * 10^{\text{int2}}$. For example,

$$\llbracket \text{int1} \text{ e int2} \rrbracket < \llbracket \text{int3} \text{ e int4} \rrbracket \quad \text{iff} \quad \text{int1} * 10^{\text{int2}} < \text{int3} * 10^{\text{int4}}$$

An expression such as

$$\llbracket \text{int1} \text{ e int2} \rrbracket + \llbracket \text{int3} \text{ e int4} \rrbracket$$

means that one should choose the normalized element of `Real` that represents

$$\text{int1} * 10^{\text{int2}} + \text{int3} * 10^{\text{int4}}$$

and similarly for other operators. The exact normalization algorithm is not important here (though it must be the same as that used by the parser); normalization simply ensures that our use of strict element equality:

$$\llbracket \text{int1} \text{ e int2} \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{int3} \text{ e int4} \rrbracket \quad \text{iff} \quad \text{int1} = \text{int3} \wedge \text{int2} = \text{int4}$$

corresponds to the usual meaning of equality.

6.5.1 Auxiliary Functions

For every domain D^* we define the functions

<i>Last</i> :	$D^* \rightarrow D$	get last element
<i>Front</i> :	$D^* \rightarrow D^*$	get all but last element
<i>Size</i> :	$D^* \rightarrow \text{Int}$	get number of elements
<i>Fetch</i> :	$D^* \times \text{Int} \rightarrow D$	get <i>i</i> th element
<i>Store</i> :	$D^* \times \text{Int} \times D \rightarrow D^*$	replace <i>i</i> th element

The definitions of all but the last two should be obvious. *Fetch* and *Store* are defined as follows:

$$\text{Fetch}(d^*, \text{int}) = \begin{cases} \text{rec if } \text{int} = 1 \\ \text{then } \text{Head}(d^*) \\ \text{else } \text{Fetch}(\text{Tail}(d^*), \text{int} - 1) \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Store}(d^*, \text{int}, d) = \begin{cases} \text{rec if } \text{int} = 1 \\ \text{then } \text{Cons}(d, \text{Tail}(d^*)) \\ \text{else } \text{Cons}(\text{Head}(d^*), \text{Store}(\text{Tail}(d^*), \text{int} - 1, d)) \end{cases}$$

Min_int: $\rightarrow \text{Int}$

Max_int: $\rightarrow \text{Int}$

Max_char: $\rightarrow \text{Int}$

Min_real: $\rightarrow \text{Real}$

Max_real: $\rightarrow \text{Real}$

The values of these constant functions can vary from one system implementation to another; the only restrictions are:

$$\text{Min_int}() < 0$$

$$127 \leq \text{Max_char}() \leq 511$$

$$\text{Max_char}() \leq \text{Max_int}()$$

$$0.0 < \text{Min_real}() < 1.0 < \text{Max_real}()$$

$$L_int(\text{int}) = \text{Min_int}() \leq \text{int} \leq \text{Max_int}()$$

$$L_real(\text{real}) = \text{real} = 0.0 \vee \text{Min_real}() \leq |\text{real}| \leq \text{Max_real}()$$

$L_char[\text{char int}] = 0 \leq \text{int} \leq \text{Max_char}()$

$L_chars(\text{char}^*) = \underline{\text{rec}}$ if $\text{Empty}(\text{char}^*)$
 then true
 else $L_char(\text{Head}(\text{char}^*)) \wedge L_chars(\text{Tall}(\text{char}^*))$

$L_string(\text{string}) = L_chars(\text{string}) \wedge L_int(\text{Size}(\text{string}))$

The size of the string must be a representable integer.

$L_state(\text{int}, \text{obj}^*) = L_int(\text{int}) \wedge L_int(\text{Size}(\text{obj}^*)) \wedge L_int(\text{int} + \text{Size}(\text{obj}^*) - 1)$

The index of each array element and the size of the array must be representable integers.

Approx: Real \rightarrow Real

Approx defines the imprecision of using finite approximations to real numbers, and can vary from one system implementation to another. The only restrictions placed on *Approx* are as follows. Given that

$L_real(\text{real}) \wedge L_real(\text{real1}) \wedge L_real(\text{real2})$

is true, then

$\text{Approx}(\text{real})$ is normalized

$\text{Approx}(\text{Approx}(\text{real})) = \text{Approx}(\text{real})$

$\text{real1} < \text{real2}$ implies $\text{Approx}(\text{real1}) \leq \text{Approx}(\text{real2})$

$\text{Approx}(-\text{real}) = -\text{Approx}(\text{real})$

$\text{real} \neq 0.0$ implies $|\text{Approx}(\text{real}) - \text{real}| / \text{real} < 10^{-6}$

$\text{Approx}(0.0) = 0.0$

$\text{Approx}(1.0) = 1.0$

Bad_add: Real \times Real \rightarrow Real

Bad_add is used for real additions when the arguments differ in sign. The only restriction placed on *Bad_add* is as follows. If

$\text{Bad_add}(\text{real1}, \text{real2}) = \text{real} \wedge L_real(\text{real})$

then

$\text{real} = \text{Approx}(\text{real}) \wedge |(\text{real1} + \text{real2} - \text{real}) / (\text{real1} + \text{real2})| < 10^{-6}$

R2i: Real \rightarrow Int

R2i rounds to the nearest integer, and towards zero in case of a tie:

$$|\lfloor R2i(\text{real}) \oplus 0 \rfloor - \text{real}| \leq 0.5 \wedge |\lfloor R2i(\text{real}) \oplus 0 \rfloor| < |\text{real}| + 0.5$$

Trunc: Real \rightarrow Int

Trunc truncates its argument towards zero:

$$|\lfloor \text{Trunc}(\text{real}) \oplus 0 \rfloor - \text{real}| \leq 1.0 \wedge |\lfloor \text{Trunc}(\text{real}) \oplus 0 \rfloor| \leq |\text{real}|$$

Return_bool(bool, env) = let d_type = [du_{bool}] in D_type
in
<normal in Term; [(bool in Val): d_type] in Obj^{*}; env>

Return_int(int, env) = let d_type = [du_{int}] in D_type
in
<normal in Term; [(int in Val): d_type] in Obj^{*}; env>

Int_result(int, env) = if L_int(int)
then Return_int(int, env)
else Error("overflow" in Name, env)

Return_real(real, env) = let d_type = [du_{real}] in D_type
in
<normal in Term; [(real in Val): d_type] in Obj^{*}; env>

Real_result(real, env) = if L_real(real)
then Return_real(Approx(real), env)
else if |real| > Max_real()
then Error("overflow" in Name, env)
else Error("underflow" in Name, env)

Return_char(char, env) = let d_type = [du_{char}] in D_type
in
<normal in Term; [(char in Val): d_type] in Obj^{*}; env>

```

Return_string(string, env) = let d_type = [du_string []] in D_type
    in
    <normal in Term; [(string in Val): d_type] in Obj*; env>

Eq_obj(obj1*, obj2*, env) = let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj*
    in
    Return_bool(obj1 = obj2, env)

```

Eq_obj will be used in defining various "equal" operations.

```

Error(name, env) = let term = <exit; name> in Term
    in
    <term; nil in Obj*; env>

```

6.5.2 Force

```

force_ = proc [t: type] (x: any) returns (t) signals (wrong_type)
    λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let obj1 = obj1* to Obj
        obj2 = obj2* to Obj
        in
        if Includes(obj1.val to D_type, obj2.d_type)
            then <normal in Term; obj2*; env>
            else Error("wrong_type" in Name, env)

```

Includes is used instead of strict equality because the type **any** is a legal parameter to **force**.

6.5.3 Null

```

equal = proc (n1, n2: null) returns (bool)
    return(true)
end equal

similar = proc (n1, n2: null) returns (bool)
    return(true)
end similar

copy = proc (n: null) returns (null)
    return(nil)
end copy

```

6.5.4 Bool

```
and = proc (b1, b2: bool) returns (bool)
  return(b1 and b2)
end and
```

```
or = proc (b1, b2: bool) returns (bool)
  return(b1 or b2)
end or
```

```
not = proc (b: bool) returns (bool)
  if b
  then return(false)
  else return(true)
  end
end not
```

```
equal = proc (b1, b2: bool) returns (bool)
  Eq_obj
```

```
similar = proc (b1, b2: bool) returns (bool)
  return(b1 = b2)
end similar
```

```
copy = proc (b: bool) returns (bool)
  return(b)
end copy
```

6.5.5 Int

```
add = proc (i1, i2: Int) returns (Int) signals (overflow)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj1* to Obj1*
  in
  Int_result((obj1.val to Int) + (obj2.val to Int), env)
```

Note that *add* is not a parameterized procedure, so the parameter list *obj1** is not used. This will be true of all of the definitions that follow.

```

sub = proc (i1, i2: int) returns (int) signals (overflow)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj2
                        in
                        Int_result((obj1.val to Int) - (obj2.val to Int), env)

mul = proc (i1, i2: int) returns (int) signals (overflow)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj2
                        in
                        Int_result((obj1.val to Int) * (obj2.val to Int), env)

minus = proc (i: int) returns (int) signals (overflow)
  return(0 - i)
  except when overflow: signal overflow end
end minus

div = proc (i1, i2: int) returns (int) signals (zero_divide, overflow)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj2
                        int
                        = obj2.val to Int
                        in
                        if int = 0
                        then Error("zero_divide" in Name, env)
                        else Int_result((obj1.val to Int) / int, env)

mod = proc (i1, i2: int) returns (int) signals (zero_divide, overflow)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj2
                        int
                        = obj2.val to Int
                        in
                        if int = 0
                        then Error("zero_divide" in Name, env)
                        else Int_result((obj1.val to Int) // int, env)

```

```

power = proc (i, e: int) returns (int) signals (negative_exponent, overflow)
  if e < 0 then signal negative_exponent end
  pow: int := 1;
  for j: int in int$from_to(1, e) do
    pow := pow * i
    except when overflow: signal overflow end
  end
  return(pow)
end power

```

```

from_to_by = iter (from, to, by: int) yields (int)
  while by > 0 & from <= to | by <= 0 & from >= to do
    yield(from)
    from := from + by
  end
end from_to_by

```

```

from_to = iter (from, to: int) yields (int)
  for i: int in int$from_to_by(from, to, 1) do
    yield(i)
  end
end from_to

```

```

lt = proc (i1, i2: int) returns (bool)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj2
    in
    Return_bool((obj1.val to Int) < (obj2.val to Int), env)

```

```

le = proc (i1, i2: int) returns (bool)
  return(i1 < i2 | i1 = i2)
end le

```

```

ge = proc (i1, i2: int) returns (bool)
  return(i2 <= i1)
end ge

```

```

gt = proc (i1, i2: int) returns (bool)
  return(i2 < i1)
end gt

```

```

equal = proc (i1, i2: int) returns (bool)
  Eq_obj

```



```
similar = proc (i1, i2: int) returns (bool)
  return(i1 = i2)
end similar
```

```
copy = proc (i: int) returns (int)
  return(i)
end copy
```

6.5.6 Real

```
add = proc (r1, r2: real) returns (real) signals (overflow, underflow)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj*
    real1 = obj1.val to Real
    real2 = obj2.val to Real
  in
    if (real1 < 0.0 ∧ real2 > 0.0) ∨ (real1 > 0.0 ∧ real2 < 0.0)
      then Real_result(Bad_addreal1, real2), env)
    else Real_result(real1 + real2, env)
```

```
sub = proc (r1, r2: real) returns (real) signals (overflow, underflow)
  return(r1 + -r2)
  except when overflow: signal overflow
    when underflow: signal underflow
  end
end sub
```

```
mul = proc (r1, r2: real) returns (real) signals (overflow, underflow)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj*
  in
    Real_result((obj1.val to Real) * (obj2.val to Real), env)
```

```
minus = proc (r: real) returns (real)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let obj = obj2* to Obj
  in
    Return_real(-(obj.val to Real), env)
```

div = proc (r1, r2: real) returns (real) signals (zero_divide, overflow, underflow)

```

λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj2
                        real          = obj2.val to Real
in
  if real = 0.0
  then Error("zero_divide" in Name, env)
  else Real_result((obj1.val to Real) / real, env)

```

power = proc (r, e: real) returns (real)

```

signals (zero_divide, complex_result, overflow, underflow)
λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj2
                        reall         = obj1.val to Real
                        real2        = obj2.val to Real
in
  if reall = 0.0 ∧ real2 < 0.0
  then Error("zero_divide" in Name, env)
  else if reall < 0.0 ∧ ¬(real2 = ⌊R2i(real2)⌋ e 0)
  then Error("complex_result" in Name, env)
  else % the rest is system dependent

```

i2r = proc (i: int) returns (real) signals (overflow)

```

λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let obj = obj2* to Obj
in
  Real_result(⌊(obj.val to Int) e 0⌋, env)

```

r2i = proc (r: real) returns (int) signals (overflow)

```

λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let obj = obj2* to Obj
in
  Int_result(R2i(obj.val to Real), env)

```

trunc = proc (r: real) returns (int) signals (overflow)

```

λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let obj = obj2* to Obj
in
  Int_result(Trunc(obj.val to Real), env)

```

lt = proc (r1, r2: real) returns (bool)

```

λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj2
in
  Return_bool((obj1.val to Real) < (obj2.val to Real), env)

```

```
le = proc (r1, r2: real) returns (bool)
  return(r1 < r2 | r1 = r2)
end le
```

```
ge = proc (r1, r2: real) returns (bool)
  return(r2 <= r1)
end ge
```

```
gt = proc (r1, r2: real) returns (bool)
  return(r2 < r1)
end gt
```

```
equal = proc (r1, r2: real) returns (bool)
  Eq_obj
```

```
similar = proc (r1, r2: real) returns (bool)
  return(r1 = r2)
end similar
```

```
copy = proc (r: real) returns (real)
  return(r)
end copy
```

6.5.7 Char

```
i2c = proc (i: Int) returns (char) signals (illegal_char)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let obj = obj2* to Obj
    char = [char (obj.val to Int)]
  in
  if L_char(char)
  then Return_char(char, env)
  else Error("illegal_char" in Name, env)
```

```
c2i = proc (c: char) returns (Int)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let obj = obj2* to Obj
    [char int] = obj.val to Char
  in
  Return_int(int, env)
```

```
lt = proc (c1, c2: char) returns (bool)
  return(char$c2i(c1) < char$c2i(c2))
end lt
```

```
le = proc (c1, c2: char) returns (bool)
  return(c1 < c2 | c1 = c2)
end le
```

```
ge = proc (c1, c2: char) returns (bool)
  return(c2 <= c1)
end ge
```

```
gt = proc (c1, c2: char) returns (bool)
  return(c2 < c1)
end gt
```

```
equal = proc (c1, c2: char) returns (bool)
  Eq_obj
```

```
similar = proc (c1, c2: char) returns (bool)
  return(c1 = c2)
end similar
```

```
copy = proc (c: char) returns (char)
  return(c)
end copy
```

6.5.8 String

```
size = proc (s: string) returns (int)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let obj = obj2* to Obj
  in
  Return_int(Size(obj.val to String), env)
```

```
indexs = proc (pat, str: string) returns (int)
  z: int := string$size(pat)
  for i: int in ints$from(1, string$size(str)) do
    if pat = string$substr(str, i, z)
    then return(i) end
  end
  return(0)
end indexs
```

```

indexc = proc (c: char, s: string) returns (int)
  return(string$indexs(string$c2s(c), s))
end indexc

```

```

c2s = proc (c: char) returns (string)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let obj = obj2* to Obj
    in
      Return_string(obj.val to Char in Char*, env)

```

```

concat = proc (s1, s2: string) returns (string)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj2
    string      = Concat(obj1.val to String,
      obj2.val to String)
    in
      if L_string(string)
        then Return_string(string, env)
        else Failure? in String, env)

```

```

append = proc (s: string, c: char) returns (string)
  return(s || string$c2s(c))
end append

```

```

fetch = proc (s: string, i: int) returns (char) signals (bounds)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj2
    string      = obj1.val to String
    int         = obj2.val to Int
    in
      if 1 ≤ int ≤ Size(string)
        then Return_char(Fetch(string, int), env)
        else Error("bounds" in Name, env)

```

```

rest = proc (s: string, i: int) returns (string) signals (bounds)
  return(string$substr(s, i, string$size(s)))
  except when bounds: signal bounds end
end rest

```

```

substr = proc (s: string, nlow: int, nsize: int) signal (bounds, negative_size)
  if nsize < 0 then signal negative_size end
  z: int := string$size(s)
  if nlow < 1 cor nlow - 1 > z
    then signal bounds end
  ss: string := ""
  last: int := z
  if nsize < z - nlow + 1
    then last := nlow + nsize - 1 end
  for i: int in int$from_to(nlow, last) do
    ss := string$append(ss, s[i])
  end
  return(ss)
end substr

```

```

s2ac = proc (s: string) returns (ac)
  ac = array[char]
  a: ac := ac$new()
  for c: char in string$chars(s) do
    ac$addh(a, c)
  end
  return(a)
end s2ac

```

```

ac2s = proc (a: ac) returns (string)
  ac = array[char]
  s: string := ""
  for c: char in ac$elements(a) do
    s := string$append(s, c)
  end
  return(s)
end ac2s

```

```

chars = proc (s: string) yields (char)
  for i: int in int$from_to(1, string$size(s)) do
    yield(s[i])
  end
end chars

```

```
lt = proc (s1, s2: string) returns (bool)
  z1: int := string$size(s1)
  z2: int := string$size(s2)
  min: int := z1
  if z1 > z2 then min := z2 end
  for i: int in int$from_to(1, min) do
    if s1[i] < s2[i] then return(true) end
  end
  return(z1 < z2)
end lt
```

```
le = proc (s1, s2: string) returns (bool)
  return(s1 < s2 | s1 = s2)
end le
```

```
ge = proc (s1, s2: string) returns (bool)
  return(s2 <= s1)
end ge
```

```
gt = proc (s1, s2: string) returns (bool)
  return(s2 < s1)
end gt
```

```
equal = proc (s1, s2: string) returns (bool)
  Eq_obj
```

```
similar = proc (s1, s2: string) returns (bool)
  return(s1 = s2)
end similar
```

```
copy = proc (s: string) returns (string)
  return(s)
end copy
```

6.5.9 Array Types

The heading of the array type generator begins

```
array_ = cluster [t: type] is ...
  at = array{t}
```

We will make use of "at" and "t" in the definitions that follow.


```

trim = proc (a: at, nlow: Int, nsize: Int) signals (bounds, negative_size)
  if nlow < at$low(a) | nlow > at$high(a) and nlow > at$high(a) + 1
    then signal bounds end
  if nsize < 0 then signal negative_size end
  while at$low(a) < nlow do
    at$reml(a)
  end
  while at$size(a) >= nsize do
    at$remh(a)
  end
end trim

fill = proc (nlow: Int, nsize: Int, elt: t) returns (at) signals (negative_size)
  if nsize < 0 then signal negative_size end
  a: at := at$create(nlow)
  for i: Int in intsfrom_to(1, nsize) do
    at$addh(a, elt)
  end
  return(a)
end fill

fill_copy = proc (nlow: Int, nsize: Int, elt: t) returns (at) signals (negative_size)
  where t has copy: proctype (t) returns (t)
  if nsize < 0 then signal negative_size end
  a: at := at$create(nlow)
  for i: Int in intsfrom_to(1, nsize) do
    at$addh(a, t$copy(elt))
  end
  return(a)
end fill_copy

fetch = proc (a: at, i: Int) returns (t) signals (bounds)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj2
    <int1; obj3*> = env.arrays(obj1.val to Array)
    int2         = (obj2.val to Int) - int1 + 1
    obj3         = Fetch(obj3*, int2)
  in
  if 1 ≤ int2 ≤ Size(obj3*)
  then <normal in Term; obj3 in Obj3*; env>
  else Error("bounds" in Name, env)

```

```

bottom = proc (a: at) returns (t) signals (bounds)
  return(a[at$low(a)])
  except when bounds: signal bounds end
end bottom

top = proc (a: at) returns (t) signals (bounds)
  return(a[at$high(a)])
  except when bounds: signal bounds end
end top

store = proc (a: at, i: int, elt: t) signals (bounds)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2; obj3> = obj2* to Obj3
    array* = obj1.val to Array
    <int; obj3*> = env.arrays(array)
    int2 = (obj2.val to Int) = int1 + 1
    obj4* = Store(obj3*, int2, obj3)
    a_map = env.arrays(array) ← <int; obj4*>
  in
    if 1 ≤ int2 ≤ Size(obj3*)
      then <normal in Term; nil in Obj3; env(a_map e arrays)>
      else Error("bounds" in Name, env)
    end
end

addh = proc (a: at, elt: t)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj2
    array = obj1.val to Array
    <int; obj3*> = env.arrays(array)
    obj4* = Append(obj3*, obj2)
    a_map = env.arrays(array) ← <int; obj4*>
  in
    if E_state(int, obj4*)
      then <normal in Term; nil in Obj3; env(a_map e arrays)>
      else Failure(?) in String, env)
    end
end

```

add1 = proc (a: at, elt: t)

```

λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj*
                        array      = obj1.val to Array
                        <int1; obj3*> = env.arrays(array)
                        int2         = int1 - 1
                        obj4*       = Cons(obj2, obj3*)
                        a_map        = env.arrays(array ← <int2; obj4*>]
in
if L_state(int2, obj4*)
then <normal in Term; nil in Obj*; env/a_map e arrays]
else Failed? in String, env)

```

remh = proc (a: at) returns (t) signals (bounds)

```

λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let obj      = obj2* to Obj
                        array      = obj1.val to Array
                        <int; obj3*> = env.arrays(array)
                        obj4*       = Front(obj3*)
                        obj5*       = Last(obj3*) in Obj*
                        a_map        = env.arrays(array ← <int; obj4*>]
in
if Empty(obj3*)
then Error("bounds" in Name, env)
else <normal in Term; obj5*; env/a_map e arrays]

```

remi = proc (a: at) returns (t) signals (bounds)

```

λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let obj      = obj2* to Obj
                        array      = obj1.val to Array
                        <int; obj3*> = env.arrays(array)
                        obj4*       = Tail(obj3*)
                        obj5*       = Head(obj3*) in Obj*
                        a_map        = env.arrays(array ← <int; obj4*>]
in
if Empty(obj3*)
then Error("bounds" in Name, env)
else <normal in Term; obj5*; env/a_map e arrays]

```

```

elements = proc (a: at) yields (t)
  for i: int in at$indexes(a) do
    yield(a[i])
  end
end elements

```

```

indexes = proc (a: at) yields (int)
  for i: int in int$(from, to(at$low(a), at$high(a))) do
    yield(i)
  end
end indexes

```

```

equal = proc (a1, a2: at) returns (bool)
  Eq_obj

```

```

similar = proc (a1, a2: at) returns (bool)
  where t has similar: proctype (t, t) returns (bool)
  if at$low(a1) ~ at$low(a2) | at$size(a1) ~ at$size(a2)
    then return(false) end
  for i: int in at$indexes(a1) do
    if ~t$similar(a1[i], a2[i]) then return(false) end
  end
  return(true)
end similar

```

```

similar1 = proc (a1, a2: at) returns (bool)
  where t has equal: proctype (t, t) returns (bool)
  if at$low(a1) ~ at$low(a2) | at$size(a1) ~ at$size(a2)
    then return(false) end
  for i: int in at$indexes(a1) do
    if a1[i] ~ a2[i] then return(false) end
  end
  return(true)
end similar

```

```

copy1 = proc (a: at) returns (at)
  aa: at := at$create(at$low(a))
  for elt: t in at$elements(a) do
    at$addh(aa, elt)
  end
  return(aa)
end copy1

```

```

copy = proc (a: at) returns (at) where t has copy: proctype (t) returns (t)
  aa: at := at$copy1(a)
  for i: int in at$indexes(aa) do
    aa[i] := t$copy(aa[i])
  end
  return(aa)
end copy

```

6.5.10 Record Types

The definitions given in this section are schemas. Each definition is given in terms of the record type

$$RT = \text{record}[N_1: T_1, \dots, N_n: T_n]$$

where the N_i are in increasing lexicographic order.

```

get_N1 = proc (r: RT) returns (T1)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let obj1 = obj2* to Obj
                        obj3* = env.records(obj1.val to Record)
                        obj2 = Fetch(obj3*, i)
  in
  <normal in Term; obj2 in Obj*; env>

```

There is a get_{N_1} operation for every N_1 .

```

set_N1 = proc (r: RT, elt: T1)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj2
                        record = obj1.val to Record
                        obj3* = env.records(record)
                        obj4* = Store(obj3*, i, obj2)
                        r_map = env.records[record ← obj4*]
  in
  <normal in Term; nil in Obj*; env[r_map o records]>

```

There is a set_{N_1} operation for every N_1 .

```

equal = proc (r1, r2: RT) returns (bool)
  Eq_obj

```

```

similar = proc (r1, r2: RT) returns (bool)
  where T1 has similar: proctype (T1, T1) returns (bool),
  ...
  Tn has similar: proctype (Tn, Tn) returns (bool)
  return(T1$similar(r1.N1, r2.N1) and ... and Tn$similar(r1.Nn, r2.Nn))
end similar

```

```

similar1 = proc (r1, r2: RT) returns (bool)
  where T1 has equal: proctype (T1, T1) returns (bool),
  ...
  Tn has equal: proctype (Tn, Tn) returns (bool)
  return(r1.N1 = r2.N1 and ... and r1.Nn = r2.Nn)
end similar1

```

```

copy1 = proc (r: RT) returns (RT)
  return(RT$(N1: r.N1, ..., Nn: r.Nn))
end copy1

```

```

copy = proc (r: RT) returns (RT) where T1 has copy: proctype (T1) returns (T1),
  ...
  Tn has copy: proctype (Tn) returns (Tn)

rr: RT := RT$copy1(r)
rr.N1 := T1$copy(r.N1)
...
rr.Nn := Tn$copy(r.Nn)
return(rr)
end copy

```

6.5.11 Oneof Types

The definitions given in this section are schemas. Each definition is given in terms of the oneof type

$$OT = \text{oneof}[N_1: T_1, \dots, N_n: T_n]$$

where the N_i are in increasing lexicographic order.

```

make_N1 = proc (T1) returns (OT)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let obj = obj2* to Obj
    oneof = [N1; obj]
  in
    <normal in Term; [(oneof in Val); OT] in Obj*; env>

```

We have informally used OT as a d_type. There is a make_N₁ operation for every N₁.

```

is_N1 = proc (x: OT) returns (bool)
  tagcase x
    tag N1: return(true)
    others: return(false)
  end
end is_N1

```

```

value_N1 = proc (x: OT) returns (T1) signals (wrong_tag)
  tagcase x
    tag N1 (v: T1): return(v)
    others: signal wrong_tag
  end
end value_N1

```

```

equal = proc (x1, x2: OT) returns (bool)
  where T1 has equal: proctype (T1, T1) returns (bool),
  ...
  Tn has equal: proctype (Tn, Tn) returns (bool)

```

```

tagcase x1
  tag N1 (v: T1): return(v = OT$value_N1(x2))
  ...
  tag Nn (v: Tn): return(v = OT$value_Nn(x2))
  end
except when wrong_tag: return(false) and
end equal

```

```

similar = proc (x1, x2: OT) returns (bool)
    where T1 has similar: proctype (T1, T1) returns (bool),
    ...
    Tn has similar: proctype (Tn, Tn) returns (bool)
tagcase x1
    tag N1 (v: T1): return(T1$similar(v, OT$value_N1(x2)))
    ...
    tag Nn (v: Tn): return(Tn$similar(v, OT$value_Nn(x2)))
    end
    except when wrong_tag: return(false) end
end similar

```

```

copy = proc (x: OT) returns (OT) where T1 has copy: proctype (T1) returns (T1),
    ...
    Tn has copy: proctype (Tn) returns (Tn)
tagcase x1
    tag N1 (v: T1): return(OT$make_N1(T1$copy(v)))
    ...
    tag Nn (v: Tn): return(OT$make_Nn(Tn$copy(v)))
    end
end copy

```

6.5.12 Procedure Types

The definitions given in this section are schemas. Each definition is given in terms of a procedure type T.

```

equal = proc (r1, r2: T) returns (bool)
    λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj2
    in
    Return_bool(obj1.val = obj2.val, env)

```

We ignore the type descriptors because one or both descriptors can be routine.

```

similar = proc (r1, r2: T) returns (bool)
    return(r1 = r2)
end similar

```

```

copy = proc (r: T) returns (T)
    return(r)
end copy

```


6.5.13 Procedure Types

The definitions given in this section are schemas. Each definition is given in terms of a procedure type T.

```
equal = proc (r1, r2: T) returns (bool)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj2
    in
      Return_bool(obj1.val = obj2.val, env)
```

We ignore the type descriptors because one or both descriptors can be routine.

```
similar = proc (r1, r2: T) returns (bool)
  return(r1 = r2)
end similar
```

```
copy = proc (r: T) returns (T)
  return(r)
end copy
```

6.5.14 Routine

We will informally use routine in CLU text below, even though one cannot reference the special type routine in this way.

```
equal = proc (r1, r2: routine) returns (bool)
  λ(obj1*, obj2*, env). let <obj1; obj2> = obj2* to Obj2
    in
      Return_bool(obj1.val = obj2.val, env)
```

We ignore the type descriptors because one or both descriptors can be a procedure or iterator type.

```
similar = proc (r1, r2: routine) returns (bool)
  return(r1 = r2)
end similar
```

152

```
copy = proc (r: routine) returns (routine)
  return(r)
end copy
```

7. Conclusions

The goal of this thesis was to develop a precise, formal definition of CLU, with the hope that such a definition would be a useful tool in other work. This hope has already been met in three ways. First, this research has provided us with the opportunity to evaluate various features of CLU from a new viewpoint. Although our understanding of the meaning of CLU programs has not really changed, we did discover several places where our understanding of legality-checking was faulty or incomplete, and changes were made to CLU as a result. Second, our definition is now being used to verify (informally) the correctness of the legality-checking phase of the CLU compiler. Third, our definition provides a basis for evaluating the usefulness of the definition method proposed by Schaffert [Schaffert78a]. We discuss each of these points briefly below, and then finish with some directions for future research.

7.1 Meaning

Our definition is divided into two major parts, one defining what constitutes a legal module and one defining the meaning of legal modules. If we ignore the definition of the built-in abstractions then the meaning part is quite short, less than 12 pages of actual equations. This indicates that the model of computation CLU presents to the user is simple, uniform, and hence easily understood. It also indicates that the definition method we have chosen is an apt one. Most of the mechanisms used in the meaning part are direct "implementations" of the informal descriptions given in [Liskov78], particularly those for variables, parameters, and exception handling. For example, we have used explicit termination conditions to propagate exceptions through intermediate computations, rather than introducing continuations to effect transfers of control.

However, our treatment of iterators is not completely satisfying. Although the way we have defined iterators is simple, and appears to be the simplest possible given the underlying theory, it is somewhat backwards from the usual view that an iterator actually yields items to the caller. Having the iterator return a continuation to the caller, as discussed in section 2.2.4, is perhaps a truer representation of this view, but defining

iterators in this way would involve changing the definitions of all the other statements to create and use continuations. If some other construct of CLU forced us to use continuations, we might feel less strongly about avoiding their use for iterators; we might even use continuations for exception handling. In the absence of such a construct, the use of continuations seems overly complicated.

In defining meaning we have omitted two aspects of real systems. The first omission is input/output primitives. We have not defined any I/O primitives because implementors are free to choose their own, although a standard has been proposed [Liskov78]. However, I/O is essentially trivial to incorporate into our definition. Aside from simply adding definitions of the primitive types and routines, the only change to our definition would be the addition of one or more unique id domains and state mappings to represent new primitive mutable objects (such as streams).

The other omission is more subtle, and concerns failures in real systems. In an actual implementation it is permissible for the system to generate a *failure* exception at any time. For example, when attempting to multiply two real numbers, an exception such as *failure("floating point hardware broken")* could be generated. We could represent this by making *E.inside* nondeterministically choose between executing normally and failing with a randomly chosen string, but in real systems the choice is deterministic. Since the exact points at which such failures can occur and the exact reasons for such failures are completely implementation-dependent, we have chosen simply to omit such failures from our definition.

7.2 Legality

In contrast to the concise definition of meaning, our definition of legality is rather long. This is primarily just an indication of the large amount of error-checking being performed; for the most part the definition is very straightforward. However, two aspects of CLU merit comment here: interface specifications and parameters.

Our legality-checking algorithm basically performs a single pass over the syntax tree. The only exception is that two passes are necessary to check module headings. Although clever schemes could be used to minimize the work performed on the second pass, there does not appear to be any good way to avoid the second pass. In particular, we know of no simple, sensible rule that avoids the problem without unduly restricting the class of legal modules. Of course, an algorithm is not bad merely because it requires two passes, but it took a substantial effort to determine and understand the minimum assumptions necessary to derive and check interface specifications, and this leaves us with the feeling that perhaps something better could (and should) be done.

On the subject of parameters, we wish to point out by way of two examples that in some respects there is a very thin line between having a well-defined mechanism and an ill-defined one. The first example deals with the permissible types of parameters. CLU is now defined so that parameters can only be declared with the types `type`, `null`, `bool`, `int`, `real`, `char`, and `string`. However, at one point procedure and iterator types, and certain `oneof` types, were also allowed. These types were removed when we discovered that one could write "legal" generators that could never be instantiated, as in

`X = cluster [t1: oneof[a: t2], t2: oneof[a: t1]] is ...`

(Finite `oneof` type specifications cannot be written for any actual parameters.)

This problem could be "solved" by allowing such generators (even though they could never be used), or by imposing rules to forbid cyclic dependencies in parameter declarations, but it appears that no computational power is lost by eliminating structured type specifications. Indeed, given the dynamic behavior of CLU objects, it is difficult to think of many uses for non-type parameters in general, much less procedures, iterators, and `oneofs`. For example, the type of an array does not include any information about the size of the array because an array can vary dynamically in size.

Our second example deals with parameterized operations of clusters. Having decided that parameterized procedures and iterators were useful as individual modules, the designers felt that parameterized operations should also be allowed for completeness, though no real use for such operations is yet known. It then made sense to allow specific

instantiations of parameterized operations to be listed in **where** clause restrictions. Unfortunately, we discovered that this last step led to an infinite recursion in our legality-checking algorithm when applied to certain pathological modules [Scheifler77]. For example, the type $X[X(t)]$ in

```
X = cluster [t: type] is f, ... where t has f[X[X(t)]: proctype ();
...
f = proc [u: type] (); ... end f;
end X;
```

is legal only if $X(t)$ is a legal parameter to X , which is true only if $X(t)$ has an operation $f[X[X[X(t)]]]$, which is true only if $X[X[X(t)]]$ is a legal type, which leads to an infinite recursion.

This problem could be solved by giving full specifications for each parameterized operation. (This is the approach taken in Alphard [Wulf78], though apparently for syntactic uniformity rather than in response to this problem.) For example, one could state

```
where t has op = proc [u: type] (u) returns (u) where u has ...
```

instead of

```
where t has op[int]: proctype (int) returns (int),
op[real]: proctype (real) returns (real)
```

Since this solution requires additional syntax for an extremely rare case, an additional legality rule was formulated instead. (The function `Legal` performs this check in our definition.)

7.3 Compiler Verification

With respect to legality-checking, no distinction can be made between "denotational" definitions and "operational" definitions; there are only differences in the precise algorithm used. Our definition of legality-checking was done with compiler verification in mind, which is why a (basically) one pass algorithm was chosen. Although the actual implementation of legality-checking in the CLU compiler differs from our definition in a number of respects (primarily for efficiency reasons), the overall algorithm is identical and equivalence is fairly easy to establish.

Second, Schaffert uses a producer-consumer pair of processes to define the execution of an iterator and its invoking for statement. A special object is used to represent the queue between the producer and the consumer; this object is suitably defined so that the two processes are constrained to execute alternately rather than simultaneously. Although this definition is closer than ours to the informal description of iterators, we feel it is more complex and harder to understand. However, we should note that while our method of definition can also be done in Schaffert's formalism, the opposite is not really true. This indicates that Schaffert's formalism should be superior to denotational methods for defining parallel programming languages.

Third, the concept of mutable objects is built into Schaffert's formalism; an explicit representation of the universal state is not present in the equations, but rather is implicit throughout. Although this could tend to simplify the equations, another factor offsets this benefit. Specifically, a list of active variables (the arguments to the computation) is passed explicitly from computation to computation. In our opinion, passing around the kind of execution environment we have defined is no more complicated and no harder to deal with than passing around a list of variables.

In summary, the CLU definition given here appears to be a little better than the definition given by Schaffert. Except in the treatment of variables and iterators, however, the differences between the two definitions are not significant, and really have nothing to do with the underlying theories. Furthermore, Schaffert's method appears to be much better than current denotational techniques for defining programming languages that deal with parallelism.

7.5 Directions for Future Research

One of the most important tasks left in defining object-oriented languages is the development of a clean, usable, axiomatic definition method. An axiomatic method should not be extremely difficult to devise, but no such method seems to exist. However, a proposed method will be given in [Schaffert78b] with which a straightforward axiomatic definition of CLU should be possible.

Our definition of meaning, on the other hand, was designed to be as simple as possible, with the result that it is not particularly well-suited as an implementation standard. Our view here is that our definition is well-suited to be the standard definition of CLU, but that for verifying the correctness of implementations and for proving CLU programs correct, other formal definitions should be constructed.

7.4 Comparison to Schaffert's Work

There are major differences between our definition and the one done by Schaffert [Schaffert78a], but for the most part they are differences in algorithm design, and have nothing to do with which underlying theory was used. Schaffert's legality-checking algorithm is multi-pass, and simply assumes the existence of legal interface specifications in the library rather than incorporating an initial pass to derive and install them. Transformations of the parse tree are separated out as much as possible from the actual legality checks. Further, CLU has changed somewhat since the time his definition was made: the `exit` and `continue` statements were added, as was the type `real`; the rule mentioned above concerning infinite recursion was adopted; and a mechanism for renaming exceptions was removed. These and other differences result in Schaffert's definition being a little shorter than ours, but in practice his definition of legality seems slightly harder to understand, primarily due to the separation of the transformations from the legality checks.

The two definitions of meaning are the same in many respects, particularly in the treatment of parameters and exception handling, but there are three important differences. First, Schaffert is constrained by his formalism to treat variables as objects of an abstract type, whereas we are free to treat them simply as names for objects. Although treating variables as objects gives a uniformity and simplicity to the underlying semantics, it is counter to way CLU variables are normally explained [Liskov78]. It may be that treating variables as objects yields a simpler axiomatic description, but proving equivalence to an axiomatic definition would not appear to be any harder with our definition than with Schaffert's.

In addition, a better understanding of how to define general control structures is needed. Neither Schaffert's definition of iterators nor ours is wholly satisfactory. Perhaps future work in defining parallel programming language constructs will lead to some answers.

References

- Blum73 Blum, E.K. SEMANOL: a formal system for the semantics of programming languages, *TRW Software Series 73-05*. TRW Systems Group, Redondo Beach, Ca. (August 1973)
- Church51 Church, A. The calculi of lambda-conversion, *Annals of Math. Studies 6*. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1951)
- Donahue76 Donahue, J.E. Complementary definitions of programming language semantics, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 42*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1976)
- Hoare69 Hoare, C.A.R. An axiomatic basis for computer programming. *Comm. ACM, 12, 10* (October 1969), 576 - 583
- Hoare74 Hoare, C.A.R., and Lauer, P.E. Consistent and complementary formal theories of the semantics of programming languages. *Acta Informatica, 1* (1972), 271 - 281
- Knuth68 Knuth, D.E. Semantics of context-free languages. *Math. Systems Theory, 2, 2* (June 1968), 127 - 145
- Ledgard77 Ledgard, H.F. Production systems: a notation for defining syntax and translation. *IEEE Trans. on Soft. Eng., SE-2, 2* (March 1977), 105 - 124
- Liskov77a Liskov, B., Snyder, A., Atkinson, R., and Schaffert, C. Abstraction mechanisms in CLU. *Comm. ACM, 20, 8* (August 1977), 564 - 576
- Liskov77b Liskov, B., and Snyder, A. Structured exception handling. *Computation Structures Group Memo 155*, Laboratory for Computer Science, M.I.T., Cambridge, Ma. (December 1977)
- Liskov78 Liskov, B., et al. *CLU Reference Manual*. Laboratory for Computer Science, M.I.T., Cambridge, Ma. (forthcoming)
- Milne76 Milne, R., and Strachey, C. *A Theory of Programming Language Semantics*. Halsted Press, John Wiley, New York (1976)
- Schaffert78a Schaffert, C. A formal definition of CLU, *Technical Report 193*. Laboratory for Computer Science, MIT, Cambridge, Ma. (1978)

- Schaffert78b Schaffert, C. Specifying meaning in object-oriented languages. Ph.D. Thesis, MIT, Cambridge, Ma. (forthcoming)
- Scheifler77 Scheifler, R.W. Type parameters and infinite recursion, *CLU Design Note 65*. Laboratory for Computer Science, MIT, Cambridge, Ma. (November 1977)
- Scott70 Scott, D.S. Outline of a mathematical theory of computation. *Proc. of the Fourth Annual Princeton Conf. on Information Science and Systems*, Princeton (1970), 169 - 176
- Scott71a Scott, D.S., and Strachey, C. Toward a mathematical semantics for computer languages. *Proc. Symp. on Computers and Automata, Microwave Res. Inst. Symp. Series, 21*, Brooklyn Polytechnic Inst. (1971) 19 - 46
- Scott71b Scott, D.S. Continuous lattices, *Technical Memo PRG-7*. Programming Research Group, University of Oxford (1971)
- Scott72 Scott, D.S. Lattice theory, data types and formal semantics. *N.Y.U. Symp. on Formal Semantics*, Prentice-Hall (1972) 64 - 106
- Scott76 Scott, D.S. Data types as lattices. *SIAM J. Computing* 5, 3 (September 1976) 522 - 587
- Stoy77 Stoy, J. Denotational semantics: the Scott-Strachey approach to programming language theory. MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass. (1977)
- Strachey73 Strachey, C. Varieties of programming languages, *Technical Memo PRG-10*. Programming Research Group, University of Oxford (1973)
- vanWijngaarden76 van Wijngaarden, A., et al. *Revised Report on the Algorithm Language Algol 68*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1976)
- Wadsworth73 Wadsworth, C., and Strachey, C. Continuations: a mathematical semantics for handling full jumps, *Technical Memo PRG-11*. Programming Research Group, Oxford University (1973)
- Wegner72 Wegner, P. The Vienna Definition Language. *Computing Surveys*, 4, 1 (March 1972), 5 - 63
- Wulf78 Wulf, A. (editor). An informal definition of Alphard, *CMU-CS-78-105*. Computer Science Department, Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pa. (February 1978)

Appendix - Parsing

We use an extended BNF grammar to define the relationship between the abstract syntax and text strings. The general form of a production is:

```

nonterminal ::= alternative
              | alternative
              | ...
              | alternative
  
```

The following extensions are used:

```

a , ... stands for ( a | a , a | a , a , a | ... )
{ a }   stands for ( ε | a | a a | a a a | ... )
[ a ]   stands for ( ε | a )
  
```

All semicolons are optional in CLU, but for simplicity they appear below without enclosing meta-brackets. Nonterminal symbols appear in normal face. Reserved words appear in bold face. All other terminal symbols are non-alphabetic and appear in normal face.

In defining the syntax of expressions, we will simply indicate the precedence of the various operators with comments rather than explicitly incorporating precedence into the productions. Higher precedence operations are performed first. All binary operators are left associative except ******, which is right associative.

```
full_module ::= { equate } module
```

```

module ::= procedure
         | iterator
         | cluster
  
```

```

procedure ::= idn = proc [ parms ] args [ returns ] [ signals ] [ where ];
           body
           end idn ;
  
```

```

iterator ::= idn = iter [ parms ] args [ yields ] [ signals ] [ where ] ;
          body
          end idn ;

cluster  ::= idn = cluster [ parms ] is idn , ... [ where ] ;
          cluster_body
          end idn ;

parms    ::= [ decl , ... ]

args     ::= ( [ decl , ... ] )

decl     ::= idn , ... : type_spec

returns  ::= returns ( type_spec , ... )

yields   ::= yields ( type_spec , ... )

signals  ::= signals ( cond_spec , ... )

cond_spec ::= name [ ( type_spec , ... ) ]

where    ::= where restriction , ...

restriction ::= idn has oper_decl , ...
              | idn in type_set

type_set  ::= { idn | idn has oper_decl , ... ; { equate } }
              | idn

oper_decl ::= oper_name , ... : type_spec

oper_name ::= name [ [ constant , ... ] ]

constant ::= expression
              | type_spec

body     ::= { equate } { statement }

```

```

cluster_body ::= equate { equate }
              routine { routine }

routine      ::= procedure
              | iterator

equate       ::= idn = constant ;
              | idn = type_set ;
              | rep = type_spec ;

type_spec    ::= null
              | bool
              | int
              | real
              | char
              | string
              | any
              | rep
              | cvt
              | type
              | array [ type_spec ]
              | record [ field_spec , ... ]
              | oneof [ field_spec , ... ]
              | proctype ( [ type_spec , ... ] ) [ returns ] [ signals ]
              | itertype ( [ type_spec , ... ] ) [ yields ] [ signals ]
              | idn [ constant , ... ]
              | idn

field_spec   ::= name , ... : type_spec

```

```

statement ::= decl ;
           | idn : type_spec := expression ;
           | decl , ... := invocation ;
           | idn , ... := invocation ;
           | idn , ... := expression , ... ;
           | invocation ;
           | primary . name := expression ;
           | primary [ expression ] := expression ;
           | if expression then body
             { elseif expression then body }
             [ else body ]
             end ;
           | while expression do body end ;
           | return [ ( expression , ... ) ] ;
           | yield [ ( expression , ... ) ] ;
           | signal name [ ( expression , ... ) ] ;
           | exit name [ ( expression , ... ) ] ;
           | break ;
           | continue ;
           | begin body end ;
           | tagcase expression
             tag_arm { tag_arm }
             [ others : body ]
             end ;
           | for [ decl , ... ] in invocation do body end ;
           | for [ idn , ... ] in invocation do body end ;
           | statement except { when_arm }
             [ others_arm ]
             end ;

```

```

tag_arm ::= tag name , ... [ ( idn : type_spec ) ] : body

when_arm ::= when name , ... [ ( decl , ... ) ] : body
          | when name , ... ( * ) : body

others_arm ::= others [ ( idn : type_spec ) ] : body

expression ::= primary
            | ( expression )
            | ~ expression % 6 (precedence)
            | - expression % 6
            | expression ** expression % 5
            | expression // expression % 4
            | expression / expression % 4
            | expression * expression % 4
            | expression || expression % 3
            | expression + expression % 3
            | expression - expression % 3
            | expression < expression % 2
            | expression <= expression % 2
            | expression = expression % 2
            | expression >= expression % 2
            | expression > expression % 2
            | expression ~< expression % 2
            | expression ~<= expression % 2
            | expression ~= expression % 2
            | expression ~>= expression % 2
            | expression ~> expression % 2
            | expression & expression % 1
            | expression cand expression % 1
            | expression | expression % 0
            | expression cor expression % 0

```



```

primary ::= nil
          | true
          | false
          | int_literal
          | real_literal
          | char_literal
          | string_literal
          | type_spec $ name [ [ constant , ... ] ]
          | idn [ constant , ... ]
          | idn
          | invocation
          | type_spec $ { field , ... }
          | type_spec $ ( [ expression : ] [ expression , ... ] )
          | force [ type_spec ]
          | up ( expression )
          | down ( expression )
          | primary . name
          | primary [ expression ]

invocation ::= primary ( [ expression , ... ] )

field ::= name , ... : expression

```

Reserved word: one of the identifiers appearing in bold face in the syntax. Upper and lower case letters are not distinguished in reserved words.

Name, idn: a sequence of letters, digits, and underscores that begins with a letter or underscore, and that is not a reserved word. Upper and lower case letters are not distinguished in names and idns.

Int_literal: a sequence of one or more decimal digits.

Real_literal: a mantissa with an (optional) exponent. A mantissa is either a sequence of one or more decimal digits, or two sequences (one of which may be empty) joined by a period. The mantissa must contain at least one digit. An exponent is 'E' or 'e', optionally

followed by '+' or '-', followed by one or more decimal digits. An exponent is required if the mantissa does not contain a period. Real literals are parsed to their (normalized) exact values.

Char_literal: either a "printing" ASCII character (octal 40 thru octal 176) other than single quote or backslash, enclosed in single quotes, or one of the following escape sequences enclosed in single quotes:

<u>escape sequence</u>	<u>character</u>
\'	' (single quote)
\"	" (double quote)
\\	\ (backslash)
\n	NL (newline)
\t	HT (horizontal tab)
\p	FF (newpage)
\b	BS (backspace)
\r	CR (carriage return)
\v	VT (vertical tab)
***	specified by octal value (* is an octal digit)

The escape sequences may be written using upper case letters. The ASCII characters in their usual order correspond to octal values 0 through 177.

String_literal: a sequence of zero or more character representations, enclosed in double quotes. A character representation is either a "printing" ASCII character other than double quote or backslash, or one of the escape sequences listed above.

Comment: a sequence of characters that begins with a percent sign, ends with a newline character, and contains only "printing" ASCII characters and horizontal tabs in between.

Separator: a "blank" character (space, vertical tab, horizontal tab, carriage return, newline, form feed) or a comment. Zero or more separators may appear between any two tokens, except that at least one separator is required between any two adjacent non-self-terminating tokens: reserved words, identifiers, integer literals, and real literals.

Domain Index

Env	112	Restriction	31	Oper	36
Imp_map	112	Type_set	31	D_type	36
Imp	112	Oper_decl	31	D_record	36
Op	112	Oper_name	31	D_oneof	36
Type	112	Routine	32	D_comp	36
V_map	112	Constant	32	D_proc	36
Loop	112	Body	32	D_iter	36
A_map	112	Equate	32	D_cond	37
R_map	112	Type_spec	32	D_mod	37
Result	112	Field_spec	32	Stmt	37
Term	112	Statement	33	Elseif	37
Signal	112	Elseif_arm	34	Tag	37
Exit	112	Tag_arm	34	Catch	37
Loop_term	112	When_arm	34	Expr	38
Library	103	Others_arm	34	Invoke	38
Imps_map	103	Expression	34	Comp	38
Perm	72	Invocation	34	Obj	38
CE	41	Field	34	Val	38
Info_map	41	Bool	35	Oneof	38
Info	41	Real	35	D_oper	38
Spec_map	41	Char	35	Tset	38
Sig	41	String	35	Op_decl	39
Handle	41	Bin_op	35	Array	39
D_hand	41	Int	35	Record	39
Full_module	31	Name	35	DU	39
Module	31	Idn	35	DU_spec	39
Procedure	31	Mod	36	R_spec	39
Iterator	31	Mod_form	36	T_spec	39
Cluster	31	Op_form	36	Op_spec	39
Decl	31	Unit	36	D_parm	39
Cond_spec	31	Type_form	36	Constraint	39

Function Index

<i>Add_get_</i>	67	<i>Name</i> → <i>Name</i>
<i>Addimps</i>	104	<i>Mod</i> * × <i>Imps_map</i> → <i>Imps_map</i>
<i>Add_info</i>	74	<i>Idn</i> × <i>Info</i> × <i>CE</i> → <i>CE</i>
<i>Add_infos</i>	76	<i>Idn</i> * × <i>Info</i> × <i>CE</i> → <i>CE</i>
<i>Add_ops</i>	105	<i>Op_spec</i> * × <i>CE</i> → <i>CE</i>
<i>Add_op_decls</i>	98	<i>Idn</i> × <i>Op_decl</i> * × <i>CE</i> → <i>CE</i>
<i>Add_parms</i>	105	<i>D_parm</i> * × <i>CE</i> → <i>CE</i>
<i>Add_set_</i>	78	<i>Name</i> → <i>Name</i>
<i>Add_up_type</i>	92	<i>Idn</i> × <i>Idn</i> * × <i>CE</i> → <i>CE</i>
<i>Append</i>	25	<i>D</i> * × <i>D</i> → <i>D</i> *
<i>Approx</i>	129	<i>Real</i> → <i>Real</i>
<i>Assn</i>	120	<i>Idn</i> * × <i>Obj</i> * × <i>Env</i> → <i>Env</i>
<i>Assnl</i>	119	<i>Idn</i> × <i>Obj</i> × <i>Env</i> → <i>Env</i>
<i>Bad_add</i>	129	<i>Real</i> × <i>Real</i> → <i>Real</i>
<i>Bad_expr</i>	61	<i>CE</i> → <i>Expr</i> × <i>CE</i>
<i>Bad_obj</i>	51	<i>CE</i> → <i>Obj</i> × <i>CE</i>
<i>Bad_stmt</i>	75	<i>CE</i> → <i>Stmt</i> × <i>CE</i>
<i>Bad_type</i>	45	<i>CE</i> → <i>D_type</i> × <i>CE</i>
<i>Base_op</i>	68	<i>Bin_op</i> → <i>Bin_op</i>
<i>Boolean</i>	69	<i>Expr</i> × <i>CE</i> → <i>Bool</i>
<i>Booleans</i>	70	<i>Expr</i> * × <i>CE</i> → <i>Bool</i>
<i>Compile</i>	103	<i>Full_module</i> * × <i>Library</i> × <i>Info_map</i> → <i>Library</i>
<i>Concat</i>	25	<i>D</i> * × <i>D</i> * → <i>D</i> *
<i>Cons</i>	25	<i>D</i> × <i>D</i> * → <i>D</i> *
<i>Const_expr</i>	59	<i>Expr</i> → <i>Bool</i>
<i>Const_exprs</i>	58	<i>Expr</i> * → <i>Bool</i>
<i>Const_type</i>	59	<i>D_type</i> → <i>Bool</i>
<i>Const_types</i>	59	<i>D_type</i> * → <i>Bool</i>
<i>Create_ce</i>	44	<i>Info_map</i> × <i>Spec_map</i> → <i>CE</i>
<i>C_body</i>	74	<i>Body</i> × <i>CE</i> → <i>Unit</i> × <i>CE</i>
<i>C_call</i>	64	<i>Expr</i> × <i>Expr</i> * × <i>CE</i> → <i>Expr</i> × <i>CE</i>
<i>C_cond_specs</i>	49	<i>Cond_spec</i> * × <i>CE</i> → <i>D_cond</i> * × <i>CE</i>
<i>C_constant</i>	51	<i>Constant</i> × <i>CE</i> → <i>Obj</i> × <i>CE</i>
<i>C_constants</i>	51	<i>Constant</i> * × <i>CE</i> → <i>Obj</i> * × <i>CE</i>
<i>C_constraints</i>	55	<i>Obj</i> * × <i>Constraint</i> * × <i>CE</i> → <i>Bool</i>
<i>C_cuts</i>	80	<i>Expr</i> * × <i>Bool</i> * × <i>CE</i> → <i>Expr</i> *

<i>C_cut_concls</i>	111	$\text{Cond_spec}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Sig}^* \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_cut_decls</i>	110	$\text{Decl}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Expr}^* \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_cut_type</i>	111	$\text{Type_spec} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{D_type} \times \text{Bool} \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_cut_types</i>	111	$\text{Type_spec}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{D_type}^* \times \text{Bool}^* \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_decls</i>	75	$\text{Decl}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{CE}$
<i>C_defs</i>	94	$\text{Decl}^* \times \text{Equate}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{CE}$
<i>C_defsl</i>	94	$\text{Equate}^* \times \text{Decl}^* \times \text{Equate}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{CE}$
<i>C_du_parms</i>	54	$\text{DU} \times \text{Obj}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Obj} \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_d_cond</i>	65	$\text{D_cond} \times \text{Handle}^* \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>C_d_concls</i>	64	$\text{D_cond}^* \times \text{Handle}^* \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>C_d_oper</i>	62	$\text{D_oper} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Expr} \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_elseifs</i>	78	$\text{Elseif_arm}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Elseif}^* \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_equates</i>	72	$\text{Equate}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{CE}$
<i>C_exit</i>	81	$\text{Name} \times \text{D_type}^* \times \text{Handle}^* \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>C_expression</i>	61	$\text{Expression} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Expr} \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_expressions</i>	61	$\text{Expression}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Expr}^* \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_fields</i>	66	$\text{Field}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Comp}^* \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_field_specs</i>	47	$\text{Field_spec}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{D_comp}^* \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_full_modules</i>	104	$\text{Full_module}^* \times \text{DU_spec}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Mod}^* \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_head_concls</i>	99	$\text{Cond_spec}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{D_cond}^* \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_head_decls</i>	98	$\text{Decl}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{CE}$
<i>C_head_type</i>	98	$\text{Type_spec} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{D_type} \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_head_types</i>	98	$\text{Type_spec}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{D_type}^* \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_invocation</i>	64	$\text{Invocation} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Expr} \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_iter_inv</i>	85	$\text{Invocation} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Expr} \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_iter_type</i>	49	$\text{D_type}^* \times \text{D_type}^* \times \text{D_cond}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{D_type} \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_module</i>	105	$\text{Module} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Mod} \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_oper_decls</i>	97	$\text{Oper_decl}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Op_decl}^* \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_oper_names</i>	97	$\text{Oper_name}^* \times \text{D_type} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Op_decl}^* \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_op_call</i>	69	$\text{Name} \times \text{Expression}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Expr} \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_op_decls</i>	56	$\text{D_type} \times \text{Op_decl}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>C_op_inv</i>	69	$\text{Name} \times \text{Expression}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Expr} \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_op_parms</i>	54	$\text{Op_spec} \times \text{Obj}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Obj} \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_op_type</i>	58	$\text{Name} \times \text{Obj}^* \times \text{Op_spec}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{D_type}$
<i>C_others_arm</i>	87	$\text{Others_arm} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Catch} \times \text{CE}$
<i>C_parms</i>	55	$\text{Obj}^* \times \text{D_parm}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>C_parm_decls</i>	95	$\text{Decl}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{CE}$
<i>C_parm_op</i>	58	$\text{Name} \times \text{Obj}^* \times \text{Op_decl}^* \rightarrow \text{D_type}$

<i>C_proc_type</i>	48	$D_type^* \times D_type^* \times D_cond^* \times CE \rightarrow D_type \times CE$
<i>C_restriction</i>	96	$Restriction \times CE \rightarrow CE$
<i>C_restrictions</i>	95	$Restriction^* \times CE \rightarrow CE$
<i>C_routine</i>	108	$Routine \times CE \rightarrow Op_form \times CE$
<i>C_routines</i>	107	$Routine^* \times CE \rightarrow Oper^* \times CE$
<i>C_sig</i>	80	$Name \times D_type^* \times Sig^* \rightarrow Bool \times Bool^*$
<i>C_statement</i>	75	$Statement \times CE \rightarrow Stmt \times CE$
<i>C_statements</i>	75	$Statement^* \times CE \rightarrow Stmt^* \times CE$
<i>C_tag_arm</i>	83	$Tag_arm \times CE \rightarrow Tag \times Name^* \times D_comp^* \times CE$
<i>C_tag_arms</i>	83	$Tag_arm^* \times CE \rightarrow Tag^* \times Name^* \times D_comp^* \times CE$
<i>C_type_set</i>	96	$Type_set \times CE \rightarrow Tset \times CE$
<i>C_type_spec</i>	45	$Type_spec \times CE \rightarrow D_type \times CE$
<i>C_type_specs</i>	45	$Type_spec^* \times CE \rightarrow D_type^* \times CE$
<i>C_when_arm</i>	86	$When_arm \times CE \rightarrow Catch \times Name^* \times Handle \times CE$
<i>C_when_arms</i>	86	$When_arm^* \times CE \rightarrow Catch^* \times Name^* \times Handle^* \times CE$
<i>C_xbody</i>	84	$Decl^* \times Body \times CE \rightarrow D_type^* \times Unit \times CE$
<i>Delist</i>	25	$[D^*]^* \rightarrow D^*$
<i>Derive_specs</i>	88	$Full_module^* \times CE \rightarrow DU_spec^* \times CE$
<i>Duplicates</i>	48	$D^* \rightarrow Bool$
<i>Empty</i>	25	$D^* \rightarrow Bool$
<i>Eq_obj</i>	131	$Obj^* \times Obj^* \times Env \rightarrow Result$
<i>Erase</i>	106	$Idn^* \times CE \rightarrow CE$
<i>Error</i>	131	$Name \times Env \rightarrow Result$
<i>E_catch</i>	124	$Catch \times Name \times Obj^* \times Env \rightarrow Result$
<i>E_catches</i>	124	$Catch^* \times Name \times Obj^* \times Env \rightarrow Result$
<i>E_elseifs</i>	120	$Elseif^* \times Unit \times Env \rightarrow Result$
<i>E_equate</i>	73	$Equate \times CE \rightarrow CE$
<i>E_equates</i>	73	$Equate^* \times CE \rightarrow CE$
<i>E_expr</i>	114	$Expr \times Env \rightarrow Result$
<i>E_exprs</i>	114	$Expr^* \times Env \rightarrow Result$
<i>E_invoke</i>	115	$Invoke \times Env \rightarrow Result$
<i>E_op</i>	126	$Op_form \times Obj^* \times Obj^* \times Env \rightarrow Result$
<i>E_stmt</i>	119	$Stmt \times Env \rightarrow Result$
<i>E_stmts</i>	119	$Stmt^* \times Env \rightarrow Result$
<i>E_tag</i>	122	$Tag \times Obj \times Env \rightarrow Result$
<i>E_tags</i>	122	$Tag^* \times Name \times Obj \times Env \rightarrow Result$
<i>E_unit</i>	119	$Unit \times Env \rightarrow Result$
<i>Fail</i>	86	$\rightarrow D_cond$

<i>Failure</i>	115	$\text{String} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Result}$
<i>Fetch</i>	128	$\text{D}^* \times \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{D} \rightarrow$
<i>Find_op</i>	125	$\text{Name} \times \text{Oper}^* \rightarrow \text{Op_form}$
<i>Fix_info</i>	75	$\text{Idn}^* \times \text{Info_map} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Idn}^* \times \text{CE}$
<i>Front</i>	128	$\text{D}^* \rightarrow \text{D}^*$
<i>Get_comps</i>	66	$\text{Name}^* \times \text{Expr} \rightarrow \text{Comp}^*$
<i>Get_decls</i>	77	$\text{Idn}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{D_type}^* \times \text{CE}$
<i>Get_du</i>	105	$\text{Idn} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{DU}$
<i>Get_du_specs</i>	89	$\text{Module}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{DU_spec}^*$
<i>Get_d_comps</i>	47	$\text{Name}^* \times \text{D_type} \rightarrow \text{D_comp}^*$
<i>Get_d_parms</i>	95	$\text{Idn}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{D_parm}^*$
<i>Get_exprs</i>	110	$\text{Idn}^* \times \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Expr}^*$
<i>Get_ext_specs</i>	93	$\text{Op_spec}^* \times \text{Idn}^* \rightarrow \text{Op_spec}^*$
<i>Get_mod_idn</i>	89	$\text{Module} \rightarrow \text{Idn}$
<i>Get_op</i>	116	$\text{Obj} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Op} \times \text{Obj}^*$
<i>Get_op_idn</i>	91	$\text{Routine} \rightarrow \text{Idn}$
<i>Get_op_specs</i>	92	$\text{Routine}^* \times \text{Idn}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Op_spec}^* \times \text{CE}$
<i>Get_op_type</i>	57	$\text{D_oper} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{D_type}$
<i>Get_r_spec</i>	93	$\text{Routine} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{R_spec} \times \text{CE}$
<i>Get_spec</i>	90	$\text{Module} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{DU_spec} \times \text{DU_spec} \times \text{CE}$
<i>Get_specs</i>	90	$\text{Full_module}^* \times \text{DU_spec}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{DU_spec}^* \times \text{CE}$
<i>Has_bad</i>	45	$\text{D_type}^* \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>Head</i>	25	$\text{D}^* \rightarrow \text{D}$
<i>Include</i>	71	$\text{D_type}^* \times \text{D_type}^* \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>Includes</i>	71	$\text{D_type} \times \text{D_type} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>Includes_all</i>	70	$\text{D_type} \times \text{D_type}^* \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>Init_specs</i>	89	$\text{Module}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{CE}$
<i>Install_op_spec</i>	107	$\text{Op_spec} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{CE}$
<i>Install_spec</i>	104	$\text{DU_spec} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{CE}$
<i>Integer</i>	70	$\text{Expr} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>Int_result</i>	130	$\text{Int} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Result}$
<i>Itertype_op</i>	116	$\text{D_iter} \times \text{Name} \rightarrow \text{Op}$
<i>Itertype_op_specs</i>	57	$\text{D_iter} \rightarrow \text{Op_spec}^*$
<i>Last</i>	128	$\text{D}^* \rightarrow \text{D}$
<i>L_char</i>	129	$\text{Char} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>L_chars</i>	129	$\text{Char}^* \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>L_int</i>	128	$\text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>L_parms</i>	101	$\text{Obj}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$

<i>L_parm_decls</i>	107	$\text{Decl}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{CE}$
<i>L_real</i>	128	$\text{Real} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>L_restriction</i>	110	$\text{Restriction} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{CE}$
<i>L_restrictions</i>	109	$\text{Restriction}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{CE}$
<i>L_state</i>	129	$\text{Int} \times \text{Obj}^* \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>L_string</i>	129	$\text{String} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>L_type</i>	102	$\text{D_type} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>L_types</i>	102	$\text{D_type}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>Make_constants</i>	92	$\text{Idn}^* \rightarrow \text{Constant}^*$
<i>Make_idn</i>	93	$\text{Name} \rightarrow \text{Idn}$
<i>Make_name</i>	53	$\text{Idn} \rightarrow \text{Name}$
<i>Make_objs</i>	58	$\text{D_type}^* \rightarrow \text{Obj}^*$
<i>Make_statements</i>	84	$\text{Decl}^* \rightarrow \text{Statement}^*$
<i>Make_string</i>	125	$\text{Name} \rightarrow \text{String}$
<i>Match_catch</i>	124	$\text{Catch} \times \text{Name} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>Match_tag</i>	122	$\text{Tag} \times \text{Name} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>Meaning</i>	125	$\text{Mod_form} \rightarrow \text{Imp}$
<i>Max_int</i>	128	$\rightarrow \text{Int}$
<i>Max_char</i>	128	$\rightarrow \text{Int}$
<i>Max_real</i>	128	$\rightarrow \text{Real}$
<i>Min_int</i>	128	$\rightarrow \text{Int}$
<i>Min_real</i>	128	$\rightarrow \text{Real}$
<i>M_type</i>	125	$\text{Type_form} \times \text{Obj}^* \times \text{Name} \rightarrow \text{Op}$
<i>New_array</i>	117	$\text{Array} \rightarrow \text{Array}$
<i>New_info_map</i>	44	$\text{Info_map} \times \text{Spec_map} \times \text{Equate}^* \rightarrow \text{Info_map}$
<i>New_record</i>	117	$\text{Record} \rightarrow \text{Record}$
<i>New_spec</i>	89	$\text{Idn} \times \text{DU_spec} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{CE}$
<i>Oneof_op</i>	116	$\text{D_oneof} \times \text{Name} \rightarrow \text{Op}$
<i>Oneof_op_specs</i>	57	$\text{D_oneof} \rightarrow \text{Op_spec}^*$
<i>Op_name</i>	68	$\text{Bin_op} \rightarrow \text{Name}$
<i>Order</i>	47	$\text{Name}^* \times \text{D}^* \rightarrow \text{D}^*$
<i>Parm_types</i>	55	$\text{D_parm}^* \rightarrow \text{D_type}^*$
<i>Pass</i>	115	$\text{Result} \rightarrow \text{Result}$
<i>Primitive_env</i>	114	$\rightarrow \text{Env}$
<i>Primitiveimps</i>	114	$\rightarrow \text{Imp_map}$
<i>Proctype_op</i>	116	$\text{D_proc} \times \text{Name} \rightarrow \text{Op}$
<i>Proctype_op_specs</i>	57	$\text{D_proc} \rightarrow \text{Op_spec}^*$
<i>R2i</i>	130	$\text{Real} \rightarrow \text{Int}$

<i>Real_result</i>	130	$\text{Real} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Result}$
<i>Record_op</i>	116	$\text{D_record} \times \text{Name} \rightarrow \text{Op}$
<i>Record_op_specs</i>	57	$\text{D_record} \rightarrow \text{Op_spec}^*$
<i>Replace</i>	99	$\text{Idn}^* \times \text{Idn}^* \times \text{D} \rightarrow \text{D}$
<i>Restore</i>	74	$\text{CE} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{CE}$
<i>Result_types</i>	70	$\text{Invoke} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{D_type}^*$
<i>Return_bool</i>	130	$\text{Bool} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Result}$
<i>Return_char</i>	130	$\text{Char} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Result}$
<i>Return_int</i>	130	$\text{Int} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Result}$
<i>Return_real</i>	130	$\text{Real} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Result}$
<i>Return_string</i>	131	$\text{String} \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Result}$
<i>Return_types</i>	59	$\text{D_type} \rightarrow \text{D_type}^*$
<i>Routine_op</i>	116	$\text{Name} \rightarrow \text{Op}$
<i>Routine_op_specs</i>	58	$\rightarrow \text{Op_spec}^*$
<i>Same_constraints</i>	100	$\text{Constraint}^* \times \text{Constraint}^* \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>Same_op_specs</i>	101	$\text{Op_spec}^* \times \text{Op_spec}^* \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>Same_r_spec</i>	99	$\text{R_spec} \times \text{R_spec} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>Same_size</i>	25	$\text{D}^* \times \text{D}^* \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>Same_spec</i>	99	$\text{DU_spec} \times \text{DU_spec} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>Same_t_spec</i>	100	$\text{T_spec} \times \text{T_spec} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$
<i>Set_op_names</i>	91	$\text{Routine}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{CE}$
<i>Size</i>	128	$\text{D}^* \rightarrow \text{Int}$
<i>Store</i>	128	$\text{D}^* \times \text{Int} \times \text{D} \rightarrow \text{D}^*$
<i>Subst</i>	60	$\text{Obj}^* \times \text{Idn}^* \times \text{D} \rightarrow \text{D}$
<i>Tail</i>	25	$\text{D}^* \rightarrow \text{D}^*$
<i>Trunc</i>	130	$\text{Real} \rightarrow \text{Int}$
<i>Types_of</i>	70	$\text{Expr}^* \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{D_type}^*$
<i>Type_of</i>	70	$\text{Expr} \times \text{CE} \rightarrow \text{D_type}$
<i>Unassn</i>	119	$\text{Idn}^* \times \text{Env} \rightarrow \text{Env}$
<i>Yield_types</i>	85	$\text{D_type} \rightarrow \text{D_type}^*$

*This empty page was substituted for a
blank page in the original document.*

CS-TR Scanning Project
Document Control Form

Date : 10/26/95

Report # LC-5-TR-201

Each of the following should be identified by a checkmark:
Originating Department:

- Artificial Intelligence Laboratory (AI)
- Laboratory for Computer Science (LCS)

Document Type:

- Technical Report (TR) Technical Memo (TM)
- Other: _____

Document Information

Number of pages: 176 (183-images)
Not to include DOD forms, printer instructions, etc... original pages only.

Originals are:

- Single-sided or
- Double-sided

Intended to be printed as :

- Single-sided or
- Double-sided

Print type:

- Typewriter Offset Press Laser Print
- InkJet Printer Unknown Other: _____

Check each if included with document:

- DOD Form Funding Agent Form Cover Page
- Spine Printers Notes Photo negatives
- Other: _____

Page Data:

Blank Pages (by page number): _____

Photographs/Tonal Material (by page number): _____

Other (note description/page number):

Description :	Page Number:
<u>IMAGE MAPS: (1-176) UN#ED TITLEPAGE, 2-175 UN#ED BLANK</u>	
<u>(177-183) SCANCONTROL, COVER, SPINE, PRINTER'S NOTES,</u>	
<u>TRGT'S (3)</u>	

Scanning Agent Signoff:

Date Received: 10/26/95 Date Scanned: 11/6/95 Date Returned: 10/9/95

Scanning Agent Signature: Michael W. Gorb

Scanning Agent Identification Target

Scanning of this document was supported in part by the **Corporation for National Research Initiatives**, using funds from the **Advanced Research Projects Agency of the United States Government** under Grant: **MDA972-92-J1029**.

The scanning agent for this project was the **Document Services** department of the **M.I.T. Libraries**. Technical support for this project was also provided by the **M.I.T. Laboratory for Computer Sciences**.

